

The Targeted Kill Shot

by Miles Mathis

First published June 29, 2023

Reports that the vaccines were *designed* to kill people in large numbers have been coming out for a couple of years, but at first they were mainly guesses or deductions. I was one of the first to say this, though I had no “clinical” proof of it. It was just a logical conclusion based on collating huge amounts of circumstantial and historical evidence. All the wind was blowing in that direction, so the weather report was easy.

But even I didn't claim the kills were fully targeted. I didn't see how they could be. I thought the Phoenicians just needed to jettison a percentage of the world's population, and this was how they chose to do it this decade. Targeting countries would be easy—since they would just make the vaccine more deadly there and force more people to take it—as in China. But targeting individuals? Never crossed my mind.

It finally crossed my mind after looking at [recent clinical studies from Europe](#). More of these studies are being done every month, and they are now starting to stack up, especially in Europe. Adverse events like death can be matched to specific vaccine batches (though they aren't always are), and it is being confirmed again and again that some batches are far more deadly than others. Denmark, being a very small country both in size and population, was more easy to analyze, so some of the tightest data comes from there. It was found that about 5% of batches were highly lethal, while about 1/3rd of all batches were not lethal at all. The data from Germany roughly confirmed that breakdown, and the same splits have been found worldwide. The worst batches were so lethal, the researchers found as many as 1 in 6 leading to almost immediate adverse events, many of them death. But it is even worse, since research would not expect to uncover all adverse events or deaths, even in the best of circumstances. We would assume many people died without making the connection, or—if they did make the connection—they may not have reported it. Their families had other things to worry about than more government paperwork. So these things are very underreported. The one in six number is so high it tells us that almost everyone in that group may have had an adverse event of some kind. If reactions are underreported by 90%, say (as has been found in other studies), and they are finding one in six reporting adverse events from those batches, the math tells us all vaccines may be causing adverse events. The multiplier is greater than the fraction, you see. Divide by six, multiply by nine, and you are over 100%.

These studies confirm targeting in a second way. It was found that authorities in Germany tested all the bad batches and passed them, but tested only one of the good batches. Which indicates they knew the good batches were placebos and that testing them was a waste of time. It also indicates they knew the bad batches were especially bad, but that they had been instructed to confirm that after delivery. That tells us it was very important to keep tabs on the worst batches to the point of release. These authorities weren't testing them before they were shipped from the labs or factories, they were testing them after they had arrived in certain cities. Which confirms my theory of targeting thus far: this wasn't a general release of poison, like dropping arsenic indiscriminately from a plane. This was targeted, at least to the level of the city or town.

But if it is targeted that far, how much harder is it to target down to the individual? Not very. Just think about it. If the batches are marked at the city level, they will still be marked as they are distributed throughout the city, to pharmacies, hospitals, and other locations. Does your pharmacy and hospital know who you are, or are you anonymous? No, they know who you are, don't they? They have full records on you, and it is easy for the government to match those records to fuller files they have on you elsewhere.

What about the vaccination itself? Is that anonymous? No, of course not. They give you a vaccination card with your name on it, so they know who you are. You have a card and they keep a copy, so they have a record of it. You will say that is after-the-fact: it is just for the records, it isn't indication they had a batch waiting for you. Right. Wake up. No, they didn't have a specific syringe marked with your name, since they (probably) didn't know where you would show up to be vaccinated. At least in places like the US, you could choose. But in many places in the world *you did* have a scheduled vaccination location, so it would be even easier. Even in the US, it wouldn't be difficult, since we are seeing they just needed to pull your dose from one of three slots: placebo, normal vax, death vax. So they didn't need a specific syringe with your name on it. All they needed to know is who you are, so they could choose your dose.

This means there was probably nothing accidental about what happened to you. Yes, in a few cases we can imagine things got switched. Mistakes were made. They always are. But in the vast majority of cases, people got the vaccine they were supposed to get. You were targeted. The only way the target could fail is if you refused to show up at all. This is how the Amish dodged that bullet, as is now coming out. The Amish declined to show up for all that, and declined to push the vaccine on their own people. Which is why they were almost **100 times** less likely to have an adverse effect than you were. Same for Africa, which also declined to show up for this party. They too dodged almost all adverse events, not only of the vaccines, but of Covid itself.

So what else does this tell us? Well, it tells us the Phoenicians had hoped to wipe out about five percent of us immediately. About a third were to be spared, including we assume the Phoenicians themselves, as well as all their allies, toadies, lackeys, and footmen. As for the other 60%, they couldn't die immediately, since that would look suspicious. Two-thirds of the world dropping dead in a matter of weeks might raise some eyebrows. Five percent could be swept under the rug by non-reportage, stalling, denials, and statistical fudging, but 65% would be difficult to spin.

Doing the math, that means the Phoenicians targeted around 300 million people for immediate death, over 10 million of those in the US alone. Does that match what we are seeing? Yep. Even if their targeted to killed rate is only 1/3rd, they would kill around 100 million, and around three million in the US. Which is what we are seeing. It also explains why the whole Covid/vaccine response came out of the Department of Defense: this was an act of war.

So how many of that 60% who took the less lethal doses are doomed? I don't know. Even the Phoenicians probably don't know. But I would guess around a tenth of them will drop dead by 2030, in a planned delayed reaction. If 5 billion took the vax and 6% of them are doomed, that means another 300 million may die from this genocide by 2030. Despite the slow burn, I think that is going to be pretty hard to cover up. 400 million excess deaths worldwide in just a decade, including twelve million in the US? Can the Phoenicians really bury that with their usual statistical fudging, lying, hedging, and misdirection? We will see. We are just three years in and it is already snowballing on them. This ain't one of their fake assassinations or 911s. This is the targeted medical murder of 400 million people, something even the Phoenicians have never achieved before. They have certainly never achieved it in

a time of mass media and general education. So if it was chaos they were looking for, they may have found it. I just hope they fully enjoy it when it comes home to them.