

## VIAGRA ON TUCKER

*January 19, 2023*

Here's a question for you: why is Tucker Carlson selling Viagra tonight on his show? One of his last segments on January 19 had on Dr. Marc Siegel, over the huge banner “Men who use Viagra are 25% less likely to suffer early death.” Siegel is telling us Viagra was originally a heart medication (and that it also cures altitude sickness and Alzheimers). In the scrolling video of the [little blue pills](#), we see what you probably already know: Viagra is made by. . . [Pfizer](#). Siegel yells in our faces that Viagra use will give you a 40% less chance of dying of heart disease. Tucker opens the segment by claiming Viagra also pulled a patient out of coma brought on by Covid. He says, “It's a wonder drug”.

Are you awake? So Pfizer is pushing Viagra on you as the cure for the deadly vaccine, as well as Covid coma and Alzheimers. It will miraculously rebuild your heart tissue and arteries, dissolving blood clots, I guess. While giving you a huge woody and constant orgasms. Please run out and buy it, before Pfizer is hit with the largest penalties in the history of the world and possibly sent into bankruptcy for massive fraud. Maybe its corrupt executives can hide some of their new Tucker/Viagra wealth offshore before they fake their deaths.

But again, it is your hero Tucker Carlson pushing Pfizer on you today. Tucker, who along with DeSantis has pushed back somewhat against the new vaccines. Tucker, one of whose segments today was about the tragedy of Fentanyl. It seems like a huge contradiction, doesn't it? What could be going on? You may want to think about it. To start with, you may want to ask yourself if it makes any sense that Viagra could really cut the dangers of heart disease 40%. One pill reduces the risk of one of the top killers of humans by 40%? No chance that is true. Siegel mentions one study out of USC, but Tucker forgets to ask him if the study was financed by Pfizer. Everything else is, including this segment of Tucker.

Did Tucker really think we wouldn't notice this? He didn't think this might be conflict of interest?

Also strange is that just a few minutes after that segment, Tucker has another segment on Pfizer, talking about the dangers of the vaccine. Weird. So he sells Pfizer's second biggest drug as a miracle drug, and then five minutes later attacks its first biggest drug via an attack on Pfizer's CEO Bourla at Davos. We see video of RebelNews asking Bourla about the dangers of the vaccine, and Bourla scurries off. But I point out to you several things. First, when commenting on this, Tucker says the shots may cause heart damage in those over 65. No, Tucker, people of all ages are dropping dead, including teenagers and children. Millions of them, all over the world. Second, this segment on Bourla is mysteriously very short and airy, with Tucker cutting to Don Lemond after showing us this short exchange where Bourla says nothing. Tucker gives us no commentary and seems to rush out of the piece. So it is just a soundbite segment tacked on at the end, too short to take any roots. Again, why?

I suggest it is because Tucker's writers felt that after that incredible and unquestioning promotion of Pfizer five minutes earlier, they needed to try to balance it by attacking Pfizer. So they lifted Pfizer up ten notches then cut it down one notch. Leaving it up nine notches, you see. That is how it works. Indeed, that was what the whole RebelNews encounter was about: it was staged by Pfizer just for that reason. So that people like Tucker could make you think Bourla “was being asked the tough questions by real journalists.” I say that last quote as Tucker would, in a sardonic tone, eyebrows raised. Davos, like Bilderberg, is tightly controlled, and there is no way any real independent journalists would ever

get within a hundred yards of Bourla or any of them. The best you could do is yell questions at the tinted windows of their limos as they drove in.

It is all theater, the same sort of theater we have seen with Fauci, as he is faux-grilled by Congress. We are supposed to believe the Senate is going to get tough with Fauci at some point, but of course it never will. We will just get calls from people like Tucker or Rand Paul to investigate Fauci, but nothing will ever come of it. Fauci will die of old age before anyone ever indicts him and the same goes for Bourla, Gates and the rest of these mass murderers, who make Josef Mengele look like an amateur. That's what you should really notice: no one in the mainstream on either side will ever frame this as it begs to be framed, stating the true levels of criminality with the true levels of outrage. The most you will see is what you see from Tucker or Rand Paul, a pussyfooting call for investigations. Or you may see some lawsuits filed in the wrong courts under the wrong terms, by a Kennedy or a Fuellmich or someone. But you will never see any serious prosecution, because there is literally no one to prosecute them. Everyone on both sides has been bought off long ago. No one with a job title is left to do anything real in this world, above the level of your mechanic or your lawn boy or your caterer.

The only justice we can hope for is the natural justice we see as the Phoenicians continue to suffer blowback from their own projects. Perhaps a wild gust of wind will waft into Davos one of their aerosoled poisons, taking the whole town down like Pont-Saint-Esprit. Short of that, we will just have to wait until these creeps like Bourla get a bad bottle of water, get fed a Monsanto pie by a careless cook, or get shed on by a hooker.