

[return to updates](#)

PROOF FROM BREITBART THAT OBAMA WAS BORN IN KENYA

As you should know, Andrew Breitbart was “murdered” to bring you this information, so pay attention. Breitbart had worked for the *Washington Times*, the Drudge Report, and had been a researcher for Arianna Huffington at *Huffington Post* before starting his own websites. Breitbart.com had become one of the largest news aggregators on the web at the time of his death in March, 2012. He was just 43.

Breitbart was a famous big-mouth, a man no one could like, and I am not here to eulogize him. I strongly disagree with most of his politics. He slandered Occupy Wall Street, for instance, which I consider to be beneath contempt. However, Breitbart developed a disliking for Obama—for reasons of his own which I am not here to report—and began researching Obama's birth. For reasons that are not clear, Breitbart announced a major finding before actually reporting it, and the spooks apparently thought they could pre-empt the reporting by murdering Breitbart. As it happens, they were wrong, and the current editors at Breitbart.com have now broken Breitbart's story despite the dangers to themselves. This leads us to assume one of two things. One, these editors are brave and care only for the truth. Two, these editors are part of some larger plan to bring Obama down and/or create unrest, and are being protected by greater forces. Breitbart.com is simply the chosen method for leaking this information, and the entire story arc is manufactured, *including Breitbart's death*. I will return to that possibility below.

But regardless of how this information got to us, it has now arrived. What has arrived [is incontrovertible evidence](#) that Obama was born in Kenya. Although I am a progressive “leftist” who supports Occupy Wall Street, unions, the green movement, the peace movement, and who would like to see the Federal Reserve, the CIA, the NSA, and most of the military shut down, I am not a Democrat. I was never fooled by Obama, and I consider him to be a puppet of Goldman Sachs. I also care about the truth, and I think it is very important that our President is lying about who he is. Here and only here am I on the same page as Breitbart, Corsi, Keyes, Irion, and others who have been pushing the “birther” issue.

We already had proof enough that Obama was lying about being born in Hawaii, since the birth certificate posted by the White House is a pathetic fake. But for those who know nothing about faking documents in Photoshop, this new evidence may prove to be the proverbial straw. Understanding it requires no high-tech knowledge of any kind. In short, Breitbart discovered a booklet from 1991, prepared by Obama's own literary agent Acton & Dystel. This agency was promoting Obama's first book, and it created the booklet to distribute to the publishing industry (which it did). You can take the link above and see that the booklet states in black and white that Obama was born in Kenya.

In fact, [in a companion article](#), we find that Acton and Dystel was stating that Obama was born in Kenya up until 2007! Archive.org shows that the Dystel website was using this bio two months *after* Obama declared for the Presidency. If they had made a mistake, you would think Obama might have

spotted it and corrected it in the intervening 16 years. If my literary bio said I was born in Africa when I wasn't, I think I might want to correct it, especially if I were sitting in the US Senate and planning to run for President.

The mainstream media is already trying to spin this as a simple mistake by an editor at the agency. That editor has already made a statement to that effect. Is that believable? No, of course not. An editor does not make a mistake of that magnitude, letting it stand for 16 years through numerous updates. This is not the bio of a nobody. It is not a bio gathering dust on a vanity site. This is the bio of a then US Senator and multi-book author, on the site of a major literary agency. And it is concerning his birthplace—not a minor detail. A mistake on his height—5'10" instead of 5'11" or something like that—might possibly pass uncorrected for a few months. But Kenya versus US? There is no way that could be an oversight or a mistake. The only oversight was not destroying all the evidence.

The claim that this was a fact checking oversight by an editor [has also been disproved](#) by Breitbart.com researchers, who have found that the agency *requests that authors submit their own bios*. Are we to believe that Obama forgot to fact check his own birthplace?

This confirms the claims of 2008 Presidential candidate Alan Keyes, who has been telling us that Obama admitted to the Kenya birth up to the time of his run for Presidency. Witnesses including Keyes have testified that Obama admitted to his Kenya birth in a debate with Keyes when running for US Senate in Illinois in 2004.

This also confirms many [reports from African newspapers and the Associated Press](#), which had been reporting an African birth for Obama up until the present time.

Now, about Breitbart. The official story is that he had a heart attack on the street outside a bar. The conspiracy theory is that he was murdered to prevent this information about Obama from being reported. To me, both stories look manufactured. If the spooks wanted to prevent this information from being reported, and were willing to murder to achieve that, why would they stop short of their goal? All they have to do is break into Breitbart.com headquarters, steal back the booklet, take all the computers, and threaten the editors. If they could cover up a murder they could cover up that as well. For this reason, I think it is probable that Breitbart's death was faked as part of a larger story arc. Breitbart's "death" just makes the story larger, now that it has come out.

Who besides the coroner has actually seen Breitbart's body? Maybe some drunk people on the street late at night, but did they take a pulse? Unlikely. Once again, the whole story must be taken on faith. Deaths are faked all the time, the government lies about almost everything all the time, so why believe this? Remember that two of Breitbart's other websites were called Breitbart.tv and BigHollywood, so Breitbart had close ties to the masters of manipulation. TV and Hollywood specialize in faking things and manufacturing scenes. Their job is fooling you. What if someone paid Breitbart to retire and move to South America? Would you or anyone else know the difference? You are being lied to about everything and you should know that. So the most logical thing is not to believe what you are told, but to believe what is most probable.

What is most probable is that we are witnessing an invisible civil war between the elites here. Just as we saw evidence of the elites warring in [our analysis of the movie Inside Job](#), where Soros, Strauss-Kahn, and Volcker were warring against Mishkin, Summers, and Greenspan, here we see evidence of powerful forces inside the government itself who wish to bring Obama down. And it doesn't look like Republicans who simply wish to see Romney as the next President. It goes deeper than that. As I said

in that previous analysis, the split is not Democratic/Republican, but Banks/Military. Those profiting from defense don't like seeing the bankers stealing all future taxes. Therefore, this attack on Obama is mainly an attack on Goldman Sachs. I would say that Goldman Sachs has lost control of certain parts of the government mob, and that rogue elements are at work in this Obama story. We see the same thing in the mainstream media, which has begun reporting things again that we would not expect it to report, given total unilateral control from above. We see a recently appointed judge ruling against the NDAA, we see the *New York Times* and even the *Washington Post* doing some real reporting again (albeit in limited ways), and we see Rachel Maddow reporting that Ron Paul is winning state delegations.

What this means is that the CIA didn't kill Breitbart. Breitbart was already CIA, and they simply changed his assignment. His assignment before was to be another loudmouthed conservative, selling the public one of two pre-fab anti-democracies. His assignment after was to be a fake martyr, moving public opinion away from Goldman Sachs and toward the military.

I don't take any of this as a great sign of hope, since neither the banks nor the military are likely to leave us alone or promote democracy. But the fact that neither side is completely ascendant may signal a lull in the growth of fascism. If the bankers and military are fighting each other, they may forget to put us all in FEMA camps for a couple of more years.

Update, December 2013: The Director of Hawaii's Department of Health, Loretta Fuddy, [died mysteriously in a small plane crash on December 12](#). Fuddy had been the official in Hawaii responsible for confirming the "long form" birth certificate in 2011. Although the form posted on the internet in the linked article is actually a certificate of live birth and not a long form birth certificate, she is said to have "confirmed" it in this way:

I have seen the original records filed at the Department of Health and attest to the authenticity of the certified copies the department provided to the President that further prove the fact that he was born in Hawaii.

If you will notice, she doesn't specifically mention either of the birth certificates now posted on the internet, neither the first one published by Daily KOS nor the second one posted by the White House itself. She attests to the authenticity of the certified copies her department provided to the President, but doesn't confirm they match the certificate posted at whitehouse.gov or any other published certificates. At any rate, we all know that small plane crashes are one of the favorite ways of getting rid of people who know things, second only to fake suicides. So Fuddy's death is suspicious in the extreme. Possibly she had talked about reconsidering her confirmation, or clarifying it.