


The Amish School Shootings were Staged



by Miles Mathis

First published July 25, 2022

As usual, this one falls apart at a glance. We know it is fake because it is so like the other ones, and we have proved none of them were real. As I have said, my research has flipped the default to “disbelieve everything”. No mass murder should be taken at face value.

The first thing that comes up on a Google search on “Amish school shooting hoax or fake” is a link to CBS telling us that any such question, on any school shooting, is “horrifying”. All questions are “baseless”, “disgusting”, or otherwise insane. This CBS article is about Uvalde, but it manages to call doubters “racist and intolerant” in the first paragraphs. Not sure how asking questions about news stories is racist, but that is the cover-all slur these days. If you don't believe everything the government and news tells you, you are racist. What about black women who don't believe everything they see on TV? Are they racist? I guess so.

But how, exactly, is questioning the news “horrifying”? What is truly horrifying to me is believing everything you are told by the government and media, after it has been proved and admitted they have lied to us literally millions of times. And I am not talking about my papers. I am talking about mainstream admissions of previous lies, going back decades and centuries. Just read the history pages at Wikipedia—which is a mainstream source: mainstream historians admit the government has lied to us on every possible occasion. One might almost say it is the government's go-to format, its *modus operandi*. Lie all the time about everything. The lying politician is now a cliché. And yet when we don't believe the next lie that comes down the pike, we are “horrifying”? They tell us some children got shot in the face, and because it concerns children we have to believe it or be called monsters? I don't see the logic. A rational person believes what is believable or proved, and disbelieves what is unbelievable, inconsistent, or counter to all evidence.

Other than that, a Google search pulls up nothing. All the search engines have been scrubbed in this way, told to produce no results on such questions, as if the computer no longer knows what “hoax” or “fake” means. For several years this was not true, and even Youtube had thousands of results on such searches. Now, nothing. Total internet censorship. That is what is truly horrifying.

Instead, the searches are littered with propaganda writing from Langley, like this article from CBS,

trying to shame you into silence and forced belief. They tell you the conspiracy theories are baseless, but how could you judge that since you aren't allowed to see what evidence the conspiracy theorists have. Simply presenting evidence is dismissed as “horrible” and “monstrous”. All those like me have been slandered as monsters simply for asking questions and doing basic research. All I do is check the stories for inconsistencies and obvious lies, but apparently only a monster would check data or read with his eyes open.

The first thing we find is that the shooter was named Charles Carl Roberts IV, a red flag already since he was supposed to be a milkman. Kind of a fancy name for a poor rural milkman. Also illogical, since Charles and Carl are basically the same names. It would be like being named Peter Pedro. His father just happened to be a ranking **police officer**, though most information about him is still hidden. So we have the expected link to law enforcement.

Roberts had allegedly worked at a GoodnPlenty restaurant, and fellow coworkers there were also involved in a fake murder a few years earlier. That would be Yunkin and Lambert, who allegedly killed Laurie Snow in 1991. The odds against two events coming out of the same little rural restaurant at the same time are astronomical, making that another red flag.

Another red flag is the location, West Nickel Mines. According to Wikipedia, Nickel Mines only has 16 households currently, so West Nickel Mines must have even fewer. This “one-room schoolhouse” should have contained about a dozen kids, so it was the perfect place to run this event, supposing it wasn't just run on paper. Very few actors had to be hired or controlled.

But it probably *was* run mostly on paper, since according to Google Maps, there is no such place as West Nickel Mines, and even Nickel Mines is not marked on most maps. Google tells us the place has the address of Paradise, PA, not West Nickel Mines. Instantcheckmate also has Charles Roberts of Paradise, not Nickel Mines. The new schoolhouse there is closed. According to the street level and satellite images, there is no school. It is completely unmarked on the street, the only sign being at the turnoff saying 1917. I guess they are hiding the school from any future milkmen/shooters?



That's the old schoolhouse. What's the problem there? Well, it is too small to hold all the people said to be there. We start with the teacher and her mother, then we add a pregnant woman and three parents with infants. That's six adults already. They don't bother to tell us why a pregnant woman and three parents with infants were in this schoolhouse with a bunch of kids ages 6-13. Anyway, we add ten girls and an equal number of boys, giving us 29 people in this one room that looks about 12x12. Are we really supposed to believe that is a standard school day for the Amish?

What's another problem with that picture? Well, we see far fewer police cars than we would expect, and no ambulances. But the weirdest thing is that we see three star waggons and what appears to be a catering car. I'm talking about the white camper things, which seem very out of place here, don't they? You need to look up star waggons if you don't already know about them. Kind of strange the star waggons and porta-potties beat the ambulances to the scene, ain't it?

What's another problem? Well, we are told a large local crowd had gathered. Do you see a large local crowd of Amish or anyone else? I don't. So this could easily have been run without any knowledge of the real Amish, before, during, or after. Cordon off one street and it is done.

What's another problem? No buggies. A pregnant woman and three women with infants are allegedly in there, and the entire area has already been raised. But no buggies are parked and none are arriving. It's easier to hire actors than buggies, I guess.

Since the "siege" only lasted about 25 minutes from the first arrival of law enforcement, the porta-potties look pretty strange in the picture, too. When did they have time to order those and set them up? Local police must have bladder control issues if they can't hold it for 20 minutes.

Next, we are told the teacher was named Emma Mae Zook, so let's look her up. She is listed as being from nearby Gap, PA, as well as Littleton, CO. Hoho! That a tidy coincidence, isn't it? An Amish

schoolteacher who also happens to have lived in Littleton? What are the odds? If you don't know what I am talking about, remind yourself of the Columbine school shooting, which happened in Littleton in 1999. This links us to Edward and Joan Zook of Littleton, among others. They link us to the Baers, Warricks, and Lintons, which may be useful to us later. This is because the Zooks are quite prominent in that area, and they are not Amish. See for example Carissa Zook, who works for Raytheon in Aurora.

Actually, those Zooks in Littleton are already throwing up red flags, since at Instantcheckmate we find Edward's father, also Edward, related to Susan Ochs. I trust you recognize that name? No? The founders and owners of the *New York Times*. Ochs Sulzbergers. So we already have indication these Zooks are not Amish, but Jewish. The Ochs are Jewish. Susan Zook Ochs is related to Desimones, Rehans, Colons, Ursettas, Michelets, Carsons, and Goebels. Goebel also being a Jewish name, of course. Susan Zook Ochs is also a Scher, and they link us to a Zac Goldman of Falls Church, VA. He is also listed as Zachary Scher. Falls Church is the front doorstep of the CIA.

With more digging, we find this Susan Ochs is herself aka Rehan, aka Zook, aka Desimone, aka Anderson, aka Ursetta, aka Scher(r). So has she been married six times by age 55, or are those her CIA aliases? One of the ladies on her lists is Nanci Cone Colon, of Aurora and Chicago. Cone and Colon are both fudges of . . . Cohen. And who are these Desimones of Colorado? Well, they link us to Tamara Helms. As in Richard Helms, head of CIA. They also link us to the McChrystals, as in 4-star general Stanley McChrystal, who led all forces in Afghanistan. In fact, they link to him *personally*, since we find Leavenworth on their lists, and Stanley was born there. See Nicole Desimone, aka Nicole Ross, aka Nicole McChrystal.

One of the Amish vicims was Lena Zook Miller, who must have been a relative of Emma Mae. Note the name Miller, since she may have also been related to State Police Commissioner and Colonel Jeffrey B. Miller, who was personally heavily involved in this event for some unnamed reason. He also just happened to be a member of the Homeland Security Advisory Council. How's that for a huge red flag? So I guess we know which arm of Intel ran this one. Miller did everything, down to interviewing Roberts' co-workers. You wouldn't expect the State Police Commissioner to personally be doing ground-level investigation like that.

Let's also check the guy who allegedly made the first 911 call, at the behest of teacher Zook. His name is given as Amos Smoker. According to Instantcheckmate, he is actually Amos Smucker, related to Susan Zook. Why doesn't the mainstream story admit he was related to the Zooks? And why fudge his name to Smoker?

Jacob S.
Dienner

Newport, PA
Millerstown, PA
Winterville, NC
Gap, PA
Milroy, PA

i



Jacob S.
Dienner

aka: Jacob S. Dienner, Jake Dienner,
D Jacob

60

Gordonville, PA
Parkesburg, PA
Gap, PA
New Holland, PA
Bird In Hand, PA
Kenilworth, IL
Newport, PA
Millerstown, PA

Ada Dienner
Daniel Dienner
Emanuel Dienner
Katie Dienner
Martha Dienner
Rhoda Dienner
Susie Dienner
Amos Smucker
Elmer Smucker
Susan Zook



Is that the same Susan Zooks we saw in Colorado? As in Susan Zook Ochs? I wasn't able to make that link at short notice, but we find that the Zooks of Gap, PA, where Emma Zook is from, are related to the Fishers. So the teacher was related to the Fisher students as well. You will say “Of course all the people in this tiny area were related”, but that's all as maybe.

Which takes us back to the shooter Charles Roberts IV. Findagrave has a page for him, but scrubs his father off it. Why? Why is every site so keen to break all links to the previous Charles Roberts? Probably because it links us to famous Roberts, as in Julia Roberts. These famous Roberts are Jewish. His mother is given as Teresa Neustadter, whose mother was a **Haldeman**. . . meaning, yes, she was Jewish and possibly links us to the famous Haldemans. Teresa's sister married a Fisher. . . **hit brakes, screech to a halt**. Strange, isn't it, that **the teacher Emma Zook was a Fisher, five of the girls shot were Fishers, and the shooter's aunt was also a Fisher.** The Stoltzfus girls were also Fishers, since one girl was named Marian Stoltzfus Fisher. Again, you will say, “Yes, we would expect all these people to be closely related”, since they were small-town Amish from the same place. But would we expect the victims to be related to the shooter? When the victims were Amish and the shooter wasn't? And if they were related, why is that hidden in the mainstream story? For myself, I see it as more strong evidence the whole thing was manufactured by one family with connections to Homeland Security.

These Neustadters are also Krugers. Two of Charles' brothers are named Josh and Zachary, again indicating they are Jewish. Remember, these people are admitted to not be Amish, so those are not Amish Biblical names. Strangely, at Findagrave, where we are supposed to be given a picture of Charles' tombstone (the whole point of the site), we are given instead a picture of his daughter's tombstone. We are told he was buried, not cremated, directly adjacent, but no picture of his stone is forthcoming. None is in picture. Are we supposed to believe murderers are denied markers? I will be told it is avoid grave vandals, but those who would think to knock over or steal his stone could just as easily desecrate his daughter's stone. They haven't.

Instantcheckmate tells us his grandfather, Charles Roberts II, also has ties to Colorado. Specifically Parker, CO, which is just south of **Aurora and Buckley AFB**. We found others also from Parker, including those aliases of Susan Zook.

We find yet more of the same links in [this article](#) on the burial at *Deseret News* from 2006. For some reason they interviewed a chaplain from the Inter-Canyon Fire Department of Morrison, CO, another suburb of Denver. This guy, Bruce Porter, is apparently a professional griever, since he has

“ministered” at many fake tragedies, including Columbine, 911, and the fake school shooting in Bailey, CO, just before the Amish fake. You couldn't ask for a bigger red flag. And why does a suburban fire department need its own chaplain? Does your local fire department have an on-site non-denominational chaplain who is paid by your taxdollars to tour the country and “minister” to vicims of tragedies? Looks like another CIA joke or test to me.

Here's another red flag: Somehow we know (maybe it was in one of four suicide notes) that Roberts claimed to have molested two young cousins when he was 12, and enjoyed it far too much. No, wait, it was in the phone call he made to his wife from the school building, before opening fire. That's right, he took the time to call up his wife in the middle of that mayhem, telling her things he had never told anyone before. But how do we know what he said there? Did she record it? No. So there is no transcript. It is just a story she later told, so we are taking her word for it. He didn't say it, *she did*. The problem? Well there are lots of problems there, such as that it makes no sense and that we have no corroborating testimony. But the biggest problem is that the press and other people later asked all his younger female cousins about it, and all of them said it didn't happen. Whoops. So these young ladies failed to corroborate the wife's story, meaning she probably made it up. Yes, there is the small possibility they were too young to remember, or were too young to realize there was anything weird about it, but one of them was five at the time, and you think she would remember that, positively or negatively. So, in combination with everything else we are discovering here, this fact has to be fairly damning to the story. It tends to confirm my suspicion that his wife Marie was in on it. Obviously, I think everyone was in on it, since it never happened.

Here is more indication the whole thing was fake:

Meanwhile, the boys carried in lumber, a shotgun, a stun-gun, wires, chains, nails, tools, a small bag and wooden board with multiple sets of metal eye-hooks. The bag held a change of clothes, toilet paper, candles, and flexible plastic ties.

Again, that reminds of the the UT Tower shooter Whitman, who dollied in an entire footlocker of crap, including toilet paper, bottled water, and a shaving razor. He had everything but a goldfish in a bowl. But ask yourself why Roberts would need toilet paper? Do you think an Amish school doesn't have bathrooms or toilet paper? Amish kids are still using corncobs? Get real. Besides, he was in the school for only about thirty minutes. There was no more need for him to have toilet paper than there was for the cops outside to have porta-potties in the first quarter of an hour. This is another CIA joke, a nod back to the UT Tower story, which they still find hilarious, I guess. We have seen them reference it before, including in our recent paper on the Ecole Polytechnique just a couple of days ago.

And more: just before Roberts opened fire, two sisters requested they be killed first, to spare the others. This was the Fisher sisters. Fisher being another Jewish name, of course. Do you really think young girls, 11 and 13, are going to walk up to this scary man and request they be killed first? If so you have been watching way too many Hollywood movies.

And more:

On the day of the shooting, a grandfather of one of the murdered Amish girls was heard warning some young relatives not to hate the killer, saying, "We must not think evil of this man."^[19] Another Amish father noted, "He had a mother and a wife and a soul and now he's standing before a just God."^[20] Jack Meyer, a member of the **Brethren community living near the Amish in Lancaster County, explained: "I don't think there's anybody here that wants to do anything but forgive and not only reach out to those who have suffered a loss in that way but to reach out to the family of the man who committed these**

acts."^[19]

A Roberts family spokesman said an Amish neighbor comforted the Roberts family hours after the shooting and extended forgiveness to them.^[21] Amish community members visited and comforted Roberts' widow, parents, and parents-in-law. One Amish man held Roberts' sobbing father in his arms, reportedly for as long as an hour, to comfort him. The Amish have also set up a charitable fund for the family of the shooter.^[22] About 30 members of the Amish community attended Roberts' funeral, and Marie Roberts, the widow of the killer, was one of the few outsiders invited to the funeral of one of the victims.

You mean those people dressed as Amish that appeared out of the star waggons? I guess they used the wardrobe from *Witness*. I'm just surprised Harrison Ford didn't show up and make a speech. Funnily enough, I thought to follow that footnote 19 after the long quote from Jack Meyer. I know you aren't supposed to actually check footnotes, it being horrifying, but I did anyway. It says it is archived from the original, with a link to CNN. [The page is gone.](#)

Here's another way they test you. See the photo under title? They tell us that was his mugshot. But wait, he died by suicide at the end of it, so what is that mugshot from? We are told that prior to this event, he was a model husband, father, and church-goer, so what is the mugshot from? And why no side-shot?

So let's look at some more pics from the mainstream. This is supposed to be Roberts with part of his family.



What in the name of all that is holy is going on there? I have never seen such a disaster in a paste. You

will say it is compressed vertically, so let's fix that before we continue—though that is how it is published online.



OK, they now look almost human, but we still have huge problems, starting with the top of his head, which still looks like an obvious paste-job. His shirt is also weird, not having the resolution of the rest of the photo. It looks sort of blurry and nappy at the same time, and that is due to overprocessing. Then there is the problem of the background. They are supposed to be in front of a Christmas tree, but the tree is pasted in wrong. Notice that the focus is sharp on their faces and on the wallpaper behind the tree, but the tree is out of focus. That is impossible. Either both the tree and wallpaper would be blurred out, due to the f-stop, or they would both be in focus. Plus, their hair can't be so dark when the background is so light. Their hair would go dark like that only in the case it was darker behind and above them, with all light coming from the front.

Here's another ridiculous fake, published widely:



What's wrong there? Well, his head is very small, being smaller than his daughter's. And where is his body? He must be sitting down like his wife, but where? Is he sitting on the fire grate? They are in front of a mantel, which is over a fire, since we can see the stockings hanging. But he would have to be two-dimensional to fit into that space behind the rest of them. And where is his right shoulder? We should see it in black on the far side of his son. But it isn't there. His right shoulder (your left) has evaporated from the photo.

I could quit there, since the case is now closed on this one, but I will continue for a bit, just for fun. You are about to see why I want to do that. [The US Sun ran a follow-up on this event just last year](#), 15 years after the fake. There, we get to see Marie Roberts with her new husband Dan Monville. She is now Marie Monville:



That's sort of uncanny, isn't it? Does it give you a little shiver? It does me. Why? Not because it is a paste, but because he looks very much like Charles. He has the same pin head, smaller than Marie's head. It is very rare for a normal sized man to have a head smaller than that of his wife. The shape of his head is the same as Charles, and so is his height and hairline. But if this is just Charles under a changed name, why bothering pasting him into the older pictures? Because, although there are a lot of similarities, he *isn't* the same as the guy in the pictures we just looked at. Yes, he is Charles Roberts, but those published pictures are of someone who looks similar but isn't him. The mouth is different, the ears are different, and there is no mole in the older ones. And would they do that? Why go to all that trouble? Because they knew he would be faking his death and changing his name, but staying married to Marie. So they couldn't publish an actual picture of him. But it would help if the pictures they published back then looked *somewhat* like him, since that might fool some people who had run across him, but didn't know him well. They would then get used to thinking of him as pictured in the press, and if they ran across this Dan Monville years later they would dismiss any similarity and think they were imagining it. If they went online to test their memory, they would see what you and I see: nearly a match, but not a match. Different mouth, different teeth, and so on. They would leave it at that, being fooled, but they didn't fool me. I have proved beyond a doubt this was faked, so Charles must have lived on. If he lived on, he almost certainly stayed married to this lady, so I was hoping even before I found this photo that it was out there. *I found it because I was looking for it.* You are looking at Charles Carl Roberts IV there, pretending to be Dan Monville.

Want more proof? Well, in that same article, Marie admits she met Dan Monville just three months after the event, while at church. Three months after her husband murdered a bunch of Amish girls! **THREE MONTHS!** She says Dan was 53 in January 2007. That picture is from 2021. So, let's do the


math. Does he look 67 there to you? No, but Charles would be how old in 2021? 47. That is about how old that guy looks to me.

For more, we can do a search on Dan Monville of this area. Instantcheckmate gives us a Dan J. Monville, 56, of Lancaster and Leola, but he has no Marie on his list. Only Tracey and Amelia. Same for a search of the entire US. Not one Dan or Daniel Monville related to a Marie. Do you know why? Because Marie couldn't marry this Dan Monville in 2007, since she was *already* married to him. So the computer has no record of marriage or license. The computer thinks she is still married to Charles Roberts, you see. Because she is.

They now live in a cushy new upscale subdivision near the golf course in Lyndon, so I doubt he is still a milkman. Google maps blurs out their house, though only from the front. I also doubt that they are churchgoers.

And that explains everything. It explains why she and her children took it so well, and why the Amish did, too. It explains why he has no gravestone. It explains why his father and grandfather need to be scrubbed.

And here's one last bit of evidence for you, as a little bonbon for the exit. What if we do a search on Dan Monville of Lancaster, PA? The first thing that comes up is [a page for Daniel Monville at LinkedIn](#).



Do you see it? **Baker at GoodnPlenty restaurant**. There is no possibility that is a coincidence. So we have some fairly compelling evidence the GoodnPlenty franchise is a CIA or Homeland Security front, or at least the ones in this part of PA.

But why run this event against the Amish? We already know that, too, don't we? We have seen them trying to blackwash the Amish for years, and it is obviously because the Amish are successfully pushing back against the New World Order. The Amish are fiercely Old World. The Amish will not

do what they are told and join the 21st century. They will not play along. They are off-the-grid and prove that it can be done without that much effort. Which obviously burns up the stooges at Homeland Security. The Phoenicians can't abide insubordination. Let me tell you.