

It looks like
MONSANTO JUST STOLE ANOTHER ELECTION
Oregon's GMO Proposition 92

by Miles Mathis


A couple of years ago [I showed you](#) how Monsanto (probably) stole the California GMO Proposition 37. They just did the same thing in Oregon. As I did in 2012, I have been following the vote count closely. This was easy since we got live updates from [Oregon's own state election site](#). As they did in California, when the vote got close, they just quit counting and reported final numbers. This despite the fact no final numbers have been tallied. *No final numbers were ever tallied or reported in California*, and it looks like no final numbers will ever be coming out of Oregon, either.

In California in November of 2012, the early numbers went against GMO labeling, but late numbers went for it. The late precincts were in the big cities, where yes on 37 was around 65-70%. So as the night wore on, the vote moved closer and closer to 50/50. Curiously, the vote counting stalled that night and the (final) result was reported, despite the fact that no real final result had been tallied. More than a week later state officials admitted many millions of votes had still not been counted, even in close races like Prop 37. Those complaining were told the State had a month to report final numbers. But even at the end of the month, no final numbers were reported. The “live” websites were taken down before then, so the public could not continue to follow the numbers to the end. In other words, the vote count took so long and was so hidden that supporters of the Proposition couldn't even ask for a recount. A recount is automatically called for when the vote is within a certain percentage, and it can be requested by the losing party when it is within a slightly larger margin. But since *no one knew* what the final margin was, no automatic recount was done and none was requested. The whole thing simply died of neglect. I commented at the time that this seemed a very strange ending to such a highly publicized proposition, especially seeing that the State Democratic party had backed a yes vote. That Democratic party swept the State elections in California, installing a supermajority for the first time ever. And yet they said not one word about the strange finish to the Prop 37 saga. The newly installed Governor, Secretary of State, Attorney General, or Comptroller (who were all Democrats sworn to support the party platform—which included GMO labeling) could have requested final numbers and an investigation on Prop 37, but no one did. The State Legislature could have easily introduced legislation requiring labeling, but they didn't. Many parties had grounds for a lawsuit, but none went to court. All very curious.

I suspect the GMO labeling propositions in Washington and Colorado were also stolen, although I haven't researched them yet. The only one not successfully stolen [was in Maui](#), and it may yet be stolen through the courts, since Monsanto is suing to prevent the law from going into effect.

And now we are seeing the same sort of mystery unfolding in Oregon. Except that there, the steal is even more obvious. In Oregon, the numbers for Proposition 92 were razor close all night, being within a few tenths of 50/50 all along. The vote was Tuesday, of course, and about 90% of the vote was counted by Wednesday night. At that point the difference was only about 5,000 votes out of 1.5

million cast. That's a difference of .003, or .3%. Based on that margin, the race should never have been called. But it was. It was called way before that by some venues, on Wednesday morning.



But what is even stranger is that the vote counting apparently stalled sometime Wednesday or Thursday. It is now early Tuesday morning, a full week later, and the “live” updates say Monday night, but the numbers are still at 95%. Just so you know, that remaining 5% comes out to about 75,000 votes. So with a margin of only about 6,000, it can still go either way. If the outstanding precincts are in areas that favor labeling, the vote can easily swing more than 6,000.

But even if it swung less than that, a recount should have automatically kicked in or been requested by now. All the votes should have been counted by now. There is no reason for vote counting to get stalled like this. If they can count the first 1.4 million votes in one or two days, why would it take weeks to count the final 100,000? That is the question they never answered in California in 2012. Do they just lay off all the vote counters and turn off all the machines to save electricity when the vote count hits 90%?

It looks to me like the vote is being stalled to prevent the automatic recount. In many states, the automatic recount kicks in for votes that are within .5%. I just showed you that Oregon looks to qualify for that, currently being at .3 to .4%. [With some research](#), I discovered Oregon has such an automatic recount (passed in 2011), but it may not kick in until the margin is .2%. I suspect the Prop 92 vote counting has been stalled to prevent the margin from getting that close. Since it is already

near .3, it wouldn't take much to nudge it to .2.

Regardless, the supporters of the Proposition should definitely pay for a recount whether the automatic recount kicks in or not. Millions were donated by companies like Dr. Bronner's, and some of that money should have been earmarked for a possible recount. If it wasn't, Yes on 92 should request emergency funds from these companies.

But I don't expect that to happen. You know why not? Because I suspect the whole election was a fraud. In California, Yes on 37 did nothing when the election was stolen from them, and they acted like they had been infiltrated from the top. In Oregon, it is looking the same. I suspect that part of the millions spent by Monsanto and other big companies in guaranteeing this election was spent in infiltrating and neutralizing Yes on 92. I suspect it was also spent on insuring the vote count would be stalled in case it got too close. All these big companies have to do is use their influence to insure that their own people are in key blocking positions. Votes then get stalled or stopped, and reports are given to the press indicating the issue has been decided when in fact it hasn't.

If the executives at Dr. Bronner's and other companies really wish to see these propositions pass, they need to keep fighting until the whistle blows or the bell rings. Giving up in the 4th quarter or in the 9th round isn't really the way to win a fight. These suspicious outcomes need to be fully investigated, and the best and perhaps only way to get an investigation is to file suit and subpoena these vote boxes, these counters, and these State officials. Until that is done, I expect Monsanto to keep "winning" these elections. Given the weak (or controlled) opposition, it is just too easy.