

[return to updates](#)

THE 22 JULY MASSACRE IN NORWAY WAS FAKE

part one



by Miles Mathis

First published October 7, 2019

Yes, this was first ready for publication on October 7, but it had to be totally rewritten. It disappeared from my computer moments before I was about to publish it. All backup files were also destroyed. It was a 30-page paper, but it only took me a couple of days to compile, and I am not busy for the next couple of days. So I look forward to making it even longer and more explosive this time. I am going to make whoever kidnapped the first version wish I had it back.

This is the 2011 event starring Anders Behring Breivik as bad guy. But I will show you he is just a gay Jewish actor, likely to soon fake his death. In so doing, I will link him by blood and name to just about everyone famous in Scandinavia and Europe in the 20th century, and every project in Norway since WWI. Oh, and by the way, I have help from Norway on this one, though I won't divulge any sources. Over 95% of what we will find below can be found from an easy websearch in English, but a few juicy bits were suggested to me, and I may not have found them on my own.

That isn't Breivik above. He has gotten enough attention, so I have led with three photos alleged to be of victim Viljar Hanssen instead. Hanssen is supposed to have been shot by Breivik on Utøya, and is supposed to still have a bullet in his brain. However, just by studying those three photos, we can tell this isn't true. We can also tell the entire event is a hoax. I could begin *and end* with this evidence, since by itself it is conclusive, but I won't.

The problem is that the middle guy isn't the same as the others. That is supposed to be a pic of Hanssen right after the event, before his eye was operated on, I guess. The first pic is of Hanssen also young,

soon after the event. The third pic is Hanssen several years later. However, the middle pic is not a match. What to notice: his forehead is higher, his hairline is different, his ears are different, his face is longer, his nose is longer, his hair is parted on the wrong side, his eyelids don't droop like they should, his scar doesn't slant. Especially note that he is supposed to be *older* in pic 1 than pic 2. Is that how it looks to you? He had a receding hairline and then got it fixed, as a teenager? Pic 2 is mostly a fake, heavily worked on in a computer program. The funky eye was created almost from scratch. I can only imagine what Hanssen himself said when he saw that picture: "Hey, that doesn't even look like me!" His handlers answered, "Doesn't matter, no one will notice. They will be too busy looking at that scary eye and won't notice there is no match".

Another alleged victim is Tarjei **Jensen Bech**, who allegedly fell 10-15 meters off a cliff after being shot by Breivik:



Amazingly, his only injury from the fall was some moulage on his eye. Moulage is fake blood used in crisis acting, in case you don't know. We will see a lot of it in this hoax. Just coincidentally, the head of the 22 July Commission who wrote the report on the event is Alexandra **Bech** Gjørøv. She had been a Statoil [State Oil of Norway] executive up to 2010, which is very curious by itself. See below. But for now ask yourself why a Statoil executive would be hired to write the Breivik event report. Her mother is famous journalist Toppen Bech, who worked for the Norwegian Broadcasting Company. Toppen hosted a long-running show on rich people's homes called *Herskapelig*. It was a sort of *Lifestyles of the Rich and Famous*. Alexandra Bech is the daughter-in-law of Labour Party politician Inger Gjørøv, whose maiden names are Strand and Alnaes. From 1989-93, Inger Gjørøv was President of the Odelsting, which is the Congress of Norway. So she was sort of like a Speaker of the House. At the same time she was VP of the Council of Europe. So best bet is this kid Tarjei Bech is related to these folks somehow. Confirming that is that he is now deputy-mayor of **Finnmark** county, moving up in the Labour Party quickly. Also keep your eyes open for more Bechs/Becks below.

Little information is forthcoming on Breivik in the US, but [this site Henneslekt](#) gives us a partial genealogy. The first thing we see is that Breivik's grandfather was a **Dahl**, linking us not only to spook writer Roald Dahl (*Charlie and the Chocolate Factory*), but to Asta Dahl, another of the alleged

victims. Amazingly, Roald Dahl's sister was named. . . Asta. So we look up Roald Dahl, and find his mother was a **Hesselberg**. That is a Jewish name, see actor Melvin Douglas, who was really Melvin Hesselberg. His father was a famous Russian/Jewish pianist and composer. Douglas took the name of his maternal grandmother, and she links us to the Douglasses, Scottish dukes. We will see them several times below. Roald Dahl was Norwegian, named for polar explorer Roald Amundsen. We will see other polar explorers below. Dahl is admitted to have been in Air Force Intelligence, where he worked with fellow spook Ian **Fleming** of James Bond fame. Dahl worked in BSC (MI6) under William Stephenson, as a conduit between Churchill and Roosevelt. Dahl is also listed in the peerage, apparently because his second wife was a Throckmorton, of those Baronets. She was also a Moore, a **Stewart** and a Brabazon (Earls of Meath). These Throckmortons also link us directly to the **Manners**, Dukes of Rutland, which links us to the Guinnesses, the **Tennants**, the Lindsays (Earls of Crawford), the Barons von Twickel, the Princes von Lobkowitz, the Grafs von **Arco**-Zinneberg, the Osterreich-Estes, the von Waldburgs, the von der Pfalz, and the von Sulzbachs. The Osterreichs were the Holy Roman Emperors, and they link us to the Bourbons as well as to the Kings of Norway. So you see how it goes.

There is also an Alexandra **Torunn** Dahl in the peerage. We also find Dahls there linked to the Dundases, Koschinskys, Listers, and **Brauns**. Yes, that is Brauns as in Eva Braun and Werner von Braun. The Arco above may link us to the Arctanders just below.

In Breivik's genealogy, we also quickly find the surname **Jensen**. Remember the boy's name above: Tarjei Jensen Bech. We also find Grønbech, **Giæver**, **Falch**, **Ibsen**, **Hammer**, Friis, **Schelderup**, Lange, Randulf, Brønlund, Bloch, **Arctander (Lauritzen)**, Blix, **Lorentz**, **Prydz**, **Meng**, and Schancke. Through the two names Schelderup and Giæver, we can connect Breivik to [John Schelderup Giæver](#), famous Norwegian author and **polar researcher**. He also links Breivik to the **Holmboes**, including Jens Holmboe, who was a county governor of **Finnmark**. These Holmboes were also Hammers, linking us to Breivik through a third name. Wait, where have we seen Finnmark? Oh yeah, the boy above, Tarjei Jensen Bech, is now deputy-major of Finnmark. Holmboe later became Minister of Finance, Minister of the Navy, Minister of Education, etc. Also see Conrad Holmboe, head of Holmboe and Son, merchant and vice-consul for the Russian Empire. Conveniently, these Holmboes also link us back to the Dahls, especially Walter Scott Dahl, Minister of Justice under Sverdrup in the late 1800s. He also links us to the Rønnebergs, including recent Labour Party politician [Erling Rønneberg](#) (d. 2008). He and his brother Joachim (d. 2018) were in the resistance during WWII, with Joachim later working for NRK (Norwegian Broadcasting). He was an editor there until 1988. The **Rønnebergs** were wealthy merchants and **shipowners**, see for example [Carl Rønneberg](#), of Rønneberg and Sons. They were related by marriage to the Kaas-**Lunds**. This also links us to the **Sandbergs**, including Ole Rømer Sandberg of the [Hjemmefrontens Ledelse](#) during WWII. We will come back to that. Through these Sandbergs, we link by marriage to Trygve Dehli Laurantzon, whose father was a Major General. He edited the *Norsk Jord* magazine from 1941-45, and was Quisling's Minister of Agriculture in 1945. He was convicted of treason and convicted to 15 years forced labor, but somehow was on the streets by 1950 (and likely long before). We will cover the Quisling event below.

Also see physicist Ivar Giæver, who won the Nobel Prize in Physics in 1973 for his work on electron tunneling in solids. Unfortunately for him, [I have since proved that electrons are not tunneling](#). He is currently a science advisor to the Heartland Institute, which is supposed to be “libertarian”. Right. Heartland is linked to the Cato Institute, and thereby to the Koch brothers. So it is not libertarian, but fascist. If we check Giæver's ancestry, we find he was also a Nobel, meaning he received the prize from a cousin.

Speaking of the Nobels, we can link Breivik to them in a second line. Breivik is a Brønlund on his father's side, and that name links us to the Nobels again.

Also see Torbjørn Giæver Eriksen, a political advisor in the Ministry of Finance under Jens Stoltenberg. He was later State Secretary. In 2012, he went to work for **First House**, a **crisis management** agency. CEO of First House just happens to be Per **Høiby**, brother of Crown Princess Mette-Marit. Nothing to see there, right? Høiby comes out of the Naval War School. He was deputy to King Harald for three years. His father is Sven Høiby, sold now as ne'er-do-well, but actually a former journalist, advertising man, and publisher. Also connecting us to the Breivik event is Trond Berntsen, step-brother of Mette-Marit. He just happened to be a security guard on Utøya who was killed by Breivik. You can't make this schtuff up.

If we return to the Holmboes, we can also link out to Ragnhild Rød, another politician, 2g-granddaughter of Jens Holmboe. She is useful because she links us to the Welhavens through her mother. Her great-uncles and aunts were Maren, Elisabeth, and Johan Sebastian Welhaven. Johan Sebastian Welhaven was one of the greatest figures in Norwegian literature in the 19th century, matched only by someone like Ibsen or Bjørnstjerne Bjørnson (who we will get to in a moment). Johan's sister Maren married Michael **Sars**, a famous theologian and biologist. She was a famous socialite born on August 11, 1811. So, 8/11/11. Chai. Her son was Ernst Sars, born October 11, also Chai. He was a famous historian and writer who, along with Bjørnstjerne Bjørnson, created the Liberal Party in 1884. His brother George Ossian Sars was a biologist and Knight of the Order of **St. Olav**. Maren Sars' daughter married Fridtjof Nansen, perhaps the biggest name here. He was a **polar explorer** and Nobel Peace Prize winner, but he comes in here on a different boat, being a head of the **Fatherland League**. This was another fake organization founded by Intelligence in 1925, allegedly to combat Communism, but actually to combat unions and Republicanism. It was Norway's answer to Hitler's NSDAP, with some elements of Thule as well. Like the Nazi party, it was funded by the billionaires and heavily cloaked. Today it is sold as pretty much the opposite of what it was, since—rather than actually combat Communism—it was created to make Communism look like a real threat. The Fatherland League would then ride in and solve the problem with evermore draconian measures—which is pretty much what happened regardless. We are told the Fatherland League dissolved in 1940, when Norway was taken over, but that should seem strange to you. The Nazis should have loved the Fatherland League. Well, the Fatherland League dissolved in 1940, but not for the reason you are given. It was dissolved because its goal had been attained: inserting the Quisling government and pretending the Nazis had done it. You see, Hansen had been Quisling's handler for years, and this is actually admitted quietly on Quisling's page. But we will get to that.

Before we move on, we should hit Kristian Welhaven, Chief of Police of Oslo, 1927-54. He established the **Norwegian Intelligence Service** in that time. He was allegedly imprisoned by the Nazis during the war, though you can be sure that didn't happen. He was Order of **St. Olav** as well as Order of **Vasa** and Order of the **Polar Star**. If you aren't getting it, all these Welhavens were Jewish. Which is why I know this guy wasn't imprisoned by the Nazis. They should have known he was Jewish and sent him to Dachau or someplace to be killed. Instead he came home with his family, no worse for wear.

Breivik also descends directly from an **Enoch Thue**, who took his mother's name. This is also spelled **Thew/Thewes**, and it is important because it links us back to **Angus**, Scotland, in the 1600s, where we see Breivik's ancestor Robert Thue. More recently, we find a Colin Thew in the peerage who married a **Kirkpatrick**. These Kirkpatricks are of Closeburn, and we will see them below, in connection with Breivik's English name **Berwick**. These Kirkpatricks also link us to Angus, as well as to the Stewarts,


Earls of Galloway.

Since Breivik is also an Ibsen, we can link to Henrik Ibsen and Bjørnstjerne Bjørnson, famous writers from Norway that were closely related. Ibsen's son married Bjørnson's daughter. This Sigurd Ibsen was Prime Minister of Norway in Stockholm from 1903-05. His son Tancred was a film director, coming out of the Air Force. He basically brought Hollywood to Norway. His sister Irene married writer Josias **Bille**, of the Danish noble family. See the various Danish admirals and generals named Bille. They also link us to Tycho Brahe, who was a relative. Brahe is the famous astronomer. His grandfather was Claus Bille, Lord of Bohus Castle and second cousin of Swedish King Gustav **Vasa**. Like Breivik, Brahe had a fake nose, though his was made of brass. Considered one of the greatest astronomers of the 16th century, he nonetheless thought the Sun orbited the Earth. Note that this links the Ibsens to the Vasas, **meaning Breivik is also a cousin of the Vasas**.


As for Bjørnstjerne Bjørnson, he was the great poet of Norway who won the Nobel Prize in 1903. For our purposes, it is worth knowing that he wrote extensively about the [Dreyfus Affair](#), selling it as real. This helps us tag him as a crypto-Jew, working the great project.

Henrik Ibsen's mother was an **Altenburg**, which is not a Norwegian name. He was from a family of wealthy merchants, which again gives you the clue. Ibsen's grandfather was Johan Andreas Altenburg, billionaire merchant and **shipowner**. This also links us to the names Paus, Plesner, Lippe, Blom, Cappelen, and Barth. Ole Paus, Ibsen's great-uncle, was also a shipowner, and his 2g-grandson married a granddaughter of Tolstoy. Also see Christopher Blom Paus, shipowner as well as banker, who owned most of Skien at the time. So we are back to the Phoenicians, as usual.

We will get back to personal links, but this might be a good time to ask why this event was run, and why it was run in 2011. I have an answer for that as well. All we have to do is look at Norway's GDP and military spending in the past decade.



SOURCE: TRADINGECONOMICS.COM | SIPRI



The first chart is military spending, the second Gross Domestic Product. Military spending in 2019 was even higher, being 7179. You can see that by 2010, Norway's military spending had bottomed out, even though GDP was skyrocketing. In that year, military spending was 1.26% of GDP, or about 5 billion for a nation of 5 million. But eight years later, that had increased to 1.7%, an increase of 35% in that period. The vampires also managed to snuff GDP by 2014, probably by skimming off about 100 billion. Also in this period, Norway was talking about getting out of NATO, after bad experiences in the Middle East. That couldn't be allowed to happen.

Now, do you think it is just a coincidence that Norway's military spending bottomed out in 2010, and the Breivik event happened in 2011, causing a fast rise in military spending? You will say there is no connection, since one concerns domestic safety and one concerns international safety. But the fact is, if people don't feel safe, they will be willing to spend more not just on police, but on military as well. Operation CHAOS creates fear to benefit both police and military, and the two have always been closely linked.

This is a good time to mention that Norway makes most of its money from huge oil and gas reserves in the North Sea. By many measures, it is the richest country in the world per capita, with the largest capital reserve per capita. Statoil (now Equinor) was founded in 1972 as the state oil company of Norway, and the Government Pension Fund now has assets of over \$1 trillion, making it the largest sovereign wealth fund in the world. But somehow Norway still has a public debt of 36% of GDP. You figure that one out. Only the bankers could create debt out of trillion dollar surpluses.

Also curious is that Norway sold 1/3rd of Statoil in 2001 to private interests, including Blackrock, Fidelity, State Street, Vanguard, UBS, the usual suspects. Also one we haven't seen before on my site: **Dodge and Cox**. [The President and CEO of Statoil is now Eldar **Sætre**. The Sætres appear to be related to the Breiviks, since if you do a websearch on both, you get many hits for people named Sætre Breivik. The CEO from 2004-2014 was Helge **Lund**, which surname we above, related to the Rønnebergs. Lund came out of **McKinsey&Co**, a notorious US consulting firm tied to Enron and much other skullduggery. It has many ties to Intelligence, as you saw most recently with its involvement in the fake Khashoggi assassination.] So my question is, do you think the citizens of Norway voted on that? Do you think they wanted to lose 1/3rd of their cash cow? You may also like to know that oil prices were tripling in the period 1998 to 2001. So that doesn't seem like a good time to sell, does it? In the initial offering, [Norway sold 18% of Statoil](#) for 2.9 billion. So let me see if I have this right: Norway was making about \$60 billion a year from Statoil, but decided to sell 18% for less than 3 billion? 18% of 60 is 10.8, so that amount of Statoil was worth 3.7 times more than that *in a single*

year. I'm no economist, but that doesn't sound like a very smart sale. At that previous link, the *New York Times* tries to sell the idea that investors didn't want a piece of Statoil, but does that make any sense? This is among the most lucrative companies in the history of the world, but private investors were "skittish". The crap they expect you to believe! Here is quote from the article:

"The privatization is in part due to the company's international expansion," said one London analyst who insisted his name not be used because of company policy. "The Norwegian government can't justify using state money to bankroll international development for Statoil. And as the company does more international projects, you could see more of Statoil being sold."

What? On what planet does that make sense? It is like saying Norway can't stand getting any richer, because it might make people nervous. This London analyst wanted to remain anonymous because he didn't want his name on such an obvious lie. And guess what, a search on "Norway votes to sell Statoil" came up with zip. The people of Norway can't want this, and if they knew the whole truth, they would want it even less. Why? Because the truth is Norway is so rich that everyone in the country could retire tomorrow and pay no taxes for the rest of their lives. They could work just for fun or to stay in shape. But to do that they would have to disengage themselves from these international and national bankers bleeding them dry every year. They need to wake up, re-nationalize Statoil by forcefully buying back all shares, and run all royals and nobles out of the country. If they did that, they could re-organize the country on a rational basis and live in a cold but extremely affluent paradise. But of course the same could be said of the entire world.

With that in mind, let us return to Breivik's genealogy. We find that his mother is completely scrubbed in all places, even at Hemneslekt. That is a clue in itself. They don't want to tell us who she is. They are hiding any links out of the name Behring, her maiden name. Here is why. You want to look up a guy named [Emil Adolf von Behring](#). Yes, I know, you are already champing at the bit. He won the Nobel Prize in medicine in 1901 for his work on the diphtheria antitoxin. We are told he became a Prussian noble in the same year, though I suspect he had always been from the nobility. Behring married Else [Spinola](#), daughter of Elise [Bendix](#), admitted to be Jewish. These Behrings owned a home in Capri called Villa Behring, and guess who lived there in 1909-1911 with his buddies? [Maxim Gorky](#). You will wish to reread my papers on [Lenin](#) and [Stalin](#) for more on that. Gorky was a gay Jewish actor who faked his death. They tell you Gorky was schooling revolutionaries in Capri, but he was actually cavorting in the waves with his gaypals like Peshkov and Lunacharsky. Anyway, this links us to the current event, since the island Utøya has a similar link. In 1936 Trotsky was living on Utøya, having been given exile by the government of Norway. Yes, before it was bought by the Labour Party, Utøya had been a retreat for Russian revolutionaries. As you will see, that was not just a coincidence. Nothing is.

Emil von Behring is given four sons at Geni.com, but we are supposed to believe none of them had children. I suggest this is where Anders Behring Breivik's mother is scrubbed. Some things are not scrubbed in Emil's ancestry, including the names Joost, Henning, and [Koen](#). These Behrings are from Brandenburg, and Emil's father was named [August](#). This tends to confirm nobility as well as Judaism. Von Behring's grandmother was a Preuß. This confirms the Jewish link again, see [Huge Preuß](#), son of Levin Preuß and Minna Israel. He was Minister for the Interior under Philip Schiedemann in 1919. We have already looked at that in my paper on the [Beer Hall Putsch](#). Same for the Spinolas. This was a family of Italian cardinals and archbishops going back many centuries—which doesn't mean what you think it means. [Like the de' Medicis](#), the Spinolas were crypto-Jews, being very wealthy [merchants and bankers of Genoa](#). See Ambrogio Spinola, 1st Marquess of the Balbases, also a general. Don

Ambroglio's sister married the Marquess of Galatone, from whom descend the **Princes of Belmonte**. This also links us to the **Grimaldi**, of course, the current Princes of Monaco. The current Prince of Belmonte's daughter married Adam **Bruce** in 2003. He is the son of Andrew Bruce, 15th Earl of Kincardine. Hold on to that name, we will soon see it again. These Bruces are closely related to the Carnegies, Boyles, Cochranes, Lambtons, Noels, Gordons, Oswalds, Greys, and **Manners**. We already saw the Manners above, in connection to Roald Dahl. The Princes of Belmonte link us to at least three popes.

Anders Behring Breivik changed his name in jail to Fjotolf Hansen, which I am told reads like a joke name, somewhat like Jerk-weed Hansen. So he is continuing to blackwash himself. More interesting is his English name, which he used for the manifesto: Andrew **Berwick**. As it turns out, he did not pull that name out of a hat. See the Dukes of Berwick in the British peerage, including Carlos FitzJames-**Stuart** y Silva, 4th Duke. He was also the Duke of Liria y Xerica, comrade of King Charles III of Spain. His mother was Maria Theresa de Silva y Alvarez de Toledo, her father being the Conde of Galve and her mother being the Duchess of Alba. This also links us to de Portugal-Columbus, Duke of La Vega; the Princes zu Stolberg-Gedern; the Princes von Hornes; the Condes Pontecarrero de Palafox; the de Ventimiglia, Princes of **Grammonte**; the **Kirkpatricks** of Closeburn; the de Grevigné-Gallegos; the **Falco** y Osorio, Dukes of Montellano; the Princes de Hohenlohe-Langenburg; the Bourbons; the Walkers; the **Bruces, Earls of Elgin**; the Trauttmansdorff-Weinsbergs; the Schönborn-Buchheims; and the Furstenbergs.

These two quick links to the Bruces indicate Breivik really *is* a Berwick, which ties him to the peerage as well as to the King of Norway, Harald V. The name Falco also links us to Breivik, since we saw the name [Falch in his genealogy](#). There they were related to Steinbochs, Haars, Kruchows and Benkestoks.

We will hit the Kings of Norway, but first I want to finish off the Berwicks in the peerage. We find a Lt. Col. Edward Hall Berwick, who married the daughter of James Harris, Earl of Malmesbury, in 1944. This links us to the **Stewarts** again, as well as to the Chambers and Dashwoods. It also links us to the Cholmondeleys, Campbells, Levenson-Gowers, Fulfords, and Somersets. The Berwicks also link us to the Murrays, Lords of Elibank, through Mary Berwick, who married the Baronet Lechmere. Their son married a Murray. Going back to the 1700s in this line, we find a Murray marrying a Stirling in Scotland. Which reminds us of [the Dunblane event](#).

OK, let's leave the genealogies and look at Breivik's bio, which is worth many laughs. His father was an economist at the Norwegian Embassy in London, and later Paris. That's obviously a huge flag, tying Anders closely to the government. Anders also has ties to the military through his stepfather, Tore Tollefsen. We are told Tollefsen was both a pilot and a major in the navy. Otherwise, information on Tollefsen is not to be found. He was a major a long time ago, so he may have been promoted since then, say to Lieutenant Colonel? That is the next step up after Major.

Tollefsen may be related to [Ivar Tollefsen](#), Norwegian billionaire. He is the owner of Fredensborg AS, an investment company focusing on leisure housing, rentals, and other real estate. It buys urban farms, for one thing, which may link it to the Breivik event. Possibly this is how Breivik was set up in his Asta farm? Tollefsen is also connected to embassies, since he somehow purchased the former US Embassy in Oslo in 2017.

We are told that Breivik was known as a hip-hop graffiti artist in his teens. That's a joke, seeing that he was from West Oslo, in a wealthy area. Wikipedia admits Breivik grew up near Fritzner's Gate, which

is in [Gimle](#). “It is noted for its many **embassies** and has the highest real estate prices in Oslo, and indeed, Norway.” What did he do, tag the Royal Palace? We are also told Breivik was a bodybuilder on steroids. As hip-hop artists are, you know. He was supposed to be big and strong, but no photos from that period confirm it. Although he is listed as 6 feet tall, he looks to be about 5'9”, which is smallish for a Scandinavian man.



He looks to me like a blond David Duchovny there. It's in the mouth. And what straight man ever wore a beard like that? Actually, they admit Breivik had surgery on his nose, chin, and forehead in his 20s, confirming he was both rich and vain. He had the nosejob in response to being told he had an “Arab nose”. You mean like a Jewish nose? [They also admit](#) he used makeup and referred to himself as a metrosexual. He was “confused about his sexuality”.

Wikipedia tells us Breivik was “exempt” from military service, but no one in Norway is exempt. There has to be a reason, and it is never given. We are only told he was found “unfit”, but not on what grounds. *The Guardian* has said he “dodged” military service, but that is also unclear. You can't just dodge service: there has to be cause and a hearing. The strange wording in all these places is a red flag, indicating we aren't being told the truth. Breivik probably “dodged” the normal military by going directly into Intelligence, a path that may have been blazed for him by his stepfather. In support of that, we find the mainstream admitting he visited Belarus several times, possibly for military training under KGB colonel Valery Lunev. Breivik is said to have had the codename “Viking”. That story may be told to blackwash Belarusian opposition, but it appears to be covering some truth, since Norwegian metrosexuals with fake noses don't normally visit Belarus. Also remember that Belarusian President Lukashenko is a strange character with no real bio. We are supposed to believe his mother was a milkmaid and that the origin of his patronymic Grigorevich is unknown. He comes out of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union. [He has praised Hitler](#). [He was friends](#) with Gaddafi, [who we now know was an actor](#). So Breivik's ties to Belarus would just be more of the same, regarding our current line of research.

Next comes Breivik buying an organic farm in Asta, so that he could buy high-nitrogen fertilizer. In Norway you have to have a permit to buy that fertilizer. But we have no idea how he afforded either the farm or the 6 tonnes of fertilizer. We are told he worked customer service at some company, but since the name is not given, it reads like another lie. While working there, he supposedly started his own computer programming company. Again, we aren't told how he financed that or where he learned to program computers. All other claims are from his own manifesto and so are worthless. The scriptwriters obviously made this an organic farm to blackwash organics. Anything tied to Breivik is

automatically blackwashed. We are told Breivik purchased the fertilizer from “a farming supplier”, but are given no name. So that can't be verified. Regardless, he could not have had permission to buy it, since he could not possibly have passed the tests. You have to be actively farming, not just living in a house in the country. Since Breivik had no history of farming, they would have sent someone out to the farm to look at his set-up. Since he had no tractors, no seed, and no crops, and was living in a house with covered windows, it is unlikely they would have signed off on a large fertilizer purchase. You may also note the huge contradiction here: organic farms don't use high-nitrogen fertilizers, do they? They use manure, compost, stuff like that. *Verdens Gang* reported that Breivik bought explosive primer from Poland, with the Police Security Service in Norway being aware of it. Fertilizer purchases are also reported, so why did the police ignore Breivik? I think you know.


The next stupid thing we read in the story is that the owner of the local bar in Asta had previously been a profiler of passengers' body language at Oslo Airport, and he said there was nothing unusual about Breivik. But wait, who works as a profiler? Someone working for the Norway equivalent of Homeland Security, right? So we are supposed to believe this guy is now tending bar in Asta, population, what, 100? And that Breivik, who has covered all his windows and is hiding out, comes into the local bar to be seen and profiled?

But Asta is interesting for another reason: the largest military base in Norway just happens to be about five miles north. Rena Leir is also the newest camp in Norway, and coincidentally it is the home of FSK, Special Defense Command, which backs up and supports the Delta Police emergency squad in Norway—which of course was the main player in Utøya. And one of the things FSK specializes in is paratroopers and helicopter teams. Rena Leir also has a Telemark battalion, which is highly trained infantry. They also work with helicopters, as we see from this photo [from their page](#):



The second image is Telemark's insignia. Sort of strange for an infantry unit, isn't it? It is a Viking or Phoenician ship, eh? Which reminds us of Breivik's KGB codename: Viking. Remember the helicopter for later. It will be useful to us below.

Just to remind you, we see the same Phoenician boat on many heraldic crests, including that of the Douglas dukes and Hamilton dukes.



After his arrest, Breivik was given three adjoining cells at Ila Prison and was kept out-of-sight of other prisoners. He allegedly had one cell for sleeping, one as an office, and one as a gym. With treatment like that, criminals from all over the world will now be flocking to Norway to mass-murder and blow up government buildings, hoping to get caught. Only three days after the event, Breivik was charged with violating paragraph 147a of the criminal code, "creating serious fear in the population". That seems a little fast to prepare charges for such an event, doesn't it? As if the paperwork had already been done and was just waiting for publication.

I have deconstructed some weird trials, but this may be the weirdest: stranger in some ways than the Manson fake trials. First of all, Breivik was never charged with murder. Not even one count. This paragraph 147a charge is the only one, and it doesn't include murder. It is a terrorism charge, taken from recent legislation that may have been passed specifically for this event. Also note the number, which is year one of the CIA. If you don't believe me about the charge, [the entire indictment](#) is posted at Wikipedia. Most of it is a list of victims. Of 19 pages, 16.5 is given to the victim's list. But since there is no mention of murder charges, this victim's list is just filler. It is meaningless.

At the end of p. 18, it says,

In light of the disclosure of the case at the time of the Indictment, there are no grounds for a submission of regular punishment, cf. section 44 first paragraph of the Penal Code.

What? This is as much to admit that the whole indictment and trial are fake. This is not even a criminal indictment, since it leads with the stated goal of transferring Breivik to mental care. Indictments don't normally lead with pre-determinations of mental incapacity. That should have to be proven. State psychiatrists at first found Breivik insane in December 2011, but due to public outcry the state hired a second team, who found him sane in April 2012. Again, the legal system doesn't work like that. It is supposed to be impartial and does not make decisions based on public outcry.

From the beginning, the proceedings were upside down, since Breivik's attorneys were arguing he was guilty and sane (to keep him from a mental institution, supposedly), while the prosecutors were arguing

for acquittal based on insanity. So the defense was arguing he was guilty, while the prosecution was arguing he was not guilty. Do you see the insanity there? To add to the drollery, Breivik was given many days to grandstand, telling his side of the story. You realize this is impossible in a real trial, right? The question should have been one of guilty or not guilty. Not guilty means he didn't do it. Guilty means he did. But there was no possible finding of guilty with extenuating circumstances. You don't murder 77 people and then get off because you had a valid political reason, do you? The only extenuating circumstances for murder are self-defense or war, and neither applied here. So there is no reason to allow Breivik a hundred hours of court time to bloviate about why he did it. The court wouldn't care why he did it, since there is no possible good reason for it. Breivik argued "necessity", but that is not a defense for murder. Therefore, in a real trial, the moment Breivik started making excuses for his actions, the judge would have interceded and told him to shut up. All such "testimony" is beside the point, so the court would not have to listen to it. The only reason to have days of such fake testimony is so that they could be fed directly to the newspapers.

Also amusing is that Breivik complained on day one that judge Wenche Arntzen was a close friend of the family of Gro Harlem Brundtland, former Prime Minister. As we will see, Arntzen's web of relationships is far greater than that, so Breivik was correct. But when Arntzen asked if Breivik was making a formal complaint of conflict of interest, Breivik's lead attorney Lippestad said he was not. And the fake trial continued.

On day three Breivik brought up the whole Serb nationalism thing, and was allowed to talk for hours about that and other issues. Ask yourself what that could possibly have to do with this trial? It was completely irrelevant, since even if Breivik could prove that all his political opinions were true and sane, it still would not justify shooting 69 kids and blowing up eight others. Didn't the prosecution know how to object? Plus, it is never explained how Breivik began these long rants. A defendant isn't just perched on a chair and told to unburden himself indefinitely. **He has to respond to questions.** Are we supposed to believe the judge allowed Breivik's attorney to ask him about every political event in the past 50 years, just for fun? Because Breivik gives such colorful answers, we should allow him to blab without end?

According to Wikipedia, "On day four Breivik was questioned about his reasons for moving back in with his mother in 2006." What? Who asked him that and why? How could that possibly be pertinent? Why not ask him for 10,000 words on why he stopped sucking his thumb in 1998? All these bastards must have been paid by the minute for this court case.

On day 9, a witness for the prosecution testified that she could not remember the events of the day because she had suffered head trauma. Well, that's conclusive, isn't it? I am so glad the court heard that very pertinent testimony.

On day 7 a spectator threw a shoe at Breivik, instead hitting his attorney Bæra. His aim was so pathetic the directors declined to release footage. Of course this reminds us they should have been refusing to release all footage, to avoid compromising the trial. But they didn't. It was all about the footage.

The defense's closing speech included a final plea of sanity and the admission of the facts in the case. In other words, Breivik's own defense admitted his guilt, which goes against the adversary system of justice. But it reminds us of the Boston Marathon bombing trial, where Tsarnaev's defense attorney Judy Clarke admitted he was guilty in closing statements. This undercut the entire logic of the trial, and all trials. If both sides are admitting the guilt of the accused, the state might as well skip the expense of the trial and enter a summary finding. The trial is superfluous. You will tell me the trial

was mostly run to determine Breivik's sanity, but they didn't need weeks of Breivik grandstanding for that. The event, if true, was indication enough of that, and a judge would only need an hour with Breivik and a couple of psychiatrists to determine that.

In the end, Breivik was judged to be sane, though he had done his best in trial to appear insane. So either he needs more acting classes and more over-the-top direction, or the outcome was predetermined. However, he was not found guilty. [That word is conspicuously *not* used at Wikipedia.](#) He was also not sentenced to a definite term. He was sentenced to indefinite **containment**, which we are told is a special form of a prison sentence. One I suppose they made up just for this event. In fact, we find pretty much just that. Containment was invented in 2002, and has applied to only a few people, among them Breivik and Viggo Kristiansen (of the Baneheia murders)—another fake we will cover briefly below.

Explaining why the court found Breivik to be sane, the court stated that "many people share Breivik's [conspiracy theory](#), including the [Eurabia](#) theory. The court finds that very few people, however, share Breivik's idea that the alleged "Islamization" should be fought with terror." Do you really think a court is going to get involved in politics to that extent, publicizing and even seeming to condone the Eurabia theory? That is just more proof this was a court formed by Intelligence.

Wikipedia has a very short section called Commentary on the Trial. It is four sentences. So we are supposed to believe that this ridiculous trial that ignored all the forms of jurisprudence back to the time of Hammurabi only generated four sentences of commentary worth reporting from all over the world, for a decade? I have shown you that any real legal expert could have torn this trial to shreds. So why didn't they? Why has it been left to me, as usual?

Well, let's continue tearing. We will start with that judge, Wenche Arntzen. Her grandfather was Sven Arntzen, General Director of the Prosecuting Authority after WWII, which sentenced Quisling to death. Before that he had been a leader of the resistance, being a member of Milorg's military council and [Hjemmefrontens Ledelse](#). As such, he should have been executed himself upon capture. He was captured in 1944 and held briefly at Bredtveit Prison, but we are told the authorities didn't know he was part of the resistance and he was released after only a couple of months. Right. Something doesn't add up there. At the end of the war, Arntzen immediately became acting national Chief of Police. But Quisling's government didn't understand how prominent Arntzen was, I guess. They had better things to worry about than local resistance, right? In 1948, documents tying Arntzen to the Nazis came out, but those were eventually dismissed as forgeries by Gard Holtskog and others. Holtskog was head of Norwegian Broadcasting Corporation during the war, and was also Police President of **Finnmark**. So we get that name again. After the war he was sentenced to life in prison, but was again on the street by 1950—or earlier. What does it mean? It means that the documents tying Arntzen to the Nazis were probably genuine, and that the entire purge was faked. We will hit that below as well.

Milorg was Norway's military organization of resistance, but it was run out of London by SOE, Special Operations Executive. It was led by Sir Frank Nelson, who has been scrubbed from thepeerage.com. His father, Henry Ellis Hay Nelson, is listed with no wife or parents and only a daughter. But the Oxford Dictionary of National Biography tells us Frank Nelson's mother was Catherine Haviland. Frank's sister Ellice married Sir Leonard Vavasour, 4th Baronet. The Vavasours were originally Stourtons, **Howards**, Saviles, Somersets, Russells and Stonors. They take us back to the Dukes of Norfolk and Earls of Worcester.

Anyway, this brings us back to my questions in my previous papers on WWII. I showed there the

whole event was managed, and we can ask the same questions here. Such as, why did the Allies and Sweden allow Norway to be taken, making no effort to liberate it until 1945? Since Norway is coastal, the British Navy should have been able to liberate it with no help, while Hitler was busy on the Eastern front. Sweden should have also found the Nazi occupations of Denmark and Norway most inconvenient, so its neutrality is very hard to explain. Ignoring Denmark—since it does share a border with Germany—England and Sweden should have been able to prevent the fall of Norway. Once taken, it should have been very hard to keep, since the least effort would have liberated it. [But as with France](#), we don't see that. Hitler is somehow allowed to create a government in Norway made of locals, with almost none of his own people left in the country. That shouldn't be possible. But we will come back to that after we finish off the Breivik trial.

[**Added October 23, 2019:** Sven Arntzen was awarded Commander with Star of the [Order of St. Olav](#). Many others in this paper also have that medal, as you will see. We already saw George Ossian Sars above. So let's take a closer look at this award. It is named for St. Olav, king of Norway from 1015-1028. He was canonized by Pope Alexander III, who was a Bandinelli—a family of famous [goldsmiths](#). Olav was the son of Harald [Grenske](#), which is a strange name for that time. Pretty obviously Jewish as well. In the history books, we are told Olav won a lot of famous battles in [1008](#). Chai. In the same histories we find that Normandy was conquered by Norsemen in 881. Also Chai. I have previously shown you that just means the Phoenicians were conquering or reconquering Normandy at that point. We also find that Olav is admitted to be a direct ancestor of the Saxe-Coburg-Gothas, so the current royal family of Norway doesn't just descend from the SCGs via Maud of Wales (see part 2). They were of this line back to the year 1000 and before.

Now, if we study the medal itself, we find it is a [Maltese Cross](#) with [eight](#) points. Simply confirming everything else here.



It was established and first awarded by King Oscar I of Sweden, above. Note the nose. His father was General Bernadotte of France, a crypto-Vasa. The medal has been awarded to Queen Elizabeth II of England, Prince Philip, and Prince Charles, just so you know.

While researching this, I learned something about Odin, the most powerful of the Norse gods. The Norwegian and Swedish royals claim to be descended from Yngvi, son of Odin. On Yngvi's Wiki page, we learn some astonishing things, such as that he came to Scandinavia from. . . Turkey!

In the [Íslendingabók](#) (written in the early twelfth century by the Icelandic priest [Ari Þorgilsson](#)) *Yngvi Tyrkja konungr* 'Yngvi king of [Turkey](#)' appears as the father of [Njörðr](#) who in turn is the father of Yngvi-Freyr, ancestor of the Ynglings.

That tends to confirm all our previous guesses as to the roots of the Vikings, doesn't it? Furthermore, studying the etymology of the word Odin leads me to believe that rewriting it as Woden, with a "w", has been purposeful misdirection. It should be **Yodin**, with a "y", but if it were spelled that way it might make you realize what is going on here. Yodin would connect us to Yodh, and thereby to Adonai and Tammuz. See the Wiki page on "Names of God in Judaism". Also interesting is that Yod is an isosceles triangle in astrology, which may explain where the triangle, pyramid, and delta come from in the imagery we keep seeing. Remember, we saw them in this current hoax, where the Delta police force was a major player. This mention of Odin also reminds us of the "Illuminati" or "Freemason" symbol of the one eye. We are told it comes from Horus, but I believe it comes in a more direct line through Odin, and these rulers of Scandinavia, the British Isles, and France. They prefer you think of them as Freemasons or Illuminati, since that hides the fact they are actually Phoenicians/Jews. Yes, the symbolism continues back in time to older gods of the Middle East, where it again gets conflated and covered by other gods like Ra and Horus. But I now see it as a pointer not to Egypt, but to the Phoenicians and their precursors. Horus is used to cover the name Wadjet, but it is doubtful the two gods were of the same people. Notice that Wadjet is again our Woden/Odin. Try spelling it Yodiet, and you will see what I mean.

One final thing before I move on. I hope you have seen that this also links us to. . . Yoda. That is where they got that.]

Sven Arntzen's son Andreas went to Harvard and started his own law firm, which represented **Arne Treholt**. Treholt was convicted of High Treason in 1985, but this was another fake. He was another Labour Party minister, and he also worked in the embassy. He went to the Norwegian Joint Staff College in 1982-3, indicating to me he was an agent prepping for his upcoming role. Afterwards he joined the Ministry of Foreign Affairs as part of this obvious con. Although Treholt was allegedly caught with \$40,000 in illicit payments, the verdict didn't even get that right. The court didn't even know what bills were in the suitcases. Also, we are told the police searched Treholt in 1982, finding \$10,000 in a briefcase. 15 months later they searched him again, finding \$30,000 in the same briefcase. Wow, so Treholt was really good at this. I guess he left his spying briefcase on the bed every time the police dropped in, for their greater convenience. Once paid by the KGB, he didn't put the money in the bank or hide it, he kept it in a briefcase in plain sight? Is that what you would do? If the KGB paid me \$10,000 in cash (which, for a Minister, isn't that much anyway), I would spend it as fast as possible, on diamonds or gold coins, say, putting them in a safe or safety deposit box. But Treholt just wasn't that smart, I guess. Actually, these rich guys probably have much better ways of hiding assets than that, such as numbered Swiss bank accounts, but that isn't the point.

Treholt was convicted of treason and sentenced to 20 years, but he was "reprieved" in 1992 by Prime Minister Gro Brundtland. Which means someone doesn't know what reprieved means. A reprieve is a temporary stay of punishment, usually to await an appeal. They avoid the more proper term "pardon" here for a reason, and that is because someone convicted of treason cannot be pardoned by a Prime Minister. As in the US and elsewhere, treason is a special crime, with a minimum sentence and no early release for good behavior or for any other reason. This is to prevent it from being politicized, and to prevent cronies from pardoning the prisoner in a future government. So this Treholt event reminds us a lot of [the Beer Hall Putsch, and Hitler's phony treason conviction](#). There, Hitler was allegedly out

after only eight months. Treholt allegedly served about seven years of a twenty year sentence, but we can be sure he wasn't in jail for a minute.

Since 2009, our judge Wenche Arntzen has been a member of the Norwegian Parliamentary Intelligence Oversight Committee. That's a joke on so many levels it is hard to know where to start. One, Arntzen is allegedly a district court judge, not a member of Parliament, so why is she on this committee? Two, this committee oversees NIS, PST, NSM, and NORDSS, which are like the US's CIA, FBI, DHS, and so on. Since these agencies far outrank Congress or Parliament, no oversight is possible. It is all a charade. As in the US, Norway's Parliament is just a paper moon over a cardboard sea, and Intelligence does whatever it wishes. This is not a secret: it is known and admitted. So Wenche's part in this con is just another indication she is Intel herself, playing the role of fake judge in these court cases.

Another judge in Breivik case was Arne Lyng, whose uncle was Arne Treholt's defense attorney. So you see the tangled webs they weave. This uncle, Jon Lyng, later became Chairman of **Oslo Kinematografer**. The name alone should be a huge red flag. In Norway, the cinemas, concert halls, theaters, and museums are state owned, which in many ways aids in propaganda delivery. As in the US, Intelligence really runs the whole thing. Think of the way the CIA hovers behind Hollywood. But the red flag is even more obvious than that, since we are seeing that *all these trials are cinema*.



Breivik's lead attorney was Geir Lippestad. His bio doesn't bother to mention he is related to Johan Andreas Lippestad, Minister of Social Affairs in the Quisling government who was also connected to Finnmark. He was sentenced to life with forced labor in 1945, but as usual did not serve that sentence, being pardoned in 1956. Norway Wiki admits he was first cousin of Carl Thorvald Lippestad, great-grandfather of Geir. So we are finding an inordinate number of links to the Quisling government.

Finally, let's look at the coroner for the Breivik event, Torleiv Ole Rognum. He is like the Thomas Noguchi of Norway, since he has been involved with almost all the high-profile fake cases of the past decades, including Baneheia, the Orderud case, and the Scandinavian Star. In the Breivik case, he gave us a clue, saying the average age of death at Utøya was **18**. Chai. Another clue is that Rognum was made a Knight of the Order of St. Olav in 2007, *before* the Breivik case. He has also been in

Parliament, which is not normal for a coroner. Nor is his time on the Biotechnology Board. His Wiki page does not give an outline of his major cases, which is odd.

The *Scandinavian Star* case is like a little sister of [the Titanic case](#), which I have already solved. It was a fire onboard this ship in 1990 that allegedly killed 159. The clues are: it had just been sold a few months earlier and converted from a casino ship to a passenger ferry. The new crew was alleged to have been trained in ten days, but most didn't speak Danish, Norwegian, or English. Strange, since the ship was traveling from Norway to Denmark. A crew with no language skills makes no sense. Were they all supposed to be Maasai warriors? This language problem is sold as a cause of the disaster, so they are setting you up for it, you see. We are told a melamine resin laminate used as a decorative covering on the walls was highly flammable, also producing toxic gasses. Really? We are supposed to believe that? This was 1990, not 1890. They knew about fire proofing and fire safety in 1990, didn't they? Such resins wouldn't have been allowed. So this whole story is another fantasy, told to people who don't know anything about anything. As more proof of that, we find that many of those dead were either never found or not identified. They were allegedly charred beyond recognition. Convenient. Initial reports claimed many of the dead were children, to add to the sympathy, but it was later admitted that wasn't true. There were alleged to be 97 crew aboard, which seems very large for such a small ship. So 61% of those said to be dead could have been planted as extra crew, with the others names being made up or taken from recently deceased lists. An investigating team later found that multiple fires were set simultaneously, which I believe, since this was arson and insurance fraud. But I doubt that anyone was killed. The deaths were faked to make the event look real, and perhaps to defraud for other funds. It was found that the fire was stoked even after evacuation by crew members soaking mattresses with diesel fuel. But that's not suspicious, is it? On August 11, the ship was towed to the UK. Gee, that's Chai again, aces and eights.

Next we have the Orderud case, another obvious fake that coroner Rognum worked on. This concerns the death of Anne Orderud Paust and her parents, allegedly murdered in 1999 by Anne's brother and others. Anne just happened to be the personal secretary of the Norwegian Minister of Defense, but that isn't suspicious, is it? Anne's husband Per Paust had allegedly died two weeks earlier **at age 55** in an unrelated incident, of natural causes. He had worked for NORAD, the **embassy** in Washington, and had been consul-general in New York. Despite multiple assassination attempts on this secretary, and an anonymous tip one week before the murders that she was targeted again, Paust voluntarily declined protection from the Police Security Service. Again, who believes this stuff? After the fake trials, Anne and Per Paust were linked to Intelligence by *Bennpunkt*. For those not buying the original story, this TV program floated the idea the Orderuds were murdered by the Yugoslavian mafia. You have to laugh. Private investigator Tore **Sandberg** named a killer from the Balkans who alleged pulled the murders. Remember, we saw the Sandbergs above. This Sandberg also worked for the Norwegian Broadcasting Company, so calling him a private investigator is a cover. Since he has also worked on the Fritz Moen case and the Liland Affair, we can also mark those as probable fakes.

In the Orderud case, best guess is Anne's brother was also an Intel asset, and that the deaths of both Anne and Per were faked to aid their re-assignment or relocation. The event was also used to create generalized fear.

Finally, let us look at the Baneheia murders, the last of coroner Rognum's fakes we will study here. This event concerned the alleged 2000 rape and murder of two girls, 8 and 10, by two ill-defined thugs near Grim. That adds to 18, Chai. As usual, the story makes no sense. I will take the clues in the order we find them at Wikipedia. We are told that directly after the murders, the two men agreed upon alibis. Kristiansen was to say he was in his workshop, while Andersen was jogging. *Those aren't alibis*. In

fact, they are the opposite of alibis, since both guys are **alone** in the story. With an alibi, you need to produce *a witness* that you weren't anywhere near the scene of the crime, remember? At worst, the two men could have acted as witness for one another, but the story they allegedly made up doesn't even do that, since they were each alone. Nobody is that stupid, except possibly the writers of this story. And those who believed it.

We are told a huge search with canine units was initiated by 11pm, which is only 4 hours after they were last seen. That isn't how it works. Even children have to be missing longer than that before major searches are begun. You can see why: such searches are expensive and time consuming, and people often get lost for more than four hours, for any number of reasons. The girls could have been at a friend's house, at the mall, in a parking lot smoking, at a movie, or anywhere else. It stays light to all hours in Norway, and in May it wouldn't have gotten dark until about 10. So this was only one hour after that. You will say these girls were quite young and should have been in before that, which leads us to the next problem:

On a normal Friday night there tended to be around 200 people jogging and strolling around in Baneheia. On the night of the crime, approximately 100 people were either jogging or walking through the area.[21] A fixed abode for recreational drug users that for years had been colloquially referred to as "acid peak" was only 200 meters from the place where the two little girls were found murdered. The area was also formerly known as a place where exhibitionists and voyeurs have operated.[22] Other possible suspects that were questioned by police included former sex-offenders, local convicted rapists, convicted murderers [23] and even two psychiatric patients who had escaped from a psychiatric institution adjacent to the area.

You really need to study that closely for sense. With all those people in the area, it would a terrible place to rape and kill two noisy young girls. Plus, if this was an area known to be frequented by druggies, exhibitionists, voyeurs, sex-offenders, rapists, murderers, and escaped lunatics from the adjacent institution, why would anyone allow their young daughters to go swimming there unaccompanied after dinner? Why indeed would *anyone* without a death wish jog or walk in such an area? So you are being sold a huge contradiction. You are supposed to believe the area was a very dangerous place, but that only the police knew that. The locals thought it was safe, and the police just didn't bother to tell them about the escaped psychiatric patients, convicted rapists, etc.

Here is your next clue:

On April 23, 2001 the trial against Andersen and Kristiansen began.[46] By order of Prosecutor-General Tor-Aksel Busch, both men were charged with identical crimes of rape and premeditated murder. In addition, and unrelated to the murders, Kristiansen was charged with rape and sexual abuse against a girl under the age of 10, indecent assault against a boy under the age of 10, and for looking at a woman through her window while she was getting undressed.[47] Kristiansen confessed (in full tears) that he had sexually abused the girl 5 times when he was 15-16 years old.[48] [49] [50] [51] Kristiansen also confessed to the charges of the woman,[52] but not for the charges of the boy.[53] In the end, Kristiansen was found not guilty to the charges of the boy.

What? Can you make any sense of that? They just decided to pad the case with unrelated crimes by Kristiansen? For your information, unrelated cases have to be tried separately. This is because testimony against Kristiansen in those other cases would prejudice judgment against Andersen as well. So Andersen's counsel wouldn't allow all these other cases to be brought in. They would have to be tried separately later. Also, what are the odds that all these people would come out of the woodwork to

press charges simultaneously against Kristiansen? If he had abused this other girl and boy, why didn't they press charges when it happened? To me, this paragraph looks to have been inserted by the scriptwriters to appear to give Kristiansen a history of sexual crimes.

Next, we are supposed to believe Kristiansen's own mother testified against him, going on and on in the witness stand about how bad he was. That is extremely unlikely. They forget to mention in this section that she actually gave him an alibi in her testimony, since she saw him that evening in the backyard. Well, if she saw him, she must have known he didn't do it, so why would she be testifying against him in court?

Next, a community worker testified that Kristiansen was "a ticking timebomb", based on his gut feeling. Gut feeling is not a basis for testimony. Kristiansen's attorney should have objected that this testimony was only opinion, and it should have been thrown out as worthless.

Next, we find a very large contradiction in the script. We are told the girls were wearing each other's clothing when they were found. But earlier we were told that the men stuffed the bodies between slab rocks and hid the bloody swimsuits in a muddy drainage pipe. Whoops, they forgot to hire a continuity editor again!

Here is your next clue:

The presiding judge Asbjørn Nes Hansen wrote in his sentencing : "*Each of the victims has been subjected to the extreme burden of having been forced to listen to the other being raped while moaning in pain. Lena must have had thoughts about what would happen to her while Stine Sofie was being raped. After Lena was killed, Stine Sofie must have heard the defendants quarreling about who was going to kill her. Jan Helge Andersen explained that Stine Sofie took Lena's arm and later peered onto her. She probably understood at that time that Lena was dead. As the District Court has referred in its judgment, it is not possible to comprehend the fear and the suffering that the two girls went through before they finally died.*

That reads like no real court document I have ever read. The judge could not possibly know such details, unless he had been there watching. It reads like bad fiction, like some dime-store novel. It was obviously written by some very bad writers in Intel, and included here to create emotion. It utterly fails to do that.

Afterwards, Kristiansen was caught by the camera smiling, and he explained that he was smiling at the "mere absurdity of the situation". Yes, precisely. This footage again should never have been seen, and they admit that at Wikipedia. There is a ban in Norway on recording inside courtrooms. TV2 was of course cleared of all wrongdoing (since they were in the hire of Intel, and Intel can do no wrong). Andersen was sentenced to 19 years but has been on the streets since at least 2015.

They now admit Kristiansen had several alibis, including a phone alibi*, and that there is no evidence he committed this crime. A pilot was witness to Andersen being with the two girls **alone**, but he was not called in trial. That by itself it is enough to prove the trial was staged. Kristiansen was convicted solely on the basis of Andersen's testimony, which changed many times. In addition, DNA evidence against Kristiansen was later found to be faked by three independent labs in Norway, Sweden, and the UK. This means the criminal investigating chief Arne Pedersen lied when he said DNA evidence tied Kristiansen to the murders "with 100% certainty".

Claiming his innocence, Kristiansen has refused to apply for parole, saying that it would be a sordid way to go forward. So we are supposed to believe he prefers to stay in jail for life, as some sort of stand on principle of his innocence? OK.

Also of interest: the lake the girls were allegedly swimming in is less than a mile from **Lund** and Kristiansand. This area links us to many of those related to the Breivik event, including Mette-Marit, who is from Kristiansand. We also link to the Welhavens, since Johan Welhaven was connected to Camilla Collett (Wergeland) of Kristiansand. Other Wergelands include Harald Storm Wergeland, Minister of the Army. We also link to Trotsky again, since he not only spent time on Utøya, he also spent time on an island off Kristiansand.

The name Lund reminds us that Eilert Stang Lund, Supreme Court Justice until 2009, was involved in the Fritz Moen case. Lund is a descendant of the Lunds of Farsund, merchants and shipowners. Also see John Theodor Lund, banker, newspaper owner, and President of the Lagting 1893-1900. Also Frederick Lund, owner of the Blue Anchor Line, and of the ship *Waratah* which disappeared without a trace. Also Klas Lund, a Swedish fake neo-Nazi, supposed to be head of the White Aryan Resistance. This is of course another Intel creation.

Anyway, to me it looks like the Baneheia event was faked as part of the worldwide men-are-pigs project, which is part of the larger Operation Chaos project of spreading fear. This fear allows for far larger police and military budgets. Even the name Kristiansen looks fake: Kristiansen from Kristiansand? These writers have very little imagination.

In part 2, we will start by looking at Jens Stoltenberg, Prime Minister of Norway during the Breivik case. Studying him will help us understand why Norway has been accelerating into this fakery like the rest of the world. We will also look at the Royals of Norway and the Quisling affair before covering the bomb in Oslo and the event on Utøya. In part 3 we will look at Ole Dammegard, and his project to control the opposition in the Breivik event.

*Telenor and Teleplan admit that Kristiansen used his cellphone several times that evening, and that he was never in the vicinity of the crime. That should be conclusive.

[return to updates](#)