## More Proof the War in Israel is Fake



by Miles Mathis

June 21, 2024

<u>I have already proved this whole thing has been staged from the ground up.</u> There was no attack on a Peace Party and no taking of supermodel hostages. No rapes or murders. But we already knew that before this happened since there is no Hamas. It is just a vaudeville act staged by Israeli military, like Hezbollah, ISIS, PLO, and every other pseudo-Arab organization in that part of the world. They are all CIA or Mossad fronts. This was all staged to spend your taxdollars and further enrich the military contractors.

Today we got more easy proof of that, <u>as it was reported</u> that <u>Israeli arms exports</u> set a record high for the third year in row, rising sharply since 2018. Israel *sold* \$13.1 billion in arms in 2023, double what it sold five years ago. 70% of these weapons come from the US.

But wait. Then why are we sending more weapons to Israel? If Israel is in a big war, it needs its weapons, so shouldn't it quit selling and use the ones it already has? No, as we see here, Israel is selling more and more weapons each year, apparently as our proxy, which indicates it *isn't using them*. So what are they using to create all the destruction we see? CGI. It is all faked with computer graphics, like everything else now. It is all another Hollywood movie, staged to drive the worldwide war economy.

So this new report proves the war is fake in two ways. One, it proves it because if Israel were in a real war, it would be tapping its own stockpiles to fight it. They admit they aren't, continuing to sell more weapons each year. Two, it proves it because it proves this war is being faked to increase arms sales to and through Israel from the US to the rest of the world. Including Germany. A large part of Israel's arms sales are going to Germany, so ask yourself how that makes any sense. Why would we give or sell to Israel so that Israel could sell to Germany? Why don't we sell to Germany directly? Because then we would have to admit that was happening. It would be in Congressional spending requests. This way, they can launder the sales through Israel, and you and your Congressmen are supposed to believe it is to free supermodel hostages from guys on kites. It is protecting the world from smelly

Arabs who cook Jewish babies on Hibachis. So it is all kosher.

Amazingly, even the sites reporting Israel arms sales—such as MiddleEastEye and NaturalNews—are failing to draw the obvious conclusions I am here. They aren't telling you it means the war is fake. I guess they hope you will see it without them having to circle it for you and hang it with lights.

In related news, Trump is again showing his true Hebrew colors by <u>accusing anyone questioning the war as anti-Semitic</u>. Instead, he says Israel needs to immediately "finish the job". That is his solution to ending the war: having Israel win it outright in any fashion necessary, I suppose—up to and including just taking all of Palestine.

Trump is equating those who question the reality of the Israeli war to those who deny the Holocaust. Like his fake enemies Ron DeSantis and Mike Johnson, he is repeating all the most ridiculous scare points of the Jewish propagandists without question, including those that have been debunked by the Israel press themselves. Even worse, those on the other side of this, like NaturalNews and the Jewish Glenn Greenwald, are leaping in to control the opposition. NaturalNews has come out against Israel and Trump on this question, but in this latest report their News Editors say

While LifeSiteNews has been unable to identify anyone in the public record making the claim that Hamas did *not* attack Israeli communities outside of southern Gaza on October 7, the former president appears to be referring to the fact that many of the most gruesome claims made by Israeli officials regarding this attack have been shown to be gross fabrications or at least lacking in any sufficient evidence and are thus, naturally, broadly denied.

Really? Did LifeSiteNews make any *effort* to identify anyone in the public record, or did they just say that out of their shorts? You know that Mike Adams is well aware of my existence. He just missed my paper on this, which is very highly ranked? Not likely. It was #1 at Yandex for a while, and highly ranked everywhere else except Google, which censored it. [Just checked: it is *still* #1 and #2 at Yandex as of June 23, 2024. Many of the others in the top 20 are poor replies to my paper. Yahoo, Bing, and Google list only the replies, but censor my paper.] And I am not alone. There are thousands of people saying that attack never happened, which is precisely why Trump is having to address us, calling us anti-Semitic and so on. But does Trump address anything I found in that paper? No, of course not, and neither does Adams, simply pretending it doesn't exist.

Same for Glenn Greenwald, who takes the NaturalNews line that

while Hamas certainly did commit "heinous and unjustified crimes against civilians" on October 7, "there were a multitude of outright lies and fabrications about what happened" that "were deliberate and purposeful."

And will Greenwald tell us what evidence he has that Hamas certainly *did* do anything? No. Will he respond to my extensive argument Hamas *did not* do anything? No. He will just take as proved that which has only been asserted, without evidence and against all evidence. So we see Greenwald and NaturalNews performing the function they were created for: pretending to object to the mainstream reporting while actually confirming most of it. It is the old "everybody knows" dodge, where you start the debate in the middle instead of at the beginning. You claim everyone except the biggest nutjobs concedes A through W, but we will now argue XYZ. It is highschool debating 101.

What do Greenwald, Adams, and Trump have to say about the rubber dummy in the back of the

pickup? I really wish someone would ask them. I would like to hear their explanation of the legs bent backwards with no blood or bones poking out. And how did they get the pickup in on the kites? Are we sure they didn't have Chinooks? And how did that award-winning photo of the pickup magically reshoot itself overnight? Or how about the hostages held by an *Al Jazeera* reporter, and the Western reporters embedded with the kite-boys as they dropped out of the sky? So many questions, so few answers. Best to pretend no one asked the questions and keep claiming all sane people agree with everything in the papers.