

Just so you know, I am not going to be writing much over the next few weeks, since I am finally in the middle of my big move. I am snowed under by painting and book boxes. Things probably won't settle down until mid-July for me. At that time I will order more books and fill book orders. I will also be better at answering email. I will also start writing new articles again.

So this would be a good time for my guest writers to take up the slack. I am welcoming new quality submissions, especially from those who have written for me before.

I am not generally announcing my new address, but those who are donating via PO can contact me via email for my new mailing address. My phone number will not change, but it is still for clients only. I do not like to chat on the phone.

In the meantime, I point out that I scooped Revolver and Tucker Carlson on the Jan. 6 event by about six months. They are just now reporting the “Capitol insurrection” was led by FBI agents or informants. That is a step in the right direction, but as usual they are letting FBI take the heat. Since about 1970, FBI has been the kicking dog for all the other Intel agencies. The Fall Guy. But as I showed in [my long paper on Jan. 6](#), it wasn't FBI running the event. It was the Pentagon, and specifically the Air Force, probably in cahoots with CIA. See that paper for more personal links among the players, including Ashli Babbitt, Harry Tarrion, John Sullivan, Larry Brock, Thomas Caldwell, Aron Mostofsky, Kenneth Harrelson, and others.

I will also give you an update on my cadmium poisoning. A hair test showed high cadmium levels last month and I have been in light herbal chelation since then. Most thought it was from my paints, but I don't since I don't use cadmium paints. It may have been from my dishes. How much it caused or added to my symptoms of the past five years, probably no one will ever know. Those symptoms were morning nausea and fatigue, as a reminder. I have pegged them variously to stress, Solar Minimum, living alone, altitude, and other causes, but the cadmium certainly didn't help. We will see if my move helps the equation. I will no longer be at altitude. I will keep you posted. Some have feared it was an intentional poisoning, but I sort of doubt cadmium would be the poison of choice. But you never know. If I die under strange circumstances, from cadmium or anything else, you should probably assume it was not an accident. I am not suicidal and am not careless with paints or anything else. For example, I have been working with lead in my paints for years (white lead as a primer), and have tested low for lead many times, including recently. Showing how much care I take in that regard.

If I have other short updates or comments in the next three weeks, I will append them to this paper. I will also continue to comment briefly at CuttingThroughtheFog.

First addendum: The fake shooting in Chicago yesterday that allegedly claimed four lives also just happened to claim **all three of my names**. Of the four killed, one had the surname Mathis, one the surname Miles, and one the surname Williams. So the fake events writers are now doing homages to me, it appears. Wish I could say I was honored.

Second addendum June 23: Alex Jones is at it again. His poll today concerns the “death” of John McAfee. Your choices for what you think happened to him are: 1) suicide, 2) murdered, 3) natural causes, 4) not sure. But once again the correct answer is not on the list: 5) like Jeffrey Epstein, he faked his death. Both were in jail cells when they “died”. Epstein owned his own island, and we can be sure he is there. Does McAfee own his own island?

And in other news at Infowars, we read that major riots are also going on in Sweden, one of the last

places you would expect them. However, the mainstream stories make no sense, as usual. We are told about a large number of people “quarreling” in “no-go zones”, but aren't told what they were quarreling about or why the zones were off-limits. Just from the tone of the reports, we can tell we are reading more fake news. These sound like the Swedish equivalent of our own fake riots here, which we now know are staged by competing arms of Intelligence to create fear and division and keep real people off the streets. Real protests are being prevented by fake protests.

And in other news, [the Supreme Court just found in favor of a highschool girl](#) who had been kicked off the cheerleading squad for posting a rant about tryouts on Snapchat. It is being hailed as a win for free speech. The decision was wrong, but that isn't why I am commenting. Why was it wrong? Because she wasn't even censored, so her speech wasn't limited. She had her say and no one stopped her. The Constitution doesn't say you can say anything you like without consequences. You can't stand up in class and call the principal and all your teachers a-holes, and then use the first amendment to dodge punishment. The Constitution protects political speech or opinion, not general nastiness or teens throwing fits. But the reason I am here is to point out the larger picture is being missed, as usual. You should ask yourself why the Supreme Court is wasting its time hearing such petty cases, while at the same time refusing to hear much more important cases. With all the unConstitutional shit going down right now, don't you think the Supreme Court has better things to do that rule on cheerleaders throwing fits? We have seen Twitter censoring a sitting President; Facebook censoring Senators, famous scientists, and tenured professors; and Google censoring licensed physicians, but a highschool cheerleader gets to the Supreme Court? The courts at all levels have been dodging major cases for decades, often by denying standing to people who obviously have standing—as when voters sue for vote fraud. All courts including the Supreme Court stonewalled on the Obama birther suits, remember, using lack of standing. Don't you think that was more important than some cheerleader case? All courts including the Supreme Court stonewalled on voter fraud in the last election, often by denying standing. Don't you think that is more important than a cheerleader case? How is it that Dominion has never been chewed up by the Supreme Court or by Congress? How is it that no vaccination cases have come up? Major businesses and universities are illegally requiring vaccines and the Supreme Court isn't rushing to slap that down? The country is literally disintegrating all around us, and the Supreme Court is wasting its time hearing cheerleader cases?

This just proves once again that the Supreme Court is utterly owned and completely useless. It is more government theater, staged to make you think you are represented when you aren't. In this time of overt tyranny, all counterbalances, including the courts, the legislatures, the ACLU, and the nation's lawyers, have all capitulated to the tyrants.

Addendum July 5, 2021: And in other news, today Paul Watson at Infowars [is selling the 2017 Manchester Arena bombing as real](#), via a story about Morrisey's new album. He also sells the Paris Massacre and French beheadings as real. Very strange, since he should know they are fake. Infowars used to out these sort of events as false flags, but they rarely do anymore. You should ask yourself why. I haven't done the Manchester bombing myself, but see [here](#) and [here](#). UK Critical Thinker did the work on this one at the time, but was removed from Youtube for outing MI6/CIA. He is still at RichplanetTV. Amazing that Watson doesn't know about this, or assumes you don't. Similarly, Watson and Jones have to hope their readers haven't seen my paper on January 6, since they are still selling it as partially real. Like Tucker Carlson and other outlets, Infowars is now admitting FBI agents were present in masse, but they are so far failing to put two and two together to see that this means the whole event was staged. They are still selling the idea that some of these people are in jail and even in solitary confinement, but you don't put crisis actors or FBI agents in jail, do you? It makes no sense that Jones and Watson would miss this, does it, after blowing Sandy Hook and other events as false

flags.

Addendum July 8, 2021: Just wanted to be sure [you saw this](#). Recently released data from the FBI proves that the numbers of mass shootings reported by mainstream media are about 12 times higher than the numbers compiled by the government. The number reported by the government in these documents is about 30 per year for the past five years. The mainstream media is reporting about 360 per year, which is where the famous “one per day” number comes from. Except that. . . as I have shown you, all or almost all of those 30 per year are staged by Intelligence, either FBI, CIA, DHS, or some other agency. Same goes for single shootings, like the ones we are being fed from Chicago and New York right now. A large percentage of those are also staged, to create fear and push the pro-police agenda.

And I'll tell you another secret: most of the gang shootings are also staged, since most of the gangs are also CIA fronts. It is known the gangs are heavily involved in drug trafficking, and drug trafficking is managed by the CIA and other government organizations, for the profit of the usual suspects. So we know the gangs are controlled by CIA regardless. That has been proved before I came along, see Gary Webb's *Dark Alliance** for a start. Well, if the CIA can control reportage of that, it can also control reportage of alleged gang warfare, right? If the media can over-report mass shootings, it can also over-report gang violence, right? Just think about it: since the CIA controls all or most gangs, it would quash all rivalries. Rivalries are bad for business, since they cut into profits. In the drug trade, as everywhere else, what is wanted is a monopoly. The government has had a monopoly on the drug trade for centuries or millennia. Which means gang violence makes no sense. It doesn't fit the known model.

As with the more recent BLM and Antifa violence, gang violence is manufactured and over-reported to create fear and chaos and keep you off the streets. Fake gang violence also helps in promoting huge police budgets and jail budgets and court budgets. So if you thought gang “mass shootings” were real while crazy-man “mass shootings” were fake, you were wrong. They are both fake. As I have told you again and again, 98% of everything in the news is manufactured. It either didn't happen at all or didn't happen like you were told.

*Yes, Webb is a spook and his death was faked, but his central thesis is still true. Watered down and misdirected, but true. As usual, his name is enough to out him. The Queen is a Webb, remember? Webb's first big work was on the *Coal Connection*, which investigated the alleged murder of a coal company president with ties to organized crime. Note that, organized crime, not the CIA. Misdirection. There is no organized crime, except that run by the government. Early on Webb got famous for losing lawsuits against his newspapers, which seems to have been the point. His investigative reporting—and *all* investigative reporting—was purposely blackwashed in this way. His CIA reporting was for the *Mercury News*, which was not an independent or swashbuckling organization. Just the opposite, it was owned by Knight Ridder, a very obvious CIA front. All media are, but in the 1990s Knight Ridder was among the worst. It had links to Lockheed. Also worth mentioning: Maxine Waters was one of Webb's biggest supporters. Yes, the same Maxine Waters now pushing race wars for the CIA. Of course she is a Waters through her first husband (of the peerage, think Pink Floyd's Roger Waters) and is half Jewish. She is also a Lee and a Moore and may be a Waters herself. Why else would she keep the name when she has been re-married to Sid Williams since 1977? I can see why she might keep her maiden name with Williams, but not why she would keep her former married name. Another clue is that the first paper that continued Webb's investigation was. . . *The Washington Post*, the CIA's own newspaper. Make sense of that. Yes, *The Post* contradicted much of Webb's story, but it is still curious they chose to give it legs. The *New York Times* and the *Los Angeles Times* followed suit, spinning Webb but giving him huge amounts of publicity. As we know from the mainstream response to me, that isn't how it works. All three papers did interviews with *Mercury News* editor Jerome Ceppos, allowing him to repeat and defend Webb's research. As

we would expect from a manufactured event, Ceppos afterwards turned on Webb, sending him to a smaller newspaper where he soon resigned. A few years later they faked his suicide/murder to make sure no one else ever investigated the CIA. Another way we know this is that Webb's story was later promoted by Hollywood and TV, in the film *Kill the Messenger* and the series *Snowfall*. If Webb had really been reporting inconvenient truths, the mainstream media would not still be giving his story legs almost 25 years later.