

# THE GREEN RIVER SERIAL KILLER WAS FAKE



*by Miles Mathis*

*First published January 4, 2022*

Eventually I will hit all the big ones, I guess. I never bit on them back in the day, because I could just intuit they were fake, but now I have the time and inclination to out them, for whatever reasons. It just seems like the thing to do. Actually, as I have said before, I do it to clean up the heterosexual relationship, which has been purposely destroyed with decades of theater of this sort. It is the Men-are-Pigs project, and I have had enough of it.

This fake killer, Gary Leon Ridgway, now age 72, allegedly murdered 71 women in the 1980s in Washington. He was convicted for 49 of them in 2003, officials allegedly finding that number of bodies (they didn't, they just made them up), and being sentenced to a thousand years in jail or something. It was a big deal back then, and they are still selling him as having the second highest total, as if is a contest. It's a contest alright: a contest by military intelligence to create the stupidest story and sell it to the American people, who will literally believe anything they see in the news or in documentaries. The main point of the project was to scare women silly, shattering their love and trust of men. That would split the sexes, obliterate the nuclear family, and drive many new markets.

We find Netflix selling this fake last November, which is par for the course, Netflix being a premier CIA front. CourtTV has also sold it, along with Lifetime, Discovery, NBC, A&E, HLN, and ID (another CIA front).

Since I have already blown the cover of most of the big serial killers and you already know the pattern, I will just point out the highlights here, so as not to waste your time or mine.

Ridgway is linked to Ted Bundy through the investigators Robert Keppel and Dave Reichert, who sought advice from Bundy in catching Ridgway. Notice anything? Both those investigators are Jewish. Like Ridgway, Keppel came out of Vietnam; like Bundy, he came out of University of Washington. He is the one Bundy allegedly confessed to just before his execution. One problem: [the whole thing was fake](#). The whole Bundy story was fiction, dreamed up by Intelligence, and I have now proved that beyond any doubt. I even found a picture of him online, alive now. As you see, that also takes down the Green River story as fiction. Keppel is also the scumbag who wrote *The Riverman: Ted Bundy and I Hunt for the Green River Killer*, which became the 2004 TV movie starring Cary Elwes. His partner in fiction Reichert is equally scummy, coming out of Air Force. After 25 years in the sheriff's department, we are told he finally became sheriff. He then became a 7-term US Congressman. In the year of his first win, 2004, he wrote *Chasing my Devil: My Twenty-Year Quest to Capture the Green River Killer*. This helped him win. So you see how it is.

The next thing to notice is that one of Ridgway's victims was named April Dawn [Buttram](#). No, seriously. Check it out if you don't believe me. Clever, right, having a victim of a serial rapist and necrophiliac named Butt-ram? That's military for you. The big computers have never heard of her.

Gary Ridgway was military, of course, coming out of the Navy. Wikipedia doesn't even bother to tell us how or when he got out of the Navy after serving years in Vietnam. Probably because he didn't: he was an actor in ONI, Office of Naval Intelligence. He is supposed to be housed now in Washington State Penitentiary in Walla Walls, but like many other prisons, that must have a ghost wing for these fakes. They exist only on paper. You won't find him there, unless you call ahead and they have him drive in for a photo op.

Here's another problem: Ridgway is said at Wikipedia and other encyclopedias to have an IQ in the low 80s. Except that . . . the Navy doesn't take people with an IQ that low. [It requires an IQ of 91](#). You can see him in interviews on youtube, where it is clear as day his IQ isn't in the 80s.

I looked up his third and last wife [Judith L. Mawson](#), just because she is named Judith. I figured I could find some Intel markers on her, and I did. It wasn't hard. She is listed at InstantCheckmate and Intelius, with Everett, WA, on her list of locations. That just happens to be Naval Station Everett, and remember Gary Ridgway was Navy. You will say, "Sure, they were living on base." No, by that time Gary allegedly had been out of the Navy for almost two decades. Everett is the most advanced and modern Naval Station run by the Navy, according their own promotion. It was established in 1987, [the year before Mawson married Ridgway](#). She also has Graham on her list, which is right by Joint Base McChord in South Tacoma. The only address she has outside of the Seattle area is Fishers, IN, which is Fort Benjamin Harrison, which was still open back then.

Judith Mawson has several Lynches on her list, indicating the L. stands for Lynch. Actually, we find with more research it stands for Lorraine, but her maiden name was indeed Lynch. Through these Lynches we link to [Morrison](#)s, Hanleys, Schimmollers, and Roaches. It also links us to Fort Wayne and [Fort Hood](#). These Lynches of Washington also link us to [Whitneys and Bennetts](#).

Gary's brother Thomas Ridgway is living in Phoenix, and like Judith Mawson he has Seatac, WA, on his list. He is listed twice by InstantCheckmate, being both 70 and 74, so one of them must be the father of the same name. There is apparently a sister named Mary in Rison, AZ. His mother was named Mary [Steinman](#), giving us the Jewish link we expected. But the computers haven't heard of her with the given story. No Mary Steinman or Mary Ridgway is found in UT, where Gary allegedly grew

up. She is listed as being from Fort Wayne, with no link to Ridgway. So best guess is Gary is now living under the name of his "brother" Thomas. That is why Thomas and Gary both have Seatac on their lists: they are the same person.

They don't know how to spell his second wife's name, mother of his child Matthew. Wiki lists her as Marcia Lorene Brown, while older outlets like the *NewsTribune* call her Marsha Winslow. InstantCheckmate does not link this Matthew Ridgway, 46, of Oceanside, to Gary, though it does link other Matthew Ridgways to him, including one aged 44 from Fresno. InstantCheckmate lists only one Marcia Ridgway of WA related to Gary, and she is not a Brown. It appears that Rodney Heikes is her father, so her maiden name was Marcia Heikes, not Marcia Brown. If you search on Marcia Winslow, you also find her in Maple Valley, but with no information, just the dreaded *i*. So they have scrubbed that entry point but not these others. The Heikes of Pennsylvania are related to Browns, which also links us to Straubs. The Heikes also link us to IN, where they were a prominent family of Logansport, connected to the Justices. See Grace E. Heikes, mother of fake *Lusitania* passenger Anne Justice Shimer. So these people have been at it for a long time. Her sister Maibelle Heikes Justice was a Hollywood screenwriter in the silent age, coming out of the Army, where she was an honorary captain.

It appears Gary has a daughter-in-law named Dianne, 46. She is also a Luke and a Kelly-Cunard. She also ended up in Oceanside, so I guess she is Matthew's wife or ex-wife. Cunard is a big red flag of the same sort as *Lusitania*, since Cunard Lines owned the *Lusitania*. So you see how this is all fitting together. What are the odds I could pull these names out of Gary Ridgway's closest relatives? Well, actually pretty good, seeing that I knew coming in it was fake and that I would find something like this, pointing at the usual suspects. It was a foregone conclusion.

We are told author Pennie Morehead interviewed Ridgway in prison. One problem: that isn't allowed. Level one prisoners like serial killers are not allowed interviews with the press or with authors, not in person or by phone or by carrier pigeon. According to stated rules which you can look up yourself, they are allowed to talk only to police, clergy, their own lawyers, or near relatives. They can send out mail but all mail is checked. Jails do not want prisoners promoting themselves in the media, because it can prejudice future parole hearings. Prisoners have no rights to promote themselves in any way. So anytime you are told they can or see them doing it, you know you are in the middle of a fake.

Despite that, [we have interviews on video](#). This serial killer is sitting almost face to face with the female interviewer, and he has no handcuffs on. There is no plexi between them. He could kill her with one punch to the throat. He could gouge out her eyeballs. He could break her neck in two seconds, with a quick twist. What does he have to lose? What are they going to do, add another 1000 years to his sentence? So this is also absurd. Plus, watch him talk. Does he have an IQ of around 80? No, you can tell when someone has an IQ that low. He has glasses on, indicating he has probably done a lot of reading in the past. He looks like an accountant and even sounds like one. He does not have the vocabulary of a person with an 80 IQ. Since he is military intelligence, I would guess he has an IQ in the range of 130, and is just trying to hold back here, speaking in broken sentences to make it look like he is less intelligent than he is.

They actually televised clips of these interviews on the local news as they were taking place back in 2003, which is a breach of protocol in so many ways. Prosecutors should not have been giving these tapes to the press, because the investigation was ongoing. They were still allegedly being led to new bodies, and it was still possible Ridgway might name an accomplice. So if they have rules about prisoners talking to the press, the rules should apply to police, FBI, or prosecutors dumping evidence in the press. Obviously, the only reason to do it is to push the propaganda as efficiently and immediately

as possible. The interviews were being staged to frighten women, so they needed to get that in the faces of women as fast as possible, shoving it down their throats via the evening news.

We are told that in 2001, Ridgway was arrested based on a DNA match of saliva he had given in 1987 to sperm found in a body killed in 1982. Really? And we are supposed to believe that? So they had 14-year-old saliva still sitting around, and 19-year-old sperm? If so, why didn't anyone think to DNA test it back in 1987, when it was still fresh? They just forgot? They had higher profile cases to attend to? We are told they didn't have DNA testing in 1987, but that is a lie. They did. Look it up. Plus, we are told he was a suspect back to 1983, and in 1987 they decided to get saliva. One problem: you can't get saliva from a "suspect". The suspect has rights, and you can't just go around collecting body fluids from everyone you suspect. To get body fluids legally, you have to arrest the guy and show evidence to a judge. They judge would then rule whether there were grounds for taking saliva. Did they have a court order or a warrant in 1987, and if so what was it based on? We aren't told. The only clue we have is that he was allegedly arrested for using prostitutes, but police don't usually pick on johns. They arrest hookers, not johns. His 1982 arrest was for solicitation, but it is normally hookers who get busted for that. Hiring a hooker doesn't make you a murder suspect without much other evidence. His alleged 1980 arrest for choking a hooker was before the murders, so they weren't looking for anyone then. He could not have been a suspect. No charges were filed anyway, so I am not even sure how we know of it. They just made it up, I guess. Also not explained is how a guy with an 80 IQ managed to fool their polygraph machines in 1983.

The timeline at Encyclopedia Britannica also fails, since they tell us his first victim was July 1982, but by August 1982 they had already formed a serial-killer taskforce. Hold on, shouldn't you wait for a second body before you form a serial-killer taskforce? You know, based on the definition of "serial".

I will be told they did. They allegedly found eight bodies in the Green River area in just a few weeks. Really? Eight bodies lying in the brush in piles or floating in the river, and no one noticed until children found them? No one noticed the huge numbers of circling vultures, I guess. No animals were interested in these bodies, and they just lay there until children or police found them all at once?

Normally serial killings don't work like that. They happen over time, hence the word **serial**. You wouldn't expect to find eight bodies all at once. He would kill one, then wait a few months, kill another, wait a few months. So they wouldn't all be fresh like this, you see. But I guess they forgot to read up on the previous fake literature on serial killers by their pals in Intel. They were impatient to get this taskforce going, so they just took eight bodies from the morgue all at once, dumped them near this river, then claimed to find them.

This is also funny:

**Detectives later discovered a 1982 report about police finding Ridgway with a prostitute in a parked car; two years later a body was found nearby.**

Wow, that's some top-notch detective work from people who wait 19 years for a DNA test! These geniuses were able to map all guys found with hookers in cars in 1982 and collate that with a map of all bodies found in 1984, scoring a match! I would love to have seen the judge's face when they walked into chambers with that big matchup:

**Hey judge, we found this body in Overlook Park, and so we checked all our records of guys with hookers in cars, and sure enough, two years earlier a guy had been there with a hooker in a car.**

**Really? And was that hooker dead?**

**No.**

**Was she later reported missing?**

**No.**

**Get the fuck out of my chambers!**

Also curious is that one of the victims was named Tracey **Winston**. Same as Ridgway's second wife Marsha **Winston**. Another victim is listed as Constance Naon, 19. The computers have never heard of her. Same for Marcia Fae Chapman, 31. Never heard of her. What about Denise Darcel Bush, 23? Would be 62 now. We find a possible match with Denise D. Bush of Spokane, but she is listed as still alive at 60, having lived in MI, PA, GA, and MA as well as WA. She married at least three times, to Meyer, Johnson, and Ulven. What about Debra Lynn Bonner, 23? We find her, but she lived until 40, marrying a Witt. What about Sandra Denise Major, 20? Not listed, but may be Sandra J. Major, now 58, married a Marshall. What about Kelly Marie Ware, 22? Would now be around 60. No matches. What about Mary Sue Bello, 25, would now be around 63? No matches. What about Delise Louise Plager, 22? Delise? No, of course not, it is a made-up name. What about Marta Reeves, 36, would now be about 57? No, but we do find a Martha Reeves, age match, who also has McLean, VA, on her list. That is, Langley. What about Patricia Yellowrobe, 38, would be 61 now? Only one person of that name found, age 61 indeed but *still living*, and never lived in WA. Great Falls, MT, is the nearest place. She is related to Goodwin, Mead, Phelps, Dunn, Luzietti, Thomas. Not related to any Rona Walsh and not related to a LuAnna Yellowrobe. Those ladies spoke at the sentencing, but the computers have never heard of them. What about Marie M. Malvar, 18? No match, but we do find a Jacquell M. Malvar, 37, of Seattle, Kent, and Federal Way, with the *i* on the relatives list, so this is probably her. She would be 56 now, so she probably died in 2003, around the time of the fake sentencing.

Ridgway's first wife is given as Claudia Kraig Barrows, but no Claudia Barrows of Washington, Utah, or Indiana is found. Only five are found nationwide, and the one in California is 47 or died at 47. She is listed as Claudia Gugliotti Barrows, mostly of Connecticut. None are linked to Kraigs or have a middle initial K. The one with an *i*, therefore the most likely, is listed as Claudia E. Barrows, 49. No results for Claudia Kraig. Also no Claudia Craig that fits our search. **She does not exist.**

With a little creative searching, we *can* link Gary Ridgway to Craigs. There is a Gary E. Ridgway, age 71, who is related to Bacas and Craigs in Denver and Nebraska. I don't know if that is just a coincidence, but I thought it worth reporting.

Yet another Gary A. Ridgway, 73, is listed in Henrico, VA, and he seems to be the same as Gary E. Ridgway, since they both have Maxwell, North Platte, and Hastings, NE, on their lists. That cannot be a coincidence. If these two guys are the same, they may be the same as our Gary Ridgway, using a lot of different middle names. In fact, it looks to me like all the Gary Ridgways in that age range are the same people, about five or six of them, with a range of middle initials. They are all this same ONI agent, running his various projects in many states. Although he is probably mostly retired by now, except for a few photo ops.

Here's more crap from Biography.com:

In the spring of 1983, prostitute Marie Malvar, 18, was last seen by her boyfriend getting into a paint-patched pickup with a dark-haired man about 30 to 40 years old. Four days later, police questioned Ridgway at his home about his knowledge of Malvar, whom he denied knowing. In November, police once again spoke with Ridgway about the murders, but he denied any knowledge of the victims, and authorities lacked evidence to connect him to any of the crimes.

In 2003, Ridgway told investigators he stood against a fence during his original 1983 questioning to conceal scratches Malvar had left on his arm while trying to escape. Ridgway said he then burned the scratches with battery acid to disguise them once the detectives had left.

I guess this was written by their 91 IQ people in the Navy. Did Ridgway's pickup match the pickup Malvar's boyfriend saw or not? If not, why did the police question Ridgway? If it did, that would be cause for searching it. A judge would issue a search warrant based on a tight eyewitness match. But without that, we don't see why the police questioned him. "A dark haired man 30 to 40" would apply to about 10,000 people in the area, and would be useless, as would a paint-patched pickup, unless they were told *where* it was patched, *what color* it was, *what color* the patch was, what model the pickup was, what general year. Any real guy could have told you that, so this is just pathetic storytelling. If they lacked any evidence, why were they questioning him? Questioning him **based on what?** Based on the fact he was in his thirties and had dark hair? Really? We are told he was a person of interest because he visited prostitutes. Yeah, well that would narrow it down from 10,000 to 1,000.

And what is that garbage about burning his scratches with battery acid? How about just letting them heal? Putting battery acid on them would ensure they *wouldn't* heal, and would look very strange. What he was looking for was vitamin E. I would bet you anything Ridgway doesn't have acid burns on the back of his arms in long scratches. Prove me wrong. If he does, he got them in Nam and this story was concocted to include it.





I don't see any scars, do you?

Besides, if he wanted to hide the scratches, how about just wear a long-sleeved shirt? He would have known of this meeting with police, so none of this makes any sense.

Next we find this:

**Already a person of interest due to his known association with area prostitutes, Ridgway contacted police with the supposed intention of assistance. He then passed a polygraph test in which he denied killing any women.**

So Ridgway volunteered to come in and take a polygraph, just to be of assistance? Yeah. Because that is what an IQ 80 murderer is going to do.

Two years later, the Seattle Police did the only logical thing: they contacted Ted Bundy for help. I mean, who wouldn't? Ted, who had been so helpful in his own trials, and who was known for being scrupulously honest and always of assistance. I am just surprised they didn't deputize Ted and fly him to Seattle.

Then we find this:

**Because Ridgway was the last person allegedly seen with two of the victims, police eventually searched his home and vehicles in 1987 in connection with the murders. It was at that time Ridgway finally provided police with a [saliva sample](#) that would later tie him to the crimes. But due to insufficient DNA testing at the time, Ridgway remained a free man for more than a decade.**

Is this ever going to start making sense? Nope. If Ridgway was the last person seen with Marie Malvar, why didn't they get the warrant four years earlier? And if they couldn't get it then, because he couldn't be identified, how did they get it four years later? There was either evidence or there wasn't. They tell us they didn't have any evidence in 1983, so how did they have enough evidence in 1987? My guess is this warrant suddenly materialized in 1987 because the women of Seattle were losing interest in the case. They hadn't had any major body dumps from the morgue in a while, and they needed something for the papers. But by then—if this had been real—Ridgway would have had about six years to get rid of trucks, bad paint jobs, carpeting, and anything else. Doing a search that many years after alerting the suspect to your investigation is just ludicrous. The only thing they allegedly got was some saliva, but they weren't ready to end the project yet. They would let the women of Seattle stew for another decade, to get everything they could out of this.

The farce continues:

### **March 2001: DNA testing expands and connects Ridgway to three murders**

**With new techniques in forensic testing at their disposal, investigators re-examined evidence from across the years the killer had been active. “It was a last-ditch effort,” Beverly Himick, a Washington State Patrol Crime Laboratory forensic scientist, told [The New York Times](#). “We didn’t have a lot to work with, but we went through a lot of evidence again. We rinsed all the fingernails to look for trace evidence and swabbed the ligatures for cellular material. With one girl, we were able to find a few sperm clinging to her pubic hair.”**

What? So the sperm wasn't found until 2001, when they reopened the investigation? Where had they kept this girl's body for 19 years? Nobody wanted to bury her? She had no family? They just let the police keep her in a glass jar? How do a few sperm clinging to her pubic hair last for 19 years? In a grave, pubic hair itself wouldn't last 19 years, so I guess they didn't dig her up. So what exactly was the procedure here? I would be interested to know.

But none of this has to make sense, because they figure you are an idiot. You are the one with an IQ of 80 in their heads, so continuity doesn't matter here. They assume you won't ask any questions.

There was no trial, since Ridgway pled guilty. The plea was accepted based on the DNA evidence, and he went straight to sentencing. But it shouldn't have worked like that in 2003, since DNA evidence



cannot be used by itself to convict. Only recently was it allowed as evidence in court, but even then it was used only as supporting evidence, not as the ONLY evidence. If Ridgway really had an 80 IQ, his attorney would have argued he was tricked into pleading guilty by the DNA evidence, which could not have been ruled conclusive on its own. With a mentally impaired defendant and no evidence except DNA, the judge should not have been able to accept a guilty plea, since the defendant was not capable of understanding the rules. His attorney should have entered a not guilty plea for him and the state should have had to prove his guilt to a jury, since this was a murder case.

Another way we know this is fake is the line of speakers they had at his sentencing, with family members of victims (ie bad actors) creating the now expected theater and spectacle. But if you are over



50 like me, you know this is a new thing. Courtrooms used to be places of law, not makeshift stages. There was a time not so long ago that sentencing was just sentencing. The judge sentenced the convicted person and he or she was led off to jail. No outside parties spoke during or after sentencing, because it was not legally necessary for them to do so. A courtroom is not therapy session or a place for venting. If these people want to talk, there are places for that: the courtroom was never the place for that. So why do we so often see televised statements from a long line of family members now, whether it is families of gymnasts or families of pretend corpses? Because it is part of the hoax. These events are hoaxed from the ground up, so when you see victims' families lining up at sentencing and being allowed to make statements, you know you are in the middle of a CIA event. I am fed up with it and you should be, too. Once you quit falling for it, they will quit doing it. But not until then.