

THOSE DAMN LIBERALS

by Miles Mathis

First published January 14, 2020

Some of my readers have been confused by my insistence that I am a liberal. These readers agree with me on a lot of things, so they can't fathom what I mean by calling myself a liberal while they consider themselves conservatives. Since it is important, I will hit it again, trying to get them to understand.

I think the difference is that I am coming at this as a student of history, while many of them are coming at it as students (or consumers) of current politics. I haven't gotten my definitions and stances by listening to Rush Limbaugh or Sean Hannity, I have gotten them from texts from the 19th century or earlier. My readers will answer me, "Then why don't you keep up, Holmes! This is 2020, not 1880". Good point. . . except that it isn't.

To see what I mean, let's transport ourselves back to the 1880s. Back then, conservatives were those people who wished to **conserve** the *status quo*. Hence the name. They were quite satisfied with the way things were set up, and why should they not be? They were rich and connected and had it made. Liberals were those who were not satisfied by the way things were, and so they were pushing for reforms. In general, they wished to see more fairness in government policies. They wanted those not "of the manor born" to be given a fair shot at good employment, good wages, court access, and all the various fruits of society. The founding fathers have even been sold as liberal in this sense, since although they were rich guys, fully connected, we are supposed to believe they believed in democracy, republicanism, and fairness in general. That is why they go on and on about that in the Declaration of Independence and Constitution. Those are **liberal** documents, in that they deny not only the divine rights of kings, they deny any other sort of favoritism based on name or birth.

As it turns out, the founding fathers were not liberal at all. They were fascists, and the whole liberal stance was just another conjob. The United States were never meant to be republic, much less a democracy, they were meant to be another outpost of the Phoenician Navy. Meaning, another occupied and conquered territory, with the citizens only fooled into thinking they were empowered or progressive in any way. But the point is, the citizens needed to be fooled into thinking their government was liberal, because most of them were not rich or connected. They weren't conservative, because they had come to the US to find something better. If they had been conservative they would have stayed home in England, Ireland, Germany, or wherever, and continued to salute the King or sing God Save the Queen. They had come to the US because they were

progressive: they were seeking **progress**, not the same old oppression by the ruling families. So, in hoodwinking these people, the governors had to speak their language. The governors had to convince the citizens that they stood for progress, fairness, and liberalism by any other name. This was going to be a land of equal opportunity, equal access, equal consideration, and equal rights. That is, liberal, not conservative. Conservative implies *unequal* rights and unequal access. Preference for the rich and the old bloodlines.

You will say, "Then where would Modern liberals fit into that? What if we transported these icky people who are always pushing mixed bathrooms on us back to the 1880s. Where would they fit into your mix?" NOWHERE. No one, conservative or liberal, would have ever thought to think of such a thing back then, much less promote it. So let's ask the opposite question: what if we transported one of the liberals of 1880 into the present time. What would they think about politics now? They would think exactly what you and I think of it: they would be disgusted. They would not believe society had fallen so far. They would not be in favor of any of the things now being promoted by the so-called left. Do you know why? Because the things now being promoted, which most sensible people detest, are not being promoted by the left or by liberals. They are being promoted by CIA agents and cloaked fascists posing as liberals, in order to blackish liberalism.

That's right, trannies, mixed bathrooms, transitioning children, and all the other things now promoted as the result of an out-of-control left have nothing to do with liberalism or the left. They aren't being promoted by real leftists. They are being promoted by agents of your own government, and your own government is not liberal. Neither is your media. The media and government in the US are fascist and therefore far right. They are owned and run by the very wealthiest families, and those families are interested in one thing: maintaining their hegemony at any cost. Maintaining hegemony is conservative. It is not liberal. The rich families that run this country are not liberal. Do you really think the Rockefeller's are liberal? The Rothschilds? The Gates, Bushes, Kennedys, Vanderbilts, Oppenheimers, Cohens, Hoffmans, Astors, Schiffs, Warburgs, Bacons, etc. No, they are not for progress or fairness. They are for maintaining their obscene levels of wealth, and for continuing the current schemes which allow them to steal from you with impunity. They wish to keep you down, which is not liberalism.

Remember, these people like to control both sides of every argument, and they figured out a long time ago the best way to defeat an enemy was to infiltrate him and flip him. So for many decades they have had a prominent project to blackwash the left. We saw it [my paper on the Tate/Manson murders](#), where these people infiltrated the hippie movement of the 60s, which was anti-war. Antiwar is a progressive stance, therefore left, so the fascist government considered these people enemies of the State. The State wants constant war, because it is profitable. So they hired actors to pretend to be hippies, then had

them do horrific things. This successfully blackwashed the anti-war movement, and gave the US government five more years in Vietnam, where they could continue to engorge themselves on the treasury.

More recently (past 40 years), they have hired hundreds of actors and media “personalities” to infiltrate TV, film, and radio, selling you the idea that the media is liberal and that liberalism is to blame for all your problems. Rush Limbaugh led the way in this project for a couple of decades, but he had literally thousands of paid allies on TV, radio and the internet. Yes many of the examples of this “liberalism” Rush and others used were reprehensible, but exactly none of them were the result of real liberalism, or pushed by real liberals. I am a real liberal, and I supported none of them. With closer study, it turns out all of these schemes and projects over the decades were dreamed up and promoted by government or academic stooges, and often publicized by Hollywood stooges. A little digging shows these government, academic, and Hollywood stooges were paid by various NGOs, Foundations, think tanks, and government agencies, and that NONE of them were actually liberal. Most pretended to be liberal, but none were. They were underwritten by Rockefellers or Fords or Gates or Carnegies or Hughes or Soros or Kochs, and none of those people or Foundations are liberal. They are fascist. They are owned and run by extremely rich people who have no interest in fairness, progress, or liberalism by any other name.

They have done exactly the same thing with the environmental movement, which they hijacked at about the same time. In 1970, Maurice Strong—one of Al Gore's mentors—and others stole the green movement from real greens, when they started the fake Earth Day. Ever since then, the green movement you have read about in the mainstream press has been this fake green movement backed by big-money fascists. These people care nothing about the environment, they only care about making obscene amounts of money by raping it. But they had to undermine the real environmentalists, who were in their way. How did they do it: that's right, by pretending to be environmentalists and then doing outrageously stupid things. Normal people would watch this tragedy unfolding and think greens were either brain-damaged or mental. When these cloaked billionaires weren't making you think greens were mental, they were using the fake green movement to raise taxes, steal land, and pass more pointless laws—limiting your freedoms while unlimiting their own. Was any of this liberal or progressive? No. It was fascist, as usual. It was top-down control for the benefit of those at the top. It did nothing for the environment while continuing to crush you.

But back to liberalism. Do you think they are promoting trannies as a form of progress or fairness? No, they are promoting it as a continuation of Project Chaos. The governors aren't interested in progress or fairness. They are only interested in squelching any potential outbreak of progress or fairness. What they are interested in promoting is your total confusion, since that keeps you disempowered. You won't make any progress as long as you don't even know

what “liberal” means. As long as they can keep you in the wrong pen, you won’t ever figure out who you are what you should be doing. If you are dispossessed but think you are conservative, just because Rush told you, you will never figure out how to do yourself any good in this world. Everything will backfire, because you will always misunderstand your position. You will mistake you friends for your enemies, and vice versa.

That is exactly what is happening here, where the governors are trying to prevent any alliance between me and my readers. They are ecstatic to find me calling myself a liberal, and to have my readers pointing the finger at me for it. The governors have pre-blackwashed our relationship, you see. They want you thinking of yourself as a conservative, because if you do you are automatically an ally of the Rockefellers, Fords, Bushes, and even Clintons. [Yes, remember that Clinton sold himself as a conservative democrat, not as a liberal. That’s how he won the 1992 election: he appealed to conservative democrats, who had previously voted for Reagan and Bush.] And if you call yourself a conservative, you will be suspicious of anyone like me, who comes along and tells you you are mad to do so.

For I repeat, the ruling class is conservative, and the important thing is not whether you are for or against coed bathrooms: it is whether you are for or against the current ruling class. If they are conservative, you must be liberal, by definition. Whatever they are, you must be the opposite.

This is a reminder not to let yourself be defined by these manufactured issues. They seem important, but they are usually fake. Do you have any real experience with trannies, for example? I don’t. But we all have real experience with an upper class bleeding us constantly. Squashing us, taxing us for things they aren’t delivering, paying us a pittance for our work, constantly stealing from the treasury, and lying to us everyday about almost everything.

I have been told that even if I am right about this, if I were smart I would not place too much emphasis on it. I should accept current definitions in order to build alliances with my readers. But you can now see why I cannot do that. My readers have been flipped and thereby disempowered by a government project, and I see it as my job to unflip them. I am not here to make easy alliances, for the sake of maximizing my numbers or donations, as others appear to be. I am here to tell the truth. It may take some time for my readers to realize they have been flipped, but in the long run my way is the best way. In the long run, it creates the real alliances and the lasting numbers.