

[return to updates](#)

THE ✝ SWORD



by Miles Mathis

First published April 23, 2018

While writing my previous paper on art, I took a look around at [TheCut](#). Man, every article there is bald propaganda, and reads like it comes straight out of the dungeons at Langley. [Of course that could be said about all other mainstream sources online and off, but we are here today.] I didn't read one article that wasn't stinking with heavy spin and layers of lies. A large percentage seem to be written by young women—or at least that is what the bylines would have you believe. Maybe that is why the site is called TheCut. I guess it was that or TheGash. Or to be even more on the nose, we could name it “The Intel Front that is trying very hard to be vagina friendly, but that is really lethal to genitals of all kinds”.

Well, if they are going to be TheCut here, I will be TheSword. My guess is I can slash through to some truth, no matter how deeply they bury it.

We will start with the article at the link above, entitled “Heirs to the Sexual Revolution”. It is supposed to be about the sexual climate in colleges. Laura Kern and Noreen Malone are shoveling the propaganda here, and they get to the punchline very fast. Paragraph two begins:

The apparent rise of rape on campus is more recent and more disconcerting. A new generation of activists has raised awareness of what appears to be a crisis: Studies show that as many as 25 percent of college women report having been raped, and college administrations have been repeatedly criticized for their anemic responses to alleged assaults.

Even if you haven't studied this question at all, you should already be on the alert. Study the curious wording there. The “apparent” rise. What “appears to be” a crisis. “Studies show”. “As many as 25%”. “Women report”. “Anemic responses” to “alleged assaults”. If these ladies were reporting on an actual crisis, the wording would be completely different. It would be something like this:

The rise of rape on campus is more recent and more disconcerting. Convictions in court show a terrible and pressing crisis: exactly 25 percent of college women have been raped, and college administrations are being sued in class-action all over the country for their immoral and illegal lack of response to these assaults.

Let's just go through the original quote from the beginning. We are told that a new generation of

activists has raised awareness of this crisis. Which activists? Who, for instance? We need to know so that we can check their data. We aren't told, of course. All we get is "activists". I checked the data of the *old* generation of activists who were doing exactly the same thing—under the exact same cover of imprecise and misleading language—back in the 1990s. It turns out they were just making it up. That's when this 1 in 4 claim first raised its head. It had been growing for decades: it was 1 in 7, then 1 in 6, then 1 in 5, and finally 1 in 4. Or did it hit 1 in 3 for a while after that? Seems like it did, but they backed off that, since they were getting too close to 1 in 1. They can't claim every single woman is reporting being raped, can they? Someone might get suspicious. Like those women that hadn't been raped. Anyway, at that time I was writing letters to the editor, but they refused to print anything by a man on the subject. Fortunately for me, some women were saying the same thing, and actually publishing the research. Camille Paglia was outspoken at the time, and Katie Roiphe, but Christina Hoff Sommers* took the cake. She proved many of these women activists were simply lying.

For myself, I researched it because it didn't make any sense. I was in my late 20s at the time and still spent some time on campus (University of Texas, Austin). I was still dating college-age girls then, and I knew a lot of people that age in the area. If 1 in 4 girls were getting raped, there would have been a huge uprising on campus by boyfriends and brothers and fathers and mothers. Instead, there was nothing. I didn't talk to a single girl who claimed to have been raped, and heard nothing serious through the grapevine. Once I started studying the question, I did ask a lot of women I knew about it, but I only got vague responses, like "well, I know this girl who knows someone who claimed she was raped". Sorry girls, that isn't good enough for me. I need something solid. The form of the response led me to conclude these girls were just reading the propaganda and believing it.

Now, I'm not saying no rapes are happening. I'm not saying no boys are applying undue pressure. I'm saying that police reports and rape trials don't support the 1 in 4 claim. Not even close. I ran the numbers then, and it was something less than 1 in 200, if that. And that included all claims: not just rape, but attempted rape, undue pressure, and regret.

Another reason I researched it is that I could see the fake statistics were creating a lot of unnecessary fear. As a man, I was feeling the propaganda directly, since all trust had gone out the window. Yes, in the 80s, there was a good deal of sexual freedom. The kind of openness they talk about now actually existed back then, to a certain extent. AIDS had already been imported to scare us, but that wasn't working except on gays. Many straights had wasted time and money getting tested, but none of us were positive, so the whole thing sort of died on the vine. They had to come up with something else. Later they would come up with the phony genital warts scare in the mid-90s, but in the early 90s they were already promoting these faked rape statistics. I guess they decided to hit it hard from the female end, since the males weren't scaring. And the females bought it. I guess most of them really thought 25% of their pals were getting raped. I could be mean and say girls aren't too good at math, but few people—women or men—are good at math at that age, or any age. That's why fake statistics work on most people. And few men or women are good at questioning the propaganda. It never occurs to them that they are being lied to.

But they are: *all the time about everything*.

Also notice that I have shown the first sentence is an outright lie. The authors claim the rise of rape on campus is recent, which is why it is a crisis. But that isn't true. According to these fake rape statistics, 1 in 4 women on campus have been reporting being raped since the early 1990s. I know, I was there. I have written about it. So there is no rise, and it isn't recent. It has been holding steady for at least 30 years. And yet the university administrators don't seem to care? Thirty years of ¼ of coeds getting

raped, and universities don't care? You would think that might cut down on their rates of female matriculation, wouldn't you? If highschool girls really believed their chances of getting raped in college were 1 in 4 going in, do you think the universities would remain open? No, they would go bankrupt, the lot of them. Those are terrible odds. If you went to the pool and there was a sign posted outside telling you 1 in 4 people who went swimming there drowned, you wouldn't pay your money and dive right in, would you? You would look for another pool.

What if you went to a restaurant and they had a notice posted outside saying that 1 in 4 who ate there got violently ill. Would you walk right in and take your chances? No. That restaurant would be closed within a week.

So the fact that university administrators ignore the "rape crisis" must mean they know something you don't: namely, that it doesn't exist. There is nothing they can do about a fake crisis, is there? No matter what they do, the fake activists will keep claiming the fake statistics, so why bother?

Our authors at TheCut then report the results of a college poll on sex, as well the results of interviews. 700 were polled, we are told. We will read the results for sense, but first be aware that interviews and polls can be manipulated, and almost always are. For all we know they hired these kids to be interviewed. Or, they could have made them up completely. Normally they just interview each other in the cubicles at Langley, then ask the computer to make up some names for them. Talking to real people is time-consuming and messy, and they have deadlines to meet. They don't have time for that crap.

Surprisingly, they admit that 40% of those polled—of both sexes—were virgins. And that isn't a poll of freshman, remember, but all classes. Given what we know of the sexes, that would mean over half the women were virgins. If that number 40% for both sexes is accurate, it would mean about 60% of the girls are virgins, since fewer of the boys will be. That doesn't fit the author's lead-in to this article, does it, where we are told kids are the heirs of a sexual revolution, and that campuses are great drunken bacchanals. Apparently they aren't. Apparently young people are the heirs of sexual frustration and loneliness, and it will only get worse for them after college.

Remind yourself that humans are entering puberty younger every decade. These college kids probably went through puberty when they were 13 or 14. Some went through it when they were 11 or 12. So biologically their bodies have been ready for sex for eight or ten years! And yet, here they are, many of them 22-year-old college seniors, and they are still virgins! And you wonder why people are messed up sexually. Try turning off any other natural biological function for a decade and see how healthy you come out the other end.

Which brings us to another problem of math. If around 60% of these college girls are virgins, then they can't have been raped, right? If you have been raped, you aren't a virgin anymore, by definition. So, that leaves 40%. Therefore, **we have to apply the 25% to the 40%**. Do you see where I am going, you math brainiacs of both sexes? This means. . . if you believe these statistics and polls, then almost 63% (25/40) of the sexually active (non-virgin) girls must have been raped.

Do you really believe 63% of sexually active college girls are being raped? I don't, but if you do, you will have to explain why women continue to apply to colleges with those sort of odds facing them.

Another problem is that we are told almost all of these college rapes are done by college boys. The story isn't that one bad older guy is sneaking onto campus, breaking into dorms, and raping girls. No, the story is that the rapes are "date-rapes", perpetrated by pimple-faced college boys. That is the story,

because it actually creates more distress. A few really bad guys being bad is to be expected, but no one expects nice college boys to do this kind of thing. The governors figured out a long time ago that much more fear is created by making the boy next door the monster. They need girls to be very afraid of “nice guys”, since that is who they are actually running into. So they create movies and write books and make up news stories about nice kids going insane and raping and murdering their neighbors and schoolmates. As we have seen, the stories are always fake, but most people still don't realize that.

For another example, I send you back to my 2015 [paper on the Glen Ridge Rape Case](#) of 1989. If you don't understand why I don't believe what I am told by the mainstream about rape, read that paper and get back to me. That is where the handsome highschool football players gang-raped a challenged girl with a broomstick. It was in the national headlines for years, and was referenced in many books and movies. **It never happened.**

We saw that again this week in Toronto, where [another fake event](#) was perpetrated. We are told Alek Minassian, a woman-hating “involuntary celibate” allegedly flipped out and drove his van over 23 people, killing 10. Like Elliot Rodger of Santa Barbara, whose 2014 project [I have previously blown](#) as a hoax, Minassian is being sold as a casualty of the gender wars. He couldn't get any dates and so went on a killing spree. This is just what young men do, you know. Unfortunately for the credibility of this story, the mainstream reports are already admitting Minassian's Facebook rants were littered with “codes and formats used by the Canadian military”, and that he had joined the CAF August 23, 2017. CAF is claiming that he requested to be “voluntarily released” after 16 days of basic training, but it doesn't work like that. Go enlist in the army and see if you can get “voluntarily released” after 16 days. We may assume he was trained and then—due to his slightly Middle Eastern looks and other unknown qualifications—was immediately assigned to this project. My prediction is that it will soon be discovered he had been an actor. Anyway, you can see the connection to my thesis here. See the article in the *Verge* today by Laura Hudson addressing this latest hoax. It is entitled “The Internet is Enabling a Community of Men Who Want to Kill Women. They Need to be Stopped”. Catchy title. It is sure to plant an even deeper seed of fear into women, as it was meant to.

But anyway, back to the subject at hand. The problem with the rape numbers is that we just saw it admitted that 40% of college kids are virgins. Even if only 25% of the boys are, that is still a pretty large percentage. And it messes up the numbers since they are telling us at the same time that 25% of the boys are rapists. Just think about it, please. It doesn't make any sense, does it? We have this small pool of relatively privileged kids (only 25% of all people in the US graduate from college), and we are being told that about 25% of the males in this pool are virgins and 25% are rapists. That's a very skewed pool of candidates, isn't it, with a large percentage existing in the extremes. Logically, you wouldn't expect that. Logic would dictate that, given a society of a certain kind, you would either have a lot of virgins or a lot of rapists, but not both. This is just more indication the statistics are faked. I believe the virgin statistic, but I don't believe the rape statistic.

We are told that almost everyone polled thought others were having more sex than they were. Well, since the sexual revolution was a myth, they would, wouldn't they? The media tells them college is a great drunken bacchanal, so they believe it, against their own experience. But the truth is, they have been frightened out of having nice sex by decades of fake rape and pregnancy statistics, fake #MeToo movements, fake feminists, fake disease scares, fake serial killers, and every other possible hoax.

And you know what, many of them are ruined for life. If your first period of experimentation is ruined on purpose, it is very unlikely you will be able to get past that, *especially* if you are female. High doses of natural testosterone help males get past it, but females don't have that. So, ironically, it should be

females joining me first in the revolution. They should be the angriest, since they have had the most stolen from them. Again, **they have had their entire sexual lives ruined on purpose**. They should be furious that they and their daughters and nieces continue to be assaulted with all this false information.

So yes, I actually believe the poll this time. Why? Because it fits my experiences. Polls should do that, you know? If the news isn't matching your experiences, that should be a problem, since your senses are pretty good and should normally be trusted. If the news tells you it is raining outside and you go outside and don't get wet, you should probably come to the conclusion it isn't raining. When I was dating a lot in the 80s and 90s, I was meeting a lot of virgins and frigid girls, and they were very scared. Nothing has changed. I don't date as much now, but when I do, it is the same as in the 90s. The young ones are scared or frigid, and the older ones are ruined. Some of the older ones want to like sex, and some of them try, but it doesn't work. Too much bad water has gone under the bridge, and they can't swim back to shore.

Our authors then try to sell their female readers something else. Hey, girls, if you are a virgin or frigid, have you thought of lesbianism or transexuality? Or you could be a demi-girl or a graysexual panromantic (whatever those are). Yeah, I'm sure that will fill the void.



That's right. The sickos in Intel are actually trying to turn you into Pat from Saturday Night Live. Someone took the time to design that flag and they are now seriously selling it on the Web as part of gender freedom.

Women should be furious about this as well. These bastards have stolen your sexuality from you for life to profit themselves and their masters, and to make up for that they are offering you a half-pink flag you can fly in your dormroom, so that you can claim to be empowered by the trauma they forced upon you.

Which brings us back to the “hook-up culture” the media has been selling for the past 30 years. Yes, it isn't kids who invented this culture, although that is what you are expected to believe. It was created *for* them, like everything else. Why? Because the governors don't want women marrying anymore. They want women going to work for the corporations, making them money. The housewives of the 1960s weren't proper wage slaves. And they didn't spend enough money to suit the merchants because their husbands often controlled the pursestrings. Not any longer. By splitting the sexes, the merchants can prey on each sex separately, creating a special set of fears and anxieties for each.

So the word from governments and the parents controlled by them has been for many decades that young people should wait to get married. They shouldn't get married in high school or even college. Preferably they should wait until they are 30. I know: that was my wife's plan when I convinced her to marry me at age 25 (in 1988). She wanted children, but she had decided—based on bad advice—that she should be free until 30, at which time she would get married and have children. I was able to change her mind, but that had been her plan. Most women I have dated over the years have voiced a similar plan, based on similar bad advice. It isn't hard to see how it is a bad plan, if you think about it for a moment. Or if you have hindsight, like many older women eventually get. Why is it a bad plan? Many reasons, including: 1) younger women have much healthier children. Women who go through puberty at 12 or 14 aren't biologically intended to have children after 30. Every year after 30, the odds of Downs Syndrome and other deformities go way up. But they don't teach young women that, do they? 2) People (not just women) are generally at their most attractive under 30. Americans don't take great care of themselves, and so most start to age noticeably in their 30s. Most of us rely on our looks to attract a mate, and if the looks start to go, finding a partner becomes a lot harder. That is just the way it is. It affects men, too, believe me. 3) At age 30, a single woman will probably be hooked into a career, and it is harder to have children in that situation. 4) Even if you are still very attractive in your 30s, it is harder to meet people. It will never be as easy as it was in college. 5) By age 30, you will have lived as a hooker—I mean hooker-upper—for at least a decade, and it is hard to make the switch. You will have gotten used to living by yourself and having everything your own way, so a guy in the house may seem like a nuisance in many ways. You would have been better off not getting into that selfish rut to begin with.

So this is why the hook-up culture is sold to you from an early age. The governors don't want you to get married and be happy. Preferably they want you to be a repressed virgin, working overtime for them since you have nothing else to do. If they can't manage that, the next best thing is that you have unsatisfying condom sex with semi-strangers, wrapped in plastic, drugged to the gills, and in constant fear of pregnancy and disease.

I would like to coin the term “anti-rape” for what has been done to women in the past half-century. It is actually far more sinister than any rape, since it lasts for life. It is the purposeful destruction of a woman's sexuality for profit via lies, hoaxes, fake statistics, and horribly bad advice. How many generations of women have been victimized by this project? 4? 6? 10? Who knows? And men have been anti-raped by the same project, though slightly less directly. For every woman lost to the world of nice sex, a man is lost—the man meant for her.

That's right, I am not an aromantic or panromantic, I am just an old-fashioned romantic, who thinks men and women were meant for each other. The Muses had someone lined up for you, and it is very sad you missed them. And you know what, if you are lucky they may still be out there. If you try very hard and act very nice, you may be able to find them. But the first step in finding them is to give up on all the propaganda you have swallowed in the past. Don't believe these harpies writing for the magazines. Don't follow their poisoned advice. Shut down the media and get on with your life.

Here's another way to think of it. Humans have mangled the sexual lives and the health of their pets by cutting off their genitals. But that is too gruesome to propose for other human beings. So the governors have implemented the next best solution: destroy the sexual health of humans not by surgical

intervention but by psychological intervention. Our current program has proved itself very successful at creating virgins and other non-sexual creatures without surgery of any kind. Rather than removing or crushing physical body parts, this program targets various parts of the brain or mind via fear, anxiety, drugs, and confusion. Instead of targeting sexual ability, it targets sexual *impulse*. Unlike animals, humans can't perform—especially sexually—without their brains being heavily involved. They are thinking creatures, and if their thinking is destroyed, their actions are destroyed as well. You have to understand that this is what is happening, *and how it works*, if you have any hope of resisting it. Once your brain understands it is being messed with, it can resist. Like any other body part, it can heal itself, but only once the disease is targeted. It has to pinpoint the invader in order to drive it out. And—as I have said in previous papers—it is anger that allows it to do that. The emotions drive us, as they were meant to, and the best thing you can do is replace fear with anger. The heat of the anger will melt the fear, and putting the body into action will jumpstart its natural responses. You need some adrenalin in your system, and about the best thing you could do at this point is get hopping mad. At first it will be undirected, but you will find something to do with that energy if you think about it. Start by having sex with someone you like and then move on from there. Continue by sabotaging some plan of the governors in whatever small or large way you can.

And there is another way that women are being harmed by these projects. Since we have seen that these rape statistics are basically a “crying wolf”, real rape awareness must suffer from this hoax. For example, all these lies have not helped my rape awareness at all. Because I know they are lies, I am less likely to believe anything else activists try to sell me. There is another article at TheCut about the problem girls working at rape crisis centers on campus have getting dates. Because they are activists, we are told guys won't date them. I don't actually believe that, since I dated a girl who worked at the rape crisis center when I was in college. I don't think she had been raped herself, but she was very political. It didn't affect our relationship much at all, since I was quite progressive at the time (and it was before I knew the statistics were being hoaxed). But the point is, when I now read the article knowing what I know, I do tend to assume these activists being quoted are agents. If I met a woman who worked in rape crisis and she started quoting me the statistics, I would immediately assume she was some sort of paid liar. I have run across so many paid liars now, it is hard not to assume that.

Which is just to say that when it is generally known by everyone that this rape crisis was a project, the fall of the project will inevitably damage real rape awareness. People will assume that every rape is faked, and that doesn't help at all. This is why it is important to tell the truth.

This is what it means by the sword cutting both ways. These projects cut forward, as they were meant to, destroying the obvious things in front of them. But they also cut backward, destroying the very things they seem to be protecting. All projects that live on lies work like that.

I have warned the gay lobby about the same danger, since I predict the same sort of backcut in the near future. The gays think they are doing great, since Intel has been on their side for a couple of decades. But the way they are now being oversold—and by whom—is sure to backfire. A lot of bad karma is being stored up right now, and a backlash is being begged. And you know what, as with women, this backlash is not unforeseen by Intelligence. We are supposed to believe that the governors are now gay-friendly and pro-woman, but I see no evidence of that. They never were in the past, so why would you believe it now? What I see is women and gays targeted like never before, just in a more subtle and nefarious way. You can be sure that Intel is not gay-friendly or pro-woman: it is **pro-conflict**. Promoting gay and tranny culture helps drive this splitting of the sexes that is so important to the governors and merchants. Women are not being empowered by this splitting in any way, and will never be. Both men and women are being disempowered. They are being crushed and mangled so that they

fit into the planned future of the plutocrats, who have a neverending supply of products to force upon us. These products will continue to enrich them and empower them, while un-enriching and un-empowering us. We must refuse the propaganda and refuse the compensating products. We must get back together. There is no other solution to this problem.

*See *Who Stole Feminism* and *The War Against Boys*. I have shown [in a previous paper](#) that Sommers is also an agent, spreading disinfo, but in that first book she is building street cred, and she it does it by partially blowing the cover of several of her fellow agents working the other side of the street. She does it by showing us just how the rape crisis numbers were being faked.