LOOKS LIKE PAUL MCCARTNEY IS REALLY PAUL MACARTNEY from the Peerage



by Miles Mathis

First published June 14, 2017

As usual, this is just my opinion, come to via free internet research anyone can do.

As you may know, I have already done a partial genealogy of John Lennon, showing he probably descends from the Stanleys, Earls of Derby from the vicinity of Liverpool. So you shouldn't be too surprised to find that Paul is also from the peerage. The Macartneys were always the most logical choice, but until today I didn't have much to go on. That is because I was relying on Geni.com, where Paul is mostly scrubbed. His ancestry there stinks of a big conjob, to my nose. One especially smelly clue is that Paul's mother is supposed to have died on Halloween. Here is her picture posted there.



What kind of joke is that? A black and white photo in front of a blue sky? We are told she was a midwife, but she is dressed as a nun. She is said to be the daughter of **Owen** Mohin. Really? I guess that's better than Stuart Bluart. For myself, I take the clue as Owen, indicating Paul is descended from Owens on his mother's side. That guess fits perfectly with what we will find below.

Finally thinking to switch to Geneanet.com gave me much more to go on, so I have to thank Tim

Dowling. He is not the "Disconnectrix" that Erica Howton is over at Geni.com, though we have to imagine he has been instructed to scrub the most obvious clues. He has done that, but he has left up some minor clues that someone like me can follow with a lot of effort.

Basically that comes down to the fact that Geneanet gives me a lot more surnames in the female lines to collate, including **MacKenzie**, MacIntosh, **Hughes**, **Fleming**, **Morton**, Hunter, **Tomlinson**, **Campbell** and **Gordon**. Already we have possible links there to Ian Fleming, Lord Byron (George Gordon), and the Earls of Morton. In fact, if we go back far enough, Geneanet admits the spelling was MacCartney, *with* the "a". We are supposed to believe you have to go back to the 1700s to find the switch, but my guess is the switch wasn't made until Paul got famous. At which point the "a" was dropped and the genealogies were fudged to hide Paul's connection to the peerage Macartneys.

Also remember that they now call Paul "Macca" in the UK press. Note how they spell that. With an "a".

So who are the peerage Macartneys? Well, there are about 250 of them, including the Baronets of Lish and the Earl Macartney. The Earl Macartney, d. 1806, was a protégé of Henry Fox, 1st Baron Holland and British Secretary of War. I have previously outed the Foxes in the peerage as probable crypto-Jews, related to the Riches. We will see the Riches again below. The Foxes were also involved in the creation of the Quakers, which are just another Jewish front. Fox was originally Fuchs. Henry Fox was the son-in-law of Charles Lennox, Duke of Richmond. His son married a FitzPatrick, daughter of an Earl. His niece was the daughter of the Earl of Coventry. The Earl Macartney was governor of the West Indies, Grenada, and Madras. He was offered the governorship of India by the East India Company, declining it to instead become embassador to China and later governor of Cape Colony (South Africa). He married Jane Stuart, daughter of the Earl of Bute. Jane's mother was Mary Wortley-Montagu, and her grandfather was Evelyn Pierrepont, Duke of Kingston-upon-Hull. Think John Pierpont Morgan. They were also related to the Feildings, Earls of Denbigh; and the Kings, Baronets of Boyle Abbey.

Jane Stuart's grandmother was Lady Anne **Campbell**, daughter of the Duke of Argyll. That is important to us here, because <u>there was a Campbell</u> in Paul McCartney's genealogy, remember. She was from Scotland, not Ireland. The times also match, since the Campbell in Paul's genealogy has the dates 1617-1680. This Duke of Argyll was born 1658.

But that is just a start, because many other names in Paul's genealogy are also in the lines of the peerage Macartneys. For instance, Paul's 4g-grandmother was Ann **Hughes**, b. about 1730. Well, we find the peerage Macartneys also related to the Hughes. The 1st Baronet Macartney's granddaughter Georgina married Sir Arthur Edward **Kennedy**, and his mother was Grace Hughes. Both Hughes are from Ireland.

Through these Kennedys, the Macartneys are also related to the Lowes, Moores, <u>Stewarts</u> and **Hamiltons**. And yes, these are the Kennedys <u>we just saw with JFK</u>. The Stewarts here are the Marquesses of Londonderry, and they are related to everyone, including Stuarts (King Charles Stuart), Jones (Lady Kildare), Churchills, Palmers, Schusters, Fitzgeralds, **Morgans**, Pagets, Moncktons, Kings, St. Aubyns, Villiers, Braganzas; Pratts, Earls of Camden; Seymours; Fitzroys, Dukes of Grafton; Somersets, Dukes of Beaufort; Herberts, Earls of Pembroke; Bennetts, Earls of Arlington; Morrisons, Morrices (Morrises), Hicks, Montagus, Greys, Nevilles, Dudleys, and Wares. Through the Hamiltons and Wares <u>we are linked to the Gordons</u>. Which takes us back to Paul McCartney's lineage, which includes a Gordon. Paul's ancestor X. Gordon was from Scotland, b. about 1664. So she was a

contemporary of the 2nd Baronet Gordon, co. Kircudbright. They were related to the Murray and Hope Baronets at the time. Also to the Boswells and **Campbells**. That last link is important, because <u>in Paul's line</u> we find Gordons and Campbells in Scotland at the same time in his genealogy. The Gordon in his line married a MacIntosh in 1685, and he was the son of Elizabeth Campbell. It is strange to find this Gordon in Paul's line scrubbed, since no one else around her is unknown.

The Macartneys are closely related to the Bennetts, and recently. <u>See James Macartney</u>, b. 1911, who married Margaret Casson Bennett. Their son married a Vaughan (which may link us to <u>David Vaughan Icke</u>). The Macartneys are also closely related to the Moores. See Edward Hardman Macartney, b. 1835, who married Georgiana Moore. Their son married a King and their granddaughter married a Byrne. This links us to <u>C. S. Lewis</u> and <u>F. Scott Fitzgerald</u>.

What about the Fleming in Paul's line? Well, she was married in Perth Scotland in 1714. The 1st Baronet Fleming in the peerage was created in Glasgow in 1661 for Archibald Fleming. They are strangely scrubbed at thepeeerage.com and Wikipedia. Their cousins (Baronets Rydal) in England have a lot of information, but the Flemings of Ferme in Scotland have almost none. The 1st Baronet has no dates, no parents and no wife listed. His only daughter is said to have died without issue, which is strange because Wiki lists six more Baronets. Ironically, it is Geni that gives us more info on them. There, they are related to the Hamiltons and Lennoxes, which links us to Henry Fox above. They are also linked to the **Houstons**, Balfours, **Stewarts**, and Gibsons. Remember, Hitler's nephew was William Stuart-Houston.

We also find Flemings of Moness in the peerage, but although the 2nd of Moness is listed, the first is not. Same at Wikitree. We do know they were related to the Bruces, Menzies, Elphinstones, **Blairs** and **Stewarts**. The Stewarts of course link us back to the paragraphs above. Elizabeth Fleming, daughter of the 3rd of Moness, married in 1634 James Campbell, 2nd of Ardeonaig, Innergeldie, and Clathink. That linkage of Flemings and Campbells also ties us back to Paul, since in Paul's line we find the Flemings and Campbells marrying at the very same time and place. In fact, they were intermarrying for centuries. We find another link when the 3rd of Moness married a Campbell in 1630; and again in 1712, when the 7th of Moness married a Menzies, daughter of a Campbell. The Macartneys were also marrying Campbells all along. The latest I could find <u>was in 1941</u>, when Patricia Macartney of the Baronet Macartneys married Leslie John Campbell, son of William **Blair** Campbell.

The Flemings and Stewarts go even further back, since we find Lords Fleming of Biggar and Cumbernauld marrying with the Stewarts, Dukes of Albany, in the 1400s. These were the Kings of Scotland, including Robert II Stewart. They were also related to the Grays, Douglases, Lindsays and Wemysses.

We just saw the name Rich above. Well, in 1916, <u>Constance Macartney</u> of the Macartney Baronets married John Godolphin **Rich**. He is scrubbed, but we may assume he is related to the Riches, Earls of Holland and Warwick. In 1890, Constance's sister married James G. K. **Snape**. Curious name, eh? Looks like that is where Rowling got the name in *Harry Potter*. No information in the peerage on this Snape, but other Snapes were related to Clarkes and Proctors.

In 1891, <u>Sir Mervyn Macartney</u> married Elizabeth **Ritchie**, daughter of 1st Baron Ritchie of Dundee and Margaret Ower. Ower is scrubbed, but I think we can assume that is a fudge of **Owen**. As for Ritchie, that is a fudge of Rich, but it does likely link us to Guy Ritchie.*



Baron Ritchie was Home Secretary in 1900-2 and later Chancellor of the Exchequer. His brother was Lord Mayor of London. His son became Chairman of the London Stock Exchange, 1959-65. And. . . are you ready for this. . . the 6th Baron Ritchie married Tara **Koch**, daughter of Howard J. Koch, Jr. from the US. But it gets better. . .

The Baronet Macartneys get really weird in the 20th century, when the 3rd Baronet's son John Barrington Macartney marries Selina **Koch** in 1910. Their son becomes the 6th Baronet. This Koch is scrubbed, with her father given only as J. Koch. There is no information on him. Although there are 40 Kochs in the peerage, they are rather mysterious. They are obviously Jewish and seem to come from South Africa and before that Holland. They intersect the British peerage in the late 1800s, but it is not clear how or why. The 6th Baronet also married a Jewish girl, an Amy **Reinke**. But she is also scrubbed, with no parents given. The 7th and last Baronet married a Fowler, but had only daughters. They are said to live in Australia.

I find this interesting why? Because of course Paul also married a Jewish girl, Linda Eastman. She was actually an **Epstein** and a **Lindner**. Those were her parents' real names.

If you wish to know how these Baronet Macartneys originally got their money, look no further than the 1st Baronet, who married a Scriven. Her mother was a **Barclay**, as in Barclays Bank.



So does the name Koch link us to the brothers Koch of New York? The name Howard Koch tells us they might be.* To find out, we just search on Koch Beatles. To start with, we find two Ringo Starr albums (*Ringo Rama* and *Choose Love*) released by Koch Records. Koch records also released *The Beatles Regrooved*. The second thing we find is <u>Walter Koch mentioned</u> in Lewis Lapham's book *With*

the Beatles. Before we find out who he was, it is curious he has the same name as the Nazi commander Lt. Colonel Walter Koch who allegedly was killed after criticizing Hitler. Here is his picture at Wikipedia:



I noticed that was a fake immediately, then I noticed that Wikipedia *admits* it is fake. It is captioned "Note that the Knight's Cross at his neck is a photomontage". That means it was pasted in. But his head looks pasted in as well. The line where his head meets background is unnatural.

Note his rank: we have seen it as the most common rank of Intel officers involved in false flags. See my papers on <u>Tate/Manson</u> and the <u>Zodiac</u> for several examples. It appears this whole story is another hoax, just a small chapter in the <u>greater Nazi hoax</u>.

Anyway, back to "physicist" Walter Koch. Lapham doesn't bother to tell us who he was, other than that he was a protégé or flunkie of the Mahareshi. Curiously, the two pages that might have told us more about Koch have been removed from the preview at Googlebooks. He may be the astrologer Walter Koch, who was allegedly imprisoned at Dachau but lived until 1970.

The next thing we find is that there was an Eric Koch who was a prominent photographer of the Beatles. Among many other things, he took the picture of Brian Epstein receiving the Edison award. That's appropriate, since Edison was also a spook, from the family of Edson spooks that goes back to Salem (see my previous research).

And who called for the silent vigil in New York in 1980 when John Lennon was allegedly killed? Mayor Ed Koch.

So it is informative to find the Macartney lines intercepted by the Koch family in the early 20th century, just in time to put a McCartney in one of the biggest projects of the mid-20th century. And since I have shown the name Koch links to both the peerage Macartneys and to the Beatles, we have one more indication Paul is a Macartney from the peerage.

Another indication of that is that the Koch brothers are also **Chases**, Deweys, Haydens, Jacksons, Dodges, **Whitneys**, Beaches, **Hamiltons** and **Stuarts**. They are Stuarts on their mother's side, and she was a **Robinson**, but it still links us to the Macartneys, who were also closely related to the Stuarts, as we saw above with Earl Macartney. Same with the name Hamilton. These Koch's also hail directly

back to Anthony Checkley, Esq., who we saw involved in the Salem Witch Trial as a fake prosecutor. Geni includes a bio at the bottom of his page, but only tells us he was a captain and merchant. They forget to tell you he was also a pretend prosecutor in Salem. And like the Kochs in the Macartney lines, the paternal line of the Koch brothers goes straight back to Holland in the early 19th century.

You will ask me if there is any link of the Macartney peerage to Liverpool. You bet. The Macartney Baronets are from Lish, co. Armagh, just across the Irish Channel from Liverpool. You just sail down the Newry River and out from Warrenpoint (Carlingford Lough). Liverpool is almost due east, about 90 miles—or only about twice as far away as Dublin. The Macartneys have had a presence in Liverpool since the Earl Macartney, and before. One of the main ties was the link to the Earls of Liverpool. The 1st Earl of Liverpool was Charles **Jenkinson**, Lord of the Admiralty, Secretary of the Treasury, and later Secretary at War. He was made an Earl in 1796. The source of his wealth was, as usual, his wife, who was the daughter of William **Watts** (think Alan Watts, Naomi Watts, etc.). Watts was the Governor of Bengal and chief of the East India Company there. His wife's father was another governor Crook (think Billy Graham, who we recently saw was a Crook).

The 3rd Earl of Liverpool was Charles Cecil **Cope** Jenkinson, which is strange because the name Cope comes from his stepfather. We aren't told why his father would allow his mother to give him a name from her former husband. Very weird. This stepfather was Charles Cope, 2nd Baronet of Brewern. It is through the Copes that find one link to the Macartneys. The 1st Baronet's son married a Howard, whose mother was a **Spencer** and a **Cavendish**. This linked them to everyone we have been following. The 3rd Earl of Liverpool's daughter married Col. Francis Venables-Vernon-Harcourt, whose mother was a **Leveson-Gower**. That gives a second link, not only to the Macartneys but to all the other names, including **Stanley, Egerton, Russell, Pierrepont again, Feilding again, etc**. Also to Stewart, Earl of Galloway, who we already saw above.

But let's go back to Paul's genealogy for another match. We found there the name <u>MacKenzie</u>, linked to the Campbells in about 1600. We find the name in the peerage as well, linked to the Earl Macartney. You will remember that the Earl Macartney married a Stuart, daughter of the 3rd Earl of Bute. We saw the his mother was a Campbell. Well, the 2nd Earl's mother was a MacKenzie of Rosehaugh, Dundee, Scotland. They were also the Lords of Kintail.

And another match. Along with the MacKenzie's in Paul's genealogy, we find Tomlinsons. Well, the Tomlinsons in the peerage are related to the MacKenzies in the peerage, wouldn't you know? In 1853, Reverend George Tomlinson married Eleanor MacKenzie-Fraser. Her father was the 10th of Inverallochy, and her mother was also a MacKenzie of the Earls of Seaforth. Her mother was also a Herbert, a Forbes and a Somerset. The MacKenzies were also related to the Grants and Gordons, making another link to Paul's genealogy.

[Addendum June 17, 2017: Also remember there is a Father MacKenzie in the Beatles' song *Eleanor Rigby*, darning his socks etc. Looks like that name wasn't an accident. Most likely, neither is the name Eleanor Rigby, since the Rigbys are also Baronets from Sussex. They are related to the Hamiltons, just like the Macartneys. See <u>Margaret Hamilton Rigby</u>, b. 1919, daughter of the 1st Baronet Rigby. Also related to the Macbeths, **Charltons**, and D'Arcys (see *Pride and Prejudice*). Also see **Charlton** Heston, whose first name comes from his grandmother's maiden name.

It is also worth mentioning that in the movie *A Hard Day's Night*, they admit Paul's grandfather is a peer. "Lord John Macartney, millionaire Irish peer, filthy rich." See minute 1:34. That would imply he is more than a Baronet, because a Baronet is not technically a peer. It would imply he is at least a

Baron. From the evidence compiled here, I would guess he descends from the Earl Macartney, making him *at least* an Earl. In the film, Paul calls him a villain: "he'll cost you a fortune in breach of promise cases" minute 1:50. Indicating he is a barrister? You will say it is all a joke, but what you should be asking yourself is why this video is up at youtube, with just the bits the from film about the grandfather. It is up because they are trying to tell us something. I would say we just got it.]

And another match: the Mortons are found both in Paul's genealogy and the peerage, linked to all the same people. See for example the 15th Earl of Morton, whose mother was a **Halyburton** (think Halliburton). His wife was a Hamilton, linking us to the Macartneys. The 18th Earl of Morton was related to the Greys and Villiers, which gives us another link. These Mortons also go way back, and link us again to the Kings of Scotland. The 3rd Earl married the daughter of James IV Stewart of Scotland in 1507. We already linked the Flemings to those Kings above.

Through the Greys, we can link the Mortons to the Earls of Liverpool. The 3rd Earl's daughter married John Cotes, whose mother was Maria **Booth** Grey (think John Wilkes Booth). Her father was the Earl of Stamford and her mother was a Cavendish-Bentinck. She got the name Booth from her grandmother, whose father was George Booth, Earl of Warrington. The more recent Earls of Liverpool came from the female side of the Jenkinsons, passing to the Folijambes—who are also Saviles and Boyles.

Of course through the Hamiltons we can also link the Macartneys to the **Stanleys** of Liverpool. In 1798, Edward Smith-Stanley, 12th Earl of Derby, married Lady Elizabeth Hamilton. Her father was the Duke of Hamilton. This is an even stronger link to Liverpool, since the Stanleys were far more than Earls of Liverpool: they were more like *Kings of Liverpool*. In 1904, Brig. Gen. Ferdinand Stanley, son of the 16th Earl, married Alexandra Fellows, granddaughter of Spencer-Churchill, the Duke of Marlborough. This linked two of the most powerful old families in England before the 1st World War. Stanley's niece Victoria Stanley married a **Rothschild** at about the same time (1915). He was Neil Primrose, but his maternal grandfather was Mayer Amschel de Rothschild. Primrose's grandmother was a **Barent-Cohen**.

What this indicates to me is that the Stanleys may still be the same hidden power they always were. We saw in my paper on Henry VII that Thomas Stanley, King of Mann, was more powerful than the Kings of England of the time. That is admitted in the mainstream histories. But he preferred to stay in the shadows. I suggest to you the Stanleys are still in the shadows, pulling many strings. One of the smaller strings was the Beatles project, which featured one of their own: John Lennon, son of a Stanley. One of the larger projects was Hitler and the Nazis, which I now believe the Stanleys also masterminded. This is why the Stanleys have never allowed themselves to be promoted above Earl. You would think they would be Dukes by now, wouldn't you? So why have they remained Earls for many centuries, while marrying and controlling many Dukes and Kings and Prime Ministers? I just told you why. They want your eyes off them.

But back to the Macartneys. The recent Macartneys, although still Baronets in Armagh, are said to be living in Australia. I am not sure I believe it. The 6th Baronet, b. 1917, is sold to us as a dairy farmer. But the Macartney Baronets got their money from the Barclays and later married more Jewish girls from the Koch and Reinke families—so there is no way their money ran out. Why would rich peers move to Australia to farm sheep or whatever? If they wished to farm sheep, they could do that very well in Ireland, where sheep outnumber people 3 to 1. I have to believe this move to Australia is a cover. Maybe some minor Macartneys moved there, but I would guess the main line of the family is still in England. In fact, the data I have compiled here tells us that the main line of the family probably

lives in Peasmarch in Sussex (Paul's main address).

As we have seen, we can link Paul to the peerage through at least seven names in the peerage, and they are all closely linked. Those names are Gordon, Tomlinson, Fleming, Hughes, MacKenzie, Campbell, and Morton. So, although they scrubbed his more recent lines, they forgot to scrub the older ones very well. What this means, among other things, is that Paul's knighting in 1997 may have been a step down. As with Mick Jagger and many others we have looked at, it appears they knight these people to keep you from realizing they already outrank knights by many steps. Paul is most likely a noble of some rank, best guess Baron or Earl, and you don't knight a noble. It is like giving someone a bronze medal who already has gold medal.

Some will say, "So Paul is a noble. Big deal. That isn't much of a story, is it? Is it supposed to be a cut? Won't many just look up to him more?" Some may. But they will have missed the point here, and with all my papers. What we have seen is that these "nobles" are all or mostly Jewish and that they are lying to you all the time about *everything*. If that doesn't concern you, OK. They are stealing trillions from national treasuries worldwide, and taxing you for things they aren't delivering. If that doesn't concern you, OK. They have faked most of recent history, and they have done it to control and confuse you. If that doesn't concern you, OK. Many of the things they *are* delivering, like fluoride, vaccines, pesticides, "health" care, chemtrails, wireless radiation, pollution, sex de-education, pharmaceuticals, PEDs, fake science, modern art, and 24-hour propaganda on all topics are toxic. You are being forced to pay for your own disempowerment and your own destruction. If that doesn't concern you, OK. Go on as before.

^{*}They admit Guy Ritchie has many links to the peerage, but they don't tell you all. His paternal grandmother McLaughlin was high up in the peerage, but they pretend her husband **Stewart** Ritchie wasn't. My guess is Guy Ritchie is a Baron. That would explain why his stepmother is a Baroness.