

# THE MUJAHIDEEN and Other Things



*by Miles Mathis*

*First published April 27, 2021*

[Gateway Pundit](#) has just posted a piece entitled “What Do January 6th Patriots and Guantanamo Inmates Share?” Author Larry Johnson gives us the answer: “Lack of due process and habeas corpus”. I think he means *habeas corpus*, but whatever. My readers know he is misdirecting, since the right answer is: **they are all agents and/or crisis actors.** See [here](#) for Guantanamo and [here](#) for January 6. Both events were and are faked.

Johnson continues:

**The American people were justifiably furious in the aftermath of the terrorist strikes on 9-11 and wanted justice. We trusted that the American government was going to find the terrorists and bring them to justice.**

**Now, twenty years later, we know that our Government lied to us. The majority of the men locked up in Guantanamo are not terrorists and certainly were not directly involved in the attacks planned and ordered by Osama Bin Laden.**

What terrorists? Are we supposed to believe that Johnson and the Gateway Pundit still haven't figured out 911 was an inside job, 20 years later? Why would we be reading commentary by authors too stupid or compromised to get that right? It is like people too stupid to have seen through the Covid fake, given 13 whole months to study it.

But we have even more proof Johnson is a mole, since he then tries to tell us that **Lt. Colonel Stuart Couch**, played by Benedict Cumberbatch in *The Mauritanian*, is a true hero. Note both the Lt. Colonel part and the Stuart part of that clue. If Couch was anything other than another crisis actor for Intel, he wouldn't be being promoted by Cumberbatch, Hollywood, or Johnson, so we don't even need to look him up. . . but we will. Couch is the one who refused to prosecute Mohamedou Ould Slahi in 2003 for the military. Slahi was allegedly at Guantanamo. But the Couch story was just created to make these prisoners look real. Slahi was another actor, and his 14-year time at Guantanamo was faked, as was his

bestselling book in 2015. I assume it was ghosted by some Langley writing committee. Slahi is sold as Al Qaeda, but he grew up in Mauritania, northwest Africa, and went to school in Germany, scoring a 1988 scholarship from the Carl Duisberg Society. Since that society was founded in 1949, year three of the CIA, I think we may assume it is a front for same, created to promote and channel people of color for jobs like this in Intelligence. Slahi supposedly got an electrical engineering degree in less than three years, since by February 1991 he was in Afghanistan as part of the Mujahideen. Two clues there, since EE is one of the toughest degrees, either in the US or Germany, and no one does it in 2.5 years. **And the Mujahideen is another CIA front**, so we have this one pegged already. They pretty much admit that, because the Mujahideen were formed and trained in 1979 by the US to fight the Soviets in their Afghan war. You can see this admission even on Slahi's own Wiki page, where they admit the US “funneled billions of dollars of weapons and aid to the 'freedom fighters’”. That quote is linked by Wiki to its page on Operation Cyclone, a CIA program. Any questions? They also admit that though Slahi trained for several weeks, he did not participate in the war, instead returning to Germany. A year later he returned, but again dodged any major time on the ground, since the regime fell a few weeks later. So he was apparently only there for a photo op. What is more, they admit Slahi's cousin al-Walid was a spiritual advisor to Osama bin Laden. Since it is known bin Laden was a CIA asset, we may assume Slahi was as well. At any rate, they have just admitted Slahi was a high-ranking Arab, since bin Laden was of the royal family. His spiritual advisor would also have high rank, and so would that spiritual advisor's cousin, Slahi.

So it is pretty obvious the CIA just rounded up a couple of its own agents with the right looks for this Guantanamo charade. They then had some people pretend to prosecute them while others pretended to defend them. That lent support to the reality of the whole 911 event.

And why doesn't Johnson know this? I assume he does know it, which means he is another agent. Which means The Gateway Pundit is yet another CIA front. Which explains why this article is being published: it acts as confirmation the January 6 riot was real. Johnson ends the article by giving us a list of “patriots” allegedly being held without bond after the riot: [Father David Fulton](#), [Retired Army Sgt. Kenneth Harrelson](#), [Scott Fairlamb](#), [Jessica Watkin](#), [Sal Greco](#), [Christopher Worrell](#), [Mark Sahady and Sue Ianni](#), [Jesus Rivera](#), [Roberto Minuta](#), [Kelly and Connie Meggs](#), and [Joshua James](#). Therefore, we once again know without further study that all those people are also agents and/or crisis actors. I already hit Harrelson, and may have to do the others at some point.

You will say Gateway Pundit's coverage of things like the Arizona vote audit is good, which is true. That is why I was there. But since we know the place is a CIA front, we can expect the audit to come to nothing. As usual, the narrative is controlled, and it reads like an extension of the election theater, where we were fed false hope for months. It is still going on. This narrative guarantees inaction, since it continues the idea that Trump is going to ride in and save you. So you continue to sit on the couch waiting for that to happen.

---

---

And in other news, today, April 28, Tucker Carlson and the rest of the media is reporting that Rudy Giuliani was just raided by the Justice Department. Most strangely, Tucker admits that the Feds took all of Giuliani's computers as evidence, but left a copy of Hunter Biden's harddrive that Giuliani had in his house. *They left it on purpose*. Tucker pretends to try to make sense of that, but utterly fails. But you should pause on it until you figure out what it means. If you can't, I will just tell you: it proves this whole raid was staged. It is more Red v. Blue theater, to keep your eyes off other things.

How do I know? Because 1) there is no reason for Giuliani to have a copy of Hunter Biden's harddrive in the first place. How could he possibly have it? Say the authorities wanted your harddrive. How could they get it? The FBI would have to get a court order and then seize your computer unexpectedly in a dawn raid. Once they had it, would they make a copy and give it to the attorney of your worst enemy? Only if the FBI were working for your worst enemy. But the FBI isn't working for Trump or Giuliani now, they are working for the Dems. So even if they had seized the harddrive at the behest of Trump back when he was President, they would seize it back now that they work for the Bidens. Right? So the first thing you would expect the Feds to seize in this raid on Giuliani is the only thing they didn't take? You will say it is because these Feds were actually in control of Trump, and they were instructed to leave the harddrive alone. But don't you see that that would also prove my point? It would mean that Trump ordered this raid on his own attorney, again proving it was theater. There is no way to save this story or make sense of that harddrive. 2) The Lincoln Project not only knew this raid was going to happen, they bragged online about it. Or so we are told by the media. But that also makes no sense, since the FBI, Justice, or whoever planned this raid, would never have leaked it to a party like the Lincoln Project. That would go against all protocol, breaking rule number one of any raid: **do not telegraph it**. So it is clear this whole story was manufactured just for you, the gullible TV audience. Why? To keep your eyes off more important things.

We see more proof all this is news theater from Tucker, who segues from that story into the attack on the Capitol, which he—like ZeroHedge, Alex Jones, and GatewayPundit—continues to sell as real. We know it isn't real, so we know these Red outlets are selling the fake divisions just like the Blue outlets such as CNN and *The New York Times*. Tucker assures us many people were and still are being held in solitary confinement in DC for the riot. But we know they aren't. It is a lie, and we must assume all these outlets know it is a lie. You can't put people who haven't been convicted of anything or broken any major prison rules in solitary confinement. It is against all sorts of national and international laws. It is considered cruel and unusual punishment, and if it were really happening Amnesty International, the ACLU, the Red Cross, the United Nations, and Congress themselves would be all over it. European Human Rights organizations would be all over it. Instead, Tucker reports that a couple of Congresspeople are making tepid statements. But these statements are being made and reported on only to make the event seem real. I assure you it isn't. The riot was staged by agents from the Pentagon, and I have proved that beyond any doubt. So there is no chance they are in solitary confinement anywhere.

So if they are faking that storyline, we may assume they are also faking the Giuliani storyline. And again, the reason they are doing this is to keep your eyes on Russia, the Bidens, Giuliani, Trump, Roger Stone, Nick Fuentes, China, and dozens of other actors, and to keep your eyes *off* the Federal Reserve, Bill Gates, WHO, the crashing vaccines, the mask catastrophe, and the billionaires raping the treasury like never before. Job one of both sides of this theater is keeping you corralled in the Matrix, responding day by day to their manufactured storylines.

Of course the other reason all this news theater is being manufactured is to scare you. If you think that Giuliani and Stone and Victoria Toensing are being raided by the FBI, and think that many people are being held in solitary confinement for showing up at the Capitol, you are going to be too frightened to push back against the current tyranny. You are going to quit talking and quit showing up at protests. You will have been silenced.

So why am I watching Tucker Carlson in the first place? Because I enjoy watching his pushback on masks. On this one he is completely right. He and his writers are using this issue to capture a large

segment of the rational audience left in the country. But once that segment is captured, it is being led back out in the bushes, as usual. It is captured by the truth, then roped and tied by lies. Another lie comes further in his segment from today, where he focuses on the defund-the-police movement. He is not lying that police are quitting in droves across the country, but neither he nor the Blue outlets are telling you the longterm goal here. Only I am telling you that. Both sides want you to think the Democrats really wish to downsize police departments, but of course they don't. It is just a temporary project, the longterm goal of which is to *increase* the size of police departments. The plan is very simple, and it is an important part of the new rise to fascism: 1) Temporarily attack and downsize the police forces, and order them to stand down in the face of riots, to show the public what would happen without them. 2) Scare people with constant stories of rising violence, until they beg the government to restore order. 3) Create a savior to ride in and restore order by refunding even larger police forces and by joining them to the military—overriding and ignoring the *posse comitatus* act. As I have said, it is looking like Trump is being groomed for that role (or possibly DeSantis), and he will do what Teddy Roosevelt did before him, but in a far larger way: turn the nation hard right. After a few months or years of a planned fail by the Democrats, the Republicans will ride in on a white horse, with the stupid masses cheering them on. The police forces will be expanded, the borders will be closed tightly, and the military will be explicitly tasked with controlling the American people. It already implicitly *is* tasked with that, since it has little else to do, but it will no longer have to pretend to be doing otherwise.

As I have said before, you can still prevent this. It is not guaranteed to happen, and the future is still open. It is always open. As powerful as they are, the Phoenicians are making a lot of mistakes, and they are split themselves. Plus, they are attempting something that should not be attempted, and which is bound to fail in the longterm. In short, it is a bad plan. The best thing you can do is see through them and NOT cheer them on when they ride in to save you. We don't need to defund the police, but we also do not need to expand them. The last thing we need is a greater police state. What we need to defund is the military and Intelligence agencies creating all this fake violence and all these fake events. Society is destabilized because they have destabilized it on purpose. But it is only destabilized if you BELIEVE it is. If you know all this is fake and if you *tell* them you know, the whole plot collapses. If no one is cheering them and everyone is booing, it makes the story very hard to sell. At that point they have to revert to real violence, and as I keep telling you, they don't want to do that. If they wanted violent tyranny, they would have just done that to begin with. But they don't, and that is why they try to manufacture your consent. Tyranny is much more profitable if it is covert. If you think you are free, you will spend a lot more money, and you will have a lot more money to spend. You will work a lot harder for your captors if you think you are free. But if you know you are just a slave, you will work only while the whip is on your back. Such societies are not as profitable for the Phoenicians, and they know that. Slave colonies are very inefficient, which is why the US has never been one. The US was the richest country in history precisely *because* it wasn't a slave colony. It prospered because in the US we were mostly fooled into believing we were free. We believed it even more than the Europeans ever did, as you know if you have ever lived in Europe and talked to them.

I vividly remember a conversation I had with two German girls when I first went there in the 1980s. I told them, "You can do whatever you want". They just laughed and said Americans always said that. But they clearly didn't believe it. It didn't fit their experiences. And, as I found out, they were right and I was wrong. I discovered most of my American freedoms were just illusions. But my point is, those illusions are very important in the governance of a country. Governors who can convince their citizens they are free and that they can do anything will create a workforce capable of long hours of overtime. Those workers will create trillions in profit for their bosses and also trillions in wages, and those wages can *also* be pillaged. The wages are mostly given back to the billionaires as well in the form of purchases, taxes, fees, rentals, and so on: houses, cars, medical expenses, insurance, and a

whole smorgasbord of commodities, most of them useless. But slaves have much lower wages, if any, and much lower expenditures. They can't be taxed, and their bank accounts can't be pillaged. They can't buy houses, cars, or anything else. They can't buy gas. So a huge part of the "economy" evaporates, and along with it a large part of the profits of the Phoenician navy.

That's why the smartest of the Phoenicians want to return to a 1950s style governance, where the population was completely clueless. Trust in government and media was VERY high, because the Phoenicians were almost invisible. Propaganda was actually far lower, because it was less necessary. People weren't asking questions and weren't suspicious, so they didn't need to be diverted all the time. Three TV stations was enough. So in most ways, these people have just created their own problems. They thought technology would help them control us, but technology has allowed us to see through them. In that sense, they don't need to fake an alien invasion, they need to fake a collapse of media somehow. They need to defund 24-hour news, Hollywood, and most TV stations. They need to turn our attention back to our own little lives and away from them: to our Little League games and soapbox derbies and local picnics and backyard barbeques. They should be encouraging us to go to pubs and get married and have sex and be happy, because only then will we truly be off their hands. The history of the past 60 years has been a disaster, but mostly *for them*. They have traded control for increased misery profits, but as they themselves admit, control comes before profit. Without control, profits will collapse.