

The Acceleration of the Women-are-Pigs Project



by Miles Mathis

First published January 24, 2024

I have been outing the Men-are-Pigs project for years now, whereby the CIA and mainstream media fake a long series of events to blackwash men in the eyes of women and thereby destroy the heterosexual relationship and the family. And why would anyone want to do that? Simple: people in such an atomized society are more isolated and fearful, leading to increased buying to compensate. All profits rise. It goes back more than a century now, spearheaded by the work of Edward Bernays, working for Wall Street and Madison Avenue.

Women have always been the primary target here, because the advertisers thought they would be more responsive and suggestible. The heterosexual relationship can be destroyed without targeting both sides, since if you destroy trust from one side, the thing is done. But we have seen men targeted occasionally over the years as well, and that targeting has increased in the past few decades as the messaging to women has become saturated. The volume is already at 10 there, so the only way they can continue to accelerate the project is to target men more and more, selling them the idea that Women are also Pigs.

So we see more and more projects [like this one today](#). That woman above, Bryn Spejcher, a 33-year old audiologist from Thousand Oaks, CA, supposedly killed her boyfriend Chad O'Melia in a psychotic cannabis episode, stabbing him 108 times. Today they are reporting she skated with only two-years probation and 100 hours of community service. My readers are already chuckling, since the reporters just gave us at least four clues already to the fake. Two numerology markers, including aces and eights, and two fake names.

Another way we know it is fake is that [the trial was broadcast via livestream](#). All televised trials are fake, shot in some CIA dummy court. This trial was shot in courtroom 47. Year one of the CIA. We are told her sentence was light because the judge ruled she was “involuntarily intoxicated”, having been intimidated by O'Melia into taking the last bong hit. But just ask yourself this: how could there be any proof he intimidated her? He is dead, so he did not testify. And no one else was there. So the judge is

just taking a murderer's word for it? How does that work?

Here's another problem: we are told the jury actually found her **guilty** of involuntary manslaughter. The suggested penalty for involuntary manslaughter in California is not probation. So how could the judge ignore and trump the jury, deciding on his own authority to ignore all sentencing protocol? He found the intoxication was involuntary, over the jury which found it was voluntary. The jury found the murder was involuntary, not the intoxication. They admit that in the newspapers. A judge cannot overrule a jury like that. If he could, there would be no reason to have a jury.

Now let's check Instantcheckmate and Intelius for this Bryn Spejcher and Chad O'Melia. As expected, they have never heard of her. No one by that name in Thousand Oaks, all of California, or the entire US. A search on her father Mike does get a result, but from Bloomington, IL, not California. No one named Bryn is on his list. Same for Chad O'Melia. He does not exist according to the big computers. Same for his father and uncle Sean and Brendan. No results.