Ted Bundy

part 2



by Miles Mathis

First published May 9, 2018

As usual, this is just my opinion, reached by studying mainstream accounts and retaining some common sense—which is apparently no longer common.

The mainstream has released a spate of new Ted Bundy "documentaries", but that was mistake. It just gives me more lies to pick apart. These jokers should have quit while they were behind.

I say behind, because in a paper from 2014 I have already exposed Bundy as another CIA project. There, I reminded you that among the founders of the CIA were the brothers William and McGeorge Bundy, and they were extremely active in the 1970s. They are of the Boston Brahmin families, of great wealth and influence. What is more, I did my usual photo analysis, showing you that Ted Bundy just happens to look *a lot* like these brothers. He is also of the perfect age to be the son of one of them.

Here I will continue to compile evidence the Ted Bundy story was manufactured from the ground up, using an attractive son of the Families to propel the "men are pigs" project. In other words, this was a complete fake, composed by Langley and Hollywood to blackwash attractive men, thereby scaring women out of their minds. This was done to split the sexes and destroy the heterosexual relationship. Single miserable people are much better consumers than happy couples. They are also easier to control.

Cued by a reader, the first place I went today was to the Wikipedia page on the 2019 Sundance film *Extremely Wicked, Shockingly Evil and Vile*. The first thing I noticed was that everyone involved—from actors to writers to producers and directors—is Jewish. You will say this is Hollywood, who else

is there? True, but I think it is worth pointing out nonetheless, since it acts as foreshadowing.

The second thing that leapt out at me is that Bundy's first conviction in Utah was handed down from a four-day bench trial. Since this was for kidnapping and attempted murder, that makes no sense. All you have to do is take the link at Wiki to find this:

In <u>U.S. law</u>, for most criminal cases, <u>trial by jury</u> is usually a matter of course as it is a constitutional right under the <u>Sixth Amendment</u> and cannot be waived without certain requirements. Under section 21 of the rules of Federal Criminal Procedure, if a defendant is entitled to a jury trial, the trial must be by jury unless (1) the defendant waives a jury trial in writing, (2) the government consents, and (3) the court approves.

So why would Bundy waive his Constitutional right to a jury trial? He wouldn't, which means this trial was faked. But they didn't wish to fake a long jury trial, so they just faked a four-day bench trial, where the only person they needed to hire was this fake judge.

The next thing I noticed was the escape from the Pitkin County Jail (Aspen, CO). First of all, they love to fake stuff in Pitkin County. This is where some of the Hunter S. Thompson hoaxes took place. . . see Johnny Depp and Fear and Loathing in Las Vegas. Aspen is one of the richest small communities in the world, and so is stiff with spooks. I personally find it one of the creepiest places I've ever been. About ten years ago, a girlfriend wanted to go there as part of a camping trip, and I reluctantly agreed. But after about thirty minutes I had to get out. I felt oppressed by the bad energy and literally had to flee. Anyway, we are told Bundy escaped from jail by sawing a square in the ceiling of his cell. Really? They have saws in the cells in Pitkin County jail, do they? And I guess he was able to saw a hole in the ceiling of his cell without making any noise? And I guess once he crawled up into the ceiling, he was able to immediately locate an air vent large enough for a man to crawl through, leading directly to a secluded drop-out in the bushes outside, where no one could see him? And I guess he crawled from there to a sewer pipe, which led directly to Florida State University.

Which takes us to Ted's Wiki page, one of the wonders of the world. *Please* read it for sense. There is none. Since we have already hit his first arrests, let's see what the page says about those. His Volkswagen arrest in Granger in 1975 is amusing, since Bundy is supposed to have fled at high speed from the cops. In a Volkswagen beetle. So I guess the scriptwriters were channeling from 1974's *Herbie the Love Bug*. Upon apprehending Bundy, the officer found the passenger seat of the beetle removed and in the back seat.

He found a ski mask, a second mask fashioned from pantyhose, a crowbar, handcuffs, trash bags, a coil of rope, an ice pick, and other items initially assumed to be burglary tools. Bundy explained that the ski mask was for skiing, he had found the handcuffs in a <u>dumpster</u>, and the rest were common household items.

You may want to remind yourself that Bundy was not a dummy. He graduated University of Washington with honors and went to University of Puget Sound Law School and University of Utah Law School. So you might want to ask yourself why this guy would be driving around in the "incriminating-evidence-mobile." He had everything but an "arrest me, I'm a serial killer" bumpersticker. This is what I meant when I said these bozos should have kept quiet. With some luck, I might not have revisited this project. But making all these films was sure to draw me back in—the last thing they wanted.

What does Wiki say about his Pitkin escapes? Despite being known to be extremely dangerous, Bundy was allowed by the judge to act as his own attorney, and was excused from wearing any restraints. Not only that, but he was allowed during a recess to go to the courthouse's law library *alone* to research his case. He jumped from a second-story window and escaped. I ask you, is that believable at all? If you think it is, you may want to remember several things: 1) Pitkin County Courthouse doesn't have a law library. It is a courthouse, not a library. 2) There is no law library on the second floor of the Pitkin County Courthouse. 3) There is no way Bundy would have been allowed to leave the courtroom unaccompanied and unrestrained. How stupid are we supposed to believe these people in Aspen are?

Bundy then wandered in the wilderness for six days, we are told. Finally, he stole a car from Aspen Country Club and drove it back into Aspen. He was spotted by police weaving from lane to lane. Really? Is that what you would do in that situation? You would steal a car and then drive it back into Aspen, where you had broken from jail six days earlier?

Now for the second jail break. Remember, he had already broken from jail once, so he was a known flight risk. Nonetheless, we are expected to buy this story:

On the night of December 30, with most of the jail staff on Christmas break and nonviolent prisoners on <u>furlough</u> with their families, [190] Bundy piled books and files in his bed, covered them with a blanket to simulate his sleeping body, and climbed into the crawl space. He broke through the ceiling into the apartment of the chief jailer—who was out for the evening with his wife [191]—changed into street clothes from the jailer's closet, and walked out the front door to freedom.

Jail staff in Pitkin County apparently have a break for at least six whole days—including Christmas Eve, we assume—even when famous murderers and jail breakers are in the cells. The chief jailer was out for the evening, and didn't bother to hire a substitute. Reminds us of the Lincoln assassination story, where Lincoln was guarded by one drunken policeman (related to Mary Todd Lincoln) who left his post to go next door for a pint. Because, well, that is just how the world works. . . in the minds of these nutcase writers at Langley.

Next, we are told Bundy hitched a ride to Denver, where he caught a flight to Chicago. Yeah, because the first thing the police in Aspen would do isn't to call the airport in Denver, alerting them to arrest Bundy on sight. Or are we supposed to believe Bundy sawed a hole in the bottom of the plane and snuck onboard, riding with the luggage?

Next, Bundy went to Florida, where he arrived in Tallahassee on January 8. Let's see, that's 1/8, which would be *Chai*. Just another wacky coincidence, right? Bundy chose the Chi Omega house at Florida State University. I wonder why. Well, what is the mascot for Chi Omega?



So maybe we should read that Chai Omega. As if that isn't spooky enough, here is the full crest for Chi Omega:




Is there any reason you can think of that a sorority needs a skull and crossbones on its crest? This is also curious: If we check the Chi Omega lists, we find Florida State is the gamma chapter. What does that mean? Since gamma is the third letter of the Greek alphabet, it means FSU is the third chapter on the list (out of 181—*chai*). Since FSU was not the third chapter founded, this isn't indicating a timeline. It is indicating precedence of some sort. So do you want to guess what the first chapter on the list is? University of Washington is the alpha chapter, where Ted Bundy came from.

Chi Omega was founded by Ina May **Boles.** Her mother was Katherine **Keith**, linking us to Governor Keith of Massachusetts. Do you remember him from <u>my paper on Ben Franklin</u>? He was also the 4th Baronet Keith, from Boddam Castle, Scotland. Ina's father was Thomas Boles, US Congressman from Arkansas. The Boles are also Baronets, closely related to the **Reids**, Fortescues, Coleridges, and Walkers. See <u>Sir Andrew Barclay Walker</u>, 1st Baronet, mayor and high sheriff of Liverpool. His son was the 1st Baron Wavertree, Order of St. John of Jerusalem. His brother Peter married a Cavendish and Peter's son Col. Ian Walker-Okeover married a Percy. So this is who we are dealing with, as usual.

Ina's mother was a **Pound**, daughter of Hon. Thomas Walker Pound, and this links us to Ezra Pound, also Jewish.* They were both from the Pounds of New Jersey and before that Baronets of Middlesex. See Sir John Pound, 1st Baronet, Lord Mayor of London 1904-5.

So that was interesting. But before we get to the embarrassing garbage coming up, let's take a look at the first picture posted of Ted at Wiki:




That's supposed to be Ted's senior highschool picture from Woodrow Wilson HS in Tacoma. The problem? It's faked. It is mostly computer-generated. The easiest place to tell is where his hair meets his forehead. That whole line is completely unnatural. The entire external line where the figure meets the background is also unnatural. The nose is also poorly drawn, with one nostril higher than the other. But the entire photo has an artificial feel, with the sort of value changes you get from computer programs, not from prints taken from negatives.

OK, the next thing that jumped out at me from the 2019 film was the names of the coeds in Florida. **Lisa Levy, Margaret Bowman,** and their roommate who was allegedly beaten, **Kathy Kleiner**. Do you see it? All Jewish again. Levy is obvious, so we won't even look closer at her.** Bowman isn't an easy name like Levy, so we need to go to her picture.



Very pretty girl, but obviously Jewish. Amazingly, the spooks have inserted another clue here, which gives me a further Sherlock Holmes moment. Here is the clue:



I will let you try to find it first. Meet me down below if you can't.

No, it has nothing to do with the text or the date. It has to do with her tennis racket. You see that her head cover says "Lombard's". I found that there was indeed a Lombard's that did tennis mail order in Florida, but according to my research it didn't exist until around 1980. That picture is from 1977 or earlier. I also noticed that the name on the cover isn't printed straight. It is at an angle to the handle. So my first assumption would be that the name was added to the picture later, as a signal to those on the outside of this project that it was a fake. But you had to know how to read the clue. Fortunately I do, since I have previously shown the name Lombard is a famous Jewish name from way back. See my paper on Mussolini, where I showed you on the last page that the Lombards were Italian pawnbrokers allowed to charge interest. So they were a type of banker. That is the most logical reading of this clue. But there is a second possible reading. It may be that Bowman had an inside track to these rackets before they hit the public market, but that would indicate she was related to these Lombards somehow—which takes us to the same place.

The boy with "super Arab" on his t-shirt is also suspicious, and looks like another marker or joke to me. He is the only one not looking at the camera, and looks pasted in.

Bowman's father Jackson Harrison Bowman III was an Air Force pilot in Vietnam, the great-grandson of Daniel McMullen—early settler of Florida. He retired from the Air Force in 1973 as a Lieutenant Colonel. Since he was just 42, that is young to retire. So he decided to become a real estate mogul, building up much of downtown St. Petersburg. He later became national director of the Realtor Association. The Bowmans are also related to the Upmeyers and Bursis. If we check who the McMullens of early Florida were related to, we find the Campbells, the Booths, the McClouds, the Hammocks, the Adams, the Walls, the Aults, the Fains, the Breckenridges, the Wallaces, the McLaughlins, the Mizells, the Snyders, the Dyes, the Leys, the Haggards, the Parramores, the Delks, the Hendersons, the L'Engles (Engels), and the Cones (Cohens). If we check the Bowman ancestry, we find the Bowmans continued to marry the Campbells, since Jackson Bowman III's brother was named Donald Campbell Bowman. That would be Margaret's uncle. Margaret's paternal grandmother was

also named Margaret, and she was the McMullen. Her father was Donald Campbell McMullen and her mother was Mary Ball. The name Ball not only links us back to George Washington, it links us forward to one of the allegedly victims of Ted Bundy. See below. The name Campbell also links us to an alleged victim of Bundy.

The Bowmans were originally Baumanns, which explains a lot here. My best guess is this also links us to the Jacksons and Harrisons—meaning those Presidents. Susan Jackson in this line, scrubbed, is from Tennessee and would have been born about 1820. Jackson Harrison Bowman was also from Tennessee. Of course Andrew Jackson was from Tennessee. Andrew Jackson was related to William Henry Harrison. All the Presidents are closely related. See for example Andrew Jackson Harrison, of Tennessee, famous as an Alamo defender.

So, to sum up, finding a daughter of this family as one of Bundy's Chi Omega victims couldn't be a redder flag. My best guess is she either went into the CIA, with this event as her exit; or she got married and moved to South America or something. I would say the same for Lisa Levy, but she is far better scrubbed. Someone else can do her for me.

Now, Kathy Kleiner. They sell **Kleiner** as German, but that last name is probably Jewish. In support of that, we find she married Scott **Rubin**. That's definitely Jewish. As proof, you may go to Mylife.com, which has a page for this Scott Rubin, which admits he is Jewish. We know it is the right Scott Rubin, because he lives in New Orleans with Katherine K. Rubin and is 60 years old. We also learn he has a criminal record.



That's the photo they lead with for Kleiner. But it has also been tampered with. She is supposed to have been hit in the face by Bundy with a piece of firewood, breaking her jaw. So they have skewed the photo to make it look like her jaw was previously broken. I guess we are supposed to believe Bundy also knocked her left eye about an inch lower? But that isn't how she looked then: they have simply twisted the photo. Don't believe me? Here she is around the same time with the same guy:





As you can see, her face is normal, with only the small amount of asymmetry that all of us have. Her face is no more skewed than his. Does she look like a person who was recently hit multiple times in the face with a bat? Not to me. In fact, we have a picture of her from before the event:



Her mouth is no more wonky after the alleged event than before.

<u>There is a recent story at *Rolling Stone*</u>, reselling Kleiner's story, and I recommend you read it closely. It is the usual compendium of lies and poorly disguised clues. Here's her first quote from the article:

In a way, she's glad that people are still talking about the man who tried to kill her. "He was, and he lived, and he breathed, and he did what he did. And at some point he was - " she laughs, "possibly a real person. I think it's good for people to read books about Bundy. I really

do. They need to know that there's evil out there, but they can control it."

What? He was possibly a real person? Meaning, possibly he wasn't.

You might want to ask yourself why she is laughing and joking around the entire interview. Her demeanor doesn't really fit the topic, does it? But this is what these crappy amateur Jewish actors do in their crisis moments. They crack up. They can't maintain a straight face. We have seen it over and over.

It is in this article that we learn Kathy Kleiner came out of the theater department. What a shock! Who could have predicted it?

We also have the required mention of a Christmas tree, to throw us off the Jewish scent. Kleiner allegedly put the star on a Christmas tree during one of her theater performances. It that really important information here? Reminds us of Johann Hari inserting a Christmas tree into his interview with David Irving, doesn't it?

We are told Kleiner moved into *Chi Omega* house for safety, since it had combination locks on all the doors. That sort of contradicts the mainstream story, doesn't it? How did Bundy know the combinations?

Next, we get a curious admission that will jump out at no one but me. Why? Because when we were at Mylife.com a moment ago, I catalogued all the names there. Katherine Rubin had many aliases, and one of them happened to be. . . Katherine DeShields. Well then, imagine my surprise when *Rolling Stone* told me Kathy Kleiner had dated David DeShields as a freshman. She ended up marrying him. More proof we had the right pages at Mylife.com.

Next we get a retelling of the story: Bundy went into Bowman's room first, then Levy's, then Kleiner's and Chandler's. So Bundy's luck was unending: not only was the lock on the back door of the sorority broken, none of these girls locked the doors to their bedrooms. Also curious that single rooms and double rooms were right across the hall from one another. You would expect adjacent rooms to be either singles or doubles, but not both. Also curious that this sorority house in Florida had a stack of firewood near the back door. They don't burn a lot of firewood in Florida, even in the winter.

These girls were all heavy sleepers, not noticing when their bedroom door opened. That's convenient. As was Ted's ability to walk through rooms in the dark and aim deadly blows without seeing what he is hitting. But I guess the girls left the nightlight on for him. And although these girls couldn't hear for sour apples, they apparently had the same sort of night vision Ted had: they were able to recognize him from his silhouette, thrown by moonlight coming in the open window.

No, you will say it was from car headlights. Which leads to my second Sherlock Holmes moment. Here is what it says at *Rolling Stone* (which is just repeating the old story):

Outside the back door, a sorority sister named Nita Neary was getting out of her boyfriend's car after a late date. Because Kleiner and Chandler never closed their curtains, the car's headlights were shining straight into their room.

What's the problem there, Dr. Watson?

The rooms were on the second floor. Car headlights can't shine directly into a second floor window, can they? Remember this, from a few sentences above in the article:

After picking up a log, he crept inside and moved up the beautiful wooden staircase that led to the second floor hallway.

Any questions?

Nita Neary, the girl in the car, is supposed to have seen Ted at the front door of the sorority. But Ted had come in the back door. Why would he be seen at the front door, when he knew the car was in front? Obviously, he would have fled out the back door, not the front door. So we know this story is false. Another way we know it is false is that Nita claims to have heard a thump from the room while she was outside at the car. Unlikely, since, again, she was a floor away in or near a running automobile. If the lights were on, the car was probably still running, and you can't hear a distant thump over a car engine. You will say they turned off the car engine, leaving the lights on to guide the girl in. But that contradicts the story we are told, since it was the car driving up that stopped the assault. So the car had just driven up and stopped. The engine had to be still on, unless the driver cut the engine before the car stopped. Plus, since it was 3am and her date was dropping her off—and since he couldn't come in—there is no reason for him to have turned off the engine.

Is Nita Neary Jewish? Probably, since Neary is a Jewish name. See Miami Beach Sister Cities Chairman George Neary, visiting Israel at that link, learning about Nahariya, joining a Purim parade, etc. Also see NPR's Lynn Neary, who often reports on Jewish stories. Not only that, but it appears the Nearys like to be involved in stories like this. See the 2016 New Jersey firebombing story, where Aakash Dalal's defense attorney was Brian Neary. We may assume it is another fake, since Dalal allegedly targeted synagogues. We see this same Brian Neary of New Jersey in the Solomon Dwek/Leona Beldini case, aka Operation Bid Rig. Dwek, Jewish, was arrested in 2006 for a \$50 million bank fraud involving PNC (Pittsburgh National Corporation). Beldini, Deputy Mayor of Jersey City, was caught up in the FBI sting in 2009, later convicted on 2 of 6 counts for being involved in a money laundering network connecting New Jersey to Israel, using fake non-profits. Several prominent rabbis were also convicted. Beldini was defended by Brian Neary. I am not going to get into here, but just skimming the case leads us to smell a huge coverup. Although 45 ranking people were arrested, almost none of them are in jail. Beldini remains out on appeal ten years later. Dwek became an FBI informant in trade for a reduced sentence. He may have served about two years (or not), but it appears none of the money was recovered. We are told he still owes millions, which we may assume he has stashed away somewhere, with the tacit blessing of the FBI.

Next clue: we are told the paramedics told Kathy Kleiner she had been shot in the face. What? Why would they say that? Did she have a bullet hole in her head? No. So this makes no sense. But that is nothing to what is coming up. Your big logic test at *Rolling Stone*.

In the emergency room of Tallahassee Memorial Regional Medical Center, doctors cut off her yellow Christmas nightgown and stared down at her underwear, confused. She heard them wonder aloud if they should give her a rape kit examination, a thought that filled her with terror. But then she noticed a familiar face in the crowd of doctors: it was the bride from that morning, working a training shift at the ER on her wedding night. Kleiner heard her say, "With such a brutal attack, what are the chances that he would put her underwear back on?" The friend stroked her hair, and for the first time that night, Kleiner felt her fear drain away.

Let me stop laughing long enough to type. We were told Kleiner had attended a wedding that day, and the bride was her friend, a nursing student. But rather than go on a honeymoon, in a smashing coincidence this bride went to work at the hospital that night, where she was on duty at around 4am. Not only was she working, apparently this 20-year-old nurse trainee was there to *advise* the doctors on duty. We are supposed to believe she knew more about rape victims than the doctors. We are also supposed to believe that the rest of this makes sense, though it doesn't. I would think you could rape someone without removing their panties. Just move them to the side, you know? It isn't rocket science. Which is indication our Langley writers are gay. They have never had sex with a woman, so they don't know anything about women's panties, or having sex around them.

My guess is they ran this fake during winter break, when the sorority house was empty. We are told it was the night of January 14, but I couldn't find any FSU calendars from 1978. Spring classes now start in the second week of January, but it could have been later in the 1970s. Or, they could have run the fake event a week earlier, just waiting a week to send out the press releases.

Next we are told Kleiner was desperate to reconnect to her Chi Omega sisters, but they wouldn't return her calls? Sorry, what? You would think they would be visiting her in the hospital, sending flowers, cards, teddy bears, and whatnot. So why would they be dissing her? I have a suggestion. I think they really were dissing her. This is one part of the story I actually believe. They were cutting her because they knew the whole thing was a fake. They didn't like their sorority house being used as a backdrop for this CIA project.

This is more indication of that from Wiki:

Tallahassee detectives later determined that the four attacks took place in a total of less than 15 minutes, within earshot of more than 30 witnesses who heard nothing. [198]

Ask yourself how Neary could hear a thump from the parking lot, inside a running automobile, but none of the other 30 sorority sisters heard a thing. As I said, Chi Omegas are very heavy sleepers, which is why they like to leave their doors unlocked and aren't worried that the back door lock doesn't work.

You know what other story this contradicts? The story we are told about 1 in 4 girls being raped on campuses. That has been the number for decades. They were selling that story when I was in school in 1981 and still are. The contradiction is that despite the horrible odds against them, college girls didn't seem to be getting the message. Despite all the rapes and assaults allegedly happening on these campuses, that we are told all the girls knew about—they just weren't reporting it—these sisters in Florida didn't seem worried at all. The back door lock was broken but they didn't bother to fix it. They had all of Christmas break to call in a repairman, but didn't bother. Despite knowing it was broken, they didn't lock their bedroom doors either, or leave on any lights. Such plucky girls!

But just when you think it can't get any worse, it gets worse:

Kleiner may have been small, but she was fierce. Newspapers would later <u>call her</u> a "belligerent survivor." Three months after the attack, she took a job as a cashier at a lumberyard to overcome her fear of strange men. She was also planning a wedding — reluctantly. DeShields had proposed right after the attack, and their families loved the idea, as marriage seemed like the perfect way to keep Kleiner safe. She was married in June in a long-sleeved, high-necked dress that her parents picked out for her. Right after the wedding,

she took a job as a bank teller, where she was promptly robbed at gunpoint by an attractive male stranger. Kleiner took the afternoon off and returned the next day.

Anyone? A rich Jewish sorority girl in Florida, almost murdered and with a face still deformed, takes a job at a lumberyard to overcome her fear of strange men? Yeah. Three months later she takes a job as a bank teller and gets robbed at gunpoint by an attractive male stranger? Of course she did. But what happened to her college classes? You will say she took the semester off, for obvious reasons. Yes, that makes sense, but if she was going to take time off from classes, why would she feel the need to apply for these new jobs? You would expect her to move home and take it easy for a while.

More than a year after Bundy's capture, his trial was moved from Tallahassee to Miami. Why did it take a year for that? And why Miami? Normally a change of venue doesn't move the trial 500 miles away. You move to a nearby city, not clear across a huge state. Why not Gainesville or Jacksonville, or even Tampa? I guess it was easier for them to fake this trial in Miami, for some reason.

Next we learn that Ann Rule, who wrote *The Stranger Beside Me*, pointedly didn't interview Kleiner. We are seeing why. Kleiner, being a horrible actress, had already jeopardized the story many times, and Rule didn't wish to quote her saying more stupid things. Although Rule says enough stupid things to fully make up for it.

At the end of the *Rolling Stone* piece, we hear from Kleiner's highschool friend Nora **Bergman**. Jewish of course. Then we get a second dose of the Christmas tree story, in case we missed it the first time. Amazing.

Ann Rule is also suspicious, as we find from her bio. She came from a family of law enforcement, and both her uncle and grandfather were sheriffs in Michigan. Her cousin was the prosecuting attorney and her other uncle was the medical examiner. So the family had things pretty much sewn up in that town. All they needed was the editor of the paper. In college she studied creative writing and psychology. She worked for the Seattle Police Department and then went to work as a writer for *True Detective* magazine. That's a huge red flag, since True Detective was another Intelligence front, peddling fear with the same sorts of stories as the Bundy story. It was founded by Bernard Adolphus McFadden and Charles Fulton Oursler in 1924, both obvious spooks. Belying its name, its stories were not true. They were fiction. Rule knew Ted Bundy personally back to 1971, so she came in on the ground floor of this hoax. They allegedly worked together at a suicide crisis hotline, but that just means they were CIA co-It is my opinion that all of Rule's books are fiction, written at the behest of Intelligence, for whom she was working from the beginning. You may also like to know that Rule filed a defamation suit against the fiance of Liysa Northon, Northon being the subject of her book *Heart Full of Lies*. The judges ruled that Rule had broken the law against filing frivolous lawsuits attacking free speech, and awarded the defendants court costs, attorneys fees, plus \$30,000 in damages. Rule appealed and then promptly died, freeing her from actually paying. Again indicating to me that she had help from the CIA even here. Ann Rule's daughter Leslie took up where Ann left off, writing about spooks. . . I mean ghosts. Her books are said to be non-fiction, but they read like fiction to me. She married musician Glen Scott on November 11, 2011. Let's see, that would be 11/11/11. These people never quit.

Ann Rule was born Ann Stackhouse. Her mother was a Hansen and a Cook, and her father was also a Farnsworth, a Cronin, a Hartshorn, a Pulley, a Meredith, a Brewer, a Zane, a Kirkbride, a Brinson, and a Smith. Also related to Powell, Neer, and Buckminster. The Farnsworths were among the first settlers of New Jersey. They were Quakers who came over on the ship *Shield*. Through the Cronins

we go back to Massachusetts. <u>The Farnsworths soon moved</u> to Massachusetts as well, where they married the Kelloggs of the peerage. You may also remember that President Grant's son Ulysses had a son named Chaffee who married a Marion Farnsworth. Although she is listed at thepeerage, she is scrubbed from Chaffee's Findagrave page, which is curious. Also scrubbed from Geneanet. She is listed at Geni, but given no parents. Through several of these names, including Kellogg, Buckminster, and Farnsworth, Ann Rule is related to the Bundys of Boston. See below for a list of names in their ancestry. This indicates to me that she is related to Ted Bundy. She and Ted didn't find themselves in this event by accident.

Findagrave gives us all that, but scrubs Ann's father. This is because the Stackhouse name is quite important as well. Her grandfather was Jacob Stackhouse, Jr., and it appears he was descended from the heads of the East India Company. See John Stackhouse, President of Bengal. He was related to the Acton Baronets, and through them to the Greys, Earls of Stamford. This links us immediately to the Booths, Earls of Warrington, and through them to the Mainwarings and the MacCartys, Earls of Clancarty. Also to the Sackville-Wests, Earls of De la Warre; the Spencers, Earls of Sutherland; the FitzGeralds, Earls of Kildare; the Bennets; the Hamiltons; the Walkers; the Trips (Tripps); basically the entire upper end of the peerage and the entire Intelligence apparatus. The Stackhouses of the peerage were from Bucks County, PA. They were originally Stachouwers from Holland.

Sarah Stackhouse was the granddaughter of John Stackhouse of the East India Company, and she married Maj. Gen. Norman MacLeod, 23rd of MacLeod. This links us to the Bowmans and McMullens of Florida, who were also McClouds. MacLeod=McCloud. The MacLeods also link us to the Gordons, Dukes of Gordon. They also link us to the Mitfords and Campbells. They also link us to the Irvings, Spencers, Percevals, and Stevens. Through the Stevens we link to the Stuarts, Earls of Castle Stuart. This links us to the Guggenheims, Levesons, Noels, Gores, and Erskines. Reverend William Stackhouse married a Smith of the Smith Baronets. So this side of Ann Rule's family also indicates to me she did not accidentally find herself assigned to the Bundy project. She appears to be related to all these same people, including many of the fake victims.

You know who else is a MacLeod? Trump's mother is a MacLeod.

Next, we go to yesterday's *Cosmopolitan*, which admits the Sundance/Netflix film was a lie as well. In the final scene Bundy admits to his ex-girlfriend Kloepfer that he cut a girl's head off with a hacksaw. The problem? It never happened, even in the fake mainstream story. Kloepfer never visited him in jail and he never said anything like that to her. So they continue to tweak the story to fit their current agenda.

You may also be interested to know that I did a people search on Elizabeth Kloepfer. I looked at both Intelius and InstantCheckmate. They have never heard of her. No Elizabeth Kloepfer in her age range exists or ever has existed in the United States. She should be around 70, but none of the Elizabeth Kloepfers listed are near that age. The closest is age 59, but that would make her nine years old when she met Ted.

Which leads us to do an Intelius search on Ted. According to his current page, he has lived in Lansing, MI, Santa Monica, CA, Oceanside, NY, and Chandler, Gilbert, and Mesa, AZ—besides the places we know of. He is related to Kimberly, Fran, Michelle, and Brooke Bundy. But according to the given history, Ted never lived in Lansing. But you know who did? Donald Eugene Miller, another fake serial killer active at the same time as Ted. So the computers appear to be telling us something. Ted may have worked on the Miller hoax as well. He looks nothing like Miller, so I am not suggesting he

played both parts, but maybe he was a writer for both parts. Even stranger, InstantCheckmate has never heard of a Theodore Bundy of Tacoma. They give one result, a Timothy T. Bundy, age 31. Even stranger, if we search on Ted Bundy of Salt Lake City, we again get only one result. . . for an Edward L. James. I would pass this by except for one thing: he is related to Baumanns. As in victim Margaret Bowman/Baumann. *Even stranger*, Radaris.com has a listing for a Ted Bundy, of the age he would be now, living in Oceanside, NY. There are three phone numbers listed if you want to call him and chat. If that doesn't work, maybe you can call him up in Mesa, AZ, since Spokeo has phone numbers listed for a Ted Bundy, age 74. According to the given story, Ted never lived in Santa Monica either, but if do a search on that we do get one hit: Peggy Clifford, author of 1980 *To Aspen and Back*, lives in Santa Monica. So there may be some connection between her and Bundy. I found no information about those four women listed on Ted's Intelius page. But these unknown relatives are more indication I am right: Ted is not who he is sold as.

The Timothy T. Bundy in Tacoma is strange for another reason. Google searches on *any* Timothy Bundy take us to Ted. So the computers seem to think there is some link between Ted and the name Timothy, even though Ted's names were Theodore *Robert*. Which makes us wonder if Timothy T's middle name is Theodore. He is the right age to be a son. I looked for a picture of him, and although I didn't find one, I did find more strangeness. An images search on "Timothy T. Bundy Tacoma" takes us to this picture said to be at Radaris.com again.



Problem is, that picture doesn't exist on the pages we are linked to. It only exists on the Google list. But doesn't that picture give you a strange feeling? It does me. Could that be what Ted looks like now? I see a match on the eyes and nose. The eyes look blue. He is about the right age (72). For the rest it is hard to tell. Other things to note: it is 4:14 and there is a duck on top of the cabinet. See Bundy ducks.

Three days ago, Nicole Clark at <u>Vice.com ran a piece</u> about a glaring problem with the new Netflix film: it comes off as romantic, and the viewer in many places is rooting for Ted. But this has been a problem with the story from the beginning. The story writers could never keep their proper hats on, and they veer wildly from selling Ted as a monster on one page, to selling him as a sex symbol on the

next. Now we know why: Ted himself was probably one of the writers or consultants. He agreed to this part only if he got to have some fun with it. Like any other Hollywood-type, he didn't mind being sold as a serial killer, but he wanted to look good while doing it. See the pic under title, which has to be near the end: he has some wrinkles. Even so, he is artistically lit, with an expensive haircut, and shot by a professional photographer. Ask yourself when and where that was taken. Do you think Richard Avedon just happened to be working for the Florida State prison at that time? No. That doesn't look like a prison photo, does it? You will say one of his visitors brought in professional photographers from the media, but again, that isn't allowed. Once Bundy was in jail, he should have been subject to Florida State prison rules, which don't allow media access.

Which leads us back to Wiki. We find that Ted's mother claimed his father was guy named Jack Worthington. I didn't see the joke the first time I read that, but I do now. Look up Jack Worthington, and you will find Jack Worthington, Jr., investment banker and managing director of the Arundel Group. He is rumored to be the illegitimate son of John F. Kennedy. Since that means he was born about 1960, we need to look at his father, Jack Worthington, Sr. He is sold to us as a basketball coach in Houston, but that may have been his cover, we don't know. What we do know is that Worthington is admitted to be connected through his mother Mary Bibb, a beauty queen whose father was a business partner with the President of Mexico, Miguel Aleman Valdez. They were also close friends of President Johnson. Valdez links us to Meyer Lansky and Joseph Kennedy, since he also worked closely with them in liquor distribution. That is, what they call the mob. Remember, Lansky is admitted to be the head of the Jewish mob, and here he is working with the Presidents of the US and Mexico.

So what does it all mean? Is Worthington really the son of JFK? No, because JFK was gay. He wouldn't have been interested in sleeping with this Bibb babe. Is Ted Bundy really the son of a Jack Worthington? No, since I think Bundy is the son of William or McGeorge Bundy. But all these people are from the Families, either in Intelligence or closely connected to it, so they know one another. They insert these family jokes into their projects, since they know no one else will be able to see them. I suppose it is one of their little joys.

Next, this popped out at me at Wiki:

His earliest documented homicides were committed in 1974 when he was 27 years old. By then, by his own admission, he had mastered the necessary skills—in the era before <u>DNA</u> <u>profiling</u>—to leave minimal incriminating forensic evidence at crime scenes. [69]

Sort of contradicts the Volkswagen story, doesn't it? He mastered the skills at crime scenes, but overlooked his car—the incriminating-evidence-mobile?


His first documented homicide is given as 1974, but it isn't very well documented, since the girl is variously identified as Karen Sparks, Joni Lenz, Mary Adams, or Teri Caldwell. This girl with four different names was a dancer and student at University of Washington. Just for kicks, here are some of the other surnames of girls given at that time: Manson, Ball, Parks, Rancourt, Hawkins, Healy, Naslund, Ott, and Wilcox. Note the name Manson. They admit her body was never found, so logically there can be no proof anyone murdered her. For myself, I don't believe any of these people existed, or if they did, they were simply relocated.

More indication of that is in the next stories. On October 18, Melissa Anne Smith, daughter of a local police chief, allegedly went missing. Let's see, that's 10/18, *Chai*. On October 31, Halloween, Laura

Anne Aime allegedly went missing. On November 8, Bundy tried to kidnap Carol DaRonch. Let's see, thats 11/8, *Chai* again. According to Forebears.io, there is no one in the history of the world who spelled their last name DaRonch, with a capital R. So Carol must have flown in from another planet. Her incident allegedly took place in Murray. So we will have to look for incidents in Stanley, Hamilton, or Stewart.

When picking up DaRonch, he showed her a badge and told her he was a policeman. Except that he was driving a Volkswagen beetle. She says she thought maybe he was undercover and got in anyway. Right.

Ah, we do get a Campbell as a victim coming up next. What are the odds. We even get a fake photo of her:



That hair was pasted on, and her head was pasted on the blouse. See how her hair looks like a helmet, with a hard edge? And although we can see detail in her face, we can see none in her blouse. The ghost blouse, barely visible.

Ted's next victim after Campbell was a Cunningham. "The bodies of Wilcox, Kent, Cunningham, Oliverson, Culver, and Curtis were never recovered." So how do we know he killed them? Because he later confessed. But Bundy's words mean nothing. He was caught in millions of lies, so why believe him on that? My assumption is these women are still alive somewhere, if they ever existed to start with. Without strong evidence to the contrary, that should also be your assumption.

Here's your next funny story:

Salt Lake City police placed Bundy on 24-hour surveillance, and Thompson flew to Seattle with two other detectives to interview Kloepfer. She told them that in the year prior to Bundy's move to Utah, she had discovered objects that she "couldn't understand" in her house and in Bundy's apartment. These items included crutches, a bag of plaster of Paris that he admitted stealing from a medical supply house, and a meat cleaver that was never used for

cooking. Additional objects included surgical gloves, an Oriental knife in a wooden case that he kept in his glove compartment, and a sack full of women's clothing.

She couldn't understand those items, eh? Let me just ask you this, ladies. If you found a sack full of (other) women's clothing in your boyfriend's house, how long would you remain in it? A matter of seconds? And yet despite his threatening her life, and her many meetings with police, we are supposed to believe she continued to live with him (in *her* house)?

Here's another question nobody ever asks. Why was Bundy driving all the way to Aspen to kill girls? I guess these dopey writers in Langley think that because Colorado is somewhere near Utah, that was feasible. But the distance is over 400 miles, which was about 7 hours by car then. And Salt Lake City is far larger than Aspen. So I don't really see why Aspen got involved in the story. I guess it is because the spooks had a lot of people on the ground in Aspen, so it was a good place to run this fake story.

In November, the three principal Bundy investigators—Jerry Thompson from Utah, Robert Keppel from Washington, and Michael Fisher from Colorado—met in <u>Aspen, Colorado</u> and exchanged information with 30 detectives and prosecutors from five states. [168] While officials left the meeting (later known as the Aspen Summit) convinced that Bundy was the murderer they sought, they agreed that more hard evidence would be needed before he could be charged with any of the murders. [169]

Again, that makes no sense. Why would the tiny town of Aspen, lost in the high Rockies, be the place chosen for this summit? You would think it would be in Denver or Salt Lake City or Seattle or someplace like that.

After his Chi Omega jaunt, Bundy went to Jacksonville, where he tried to get 14-year-old Leslie Parmenter into his car. She just happened to be the daughter of the Jacksonville Chief of Detectives. Are you seeing a pattern here? Somehow Bundy is able to drive into a city of millions and again and again key on the daughter of the police chief. Amazing!

Some days later, Bundy was pulled over in his Volkswagen beetle in Pensacola. Wait. A Volkswagen beetle? How did that get in Florida. Well, it wasn't his old one. He stole a different one. OK, that makes sense, right?

In the stolen vehicle were three sets of IDs belonging to female FSU students, 21 stolen credit cards and a stolen television set. [212] Also found were a pair of dark-rimmed non-prescription glasses and a pair of plaid slacks, later identified as the disguise worn by "Richard Burton, Fire Department" in Jacksonville. [213]

So Ted had conveniently created a second "incriminating-evidence-mobile", for the ease of the prosecution. Ask yourself why he would have IDs of female FSU students, unless he wanted to help the police. Why didn't he just paste the IDs to his forehead and wear an "I'm a serial killer" gimme cap? And what's with the plaid slacks? Did Fire Chiefs in Florida wear plaid pants? Am I missing something?

Next we get to the trial, which was the first to be nationally televised. Nuff said. I have covered this before. If a trial was televised, it was fake. See <u>my paper on O. J. Simpson</u> if you don't know what I mean. We are told Ted had five court-appointed attorneys, but handled much of his own defense. What part of that makes any sense? Why would Florida appoint five attorneys to defend him? I'll tell you a secret: any State will only appoint one attorney, since attorneys cost money. Legally they have to

appoint you one. They do not have to appoint more than one, and will never do so in a real trial. Yes, someone like Simpson could privately *hire* many attorneys, but that is because he was rich. But the State will not hire multiple attorneys just to be nice, or to match the other side.


According to Mike Minerva, a Tallahassee public defender and member of the defense team, a pre-trial plea bargain was negotiated in which Bundy would plead guilty to killing Levy, Bowman and Leach in exchange for a firm 75-year prison sentence. Prosecutors were amenable to a deal, by one account, because "prospects of losing at trial were very good." [218] Bundy, on the other hand, saw the plea deal not only as a means of avoiding the death penalty, but also as a "tactical move": he could enter his plea, then wait a few years for evidence to disintegrate or become lost and for witnesses to die, move on, or retract their testimony. Once the case against him had deteriorated beyond repair, he could file a post-conviction motion to set aside the plea and secure an acquittal.

Real attorneys out there know that is hogwash. You don't get to wait a few years after your plea. That is why trials are supposed to be prompt, so that evidence *doesn't* disintegrate. Nor can you file a post-conviction motion to set aside the plea and secure an acquittal. You can't just come back in a few years, re-plead not guilty and request a trial. The only way you could do that is if you had strong evidence you had been tortured into a guilty plea. And even that would be next to impossible to get in front of a judge, since if you *had* been tortured, it was probably with the knowledge and agreement of this judge to begin with. This is written for people who not only know nothing of the law, but have never even watched Perry Mason. This is legal blather for the clueless by the clueless. I honestly can't understand how it ever makes it into print, either online or off.

Next we get the great made-for-TV joke about the bite marks on Levy's butt. These were matched to a casting of Bundy's teeth, and this, more than anything, led to the conviction. We also saw a doctor Levine testifying about the marks on Levy's butt. You really can't make this shit up. Or, Langley can, but no one else would bother. I'm just surprised they didn't make a plaster cast of Bundy's dick and match it to a hole in the wall by the girl's bed.

After conviction, Bundy supposedly initiated a series of interviews with Stephen Michaud and Hugh Aynesworth, detailing his crimes and thought processes. But again, this is impossible, since level-one prisoners like Bundy are allowed by law to speak only to clergy, law enforcement, and blood relatives. They are not allowed daily interviews with the press. Only fake prisoners are allowed these visits, so anytime you see them, you should know you are witnessing a fake. Plus, this contradicts what we are told about Bundy waiting for evidence to disintegrate, and coming back with an appeal or something. As with saw with Susan Atkins' fake interviews after the Manson event, such interviews can only damage any possible appeals, as well as any parole possibilities or execution stays. The only use such interviews have is to continue to propel the fake story, and to allow for the publication of even more ridiculous and horrific details.

We can also look up Michaud and Aynesworth. Michaud came out of Stanford and had been working for *Newsweek*, where he had gotten his start on fake serial killers by making up stories about Dean Corll in Houston. See my paper on the Zodiac, where I unwind that hoax. So we can now judge all of Michaud's writing on this, assuming the kidnapping of Samuel Bronfman was fake, the murder of Jack Knight was fake, and so on. Michaud looks to me like yet another CIA writer, selling fake crime as true crime.



Of course we can say the same of Aynesworth. Aynesworth claims to have witnessed the assassination of JFK, the arrest of Oswald, and the murder of Oswald. So I guess Hugh just happened to be watching the same movie as Oswald that evening. What a coincidence! But that isn't all: Aynesworth also claims to have seen Ruby earlier in the day of *his* shooting, hanging around in the employee's cafeteria at the *Dallas Morning News*. Really? Was Ruby an employee of the *Dallas Morning News*? Well, that explains a lot, doesn't it? Aynesworth attended Salem College. Of course he did. He was the first one to interview Marina Oswald. Of course he did, because the Ainsworths are also Baronets, related to the Oswalds, Fortescues (Earls of Fortescue), Stirlings, Seymours, Leveson-Gowers, Ryders, Dawson-Damers, Fanes, and Campbells. These Campbells are the Lords of Cawdor, related to the Stuarts, Earls of Moray. And remember, the Oswalds are also in the peerage, related to the Kennedys, Erskines, Murrays, Montgomeries, and Hamiltons. Some of those names we have already seen above, haven't we? Let's see, who was a Fortescue? Oh yeah, the founder of Chi Omega sororities was a Fortescue. Who was a Fane? That would be the Bowmans of Florida, who were Fains. And of course the Campbells link us back to one of Bundy's victims.

Curiously, Hugh Aynesworth has no early bio and no ancestry. My best guess is he changed the spelling of his last name, to prevent the kind of research I am doing here. All he had to do is go back to the original peerage spelling, since the Ainsworth Baronets were originally de Aynesworths, descended from. . . yes, a Hugh de Aynesworth of Westley. So once again, I recommend you take anything Hugh Aynesworth says or writes with a grain of salt. No, that is putting it too nicely. I recommend you trash it immediately. For me, his testimony about anything is completely worthless. I will be told he has been nominated for x-number of Pulitzers, but I take that not as evidence against me, but evidence *for* me. The Pulitzer is like a CIA seal of approval.

While we are in the genealogies, it might help to look again at the Boston Brahmin Bundys. We know they were Putnams and Lowells, but let's see what else we can find. We also find the names Bigelow, Roosevelt, Lawrence, Amory, Cabot, Peabody, Sparks, and Crowninshield. The last name links us to Ben Bradlee. The Cabots take us back to Trinity, Isle of Jersey. We also find the names Lyman, Bancroft, Gooll, Pickering, Gideon, Kellogg, Gardner, Leavitt, Sprague, Higginson, Champney, Tunnell, Jewell, Shaler (Shayler), Torrey, Reynolds, Baldwin, Golding, Orne (Horne), and Felton. The Hornes were founders of Salem. Also Gruche, Balcam, Gyffard, Sewell, Shepard, Savage, Stoddard, and Hamon (Hammond). And on the Bundy side Goodhue, Hollister, Chamberlain, McKee, Granger, Carter, Burr, Warner, Vose, Clay, Frazier, Noble, Nodine, Pickney, Briggs, Chandler, Chilton, and Palmer.

I yellowed Granger since it comes up in the Ted Bundy story. It is the suburb of Salt Lake City, named

for a Granger from this same family. We are told Elias Smith named Granger, UT, for its good farming, but that is another lie. Elias Smith was related to these Grangers, and gave it a family name. Remember, these Mormon Smiths go back to the Johnsons and Peppers of Boston, Ipswich, Topsfield, and Salem, where they were closely related to the Fullers, Bennetts, Carters, Stoddards, Bowens, Notts, Barnes, Hedges, Palmers, Hunts, Reddings, Goulds, Curtises, Perkins, Robinsons, and Dows. So this is one big happy family.

I redded Chandler, Sparks, and Curtis, because again those are alleged victims of Ted Bundy. Karen Chandler was Kathy Kleiner's roommate. An S. M. Walsh published an article on Heavy.com on February 15, 2019, entitled "Who is Karen Chandler, Ted Bundy's Surviving Victim?" Unfortunately, Ms. Walsh fails to answer that question. We learn absolutely nothing, since although her father is mentioned, no name is given. No name is given for her husband. A complete information embargo on this person 41 years after the event. In another article from the same time at the aptly named distractify.com (which I assume is yet another CIA front), we find the title "Where Are Ted Bundy's Survivors Today?" But again, the author doesn't answer that question. We are told almost nothing about them. The one piece of data I could glean from the article is that Karen Sparks, aka Joni Lenz, aka blablah, suffered brain damage and can't remember a thing. That's convenient. So how do we know Bundy attacked her? We don't. He was never tried for her assault, so the stories are all just that. . . stories. Bundy was only tried for three murders and three assaults. Charges were never pressed in any of the rest of the alleged assaults and murders, so they never were more than *True Crime* stories.

You will say that they didn't have time to try Bundy for any of these murders and assaults, since he was executed for the Florida murders. But that isn't true. He sat in jail for nine years while they let various appeals fail. Plenty of time to try him for other murders. So why didn't they? Because they had no real evidence tying him to any of them. I think they just made up all those murders, but if they didn't it was all the same to the police and prosecutors, who apparently had nothing to go on despite all we are told about the incriminating-evidence-mobile, etc. Given that, in my opinion it should be illegal to say he murdered all these people. There is no evidence that has been presented in court that he murdered any of them. So you have just been accepting these stories based on. . . well, nothing. Some true crime writers and corrupt officials spread these stories, but other than that you have zip to go on.

Remember, the first trial was a four-day bench trial. So that was a joke. The Florida trials were also jokes, as you can see by watching the films. No real attorney or judge could possibly watch that farce without wincing. Like the Simpson trial, the Menendez trial, and other televised trials, it was filmed for the great American public, which knows nothing of the law, of history, of logic, or of decency. It will apparently accept whatever spoon is forced into its mouth, without gagging.

But my readers now know better. I have proved with in-depth research over many years that not one of these top serial killers was real. Which means *none* of them are real. None. Not one. If even one serial killer were real, they wouldn't have to promote these fakes, would they? They would just promote the real ones. But they don't. They promote these ridiculous stories that simply fall to pieces on a close reading. Their only hope was that no one would read them closely, and until I came along, almost no one did. BUT THOSE DAYS ARE OVER.

Addendum January 20, 2022: I discovered something new today, which blows the whole story one more time. Remember the crazy lady who supposedly married Ted while he was in jail and somehow had his child, though he had no conjugal rights? Carole Ann Boone (Carson). I ran her ancestry via published lists at Findagrave, discovering she isn't just some crazy lady. Nor is she just a Boone. She is from THE Boones, as in Daniel Boone. Her 4g-grandfather was George Russell of Loudoun,

Virginia, best friend and close cousin of Daniel Boone, killed while hunting with Boone. George's grandson, also George, was a close friend of Davy Crockett. And yes, these are the Russells of Kent and before that Scotland, being the Dukes of Bedford. Linking us to Bertrand Russell. This also links us to the Percivals and Pratts, and through the Pratts we link to . . . the Bundys. Carol Ann was a cousin of Ted Bundy: just what we have come to expect. She had previously been married to a Boone, and he was also her cousin, as you see. She was also a close cousin of . . Johnny Carson. Johnny's real name isn't Jonathan, it is John William, and he comes from Iowa. His Carsons are scrubbed at Geni and Geneanet after a couple of generations, but they come from Kansas, see John William Carson at Findagrave. Strangely, Johnny Carson has no listing there. Anyway, this older John William is the grandfather of Carole Ann Boone, so she is something like a third cousin of Johnny Carson.

Carole Ann is also an Adams, a Morgan, a Lennox, a Truman, and a Reed. And, no, none of those names is a coincidence. She is related to all those famous people as well. Findagrave scrubs her direct ancestor James Turney Adams, but he was a cousin of the Presidents.

We can also look at the pics of Bundy allegedly in jail with his wife and daughter:



They are all outrageously bad paste-ups. I didn't realize Ted was only 5'5", did you?



Fake! Notice how her head is now about 50% bigger than the previous photo. In the previous photo, her head is about 2/3rds as long as his, but here it is the same.



Fake! Why would their heads be in tighter focus than their arms? Answer: because their heads are pasted in. It is very obvious with his head, which is too big for his body.

^{*}Pound's anti-Jewish ravings and rantings were more theater and controlled opposition, as I have shown elsewhere.

**Levy has no obituary online, and her Findagrave page is scrubbed.	No mother listed.