

[return to updates](#)

# A NEW TEDDY ROOSEVELT



*by Miles Mathis*

*First published March 10, 2021*

Before we get started, I wish to thank all those who have donated or sent me stuff in the past couple of weeks. It should help in my upcoming move. A lot of people keyed on the coffee suggestion, and I now have enough coffee for the next couple of years. So no more coffee please. We will have to come up with some other ideas.

This paper was born from me rereading [my recent paper on McKinley](#) for typos, where I was reminded that Roosevelt used the fake assassination by leftists as an excuse for coming in and cracking down on the entire left side of American society, not only Marxists, but unionists, progressives, and normal Democrats.

And remember two things: one, Roosevelt did this from the Republican party; two, he did it while being sold as a populist and protector of the little man and the middle class. He is still sold as a Progressive Republican, which is a joke. Roosevelt was extremely wealthy and privileged, and, like all Presidents, was a tool of the bankers. Roosevelt's mother was a **Stewart**, and so was her mother, so he was a Stewart in the maternal line. He was also an Oswald, a Carter, and a Bulloch. And yes, this links us to Lee Harvey Oswald, Jimmy Carter, and Sandra Bullock. [Roosevelt and all his kin](#) are listed in the British peerage.

I have warned you they are prepping us for another big crackdown on the left, though we are still in the first stages. Since it seems like they are currently cracking down on the right, you may find this hard to believe, but keep reading. I think you will see what I mean if you give me a chance.

There has been a growing revolutionary spirit in this country for many years and the governors know it. So they need to counter it in some way. They need to manufacture an excuse to crush it, but to do that they first have to manufacture support for their planned actions. So, as with the fake McKinley assassination, they have to create an enemy. At the same time, they have to create and control your response, making sure you hate that enemy with a high passion, so that when the enemy is crushed, you will do nothing but cheer. You won't ask any questions, and you won't try to protect any marginal parties that are swept up in that crushing. If a few innocent parties and movements are crushed along with the fake Communists and liberals and radicals, you won't lift a finger, since you will be so glad your country has been “saved”.

To achieve this, they first have to convince you you *aren't* a leftist or liberal. Although you are in favor of change, you are supposed to think of yourself as a conservative. You are probably for a “cleaning of the swamp”, the swamp being corrupt rich people, including bankers, politicians, big tech, and media. Which should, by definition, put you on the left. Rich people are on the right. Rich people are conservative, since they are trying to protect their privilege. That is what conservative actually means, or used to, before the word was flipped on you. So if you are against these people, you can't be conservative, too.

But in order to control your response, they first have to make you think you are conservative. They have to do that because you are being groomed to hate liberals. But remind yourself of this: the very rich people also hate liberals. The billionaires and trillionaires and bankers have always hated liberalism, because liberalism threatens their privilege. Liberalism was originally about fairness. It was not about trannies or Antifa or BLM or Communism. It was about equal opportunity and things like that. Look it up if you don't believe me. Liberalism had and has nothing to do with Communism. [Communism was invented to blackwash liberalism](#), and I have proved that beyond any doubt. Liberalism was invented by middle class people fighting against the privilege of the wealthy, while Communism was invented by Marx and Engels—two super-rich Jewish guys from banking families who wished to destroy liberalism.

Therefore, you should find it sort of odd that you are being trained to hate liberals. **You are being trained to hate the same people that very rich people hate.** If you don't see what I mean, remember that we have discovered the trillionaire families like the Rothschilds and Rockefellers hiding behind Workers Parties, both in the US and in Europe. The Nazi party was originally supposed to be a workers' party, for instance, [but a little digging](#) showed us it was founded by aristocratic families. It was later bankrolled by trillionaires. Same thing for The International, which was supposed to be a Communist party, fighting for the workers. But it too was founded and run by nobles. And why were these workers' parties founded by the very rich? **To infiltrate the opposition and destroy it.** The very rich don't want workers to have any political power, because that just cuts into their profits. The very rich and workers are enemies and always have been. Well, liberalism was invented to lobby for workers, which is why the trillionaires have always hated it. The rich have always been *anti-liberal*. So don't you think it is kind of strange that you are being groomed to hate the same people the very rich hate? Don't you think it is kind of strange that the very rich, who are conservative, want you to be conservative, too? Don't you think it is kind of strange that you, a middle-class person being screwed by the rich right now, should be groomed to call himself a conservative and support the Republican party? Doesn't that seem sort of suspicious to you? It should.

So those feeding you information have flipped you again. The alternative news sources you are reading or watching like Infowars, NaturalNews, Zerohedge, Gateway Pundit, Qanon, Louder than Chowderhead, and thousands of others, have redefined these old words out from under you, and the

reason they have done that is that they are part of Operation Chaos, just like the mainstream media. Both sides of the argument are controlled by the same people. The governors own and run both the mainstream media and the alternative press, and their top job is confusing you beyond all recognition. They do this by rewriting history, redefining words, and lying all the time about everything. That is what Operation Chaos is. It is the purposeful creation of confusion, a constant mindstir. Because they know that if you are confused enough, you will have to accept their ideas. You won't be capable of forming your own coherent ideas, so you will beg them to insert their ideas in your head.

This idea that you are a conservative is the main idea they have been pushing for several decades, and I just told you why. They have been doing it since the time of Reagan, and Rush Limbaugh was the spearhead of the movement for thirty years. It is the required first step in this game, because in order to hate liberals in the way they have planned for you, you have to think of yourself as a conservative. They have to create a split. You have to pick a side.

Once you have been convinced you are conservative, the next step is making you hate liberals with a white-hot passion. In 2020 this project went into highest gear. The governors manufactured a lot of fake groups like BLM, #Metoo, Antifa, SJW, trannies, and on and on, all for you to practice your hate on. Stories were planted both in the mainstream and alternative presses to convince you these groups were real and that they were a real threat to you and your family. Literally millions of agents were mobilized all over the country, and not only as guys in hoodies throwing bricks. They were planted in schoolboards, in academia, and in government agencies at all levels, to promote chaos and foment discord. They were instructed to say the stupidest things possible and to promote the most outrageous reforms possible, like defunding the police, sex changes for children, legalizing pedophilia, and so on. Yes, this has all been planned. The insanity has not been an accident. It is all scripted to call out a response from you.

But let me tell you a little secret: it is not just conservatives that are against all these things. Almost everyone is against them. Most real people are violently opposed to the current state of things, including leftists, liberals, and progressives. No one is really for it, except for the paid actors on TV. And those people are not real liberals. As I have said, liberalism has nothing to do with trannies, pedophilia, Communism, or any of this other stuff. It is just a very obvious way to blackwash the left.

I'll tell you another secret: most of the trannies aren't even real. They are just actors. They are cross-dressing actors pretending to be trannies. They are there to stir you up on purpose.

And another secret: all those people making comments online on Facebook, Twitter, and other places, **aren't real, either**. Those comments are being manufactured out of Langley and the hundreds of psychological operations units on military bases all over the country. That is part of Operation Chaos as well. They are stirring you up on purpose, making you hate liberals and pushing you right.

That also goes for the politicians in Washington and the talking heads on TV at CNN or wherever. Those people are also actors, and their jobs are making you hate them. You are *supposed* to hate them. Pelosi doesn't just accidentally look and act like a witch, saying all the wrong things. **That is her job.** Biden isn't just accidentally doing everything wrong all at once. That is his job. Like everyone else, he is reading from a script. It is a planned fail.

Yes, it is all theater, and both sides know it, both the Republicans and the Democrats, both CNN and Fox, both the mainstream media and the alternative media. **They are all in on it.**

Right now that may be hard to see, because they haven't pulled the plug yet. They haven't moved this play into the final act. Which is why I am pointing you back to Teddy Roosevelt, so that you can see the whole story from a distance. They are doing the same thing now that they did then, but in a far bigger way. The media is a thousand times larger, and so is Intelligence, so they can make the play far bigger and brighter this time around. They can drown you in a sea of propaganda 24/7, so that you can barely remember your own name, much less resist their stories.

But the final act in this play will be some variation of the usual one, and we know since they have done it hundreds of times, not just with Roosevelt. It now looks like Trump is being groomed as the new Teddy Roosevelt, and at some point—maybe this year or maybe in 2024—he will ride in and save the country from the terrible leftists, scattering the trannies and the big tech monsters and the Communists and the “leftists and liberals” of all shapes and sizes. The swamp will appear to be cleansed, as perhaps a few bankers are sent to country club jails, but in reality nothing will happen in that regard.

What *will* happen is what happened with Roosevelt: all the real revolutionaries will be mopped up with the fake ones, and the middle class will be fooled and diverted one more time. The unions will be trampled on again, made to look like the bad guys. All margins will be trimmed, since even with a restoration of free speech, the middle class will have been trained to detest anyone speaking out against the “new prosperity”. All revolutionary spirit will have been quashed, since the middle class will believe the revolution just occurred. As usual, a real revolution will be prevented by a fake one. The people will think they have just been saved from Communism by the Republican party. They will think the swamp has been cleansed. They may think a world war has been diverted. But, as with Roosevelt, none of things will have really occurred, since the ruling class will continue ruling and the peasants will remain the peasants. Income disparity will not change, it will just be swept under the rug, covered over perhaps by a raised minimum wage or a tax on the rich (which will be just on paper—no rich people will actually pay it).

The middle class has been fooled over and over in the 19<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> centuries by these stageplays, and it is being fooled again. Remember The Who's “Won't get fooled again”? Oh, yes you will, and I know because The Who were also nobles, from the same families that are fooling you. As I say, they control both sides, and are always sure to provide their own opposition. They create all the pretend revolutionaries, including these bands.



I mean, please look closely at that guy. Open your eyes and see what is there. He has fooled you again.

For those who are interested in a little more history here, I am going to look more closely at Teddy Roosevelt. Thepeerage.com scrubs his great-grandfather General Daniel McLaughlin Stewart, but it is easy to find more information on him. His mother was Susanna Bacon, also linking us to the Bacons of the peerage, including Sir Francis Bacon. Teddy's grandmother was a Campbell. These Stewarts soon become Stuarts at Geni.com, at which point they are scrubbed there. But they forget to scrub the Campbells, admitting they are the Lords of Argyll, taking us back to the Dukes of Argyll. Tim Dowling at Geneanet also scrubs Daniel Stewart, but not before admitting they are cousins. Which proves by itself that these are the Stuarts, kings of England and Scotland. Tim Dowling is just one step from the royal line, so anyone related to Dowling is also related to the Stuart kings. So we know without further study that Teddy Roosevelt is a direct descendant of the kings of England and Scotland, taking him back to William the Conqueror and Charlemagne, as usual.

Everything you are taught about Teddy is upside down to the truth. You are taught he was a swashbuckling military man, but that was all a pose, as with Reagan and his horses. Roosevelt was actually a sickly child with asthma, who later became a fat-ass. He was also a ghoul, since he liked to collect dead animals as a child. He later became a big-game hunter, stuffing them and putting them on his walls. Can you imagine shooting a beautiful leopard and stuffing him? Do you think any healthy person would enjoy doing that? "Look at that gorgeous leopard, I think I will blow his brains out and put his dead corpse over my mantle".

**Roosevelt said, "My father, Theodore Roosevelt, was the best man I ever knew. He combined strength and courage with gentleness, tenderness, and great unselfishness. He would not tolerate in us children selfishness or cruelty, idleness, cowardice, or untruthfulness."**

No cruelty. . . except for gently and tenderly tracking defenseless tigers and leopards and murdering them for sport.

At Wikipedia, on his father's page, we are told Theodore Roosevelt, Sr., was on the board of Roosevelt and Son, which was a "plate glass importing business". Hah. Except that in the sidebar, they have a link to Roosevelt and Son, where they admit it was one of the oldest investment banking houses on Wall Street. These Roosevelts also founded Chemical Bank in 1824.

Although Teddy never went to school and knew nothing about math or classical languages, he was given a bye into Harvard. He was initiated into the Porcellian Club, which is apropos since their mascot is a pig. Porcellian is Harvard's analog to Skull and Bones. Norman Mailer later claimed it would have been unthinkable for a Jew to be in the Porcellian, but that is the usual joke. What he meant was that the Porcellian was only for crypto-Jews from the highest levels of the peerage.

While still in law school, Teddy ran for New York state assembly, winning. He dropped out of Columbia Law to take his seat. At age 23 he was also a published author, publishing *The Naval War of 1812*. There is no way he actually wrote this, but that is the story. They admit he was "assisted" by two uncles, but they don't admit that Teddy had almost nothing to do with writing it. But the promotion Teddy enjoyed was incredible: the Navy ordered that a copy of the book be on every ship.

Teddy's first wife, Alice Hathaway Lee, was also a Cabot, Paine, Clark, Greene, Rose, Taylor, and Watts. Her father was the big banker George Cabot Lee. The Cabots were huge East India Company shipowners from Salem, and we have already seen their family involved in [the Salem Witch hoax](#). They also link us to Barbados. Alice and Teddy met [October 18, aces and eights, Chai](#). She allegedly

died after childbirth, and I say allegedly because Teddy later wrote her out of his autobiography and biography. I can't make sense of that. It was a sad death, of course, but why write her out of his biography? There must be something we aren't being told.

In his late 20s Teddy bought a ranch in the Dakotas, trying to sell himself as a cowboy. The historians admit that the real cowboys weren't too impressed by Roosevelt. Reminds us of the Beach Boys, who were detested by real surfers, who thought they were pussies. After murdering a few poor bison, Roosevelt reinvented himself as a wilderness hunter, and wrote (or paid someone to write) three books selling himself as a tough guy, including one entitled *Wilderness Hunter*. You have to laugh. Our Harvard Porker is now a Wilderness Hunter.

Like all Wilderness Hunters do, Teddy ran for Governor of New York in 1886. . . but he got slaughtered. Having so far done nothing in his life but front fake books and shoot a few buffalo, Teddy was appointed to the US Civil Service Commission in 1889 at age 30—another sinecure. When that was over, he was appointed to the board of the New York City Police Commissioners in 1894. Yet another sinecure. We are told that in both positions Teddy was a “radical reformer”, but if you believe that you need serious help from somewhere.

**Roosevelt made a habit of walking officers' [beats](#) late at night and early in the morning to make sure that they were on duty.**

Yeah, right. And I guess he carried a buffalo rifle while doing it.

**Urged by Congressman Henry Cabot Lodge, President McKinley appointed Roosevelt as the [Assistant Secretary of the Navy](#) in 1897.**

Hmmm. I wonder if it helped that his wife was a Cabot? It was in this position that Roosevelt took part in the *USS Maine* false flag, telling the press that Spain was behind it when in fact the US was behind it. We are supposed to believe that Roosevelt went over the head of his boss, Secretary of the Navy John Long, ordering the fleet to prepare for war. Admiral of the Fleet George Dewey later credited America's victory in the Spanish-American War to Roosevelt. Of course this was just a good cop/bad cop play, meant to take pressure off Long and McKinley and Congress, who of course played along. If anything had gone wrong with the false flag, they could have pinned the blame on Roosevelt. As it turned out, the event did nothing but shine Roosevelt's star, which was the planned outcome. As a fat-assed Roosevelt and Stuart, he was being groomed all along.



The next section is the most ridiculous in Teddy's fake career, since he quit the Department of the Navy to start the Rough Riders. Just look at him. He looks like Wilford Brimley about to sell you some Quaker Oats. Are we supposed to believe those spectacles are a sign of roughness? Are those wilderness spectacles? Funny, since I had always thought they were used for studying bank drafts or debit sheets. The pince-nez is only good for looking cross-eyed at an order on a piece of paper from your superior, since they have no peripheral vision. You would completely miss an enemy riding up on your flank.

Though he had never been in the military, Teddy-boy was "promoted to colonel". Promoted by whom? And promoted for what and from what? Normally you are promoted to colonel from major or from Lt. colonel, but Teddy had never even been a private. So this is all just another fiction. Teddy later claimed he had been in the National Guard, but when was that? His Wikipedia page conspicuously fails to mention it.

**Diversity characterized the regiment, which included [Ivy Leaguers](#), professional and amateur athletes, upscale gentlemen. . .**

Again, you have to laugh. In the summer of 1898, these people allegedly landed in Daiquiri, Cuba, as part of this manufactured war. I guess it was either Daiquiri or Pina Colada, Cuba.

**The Rough Riders had a short, minor skirmish known as the [Battle of Las Guasimas](#):**



The subtext to that illustration at Wikipedia reads:

**The Battle of Las Guasimas, June 24 - Theodore Roosevelt can be seen 2d from left of standing soldiers in this fanciful sketch of the 'Rough Riders' in Harper's Pictorial History of the War with Spain, 1899.**

More like the Battle of Lost Gassiness. Notice that they call it a “fanciful” sketch. Meaning a fictional one. You can be sure the Spanish never had any soldiers on the island of Cuba at all. Like the fake attack on the Maine and the later [fake Bay of Pigs invasion](#), you can be sure this was made up wholesale by the Hearst newspapers. And notice one other thing: Teddy was added to that illustration later. He is nothing but a floating head.

The Army has always known this was a fake, and they blocked Teddy's Medal of Honor for Kettle Hill for 103 years. Finally, in 2001, probably thanks to Donald Rumsfeld, Teddy finally got his medal. I guess they opened up his grave and pinned it on his skeleton.

Teddy's Cuba story reminds us of Churchill's South Africa story of a year later, where Churchill—as a reporter—was allegedly captured by the Boers and held in a POW camp for a month before escaping. That story is just as fanciful as Roosevelt's Cuba story, and it illustrates how similar Churchill and Roosevelt really were. Roosevelt famously detested Churchill, but probably because he and Churchill were carbon copies from the Families: both superrich Stuarts, both short and fat, both pathetic big-game hunters in Africa—murdering white rhinos and other rare animals, both publicity hounds and weavers of tall tales (ie liars) whose entire lives were manufactured by military intelligence and the Jewish historians. Both desperately wanted to be seen as military men, but weren't. Neither one could have fought his way out of a paper sack. Neither one ever did anything but promote their banker cousins and push the big Phoenician lie forward a few more decades.

Teddy was only a Rough Rider for a few weeks, but given all the promotion, you would have thought he was fighting in Cuban jungles for years. Upon his return to the States, he immediately ran for Governor of New York again, which explains the promotion. They needed to pad out his resume a bit, since he had never really done anything except get appointed to posts for which he had no



qualifications. He was still only 39, with a baby face and a fat ass. He was always short and pudgy, with no neck. They try to sell him as 5'8", but he wasn't over 5'4". He couldn't have been a good boxer, since his reach would have been extremely short, and as a short fat guy he would be slower than molasses.

Despite having been in Cuba for about a month, Teddy campaigned on his "war record", still only winning by less than 1%. Meaning, the election was probably stolen. They admit he immediately became the flunky and puppet of Thomas Collier Platt, mob boss of New York. The Platts were rich Jewish weavers in England who hit the big time in the late 19<sup>th</sup> century when one of them married Lt. Gen. Sir John **Spencer Ewart**, who was both a Spencer and a Stewart. Their daughter married a Baron Monro, linking us the Vaughans, Earls of Lisburne, who link us to the Somersets, Dukes of Beaufort, who link us to the Stuarts. The Platts of New York were also Barstows of Yorkshire, linking us to these peerage Platts of England. This links us to the Maudes, Viscounts Hawarden, who link us to the Montagus, and therefore George Washington. The Maudes also link us to the Moncks, Dukes of Albemarle, who link us to the **Stanleys**. Platt married his cousin Lucy Barstow. His mother Lesbia is scrubbed at both Geni and Wikipedia, but she was a Hinchman and a Livingston. The Hinchmans were really Henchmans, and had been marrying Platts for centuries. So Platt's parents were cousins as well. They were not only Livingstons but **Douglasses**, from the Earls of Queensbury. This also links us to the Flemings, Kerrs, and Maxwells, as well as to the Stuarts.

Which means the Platts are partially scrubbed in the peerage to hide the fact they are really Pratts. Platt=Pratt. Maybe they are part Japanese: the Japanese can't distinguish between the letters "l" and "r". They are linked to the same families, including the Molesworths, Stewarts, and Hamiltons. Which gives me the opportunity to remind you that the Pratts were the Marquesses of Camden, related to the Murrays, Stanleys, Spencer-Churchills, Drummonds, Nevilles, and Schoenbrunn—linking them to the Habsburgs. The Pratts hit the big time in 1749 when Charles Pratt married a Jeffreys, who was really a **Herbert**, of the Earls of Pembroke. Charles Pratt became Lord Chancellor and 1<sup>st</sup> Earl of Camden. The US Pratts are also in the peerage, see for example Dale Pratt, related to the Lambourns. Boris Karloff and Quentin Crisp were Pratts. Charles Pratt founded Astral Oil, which became a part of Standard Oil in 1874. H. H. Rogers came into Standard Oil from Astral. We are told Charles' father Asa was a carpenter, the usual joke. These are the Pratts of Massachusetts, related to Rockwells, Chamberlains, Leonards, and Hardings, and they were not carpenters. These Pratts are cousins of the Pratts of England and the peerage, and we can link them through Broadhembury and Ottery St. Mary, east of Exeter, where they have been living for centuries. The Pratts of the US came from Broadhembury around 1600 to settle the Massachusetts Bay Colony, and the Pratts of the British peerage were still in Ottery St. Mary in the 1800s. The two towns are just are about four miles apart.

So. . . Platt and Roosevelt were cousins, both being Stuarts. But Roosevelt outranked Platt in the all-important Stuart line, which is why he ended up being President, while Platt topped out as Senator. And this is why Teddy was a Porcellian at Harvard and Franklin Roosevelt wasn't: Teddy's mother was Stuart and Franklin's mother wasn't. Blood is much much thicker than merit. Just ask Bill Gates or Elon Musk or George Bush.

Which brings us back to that. If you want anything to be based on merit, being a conservative won't help you. Being a conservative puts you in the same camp as the Bushes and Gates, where everything is blood and nothing is merit. As we have seen, Teddy Roosevelt never proceeded on merit, he proceeded on a series of byes and fictions. That is how their world works. You will say the liberals aren't interested in merit, either, and if you mean the Democratic party, you are right. No one running things on either side currently has any any interest in merit. It is spy versus spy and conjob versus

conjob. So if you want things to get better, you have to give up completely on all these rich people on both sides trying to scam you. A third party started by some Ross Perot won't help, either, remember. You have to disentangle yourself completely from these rich people and their hidden cousins. You should never trust them again, even on the smallest issues. They can't be trusted to tell you the correct time.

I get emails from prominent people who don't understand what I am up to. They tell me that given my talents, I am just making things hard on myself. Why would I purposefully pick a losing side here? What do I have in common with the schlumps slouching around in their masks, happily begging for more rules, asking no questions? They tell me I have some distant links to the rulers I am not aware of, and that I am not using. They tell me that, based on my looks and my abilities, I must be “high blooded”, so why would I become the champion of the low blooded? Maybe it is because, in the end, I am not the champion of anyone but myself. I am defending myself, first and foremost, and to do that I have to take on the peers who have closed me out of the top levels. If I have any rank, it is low, so like you I was expected to do what I was told. If I wanted to be an artist, for instance, I should have embraced Modernism and helped push that project forward. If I wanted to be scientist, the same thing applies: I should follow orders and push the projects forward without question. I am not a Stuart, so I am predestined to be a footsoldier.

But that wasn't good enough for me. I don't believe in blood or in predestination. Or, if I believe in either one, I believe in a different sort than they believe in. I believe in rankings, but not their fake rankings. No matter what the genealogies say, I can tell their blood is bad. It doesn't take any special Spidey sense to see that. I was not made to take orders from the likes of them, and I knew that from the cradle. I have more nascent nobility in one earlobe than those people have seen in generations of their debased families. Why? I don't know, I just know that is how it is.

Actually I do know, in part. I know that part of real nobility is *noblesse oblige*, which these people no longer believe in. *Noblesse oblige* means that a primary and defining characteristic of nobility concerns caring for those below you and not taking advantage of them. If you are **blessed** to rule, you are **obliged** to rule beneficently. Those with real nobility of blood know this without being taught. It is part of their DNA. It is how you can tell if they are really noble or not. I got it from both my lines, since my parents exercised *noblesse oblige* without ever being prompted. They cared for those less fortunate, not because they were told to by leaders or pastors, but because it was something they couldn't help doing. Even while watching sports, my father would always pull for the underdog, and I didn't understand why until later. He couldn't help it. It was just part of his make-up.

So while I admit that I don't have much in common with most middle or lower class people—in that we don't have many interests in common or much to talk about—I am on their side despite that. As a real artist, I don't have much in common with anyone of any class. No one is on my side, so if I pick a side, it isn't to fit in or to promote “my people”. I have no people and don't fit in anywhere, so my choice isn't based on that. I have chosen to fight the privileged because they have chosen to squash me along with all the others, and I don't like it. But it is more than that, as you now understand. I see the current fake nobility preying on the lower classes, and I find it revolting. My blood literally revolts at the idea. In part, it is a matter of pride: I like to think I have enough brains and ability to advance without squashing anyone along the way. I don't need to steal anyone's money, since I should be able to make my own way, without ever once relying on a con. If I can't make it honestly, I would rather just climb on a mountaintop and expose myself to the elements.

Real nobles don't need to cheat and steal in order to advance. Real high blood carries with it real

ability, and those with real ability can advance honestly, while at the same time helping those below them on the ladder. That isn't just a theory, since I do it every day. I do my art and science and other jobs, while helping others along the way. If I can do it with almost no money and no support, why can't those with far more privilege than me? Why are the billionaires always soaking the poor and the middle class? Other than a couple of extra bicycles and kittens, I have no excess wealth, so why do these people need so much?

But back to Teddy. His second wife was another cousin, Edith Kermit Carow. She was also a Tyler and a Cleveland, linking her to Presidents Tyler and Cleveland as well as to her grandfather Daniel Tyler, Union general and business tycoon. He was the president of five railroads and a big manufacturer of iron, which of course he sold to the railroads. Remember that, because we will see Teddy dancing with the railroads in a moment. The Kermits were also big shipowners, running the Red Star Line. They also ran the Mutual Insurance Company and the Knickerbocker Fire Insurance Company. This should remind you of my papers on the *Titanic* and the *Lusitania*, where we found major shipping lines running huge scams with insurance companies. Of course it helps when the same people own both the shipping lines and the insurance companies. That way they can insure their own ships, pretend to sink them, and then force lesser partners to cover the costs.

The Carows, Kermits, and Whitneys were business partners, and were of course related through marriage as well. The Carows were really Quereaus and Quantins. The Quereaus were linked to the Clements and Chases of New York. Through the Quantins, they are probably linked to the Quandts of Germany, who we saw in my paper on Hitler's genealogy. They were billionaire Jews who bankrolled the Nazis, along with the Thyssens and others.

Wikipedia cryptically admits that Edith Roosevelt became “close friends” with Henry Adams around 1889. This is when Teddy was 39 and Henry was 51. This is made more suspicious in that Adams' wife Clover Hooper had committed suicide just three years earlier, due to his philandering. He was famously cavorting with Elizabeth Bancroft Sherman Cameron (below), the stunning niece of general Sherman and the wife of Senator Cameron, 24 years her senior. The historians assure us their relationship was platonic only and that Clover trusted Adams, but that is highly unlikely. Also of interest here is that Elizabeth's daughter Martha married Ronald Lindsay, of the Earls of Crawford.



More indication that Adams was bedding Roosevelt's wife as well is the fact that Teddy later wrote his marriage to Edith out of his biography. In his later years he didn't like to talk about either Edith or his first wife Alice, which you have to admit is strange. On her side, Edith destroyed all her correspondence with Teddy. Teddy and Edith basically separated after his terms as President, indicating the relationship was mostly for the papers.

These strange relationships with his wives lead one to ask the usual question: was he gay? You will tell me he had a lot of kids, but that isn't an answer. Many gay men have children. A search on this question takes us first to a satire at *The Onion*, jokingly confirming it. You will say that means nothing, and maybe it doesn't. But it wouldn't be the first time we have caught *The Onion* hiding the truth behind a joke.

We may assume that **Franklin** Roosevelt was gay, since—after writing against homosexuality in the Armed Forces as Assistant Secretary of the Navy—he nonetheless married a gay woman. Franklin not only wrote against gays in the *Ladies' Home Journal*, he actually devised a project for the Navy [to entrap them](#). Yes, Franklin Roosevelt led a big and famous sting operation against gays. We aren't told what he did to entrap them, or what he personally did to them once he discovered them. Maybe he took them to a Broadway show? We do know that to fully entrap these Navy men, the officers in ONI, Naval Intelligence, [had to “engage in sex to orgasmic completion”](#). That's convenient, isn't it? Did that also prove the Intelligence officers were gay, and if not why not?

I found no evidence or even rumors of Teddy being gay, and the fact that his first wife was such a stunner seems to refute the idea. But you have to admit that some things don't add up in his bio. If Edith was playing with Henry Adams, and if Teddy was straight, he should have been linked to someone, too. Let me know what you find. I didn't dig very much here, since I don't really give a damn.

Roosevelt's greatest legacy is often given as his use of the Sherman Antitrust Act to break up Standard Oil and other monopolies. Except that, in hindsight, we can see that the splitting of Standard Oil did nothing to limit its power or that of the Rockefellers. Just the reverse in fact, since the splitting was cosmetic only. The separate companies could not be forced to compete with one another, since the same families were still behind them all. You can force companies to split, but you can't force families to split. The Rockefellers just used the apparent split as an excuse to buy out still more oil companies. For instance, Jersey Standard remained the largest oil company in the world, soon buying out Humble. Socony began its takeover of Magnolia and then Vacuum, undeterred by any split. Amoco, Chevron, Exxon and Mobil all continued to grow, unhampered by any fake competition, and of course Exxon and Mobil later re-merged, with no complaints from the government. To answer this fake antitrust legislation, the Rockefellers were only forced to come up with some new tricks, which the government then decided to ignore. Their current trick is huge investment and holding companies, whose owners don't even have to be listed. The Rockefellers and other big families are allowed to hide behind a bunch of names like Blackrock, State Street, Fidelity, and a dozen others, so you see that they actually have embraced the splits. The splits and multiple names just make it easier for them to hide.

Roosevelt is sold as progressive, but he wasn't progressive in the Coal Strike of 1902, where he forced compliance by threatening the strikers with federal troops and long jail sentences. Roosevelt actually acted like what he was: an arm of J. P. Morgan. In return for being allowed to ignore any and all unions, Morgan agreed to pay the miners a bit more, though nothing like what they were asking. It was a huge loss for the miners, just as you would expect, since the government had permanently destroyed

their future bargaining power. The Morgans lost nothing, since although they paid the workers a bit more, the price of coal went up, covering those costs and more. As usual, the rich found a way to *profit* from a workers' strike.

Since the rich owners also owned the newspapers, they could control public opinion, which they did by claiming the miners had killed 21 people during the strikes. The miners had actually killed no one. The only casualties were among the miners themselves, being beaten and sometimes killed by the owners. The owners were allowed to hire and equip their own private police forces, but of course the unions were not. This was strictly unconstitutional, since companies are not allowed to create their own armies.

Even these partial successes of the unions in the early part of the 20<sup>th</sup> century were too much for the capitalists, who saw the best way to deal with them was through infiltration. In the succeeding decades all the major unions were infiltrated and detooped from within, by moles in management. As in every other walk of life, the capitalists have secretly installed their own people in all the crucial positions, and the rank and file either have not noticed, or they have been ineffective in countering this infiltration. Once infiltrated, these groups are almost impossible to cleanse, since they are driven from the top down. As with the army, the top brass cannot be dislodged by anything short of a total revolution.

Also remind yourself that despite being sold as a regulator of big business and a progressive Republican (snort guffaw), Roosevelt presided over the manufactured Panic of 1907 and the founding of the Federal Reserve. He also allowed J. P. Morgan to take over TC&I, which of course was a huge consolidation of wealth and a move *toward* monopoly. Remind yourself that Roosevelt's family was stiff with big bankers and railroad men, and then ask yourself if you think he was really regulating banks, railroads, oil, and all the rest. Or was it just a big smokescreen, like now? I think you know the answer. When big business allows any apparent regulation by the government, it is only to prevent real regulation from occurring, and to prevent a market backlash from consumers. The capitalists have to convince the public that they are being regulated, when no real regulation is going on. That was the story of the entire 20<sup>th</sup> century, and is still the story, except that the capitalists no longer even pretend to be regulated. It is now a free-for-all and everyone knows it.

But in the time of Roosevelt, the go-to con was similar to the cons of the past two decades, including the extreme treasury dips, I mean government bailouts. The faked collapses of Lehman Brothers, Bear Stearns, and other big companies mirrored the fake collapses of Knickerbocker Trust and other banks in 1907.

Yes, Knickerbocker Trust was at the center of the Panic of 1907, which should remind you that it was owned by the Kermits. **Teddy's wife was a Kermit, remember?**

At the recommendation of J. P. Morgan, US Secretary of the Treasury George Cortelyou—appointed by Roosevelt of course—began depositing money from the national treasury directly into these private banks, to shore them up and protect them from runs. That tells you all you need to know, since that was the secondary point of the con. These institutions either pretended to be insolvent or threatened by bank runs, in order to tap the US Treasury. Taxpayers then covered the losses of these bankers—real or imagined, and probably imagined. The big bankers run these schemes every couple of decades, and the public never catches on that it is just a big heist in full view. Remember, the same J. P. Morgan had done almost the same thing in the Panic of 1893, just 14 years earlier, when he manufactured a similar crisis with his buddies in order to drink from the treasury and gobble up some smaller competitors. Since he owned everyone in government, he could work hand in glove with them on these thefts, with

no fear of being caught.

I say “secondary point” of the con, since the primary point of the manufactured Panic of 1907 was to make the theft even easier by allowing these private banks to take over the treasury directly. That way they wouldn't have to pay off the Secretary of the Treasury, the President, or anyone in Congress. With the creation of the Federal Reserve, they would just install their own people as controllers of all federal money. At that point, it was like your taxdollars had just been directly deposited in these private banks, but the money had no one's name on it as an account holder. It was basically J. P. Morgan's money at that point, and he could do with it as he pleased. Of course, what pleased him most was taking that money and loaning it back to the US government at interest. Yes, these bankers took National money and loaned it back to the Nation at interest! And no one thought that was strange. I'm just surprised they didn't call it philanthropy.

The Federal Reserve also controls the money printing, since the Treasury prints whatever it is told to and just gives it to the Federal Reserve, at manufacturing cost. Meaning, the Fed buys money from the Treasury, but not at face value. It pays only the cost of the paper and printing, which is minimal. In effect, this gives the bankers an infinite supply of free money, limited only by inflation and other factors. Of course this makes all debt a total mirage, since it is just a number the bankers come up with to suit themselves. It is basically the amount of theft they think they can get away with at any one time. But they could evaporate the entire national debt any time they wished, by simply subtracting it from their infinite future wealth. It wouldn't affect them at all, other than by making them trillionaires instead of quadrillionaires: not one of them would have to sell a single car or boat or house.

The truth is, these families stole so much money from worldwide treasuries in the 20<sup>th</sup> century, they could forgive all debt AND move taxes to zero, and the entire world could run on their private bank accounts for many decades. If we got rid of all military and Intelligence bloat, we could run the world on their private accounts for centuries.

In that sense, everything you have been told about the Federal Reserve and the wealthy families doesn't even get near the truth. The most “outlandish” conspiracy theories haven't even approached the real state of things, and that is because up to now those theories have been controlled by the Families, like everything else. Yes, they have controlled even their own outing.

This explains how they could collapse all the world economies in 2020 with no danger to themselves. They have so much wealth squirreled away, they could live on it for literally thousands of years. So they have no fear of any financial reversals. They are beyond that. The only things they fear are ice ages, meteor impacts, and the off chance you may decide to take back what they have taken. But the revolutionary spirit exhibited in the past year has calmed their fears in regards to the last. If they ever feared a mass awakening, 2020 put that to rest.

You may think that is good news for them and bad news for you, but it isn't good news for them. As I have said, there is no good news for them, except financial. Yes, their hoards would appear to be safe from anti-plunder, but that doesn't mean their future looks bright. They may have placed themselves beyond your reach, but they have not placed themselves beyond the reach of Nature. Nature hates them, and is hating them more with each passing year. And I don't mean in a Greta Thunberg sense. Greta is just another tool of the Families, of course, to sell you Global Warming mitigation. But the sort of warming on the horizon for these people is of a different sort altogether. The Globe will do just fine, including the polar bears, but the Families will not. How do I know? Because they aren't doing fine now and have never done fine. They have always been cursed, are cursed, and will continue to be

cursed at ever rising levels, until they at last discover what this life is really about. It isn't about hoarding cash. It isn't about stealing from your neighbors. It isn't about piling up excess wealth. It isn't about lying all the time about everything.

In short, they continue to turn themselves into ghouls, and no amount of present or future money can make that look pretty. A poor angel is still an angel, and a ghoul in front of an infinite pile of cash is still a ghoul. Their ultimate punishment is that they have to be themselves. You get to be you and I get to be me, but they have to be ghouls. They have to get up every morning and look at a ghoul in the mirror, which is a punishment far worse than death. It is a punishment they can't escape, which explains why they can't sleep and why they age so poorly. This is how Nature deals with them, and she is far more pitiless than any human judge.

I say they can't escape it, but that is only assuming they continue on as before. If they stop acting like ghouls, they will stop being ghouls and the curse will immediately be lifted. They may yet avoid looking like Emperor Palpatine.

So why can't they learn this simple lesson, a lesson taught by all the major and minor religions, and a lesson still taught by many of their own Hollywood movies? Proving they know it. Why do they cling to money and lies so greedily, when everyone knows that isn't the way? How do they get off track and why can't they get back on? Perhaps because they decide early on that money and lies are the only or easiest ways they can get esteem, both the esteem of others and self-esteem. They only figure out later that real esteem can't be gotten that way, and by then they feel trapped by their prior bad decisions. Real esteem, especially self-esteem, can only be gotten by real achievement, since you can't fool yourself. You know who you are, if no one else does.

But how can that be turned around? Aren't big mistakes irreversible? Nope. No mistake is irreversible. There is always atonement and forgiveness, provided you ask for it and work for it. Of course you have to work just as hard for the atonement as you did for the money and lies. You can't reverse a lifetime of ghoulishness by an apology and single day of contrition. A deathbed conversion won't cut it, so you better get busy now. Every good deed you get done in this lifetime will save you some misery in your next life. If you are one of these people, you are guaranteed to be born into some big hole in your next life, but you can start filling in that hole now. It carries over. Every pail full you shovel in now will make your next hole shallower.

How do I know? Same way you know. We all know, and you know it.

And by that I don't mean that the Bible or the Koran or some other book tells us. Nor do I mean that our parents teach us that. I mean that we are born knowing. Birds are born knowing how to fly, cats are born knowing how to fall, and humans are born knowing good from bad, right from wrong. If birds didn't already know how to fly, their mothers couldn't teach them. A mother bird does little more than push the chicks out of the nest, which isn't much of an education. And have you ever seen a mother cat teaching her kittens how to fall? Of course not. In most respects, a human education is hardly more than that. Almost everything you "learn" of any consequence you were born knowing. If you didn't already know it, you couldn't be taught to do it. You don't learn to walk and talk, for instance, you simply start doing it, like a bird flying. It is the same for social behavior, which is just as innate. Do ants have to be taught to work for the anthill or the queen? Do dogs have to be taught to protect babies or bark at intruders? No they don't, and humans are the same sort of social creatures, with altruism baked right in. It is that baked-in altruism that makes them feel bad when they do wrong, not any moral code they are taught. Humans are smart enough to recognize when they are hurting the group

and those around them, and that recognition naturally stirs a negative response. That response can only be ignored or squelched with dire consequences to both the group and the individual. But it is precisely that response that is being suppressed by these rich predators. They have to suppress it to get rich. It is that suppression that turns on them, eating them away from the inside out.

That is the way Nature works. You cannot suppress a natural instinct without consequences, because you cannot turn off Nature. She will never go away and leave you alone. As a social creature, you are supplied with an indelible set of instructions that you must obey. A human is no more capable of rewriting his code than any other animal is, despite what he may think. And if you think gene manipulation or any other trick will get around that, you will be bitterly mistaken. A human fooling with such things is like a person who knows nothing about cars deciding to remove his engine and rebuild it, because he thinks he can improve its performance. Very soon he will be left with nothing but a heap of metal scrap and a puddle of oil on the ground. Except that such a person can still walk away. A human who starts trying to rebuild himself will not be able to walk away, because he will *be* the puddle.

Like any other animal, a human has only one chance of success: trust Nature and obey her. She knows what you should be doing far better than you do, since she built you and everything around you. *In every single moment* she has a plan for you, which is not predestination because you can resist that plan. Although you won't win, you are free to fight her. Which means there are always many possible futures, most of them not so good. The best one is the one where you listen to Nature. So you best get to it. You were born knowing how to listen, and if you make some effort you will remember.