## **SARAH EVERARD:**

## Theatre from across the pond



Yes, we Brits are getting our fair share of play-acting from the Phoenicians, too (its not all jam pudding and fish and chips). I thought it might be interesting to look into a very current event that maybe residents of the USA might not have heard about yet.

It's a blockbuster thriller involving police brutality, men are pigs, 'new legislature' (wasting taxpayer's money), fear of stepping out your door and fake protesters. BBC News was a complete media blitz, with stories every few minutes and little tabs to group all the propaganda into nice echo chambers for the reader.

The alleged victim is Sarah Everard. She was 33...and so was her boyfriend. She disappeared on 3<sup>rd</sup> March (3/3 or 303). Her father is Jeremy Kenneth Arthur Everard, professor at York University.

Miles: I think we may assume she is Jewish, not only from her looks but from a search on who is pushing this story the hardest: Jewish outlets. I would also assume she is from the Everards in the peerage, including the baronets of Randlestown. This would link her to the Wilkinsons, Hobsons, Cleasby-Thompsons, Jessons, Metges, Dormers, Breretons, Stirlings, the Wyndham earls, the Monsell barons, the Quin earls, and the Fox-Strangeway earls, so look for those names surrounding this event. A quick search on some of them finds a Sophie Wilkinson has written the story about Everard for *Vogue*. Are they cousins? We also find an actress Verity Mullan-Wilkinson being quoted in the press, after laying flowers at Clapham Common. Are they cousins? We also find the Wilkinson family children leaving flowers at Gloustershirelive, with pictures. Are they cousins? In a story about Patsy Stevenson (see below), we find that the Chief of Corporate Services for the Metropolitan Police is Robin Wilkinson. Are they cousins?

No cause of death was released, and <u>two postmortems</u> were carried out...with no results. The court was told the second was necessary as the first revealed nothing. But they don't mention if the second revealed anything either. Very strange wording.

The body was identified through dental records. They don't say why, from what I can find dental records are normally reserved for decomposed bodies or those in pieces. Why couldn't she be identified by friends or relatives or from her many photos online? No answer.

They returned the body to the family after post mortems, so I would assume it was not in pieces or overly decomposed. She was only gone one week: not enough time for a body to decompose. And if she was in a plastic bag, she wouldn't have been eaten by animals, either. They say there will be specialist analysis to try and confirm the cause of death, but this is after the body has been returned, so not much left to go on.

The police were searching for her phone in the area, even though she was kidnapped in London and her body was found in a bag about an hour and a half away. Why would they assume the phone would be there? I don't know, seems silly to me. And the suspect was arrested at his home another half-hour motorway drive away.

<u>This</u> conspiracy site shows she was at an anti-lockdown protest and part of a 'freedom' activist group. The protest she apparently attended was at Clapham Common, which is near where she went missing, and where the high profile vigil was later held. If it's her, she is linked to some shady characters (and actors) who the author labels as controlled opposition. I would say they are agents of some kind carrying out fake protests.

She worked in media and PR (propaganda). The company she had just started with is based in Holborn, surrounded by other similar agencies (as well as being next to the HM Court). Her employer Flipside works with the Gates Foundation and GlaxoSmithKline. Neighbouring companies are linked to the World Economic Forum (and climate change agendas), who we have seen a lot lately. One of the WEF's agendas is a 'global pandemic of femicide', which they say is being overshadowed by COVID (had to slip it in somewhere). According to them, a woman is killed every 3 days in the UK by a man.

The whole narrative is coming out as if women are being murdered all the time, with horrible sexist men preying on them in the dark. The way it is going, you would be forgiven for thinking women are much more at risk of being murdered. But as always a simple search of UK government data proves this is false. Unsurprisingly, men are much more likely to be killed:

"Almost three-quarters of all victims were male (73%) and just over a quarter were female (27%)."

If you read this article they even say female numbers actually fell:

"In the latest year, there has been a 20% increase in the number of male victims (422 to 506). Conversely, the number of female victims fell by 16% (from 225 to 188), the first decrease since year ending March 2016."

But according to this <u>propaganda piece</u> from the BBC (and the Government/Labour party): "Society has 'just accepted' dead women". This is so obtuse I didn't even know what to think for a minute when I first saw it, and it was even worse after looking a second time after just viewing the homicide statistics. If anyone can see what I'm missing here please let me know.

The stats don't mean men aren't the main murderers. Of course they are, women are much less likely to be violent. But most of the stats are probably inflated to create fear and anti-male sentiment. And we know most of the high profile cases are fake anyway.



The suspect is Wayne Couzens, a Metropolitan police officer who according to the *Mirror* 'worked for an elite Westminster-based unit **Parliamentary and Diplomatic Protection Command** which protects diplomats, VIPs and high-profile buildings in the capital.' He had just finished a shift at the US Embassy. Huge red flag. Hard to believe they expect us not to see through this, realizing they simply hired their own soldier to play the bad guy here. We also find 'he was a member of the Army Reserves, serving in the 3rd battalion, the Princess of Wales's Royal Regiment, for two years from 2002.' And so nice they were able to find a picture of him with a phoenix in the background. What are the odds? That picture has recently been taken down from flipboard, though the search listing still exists.

Strangely it says, 'This week, police were searching military tunnels in Dover which back onto the site of the family garage.' So, trying to create intrigue, but just making the military links more obvious. The town Deal, where Couzens was living, is linked to the Navy according to its wiki page, and of course its close to Dover, another military linked location (think WW2).

According to *The Sun*, Wayne 'had finished a six-hour shift at the US Embassy, in Nine Elms, about 90 minutes before marketing executive Ms Everard, 33, was last seen.' Is that a lot of numerology? Multiples of 3 everywhere.

<u>Ashford</u> in Kent where the body was found is also linked to the military by wiki (including the <u>Joint Services School of Intelligence</u>), and it's a strange town that is a hub for 5 different major railway lines.

Couzens was arrested just two days after finding the body. That's blindingly fast for a murder investigation. How did they know it was him? We aren't told. But we may assume it happened so fast because they needed to get it in the papers immediately. You would think a trained soldier and high-ranking policeman would be able to cover his tracks better, but that wasn't part of the script. They know these stories don't have to make sense, since the unwashed public will believe anything.

Couzens allegedly sustained two injuries to his head while in his cell alone, no explanation given. Reporting on his court hearing via video link, the BBC says he appeared to have cuts on his head, even though his hospital trips were reported days before by other outlets. Sounds like fake injuries meant to confirm he is in jail when of course he isn't.

He is being held (or was held) at <u>Belmarsh Prison</u>. It was built on the site of the Royal Arsenal, so another nice military link, and its next to Woolwich court, though Couzens was on video link to Westminster Magistrates Court. Belmarsh was known as the British Guantanamo Bay according to wiki, so we can see the sort of stuff they are involved in. Charles Bronson is a famous inmate, as is Richard Tomlinson, a former MI6 agent. I also glanced at alleged inmate John Worboys, who was

the Black Cab Rapist...he was convicted of 12 attacks, but police say he may be responsible for up to 100! Not sure where they get that conclusion from, but evidence isn't important is it? So we may assume that one is fake as well.

The Metropolitan Police have been in the news again recently with this new and unbelievable story about a recruit who joined the police even though he was a secretly a Neo Nazi! Apparently it's as easy as ticking a box to fool the police that you are a good citizen. As with most hardline Nazis, he had had a foreign Muslim girlfriend, multiple ethnic friends, a gay grandparent, and a Jewish stepgrandparent!

Another interesting person is the obvious crisis actor Patsy Stevenson, faux-arrested while protesting Sarah Everard's fake death. Like other crisis actors, she has risen to fame from nowhere and is now an ambassador for women's safety. Of course, she's an actress, though she did confirm on a TV interview that she is not hired! Thank god for that, almost started to suspect her. Seriously though, here is her actor's page that was taken down a few days ago, but saved on the Wayback machine. It was taken down as people had discovered it I guess. She attended the Sandra Singer Stage School, which has some semi-famous alumni in the UK theatre, though it's an under 21s school so it would have been a while ago.



Miles: I would assume Stevenson is also peerage, probably related to Baron Stevenson of Coddenham, CBE, director of Thames TV in the 90s, as well as director of Rothschild Assurance. His son married a MacKenzie, granddaughter of Fitzroy, Duke of Grafton, putting them in the direct line of Charles II. This would make our red-haired actress a descendant of Robert Louis Stevenson, who was really Robert Lewis Balfour Stevenson. If this event goes to form, we would expect Stevenson to be a cousin of Everard and Couzens both. In fact, we can link the Stevensons and Everards through the Dormers. And the educated guess would be that Couzens changed the spelling of his last name from Cousins. They are in the peerage, linked to all these people through the Cavendishes.

The story of her arrest at the Clapham Common vigil makes no sense. She was arrested for crowding along with four other women due to COVID rules, even though massive crowds can be seen in video reports of the event. The four arrested just happened to be on the bandstand, so nice and visible. Also, four were arrested but the only one really shown is Patsy, who just happened to be an actress, who then gets tons of interviews and TV time. Talk about a lucky arrest, the one pretty flame-haired woman who could be turned into a martyr and icon.

It's unclear if she was ever arrested or charged. No one knows, even her. She was taken to a van and quickly returned, only being asked to give her name and address (though a *Sun* article put 'name and arrest', nice proofreading there and a possible Freudian slip). There was no need for force as she was only meant to be given an on-the-spot fine for COVID rules. But they needed to manufacture a George Floyd photo-op, I guess. Nice of the cops to make it so easy for the photographer!

She was almost immediately interviewed, at the event as far as I can see. The main interview was by online Socialist rag <u>Counterfire</u>, who even have a website section called 'theory' if you want to brush up on far left politics or something. Again, Patsy is hogging the limelight. In the interview she managed to get in a plug for trannies as well, to help push that project (as they ARE women). As we have seen many times before, most of the news outlets just copy and paste the exact script from each other, so there is no journalism going on here.

This normal, everyday woman-cum-actress is perfectly fit for her new role as activist (even though she assures us she isn't an activist), with the confidence to take on TV interviews and call out the head of the Met Police on live TV (she wants to speak with her personally!). Like previous crisis actors she always knows what to say, almost like she is reading from a script. She was going to leave the vigil shortly after placing a candle, but she stayed when she saw the police turn up, I guess she was going to take them on single-handedly. She does say she got onto the bandstand to protect other women and make sure no manhandling was done. Are we supposed to believe she is a blackbelt or something? "We were terrified, because we hear about police manhandling women". Videos of the event show plenty of female officers were there too, and there are clear instances of those female officers twisting protestors arms and taking them down, but that doesn't fit the narrative.

Then there's this amazing inflammatory comment: "She'd seen posts on social media recently, where women asked each other what they would do if there were "no men for 24 hours", and noticed many of the responses said they would simply walk the streets at night with their headphones in." I thought 'how strange'", Patsy said, "that a lot of women are saying this and we don't have the freedom to do that". Wow. Imagine that the other way round, there would be outrage.

In conclusion, I think it is very easy to see the aims of this Everard project. Firstly there's the menare-pigs and complete emasculation of males (especially young ones). See this hilarious <u>propaganda</u>, where they have truly picked the three biggest pansies they could, in the name of representing everyday British men. These 'men' divert into the obligatory apologies that plague every discussion surrounding gender, with males always coming out the bad guy. Then there is the other mainstay of 2020s brainwashing, the 'educate yourself ploy', <u>this woman</u> suggesting young boys never learn that its wrong to kidnap and murder people? This is the so called re-education that gets bandied about in the news so often these days. Whites need to re-educate themselves to not be racist, and Trump supporters need to be re-educated to believe in censorship and far left fascism. Oh, is that just like how the Rohingya Muslims are being re-educated by the Chinese? Its definitely working out for them.

That leads into the second arm of the project, which is to turn people off real leftist and liberal ideas, just as Miles has written about so often lately. I am totally of that belief, so don't take any of my comments above as being right wing. For a perfect example, see this woman saying ridiculous things just to piss people off, and making them think feminism is just crazy ladies spouting crap. She doesn't even stick to her guns, as when she is interviewed and a member of the public calls her out, she goes back on her word and claims the idea of a male curfew is ridiculous. Talk about brain

## stirring!

Finally there's the main focus, which is slowly taking away rights, while pretending to be protesting or fighting for them. We have all these events like BLM and Reclaim the Streets, making it seem like progress is being made everywhere and people are coming out in droves to fight off oppression, when in reality the distraction is being used to silently put through bills to <u>remove protesters rights!</u>