Maxine Waters should be arrested for Treason

just more proof this is all theater



by Miles Mathis

First published June 24, 2022

As you may know, the Supreme Court struck down Roe v. Wade as unconstitutional, and Congresslady Maxine Waters immediately puckered up and gave a press conference and said "to hell with the Supreme Court, we will defy them". In any rational universe, that would be cause for her immediate arrest and permanent ejection from Congress, since that is treason.

We have seen calls from media morons like Whoopi Goldberg for Tucker Carlson's arrest for treason for not agreeing with Pfizer or Biden, so what's the difference? Why is she a moron and I'm not? Because Carlson is not a sitting Congressman, and because he has not actually called for insurrection. He is just continuing a long tradition of partisan politics, where Reds attack the agenda of Blues, or the reverse. That has always been allowed, which are why calls to shut it down now fall under the heading of censorship. But we do not have any tradition of Congresspeople defying Supreme Court rulings, since that is a recipe for anarchy and sedition. According to the Constitution, the Supreme Court is one of the three pillars of our republic, so seeking to overthrow it is—by definition—treason. Waters didn't suggest Congress get busy writing new Constitutional laws that would pass muster before the courts, which would have been reasonable and legal. She didn't suggest women demand their state legislators pass new laws—as Obama is doing, for instance. She called for open defiance of the Supreme Court by Congress, which is way beyond the pale. She has done this before, calling for riots in the open air with cameras rolling, and everyone just ignored it, as if it were normal.

You will say Trump has done the same thing, but that isn't true. He has never been caught on tape calling for riots or insurrection or defying court rulings or breaking laws. Despite having the power and position to lead an actual coup, he never did, or even got close to it. He has pursued legal remedies all along to having the election stolen, for instance. He never once suggested that his followers should ignore court rulings and take the law into their own hands. If he had said things like Maxine Waters has been caught saying, he would already have been fried by Congress and Justice. But he didn't. There is a huge difference between claiming an election was stolen and saying "to hell with the Supreme Court". In the first instance, we see Trump addressing a limited and specific situation, one with legal remedies. It was possible that he could have prevailed in court, proving the election WAS stolen. And that may still conceivably happen, since investigations are ongoing. But in the second

instance, Waters isn't just addressing a specific event or ruling, she is attacking the foundations of the government and the Constitution. Which is by definition treason. She is suggesting the remedy to political disagreement is to overthrow the Supreme Court. She is not calling for a legal remedy, but for open insurrection.

The law is all about language, and Waters' language is incendiary and therefore treasonous. You will say she just meant the ruling was wrong and that Congress would defy it by passing new legislation. But that isn't what she said and it clearly isn't what she meant. She said outright that women should rise up and break the law. A legislator, recommending anarchy. Just to remind you, the legal and legislative solution would be for women to rise up and elect new representatives in their states and nationally, who would then pass laws allowing abortion. If women feel so repressed in red states, they are free to move to blue ones. They don't need to just travel to blue states for abortions, they can move there permanently. Those states need more people, since the reverse is happening: people are leaving blue states in droves, with the trend being heavily blue to red. That should be a signal to not only to Maxine Waters, but to the major companies trying to force current politics down our throats—but they don't seem to be getting that message.

We see this sort of horrible irony on a daily basis now, as when abortionists carry signs about bodily autonomy while wearing masks and supporting vaccine mandates. But this has to be the ultimate example of it. While the January 6 Insurrection hearings are still in session in Congress, Congresswoman Maxine Waters calls for insurrection against the Supreme Court. The contradiction is so big it fills the universe, almost becoming invisible in that way. And she isn't the only one. I believe Adam Schiff and Chuck Schumer have said similar things. At any rate, we know the Democratic leadership in Congress and the Justice Department have allowed protests at Justices' homes and blocking of the Supreme Court, which in itself is treasonous. Abetting or allowing attacks on the foundations of the government and Constitution is treason. The next President, whether it is Trump or DeSantis, now has cause to arrest all these people when he takes office, and they may be setting that up with the current theater. That all could happen, as part of their current destruction of the Democratic party and of the social fabric in general. It could even happen later this year, when the Republicans take over Congress. At that point these people like Waters could be removed for cause.

Notice I said theater, since none of this is happening naturally. I believe Maxine Waters is just another actress, chosen for her looks, and she is playing the villain and doing it well. This is all part of several scripts, the short-term script being to restart the abortion controversy to draw attention off other things. So the Supreme Court justices are also actors, and are reading from the same script. It is all staged, including the protests. It is hoped it will get your eyes off the election steal, but also—and more importantly—off the vaccine crime against humanity and the people dropping dead all around you.

Another minor script has to do with Waters being black, since if she is arrested later or removed from Congress, it is hoped that will spark more race riots. It won't, because black people are not generally pro-abortion or pro-current politics, but CIA will manufacture the riots again anyway.

In the slightly longer term, the script is for the Democratic Party to completely implode, pushing the country hard right. The Republicans will ride in as your saviors, and in the joy from that save you will forget to try and hang all these criminals for mass murder. I don't think it is going to work for them, but that is the plan.

So once you get down the rabbit hole, you see this is all being provocateured by the CIA, as usual, at the behest of the billionaries and trillionaires. It is the CIA writing the script for this whole series of

events, and they are behind every single protest, including the huge one in Washington. They have been managing them from the beginning and they will continue to determine how they spin out in the coming weeks. If you can't see that, ask yourself this: is it necessary to get almost naked to protest for abortion?



I was under the impression this was about abortion rights, not about the legality of public nudity. Is showing off your flab somehow a convincing argument in your favor now? No, because that isn't what is going on here. What is going on is another planned fail. People like this were chosen by the CIA to lead press releases, because they want to piss you off. As I keep saying, this isn't about abortion, it is about creating division. It is about getting us to hate one another and fight one another, instead of fighting them. It is about continuing to split men from women. It is the greatest eyes-off event in our lifetimes, because they have to find a way to get your eyes off the vaccine genocide and the latest incredible rape of the taxpayer.

Also check out this image, which I just lifted off a new story about the Night of Rage:



Abortion rights demonstrators gather to protest in Raleigh, United States.

Anadolu Agency | Anadolu Agency | Getty Images

Do you see a problem? It's fake. It's a photoshop paste-up. Notice how all the signs are miraculously

facing straight toward the camera and are completely in focus and readable. The easiest place to see it is lower right. Blow it up and look closely. You can see how the people are pasted in there, since there is no depth of field. It is like if you cut them out of a magazine and actually pasted them on top of eachother with a bottle of Elmer's glue. If real people are really gathering in huge numbers, why are they faking these images? Why not use real ones?

You may also want to ask yourself what twerking has to do with protesting for abortion rights. We have seen a lot of film of women humping the ground, humping lightpoles, humping police cars and even police themselves. Do they imagine that is good PR? Is it likely to make people agree with them on this issue? No, of course not. It is terrible PR for abortion rights, ON PURPOSE. It is staged by CIA actors, and the whole point is to make you cringe and move the other direction. These protests are being led by the most obnoxious women the CIA could find at short notice, and the signs and talking points are also being chosen to create an ick/hate response and move you right. That is what the vasectomy for all men signs are about, and the boycotting sex signs, and all the rest. More Men-are-Pigs/Women-are-Pigs split-the-sexes propaganda.

And in a tack-on, I would like to predict the bankruptcy of Dick's Sporting Goods, which will follow Netflix down the tubes. Dick's, like Netflix, Patagonia and many others, just announced huge employee benefits for travel to blue states for abortions. All these big companies that have directly attacked more than half their customers by getting involved in politics will justly crash and burn. At the top end, a major company cannot compete nationwide while openly attacking more than half their potential customers. That used to be understood. Unless Dick's plans to become yet another CIA front. subsidized by government, they will not make it. There are millions of people like me that are making long lists of companies they will never buy from again, and Dick's just made the mistake of putting itself near the top of that list. I also predict a raft of new companies coming online to fill that void, catering openly and specifically to the 75% of the country that is against current politics. Just as we are seeing a sea change in demographics, as people move from blue to red states, we will see a sea change in major companies, with thousands going under and thousands being birthed. Of course, in the case of companies like Netflix and Paramount, that will just be a renaming and a rebranding, with no change of real ownership. Netflix is a CIA front, and its replacement will also be a CIA front. But even the CIA is in for a change over the next decade. If nothing else, they will have to at least become covert again. Grinding their heels into our faces in full view may amuse them, but it isn't sustainable.