


[return to updates](#)

LOOKS LIKE JFK WAS GAY *and other interesting news*



by Miles Mathis

First published May 23, 2017

As usual, this is just my opinion, based on internet research anyone can do.

Yes, this one caught even me by surprise. I wrote that [book-length paper](#) on the Kennedys and never got a whiff of this. Mostly because I wasn't looking for it, I guess. I was busy with other matters. I only tripped across the information later. I was re-reading [my paper on Bill Clinton](#), where I found evidence he, too, is gay, and I suddenly thought to myself, "Wait, they did the same thing with JFK, building him up as a great ladies' man. I wonder if he was also gay?" So I just typed in John Kennedy gay", and was immediately taken [here](#), where we find a 2015 book by Jerry **Oppenheimer** claiming he was. This is according to testimony by Lawrence Quirk, who worked on Kennedy's first Congressional campaign and knew Kennedy's lover Lem Billings.



Note that they are in France there. Have you ever heard of Lem Billings? I hadn't until today. Since that linked article is at a gay site, I at first dismissed it as a possible revenge outing of some sort, but further research made that very difficult to do. I found [an article](#) from the *DailyMail* in 2015, saying the same things. There we learn Lem had a 'high, screechy laugh' and a 'high, nasal whine of a voice' that, according to Quirk, 'instantly tabbed him as gay.' JFK's sister Eunice said Jack "was a completely liberated man when he was with Lem." Yeah, I'll bet. And you can go to Lem Billings' [encyclopedia page](#), as I did, which implicitly confirms it. There we are told Kennedy's relationship with Billings wasn't just a childhood fling: it was lifelong. Billings had his own room in the White House and was treated as a member of the family. What? Joseph Kennedy called Billings his second son. What?* Jacqueline Kennedy said of Billings, "He has been my house guest since I was married." **What?**

Billings was known to be gay. Gore Vidal called him the "principal fag at court". Gore should know, being both gay and related to the Bouviers. Billings said of Kennedy, "Because of him I was never lonely. He may be the reason I never got married." WHAT?

If you don't think that changes everything, I don't know what to say. They admit Jack had a flamboyantly gay best friend living with him for three years in the White House, for Pete's sake! How else could you read it?

I mean, look at the photo under title. **The two guys are framed together!** Would you have considered putting yourself in the same frame as your best friend in high school? Would you have considered writing "Godlike" under your friend's name? Why not just put little hearts with arrows through them all round?



What's wrong with that picture? We seem to be a girl short, don't we? We seem to have three couples, boy, girl, boy, girl, boy, *boy*. Hoo-boy! [Several readers have written in to point out something I missed: Kennedy and Billings are wearing matching swim trunks.]



[Go ahead guys, hold hands, we know you want to.] Given that, it becomes clear that all of Jack's exploits and conquests were manufactured—which I think you will admit explains a lot. You will say that maybe Jack was bisexual, but that doesn't fit the old stories at all. Guys who are girl crazy aren't also gay. The people I have known who claimed to be bisexual were mostly gay. They seemed to be

gays who weren't repulsed by women, and might do one or two to break up the monotony. But I have never known or heard of a guy who did hundreds or thousands of women and also had a lifelong gay boyfriend. It doesn't work like that.

But you can think what you wish, obviously. If you think this new information can be worked into the old stories without a total rewrite, fine. I don't think it can.

What it may require is a rewrite of my conclusion in the [Hidden Kings paper](#). Maybe Kennedy got tired of living a lie and ran off to Capri with Billings in November of 1963. I will think on it.

Been thinking. Billings had almost no presence in the US after 1963, according to his bio, so he and Jack may have run off together for a few years. According to these new stories, Jack was known to be ill in the early 60s, with Billings acting as his nurse. That was probably also a factor. But the big clue is that Billings didn't become depressed and start drinking heavily until after *Robert Kennedy's* death in 1968. You would have thought he would have done that in 1963 or 1964. This is more indication that Jack actually died in 1968, with Bobby needing to retire at that time, fake his death, and take Jack's place in the hidden hierarchy. Remember, they now admit Jack was very ill with Addison's disease, which causes a doubled mortality rate, so his dying at 51 in 1968 would not be too shocking. Billings' life and demeanor changed completely in that year, confirming that guess.

We learn more curious facts [when we read that Lem Billings became a 'surrogate father' to Bobby Kennedy, Jr.](#), after the alleged death of RFK. Ethel Kennedy “booted” Bobby, Jr. out of the Hickory Hill home at age 14 and basically fed him to Billings. Given that Billings was a heavy-drinking homosexual with a penchant for pretty boys—and given that Bobby was a pretty boy—that has to look pretty strange.



The article admits Billings was obsessed with Bobby, saying he was just like Jack. Also strange is that Jerry Oppenheimer, the author of the book revealing all this, also wrote the linked article at the *DailyMail*. It used to be a no-no for authors to write their own promotion in the major media, for obvious reasons. Also strange is his last name **Oppenheimer**, since that is just another tentacle of the families, and a major one.

This Bobby Kennedy story is another clue, confirming my previous guess in my long Kennedy paper that the current Kennedy family is partially manufactured, with actors hired to play some of the parts. To start with, these brothers and cousins look nothing alike—as I have noted before—and when young they are too good-looking to believe. Families—especially these old Jewish families—simply don't

have this many model-quality people in them. Feeding Bobby to Billings at age 14 is more indication of that, since it may mean Bobby wasn't Ethel's real son. He was just a loaner from SAG, like so many of these people. Some of them take on long-term roles, but others only sign on for the short-term, and have to later be relocated. That may be what some of the fake deaths are about. I now begin to suspect JFK Jr. is of that mold. **JFK himself may be another.** That would explain why he was inserted into so many old family photos.

Billings involvement in this is also curious, since it indicates he was more than we have been sold, even now. His genealogy confirms that (see below), indicating he may have outranked the Kennedys. He certainly would have outranked these young actors. Wikipedia gives us solid proof of that, although most will miss it. **Along with Sargent Shriver, Billings was a trustee for the Kennedy family trusts.**

Billings begins to look like some sort of handler or eyes-on-the-ground for some faction. He may have been a mole from a competing faction or family, which this whole Oppenheimer story would confirm. It seems curious, doesn't it, that the mainstream would be blackwashing the Kennedys like this right now, and that an Oppenheimer would be doing it. To what end? I can't say, but it may be another sign of the internecine wars we have been looking at. The major families nudging one another for power. I will continue to think on it.

I might not have shown up on this page even so, if I didn't have more for you. These discoveries about sexuality make a good sidelight, but I don't consider them important enough to feature. Normally I tack them on, if they seem juicy and surprising enough, but I don't lead with them. What got me writing was doing Lem Billings' genealogy—which is just as juicy as anything else here. We'll start by going straight back in the ancestry of [Kirk LeMoyne Billings](#), in one line, and see what we find. His mother's brother is listed as Kirk **Lewis** LeMoyne, so we already have that Lewis popping up. Billings' great-grandfather was Abraham **Kirkpatrick** Lewis, so we get three clues in one. We are now definitely connected to the Lewises, and are also connected to the Kirkpatricks. Abraham is also a hint, since it tells us we may be once again in the presence of the usual crypto-Jewish ruling families. Another step back and we find **Shalers** and **Cowans**, which adds fuel to that fire. Cowan is a variant of Coen, Cohen. One more step back, and we find **Oldhams** and **Nevilles**. This links us to the upper levels of the British peerage, as we have seen in recent papers. We also find many generals, as usual. See General John Oldham, for instance. Another step back and we find Colonel Joseph **Ball** of Epping Forest. You may remember him from George Washington's genealogy. He was George's grandfather. Which means Lem Billing is very closely related to George Washington. Something like a 1st cousin five times removed. On these pages, we also find the names **Fox**, **Atherold**, **Carnegie**, etc.

But let's go back to the Lewis line. Is this the same line as C. S. Lewis and the other famous Lewises we have seen? Yep, because they are related to the **Hughes**, **Willets**, **Townshends**, **Webbs**, **Greens**, **Reeds**, **Lloyds**, **Roberts**, **Parrishs**, etc. Through the names Townshend and Parrish, we are again taken back to Salem, MA.

The name Lemoyne [is also in the peerage](#), although there are only 3 listings. However, even here we have mystery, since an “unknown Lemoyne” is listed. If he is unknown, why list him? What we do have is a Sir James Lemoyne of **Spencer** Grange, son of unknown Lemoyne. But hold on to your seat,

because the other listing is for a Julia Lemoyne who married. . . wait for it. . . Lt. Col. Charles Lionel Kirwan **Fitzgerald**. Two of his brothers married **Hamiltons** and one married a **Saunders**.

All that was on Billings' maternal side. On his paternal side, we find his father was also a Tremaine. But if we keep going back, we find that was a fudge of **Truman**. These are the Trumans of New London, CT, linking Billings to Harry S. Truman. The Billings were also Cheseboroughs, Sheldons, **Perrys**, Albees, Jencks, Holbrooks, **Pitts**, **Carpenters**, and **Eldredges**. Note the last name, since Samuel Parris' wife (of the Salem Witch Trials) was an Eldredge. These are indeed the right Eldredges, since in Billings' genealogy [we find them related to the Turners](#), the **Morgans**, the Starrs and the **Lathams**. And, indeed, we find [Samuel Eldred](#), b. 1620, father of Elizabeth Eldred (Eldredge) in Billings' genealogy. He is the father-in-law of Samuel Parris of Salem. This links Lem Billings directly to the [fake Salem Witch Trials](#), and to its primary and central character. Is that juicier than JFK being gay? Close call, but I would say so.

Juicy or not, it is certainly more important as a matter of history. Because one thing it tells us—beyond what we have already discovered—is that JFK's boyfriend was also his cousin. The Fitzgeralds and Kennedys are related to all these same people, not only through the Lewises, but through many of these other names. See my papers on [C. S. Lewis](#) and [F. Scott Fitzgerald](#) for a start.

People are writing in to tell me we are all related and all cousins, if you go back far enough. No, not really. Yes, maybe if you go back to the Stone Age, but normal people like you and me are not related to these people this closely. If you do a normal person's genealogy (not a famous person), you really don't get all these close and recent relationships to these central families.

People ask me if I have done my own. I have tried, but since I am not from these families, my genealogy isn't even known past a few generations. My mother's mother's father Maloy has a partial genealogy, but I found no links to the families there. No Spencers, Churchills, Stanleys, Stuarts, Paynes, Balls, Bennetts, Pitts, Webbs, etc. My father's mother's father Ramey also has a partial genealogy, but again, none of these names I have flagged in recent papers pops up. People like you and me aren't considered important enough to have extensive genealogies. No one cares or ever has cared, apparently. But genealogies are very important to these ruling families, since they are very concerned with bloodlines. So we have seen we can take many of them back to 900 AD and before. Not only are the genealogies known, they are posted online—which is pretty amazing if you think about it. It is even more amazing considering what I have found in them. They have basically hung the dirty laundry out in the breeze. The only thing I can figure is that the pride outweighs the risk with these people. They aren't worried about anyone exposing them, since it has never been done before; and they love linking themselves to Kings regardless. They probably just shrug off my papers as a nuisance.

As a bonus, I discovered something else interesting in today's research. In studying the name Fitzgerald, I came to realize this name sold as Irish isn't really Irish. None of the Fitz- names are. Fitz comes from the French *filz*, meaning **son**, so it means “son of”, just like the “O” in O'Malley or the “Mac” in MacDonald. But it isn't an Irish prefix, it is a Norman prefix. For instance, if we go to the House of Names and look at the name FitzAlan, we find it comes from Alain FitzFlaad in Brittany. FitzFlaad may have been a grandson of William the Conqueror. Neither FitzFlaad nor William the Conqueror were Irish.

And if we look more closely at William the Conqueror, we find even more interesting things. We aren't ever told the surname of William the Conqueror, are we? What was the family there? It seems to be

hidden. We are told William descended from Rollo, a Viking, but that story isn't very convincing. What is interesting is that William's mother was named **Judith**. That seems like a strange name for a Norman Queen, doesn't it? And who was she? Judith isn't a Viking name. Her mother is not given, which is a red flag, even that far back, since her father *is* given. He was Conan *the Crooked*. Are you chuckling yet? I am. Get ready to snort and guffaw. His father was **Judhael** Berengar. Some historians try to sell this Judhael as the grandson of Alan the Great, but I draw your attention to his first name. Pretty obvious, isn't it? He's Jewish!



That's William the Conqueror. Have you ever seen that? I haven't. We weren't shown that in school. I encourage you to look closely at it. Could he look more Jewish? I'm sorry, but this is great fun: living with your eyes open is amazing!

That would explain where these Fitz- families came from, wouldn't it? They aren't Irish, but they *are* very high up in the British peerage, and always have been. All the Fitz- families are, including the FitzGeralds, the FitzAlans, the FitzWilliams, and so on. They are closely linked to the Royals to this day, even though the current Royals are supposed to be from Germany. See, for instance, the FitzAlans, Earls of Arundel back to 1250. They later hooked up with the Howards and became the current Dukes of Norfolk. They are also the Viscounts of Derwent and Barons of Glossop. Also see the Dukes of FitzJames, who are listed in the British peerage but who are mostly French to this day. The FitzJames later hooked up with the Stuarts and became Spanish and Portuguese Dukes. This they achieved by marrying with the Dukes of Alba from Toledo. The first Duke Alba was Garcia Alvarez, whose mother was a Carrillo. The origin of the house of Carrillo is not normally given, but Wikipedia admits the old etymologies are false. Given that the Dukes of Alba were from Toledo, the name could have been Jewish, since Toledo was famous for its wealthy and influential Jewish population. In fact, the name Carrillo [has been identified as a Sephardic name](#) by the Catholic Church of Spain, confirming my guess.

Also see the Fitzwilliams, who were the Earls of Tyrone, the Viscounts Merrion, the Earls of Tyrconnel, the Earls FitzWilliam, and the Viscounts Milton.

Finally, we come to the FitzGeralds, all 1800 of them in the peerage, including the Baronets Geraldine Place, Newmarket-on-Fergus, Valencia, Carrygorgan, and Castle Ishen; the Barons of Desmond; the Barons of Kilmarnock; the Barons Burnchurch; the Viscount Decies; the Earls of Kildare, who were

closely related to the **Greys of Northumberland**; the Earls of Grandison; the Dukes of Leinster; and the Knights of Glin. Best guess is JFK descended from the Earls of Kildare.



That's Gerald FitzGerald, 9th Earl of Kildare. So I guess JFK wasn't the only gay FitzGerald in history.

Also notice the names that Fitz- is commonly a prefix for: Alan, Gerald, Maurice—those are not Irish or Gaelic names, they are French. Even Patrick is a French name, originally being Patrice. Of course before that it was Latin, coming from Patricius, think Patrician. But it came through France before it was used in Ireland.

Which brings us to the Kennedys, all 1100 of them in the peerage, including the Baronets of Johnstown Kennedy, Mount Kennedy, and Culzean; the Barons Newington; the Earls of Cassillis; the Marquesses of Ailsa; and the **Lords Kennedy**. They are related to everyone in the peerage, including the de Veres, Moncktons, Robinsons, Hamiltons, Jacksons, Hughes, Grants, Eyres, Peels, Kings, Bennetts, Crawford, Moores, Montgomeries, Crichtons, Scotts, Lewises, Egertons, Clarkes, Stanleys, Weldons, Lloyds, Hockleys, Newtons, Pagets, Macartneys, Seymours, Pitts, Gordons, Lyons, Hepburns, Blairs, Stewarts/Stuarts, Leveson-Gowers, Lindseys, Taylors, Perrys, Greens, **Foxes**, Watts, Greys, Livingstons, Wallaces and Higginsons. See above, where we found Lem Billings was a Fox, a Lewis, a Green, a Pitt, a Lloyd, a Hughes and a Perry. One might be a coincidence, but *seven*? As for the Stewarts, the Kennedys have been closely linked to them since Janet Kennedy was a lover of James IV of Scotland in 1499. By him she was the mother of James Stewart, 1st Earl of Moray. The Kennedys and Stewarts hooked up many times over the next few centuries.

Given that, it is amazing that we are supposed to believe that John Fitzgerald Kennedy descended from a nobody Patrick Kennedy born “around 1800”. That's according to thepeerage.com. Geni.com takes JFK back a couple more generations, to a John Kennedy born in 1715. Strange then, isn't it, that Geni [tells us that this](#) John Kennedy's grandson Patrick Kennedy, b. 1760, was also known as **Lord Kennedy**.

Why would he be called Lord Kennedy? Logically, because he *was* Lord Kennedy. See thepeerage.com for [Lord Kennedy in those years](#): Archibald Kennedy, 1st Marquess of Ailsa, b. 1770. His father was the 11th Earl Cassillis and 13th Lord Kennedy. This father is interesting because he married Katherine **Schuyler** of New York, and he inherited her large properties *in the US*. Katherine was the daughter of Peter Schuyler and Hester Walter. Both those names may be Jewish. So let's search on them. Wikipedia tries to pass off the Schuylers as Dutch, but doesn't do a very good job. [See](#)

[where they admit](#) the first Pieter van Schuylder married a Geertruyt **Philips** in the 1600s. Then consult [my paper on Karl Marx](#), where we find his maternal aunt marrying into the super-wealthy Philips family of Holland. I show you they were related to the **Barent-Cohens** and **Rothschilds**. In the 1600s the Schuylers also married the Meyers and Tellers. Wikipedia is good enough to admit that these wealthy Schuylers in the US spawned the Roosevelts, the Bushes, the Bayards, the Keans, the van Cortlandts, the van Rensselaers, the Gages, the Abeels, the Verplanks, the Skinners, the Dodds, and the Livingstons.

For more indication the Boston Kennedys had been and perhaps still are Marquesses, remember that JFK's sister Kathleen married the Marquess of Hartington in 1944. That was before any of the Kennedys were famous, so she wasn't banking on JFK's fame. Also remember that Marquesses outrank Barons, Viscounts, and Earls. Outside the Royal family, they outrank everyone but Dukes. Also remember that the Marquess of Hartington was the son and heir apparent of the Duke of Devonshire, a **Cavendish**. So if he had lived five more years, he would have been a Duke. However, both he and Kathleen allegedly didn't live long. He was allegedly killed by a sniper within months (1944), and she allegedly died a few years later in a mysterious plane crash (1948). Remind yourself that her brother Joseph also allegedly died in a mysterious plane crash in 1944. In the plane with Kathleen was the 8th Earl FitzWilliam, also allegedly killed. He was seeking a divorce from the granddaughter of the 4th Baron Plunket so that he could marry Kathleen. The pilot of the plane, also allegedly killed, was a **Townshend**. But none of that is suspicious, is it? Remember, we found above that Lem Billings was a Townshend.

For more suspicion, the Marquess was allegedly buried in 1944 in a war cemetery in Leopoldsborg, Belgium. You have to be kidding! The body of the son of a Duke wouldn't be returned to England for burial in the family plot? C'mon.

Another clue is that both the Boston Kennedys and the 1st Baronet Kennedy hail back to a John Kennedy in the exact same time and place. The [John Kennedy in the peerage](#) has no birthdate, but died in 1758. His father lived in Ballikeirogue Castle, County Waterford. His son was born in 1746. The John Kennedy in JFK's lineage was born around 1715, with no date of death. His son was born in 1740 in Dunganstown, County Wexford. Dunganstown is about five miles from Waterford. With more research, we find it is even better than that. Ballykerogue Castle (corrected spelling) isn't in County Waterford, it is in Horeswood, County Wexford, about *two miles* from Dunganstown.

For more indication the Boston Kennedys are the same as the peerage Kennedys, we can check the Marquess of Hartington's genealogy. My guess is his marriage to Kathleen Kennedy wasn't the first link between the Cavendishes and Kennedys in the peerage. This is quickly borne out, since the Cavendishes are closely related to all the same families, including the Gores, Hamiltons, Foxes, Gascoyne-Cecils, Russells, Stanleys, Morrisons, Barclays, Murrays, Palmers, Astaires, Montagues, Brands, Tennants, Gordons, Herberts, Levenson-Gowers, FitzMaurices, FitzPatricks, etc. I found no other Kennedy-Cavendish marriages (I didn't look very hard), but there are many two-step links through the Gores, Stewarts, and Levenson-Gowers.

I also remind you that in my last paper we discovered that Gore Vidal had outed Jacqueline Bouvier as a Levy. Her mother is given as Janet Lee, but Vidal tells us that Lee was changed from Levy. That is admitted at JewornotJew, written by Jews. This means Bouvier was Jewish. Well, it also means Bouvier was related to Kennedy. Why? Because she is admitted to be closely related to Gore Vidal, a Gore. Well, we have discovered the Kennedys and Gores are also closely related in the peerage. *Therefore*, the Bouviers and Kennedys are related through the Gores. This means that—like so many

others we have studied—JFK married a close cousin. And, as in the other cases, it is strong indication the marriage was a fake. Bouvier now looks to me like Jack's beard.

Also of interest is that the 11th Duke of Devonshire, Andrew Cavendish, b. 1920, married a Mitford, the daughter of David Freeman-Mitford, Baron Redesdale. The Mitfords were linked to Hitler, as well as to the Spencer-Churchills. Also related to the Gores, Earls of Arran. We saw Gore Vidal above: his mother was a Gore. This ties us to many previous papers, including [my paper on Hitler's genealogy](#).

And for a final turn of the screw, we find that in 1833 Lady Mary Kennedy, daughter of the 1st Marquess, married Richard **Oswald**, whose grandfather was Hugh Montgomerie, 12th Earl of Eglinton. So the Kennedys were related to the Oswalds. There are 98 Oswalds in the peerage, and they were related to the Murrays, Hamiltons, McConnells, and Townshends as well. There was a General Sir John Oswald of Dunnikier, b. about 1820, who was a Knight Grand Cross, Order of the Bath, and Order of St. Michael and St. John. His daughter married John Drummond, 8th of Megginch, whose grandfather was John **Murray**, 4th Duke of Atholl. I guess you know what this means?*** My guess is Lee Harvey Oswald was actually related to JFK. This matches what we found in the [MLK fake assassination](#) and the [Lincoln fake assassination](#), where the alleged assassins were closely related to the alleged victims. They keep these events in the family.

Do we have any confirmation of that from Lee Harvey Oswald's genealogy? Amazingly, yes. Remember, we found James Earl Ray's genealogy went back to a King of England, so they leave the clues hiding in plain sight. Oswald's 2g-grandfather's sister married a **Stewart**. This was Brigadier General Daniel Stewart, whose great-grandmother was named **Jerusha**. These pages at Geni are managed by a Peter **Schlosser**, which I also take as clue.

At this juncture in his pages, Oswald is also related to prominent Carters in Georgia, which may link him to Jimmy Carter. In fact, Oswald is related to Brig. Gen. John Carpenter Carter, whose mother was a **Carpenter**. John Carter's mother-in-law was Anne Carter Oswald, and Anne's brother was Isaiah Carter. Isaiah's wife is given as Sarah **Redd**. That's a clue, because in Jimmy Carter's genealogy, his 2g-grandmother was Anne Carter, and Anne's sister Mary married a Samuel **Reddish**. Redd. Reddish. Coincidence? I very much doubt it, given that these Reddishes are scrubbed. Samuel is given a father named Hieron, but that is it. The Reddishes are also scrubbed forward, having no children of that name. Convenient. The Redds are also completely scrubbed. Oswald's Carters also conveniently fail at this point. However, you may be interested to know that Jimmy Carter was also a **Pratt**, a **Champion**, a **Morris**, a **Fleming**, a **Lindsay**, a **Cowan**, a **Walker**, and a **Stewart**. Which brings us back to Oswald. You will remember Oswald also had Stewarts in his line. Jimmy Carter's Stewarts are found when James Carter married Eleanor Duckworth. Her mother was a Ramsey and her mother was Ebenezer Stewart of Essex, MA (Salem). Since the Carpenters are also related to these families, we have a third link between Jimmy Carter and Lee Harvey Oswald: the names Stewart, Carpenter, and Redd/Reddish. Even with these scrubbed pages, we can still tell Oswald and Carter were related.

But let's pause on the name Carpenter for another sec. Who else was a Carpenter? Oh yeah, Lem Billings was a Carpenter. See above.

Also strange, isn't it, that Jimmy Carter shares two names with James Earl Ray? James Earl Carter. James Earl Ray. Well, it gets better. James Earl Carter's grandfather William Carter had a sister named Eliza Anne, and she married Neal Ray in about 1880. He is scrubbed, but this would still have been in Georgia. James Earl Ray's genealogy swells after going back several generations, but in the 1800s it is very slight. In that time, we are given no locations, no siblings, and very few wives. I wonder why?

Why would they know a lot about Ray's genealogy before 1800, but nothing from 1800 to 1900? I suggest it is because they are scrubbing an obvious link between Ray and Carter.

I found it impossible to link Oswald's Stewarts to the Kennedys' Stewarts at Geni. But if we switch to Geneanet, we do find more interesting facts. Oswald's great-grandfather Thomas Hepworth Oswald married Anne **Turner Saunders**. We saw the name Saunders above, linked to the Lemoynes and Fitzgeralds. Turner and Saunders are two prominent names from the peerage we have seen in many recent papers. Tim Dowling scrubs Anne Turner Saunders, which is also a clue. But he has more information on our Daniel Stewart. They scrub him backwards, so we can't link him to the Kennedys in that direction, but they don't scrub him forwards. We find his daughter Martha Stewart married a Maj. Bulloch, and their daughter Martha Bulloch married a Theodore Roosevelt. Not *the* Theodore Roosevelt, mind you, but hang on. Their son was the Theodore Roosevelt, President. So Geneanet admits Lee Harvey Oswald was *closely* related to both Presidents Roosevelt. It looks like they were third cousins, once and twice removed. They never tell you that, do they? Actually, I Googled it and Famouskin.com does admit it, confirming my math as well. Since other sites admit all the Presidents are related, this is enough to link Oswald and Kennedy. However, those sites misdirect heavily on the nearness of the relationships, so I have more work to do in future to show the exact link between Oswald and Kennedy. My guess is that, through the Stewarts, they are near cousins, perhaps as near as Oswald and Roosevelt. We have already seen much evidence for that, and I am sure there is more.

In fact, on a rereading, I realized there is more *above* on this question. If we return to the [Wikipedia page for the Schuylers](#), we are reminded that the Schuylers spawned the Roosevelts. The Schuylers also married the Kennedys. So we can connect Oswald and Kennedy through that page. There, the Kennedys and Roosevelts are separated by only four lines! Peter Schuyler and John Schuyler were brothers in the 1700s. The daughter of the first married Archibald Kennedy. The daughter of the second married a Roosevelt, the great uncle of Theodore. The Kennedy and Roosevelt children are then second cousins. This confirms that Oswald is very closely related to the children of Archibald Kennedy. Which indicates to me that the Boston Kennedys are of that line, as I suspected above.

Whenever I finish a paper like this, I ask myself again how historians could have missed it all. Are there any real historians, or all they all paid to lie? The evidence all points to the latter. As in every other field, historians proceed only by accepting the history they have been sold, and they are denied any advancement if they do not. Only those who regurgitate the old lies are given teaching positions and book contracts.

*Joseph Kennedy allegedly had many sons, and Jack wasn't the first, so this makes no sense on several levels. Why would Lem be his *second* son? *Another* son, maybe, but not second. This just adds fuel to other fires.

**It also probably pulls in Bill Murray, showing where he fits in the puzzle.