THE GHISLAINE MAXWELL TRIAL WAS FAKE

by Miles Mathis

First published March 3, 2022

Let's get right to it. How do I know it was fake? It's easy: I didn't even follow the trial but I now know it was fake by the way it is spinning out. It is being reported that the guilty verdict may be vacated due to one of the jurors talking about his own abuse in deliberations. My first response to that was, "So what?" Jurors can talk about anything they like in deliberations. The problem, we are told, is that one of the questions asked of jurors coming in was "have you ever been sexually abused?" The juror answered no, so it now looks like he lied in order to get chosen. Of course that is unlikely, since if people lie on those things it is to NOT be selected. Plus, if he really was so keen to get selected, to the point of lying about it, why would he tell the press afterwards? All he had to do is keep his mouth shut. Again, it makes no sense. It looks like a set-up.

You still have doubts? Well, at first I did, too. I thought, "Well, it is highly unlikely this happened as we are told, but it is not impossible. Maybe he is just a blabbermouth moron." But then I noticed something else. The guy's name is Scot David and he works at... the Carlyle Group. His name is bad enough, because it indicates he is Jewish. A red flag already since of course Epstein and all these other people are Jewish. But the clincher is the Carlyle Group. You remember them, right? The multinational asset management group famous for being composed of Jewish criminals. See the pay for play scandal of 2009, where Carlyle paid a huge settlement. They paid an even larger fine in 2014 in a settlement for collusion. They liked to buy defense industry companies, and they were involved in 911. The Bin Ladens were major investors and Shafiq bin Laden was the guest of honor at an investor conference on September 11, 2001. See Michael Moore's Fahrenheit 911 for more on that. Also interesting is that if you remember, Disney initially killed that film, forcing Moore to move to Lion's Gate for distribution. Do you know who was Vice President of Production at Disney at the time? The man who blocked release of Fahrenheit 911? Brad Epstein. Yep.

You may also not know that Glenn Youngkin, now Governor of Virginia, was previously CEO of Carlyle. So if you thought he was a white hat, well think again. Donald Rumsfeld was a Chairman of Carlyle, and George Bush was an advisor. Dick Cheney also ties in. So when you see one of the twelve jurors in the Maxwell trial coming out of Carlyle, it should be like getting hit in the face with a cream pie. Sort of makes you wonder who the other eleven worked for. Halliburton? McKinsey? Burson Marsteller? Raytheon? Lockheed Martin? Kerr-McGee? Brown and Root? Goldman Sachs? This is just more clownworld theater.

Which leads me to study the alleged trial. A couple of things pop up immediately. Once again, we are told most witnesses appeared under aliases. Since this fake trial was not televised, there was no need for that. Plus, Maxwell has the right to face her accusers and know exactly who they are. So this whole idea of cloaked witnesses is unConstitutional, and looks to me like another easy signal of CIA involvement. There should be no such thing as cloaked witnesses, and until recently there weren't. I have previously stated that all televised trials should be assumed to be fake, and I now add trials with cloaked witnesses. Annie Farmer is the only one who appeared under her own name, so we should ask who she works for. Morgan Stanley? Maybe Daniel Edelman? She is now listed as a working psychologist in Austin, but I did discover her sister Maria met Epstein first. She is a modern artist who graduated from the New York Academy of Art, and was introduced by her dean Eileen Guggenheim to

Epstein at a show. So the red flags are piling up already. Soon Maria was Epstein's art-buying liaison, as well as his party planner. You may wish to follow along with the story here, since it gets very weird very fast, and you may not take my word for it. At this point, Annie was 16, and Maria got Epstein to help Annie find a good college. Not sure what that means. We aren't clear on why Annie couldn't find her own college. In pursuit of this college thing, Epstein invited Annie to his ranch in New Mexico, because, you know, why not? We are told Annie's mother Janice Swain agreed to this because she thought Maxwell was chaperoning. Yeah. We are told Annie allowed herself to be massaged topless by Maxwell. . . as you do when you are discussing colleges.

But her sister Maria apparently wasn't bothered by that, since she moved into Epstein's estate after that in Ohio to "focus on her painting". Not sure why you have to move in with a rich guy to focus on your painting, but these girls are not exactly sounding pristine. Maria now claims she was groped by both Epstein and Maxwell in 1996 in Ohio and went to the FBI with it, offering to spill the beans on everything she knew about sex parties. Somehow, the FBI now claims it has no record of that. Hmmm. She continued to try to tell her story for the next seven years, including going on the record with *Vanity Fair*. But no one published anything. In 2006 the FBI opened a case on Epstein, but again the Farmers weren't mentioned, since it went to a plea deal. It wasn't until 2019, 23 years later, that the Farmers got to tell their stories.

Does any of that sound believable to you?

Well, we have more problems. If we do a people search on this Annie Farmer, age 42, we find her at Instantcheckmate, but she is not who were are told. She is listed as Anne Farmer, possible relatives the dreaded *i*, meaning the CIA has been there first. But she has 18 locations on her list, and Texas is not the most interesting. She has DC and eight locations in Maryland, including Bethesda, Lanham, Riverdale, and Windsor Mill. Telling me we are looking at a probable agent here. The computer also thinks she is the same person as Jennifer A. (Anne?) Farmer, aka Lawson, aka Joseph, also of Austin, TX, also related to her mother Janice Swain. She is related to a Mackenzie Farmer, 34, of Ohio.

This is also strange: according to the big computer, Janice Swain does not have a daughter named Maria. But Janice's middle name is Maria. We also find that these Farmers already have ties to Albuquerque, NM, and Ohio even without Epstein. Janice Swain is now married to Paul Swain, and he links us through his sister Judith to the Wiszowatys, the Legendres, the Rizzos, the Tarrs, and the Donohoes.

Here is something else you may not know. Another key witness in the Epstein and Maxwell cases is Virginia Guiffre, nee Roberts, who claims to have been sex-trafficked. But her father just happened to work for Trump at Mar-a-Lago as his property manager. Virginia lived with her father on that property and also worked there. What are the effin odds, eh? But that's just a coincidence, I'm sure. Her bio at Wikipedia makes no sense, since it tells us she was living on the streets by age 14, but then at age 14 returned to live with her father at Mar-a-Lago. So how long was she on the streets? Ten days? At age 16 she allegedly met Maxwell at Mar-a-Lago, though we aren't told why Maxwell was hanging out there. Maxwell hired this 16-year-old girl to be Epstein's personal masseuse, and everyone was fine with that, I guess, including her father and Trump?

When Giuffre arrived at Epstein's Palm Beach home, she says he was naked lying down and Maxwell told her how to massage him. "They seemed like nice people so I trusted them, and I told them I'd had a really hard time in my life up until then - I'd been a runaway, I'd been sexually abused, physically abused... That was the worst thing I could have told them because now they knew how vulnerable I was," Giuffre stated.

What? It isn't even legal for a 16-year-old to work as a masseuse, so her father should have been arrested as an accomplice. But do you really think this girl is going to meet some naked man and think "they seemed like nice people"? C'mon, this is so fictional! There is no way Ghislaine Maxwell is going to recruit the daughter of Trump's property manager, since that would just be begging to get caught.

This just means to me that whoever composed this story wanted to implicate Trump, but Trump swam out of that net as usual. So did Dershowitz.

Even more ridiculous is that we are told Giuffre began working with the FBI on the Epstein case, and Epstein hired Dershowitz to defend him. The problem: Giuffre had also fingered Dershowitz as one of the people she was trafficked to. So there is no way any judge would allow Dershowitz to be lead attorney here. Given Giuffre's testimony, the FBI and US Attorney Acosta declined to prosecute at the federal level, leaving Palm Beach to proceed with the case. Their man Barry Krischer went to a grand jury with only one witness—Giuffre—which is unheard of. Krischer and Acosta then signed a non-prosecution agreement, which I think they just made up for this event. I have never heard of a non-prosecution agreement signed by government prosecutors. What would be the point? If you don't want to prosecute, just stop prosecuting. You don't have to sign anything for the defendant, and they admit such an agreement would be illegal anyway. So it is just a continuation of a joke.

The next part of the story also makes no legal sense. We are told Giuffre and other girls trafficked sued Epstein in civil court, with Epstein settling for small amounts (around \$100,000). But of course the main clause of any settlement on Epstein's side would be the girls' future silence. That is what "settlement" means, legally: the case would be closed. Instead, Giuffre went public in the worldwide press, effectively breaking her settlement agreement. So the story is just for people who know nothing of the law, and don't even know what a settlement is. In the real world, a settlement is an enforceable contract, so if you settle and then begin blabbing to the press again or sue again later, you have broken the contract and can be sued yourself. Giuffre would open herself to slam-dunk lawsuits by Epstein's attorneys for breaking the contract, and she would not only have to pay back the money she got, she would face steep fines laid out in the contract.

Also a clue to the true nature of this is that Giuffre has since used a butterfly as the insignia for her victims' rights organization:



At least it's not a Monarch. But it still points at Intelligence. She titled the organization Victims Refuse Silence. Except for one problem: she had settled with Epstein in court, and that settlement was

for silence. If she didn't want to be silent, she shouldn't have settled. I am not blocking for Epstein here, God forbid, I am just reminding you how the law works in real life. None of this matches the real world.

This also makes no sense:

On August 29, 2019, in the wake of Epstein's death 19 days prior, the case against Epstein was closed after District Judge Richard Berman dismissed all sex trafficking charges.[97][98] Judge Berman expressed support for Epstein's accusers, stating that he invited them to speak publicly at a hearing on August 27, 2019, out of "respect" for "the difficult decisions victims made to come forward".[97] Giuffre was among the 16 women who spoke publicly at the hearing, which included Anouska De Georgiou, Sarah Ransome, Jennifer Araoz, Chauntae Davies, Courtney Wild, Theresa J. Helm, and Marijke Chartouni.[

Law courts should not be used for "public hearings", especially when they aren't public hearings but therapy sessions. No real judge would do this, and the whole thing reeks of Langley. Courts and judges working for the people are for trials, and once a defendant is dead, all that is over. Any continuation of this sort by a judge or a court should be looked on with the highest suspicion, no matter who was being tried or how vile he might have appeared in the media. Again, the real world doesn't work like this, and events like this never happened until very recently. They are all staged by Intelligence.

If this was all theater, why did Prince Andrew agree to settle, paying some amount to Giuffre's charity? I am not sure, but my guess it is part of the entire #Metoo fraud of the past decade, where half the famous men in the world have agreed to be part of this stageplay, I suppose for the longterm goal of totally emasculating the male sex. It looks to me like the Phoenician Navy wants to squash all males outside their confines, to prevent any possible revolution or pushback, and if some of their own have to be temporarily embarrassed as part of the project, that is a price they have agreed to pay. Someone always has to play the bad guy, and it is often the best part. These rich people don't take it all too seriously, since they don't really care what we think of them. Andrew has to leave off his medals for a couple of years, but he knows they will rehabilitate him someday soon, just as they rehabilitated Mel Gibson and others. With enough promotion, those people can be rehabilitated. You can't. As a downmarket Gentile, you will never get any promotion, no matter what you do. Once your social standing is stripped, only a revolution or a Great Flood can bring it back.

You may say none of that is proof any of these people are agents, but remember, Epstein admitted he himself was an agent, so who else would he be hanging out with but other agents? Ghislaine's father Robert Maxwell, real name Jan Ludvik Hyman Binyamin Hoch (Jewish), was also British, Russian, and Israeli Intelligence. Like Epstein, he faked his death. Like Natalie Wood Romanov, he pretended to fall off his yacht and drown. He was supposedly buried in Jerusalem. This faked death prevented his jailing for embezzling from his own pension funds.

And why would they fake this trial and the jail term of Maxwell? I suppose to make the whole Epstein saga look real and to make you think he really died in jail. It is also great at keeping your eyes off the real world. It gives you a lot of sensational fake events and trials to follow, instead of following reality. This is what these people do. They are addicted to theater.

<u>youtube</u>. I thought of adding it to my paper on her, but that paper is already long enough. So I will add it here.



You know they are telling us something, but what? Study it and then I will tell you.

It's the little sculpture of course, which is in the center of the photo instead of Sharon. Any normal person would put her in the center, but these people aren't normal, as we know. They are more interested in theater and markers and cloaked messages. So this is another "hand in the vest" sort of clue. Looks like someone riding a lion, maybe a little cherub, since we see wings. But it isn't a cherub. It is Astarte, who had wings and rode a lion. Astarte is often depicted with a child, like the Mother Mary, so this may represent that child, or Astarte as a child. And who was Astarte? She was the top Phoenician goddess, also known as Tanit, wife of El or Baal. So that is the message. Same as always.



That's another weird one. We find multiple pictures of Sharon seeming to pick her teeth. Why would we need that? Because she isn't picking her teeth. She is pointing at her eye tooth. As in the eye of Horus. Horus was the son of Isis, who some think was the same as Ishtar. Ishtar is the same as Astarte. So we have a link between those two pictures.



Next, we find a whole set of Sharon nude with a teddy bear. What's up with that? Same thing. The four sons of Horus appear in the sky, as part of the Great Bear.

And then we find it again:



It's their ubiquitous one-eyed thing, referencing Horus again as well as Odin. I am just surprised I didn't find her flashing a Phoenix. That is what I was looking for. My guess is they scrubbed some of the too-obvious pics of that sort, which is why we hadn't seen this Astarte picture until recently.