

Richard Nixon: FBI Agent



by Miles Mathis

First published July 4, 2024

After twenty years of this research—and sixty years of absorbing everything like a sponge—all of history is starting to go transparent to me. I find I can read almost anything on any subject and see through it like thinnest glass. If I can do it with dense science papers with lots of math, I can do it with anything. This is especially true of Wikipedia pages on recent history, which I can read just from their form. I can spot a lie just from the form of the sentence and paragraph, almost disregarding the subject or the facts involved. Another way to say it: I know when I am being snowed. I smell the familiar stench.

In my last paper I linked to my old [Watergate paper from 2013](#), so I took the link myself to reread it for inspiration. I thought it might lead to a new paper, and as you see it did. One thing I didn't do for that Watergate paper is provide a close reading of Nixon's bio, so let's do that here.

His early bio is the usual Horatio Alger fake, selling him as poor and with no connections. While at the same time admitting he was a cousin of Bill Gates, Jimmy Carter, and Ezra Cornell. What they neglect to tell you is that he was related to *all* other Presidents, since they are all close cousins. And the Nixons are of course crypto-Jews or Phoenicians like the rest. His family actually owned a large ranch outside Yorba Linda, where they grew lemons and other sour things. They admit he and his brothers were named for Plantagenet kings, and that isn't because Dad had read too much Walter Scott. It was because the Nixons were actually in those lines. Nixon was named for Richard the Lionheart.

We are told Nixon was president of his 8th-grade class. Hmmm, I don't remember my 8th-grade class having a president. We had a student body president of the junior high, but not a class president. Pretty strange they have to pad out the resume of a President with these lies, but we have seen it before.

Despite being poor, of no connections, and not graduating at the top of his class, we are told he was offered a tuition grant to Harvard. In other words, a scholarship. How does that work? I can tell you from experience it doesn't. Even had he been the most accomplished person in his town or his part of the state, he would have no chance of getting into Harvard without major connections. We are told he

was needed in the family store, so he stayed home and went to the local Whittier College. Right.

At Whittier he was allegedly snubbed by the Franklin Dining Club for being poor, but started his own Club the Orthogonians. One problem: going to Whittier's website, we find the Orthogonians were founded in 1929. Nixon didn't arrive at Whittier until 1930.

Here's one of the first big things that leapt off the page at me:

After graduating from Duke [law school], Nixon initially hoped to join the FBI. He received no response to his letter of application, and learned years later that he had been hired, but his appointment had been canceled at the last minute due to budget cuts.[31]

Do you smell it? The big lie there? And the veiled hint someone is giving us? I immediately thought that Nixon probably DID join the FBI right out of law school, his appointment not being canceled, but *hidden*. We have seen this with many other people we have looked at, though it is usually to do with CIA, not FBI. The most recent being Tucker Carlson.

Do we have any more evidence of that? *Lots*. Skipping over some things I will come back to, the biggest clue we have is that Nixon was Vice President by age 39, chosen out of nowhere by those behind Eisenhower for no reason we are ever given. Nixon had basically zero qualifications and was nationally unknown, having been a US Senator for only ONE year! Reminds us of Obama doesn't it, since Obama had been a Senator for half a term. Before that Nixon had been in the US House for only four years. Though his first term for the House was hard-fought against Democrat Jerry Voorhis, Nixon ran unopposed by the Dems in 1948! Why? Why would the Dems give up Oakland/Berkeley in 1948, when they had held it since 1932? Probably because the FBI had decided to take the district and there was nothing they could do about it.

Similar thing when Nixon decided to run for Senate. Although the incumbent Democrat Sheridan Downey had been a Senator for twelve years, he retired rather than face Nixon. What did he know that we don't? Nixon then trounced the sacrificial lamb **actress** Helen Douglas 60-40. She was the wife of actor Melvyn Douglas, real name **Hesselberg**. Note the Hess part of that, since we are about to see it again. Hesselberg was a Latvian Jew.

Same thing with the Vice Presidency. It now looks to me like Eisenhower was just a figurehead, with Nixon installed by the FBI to be the actual and acting President. They pretty much admit that in the history books now, since—counter to all experience and expectation—Nixon was much more active than his President. You can say that about no other Vice President in history. Even Dick Cheney wasn't so obviously active on the world stage. While Eisenhower was suffering a series of heart attacks and strokes, Nixon was flying all over the world, touring the Far East, Africa, and South America. He always attended Cabinet and NSC meetings and often chaired them—again something you can say about no other Vice President. And I remind you, this was a guy barely 40, with zero executive experience. He had never been a governor or run a business, but here he was running the country behind the scenes.

How does that happen? It only happens if he was installed by the FBI or the CIA and was a front for them. From much other evidence, we will see it was FBI. The first such evidence we already hit in my Watergate paper: Nixon was always tight with Hoover, and together they were in a turf war with CIA from day one in 1969, when Nixon was sworn in. Which allows us to go back to 1947 and his first term in the House, where Nixon *immediately* got involved in Hoover's baby HUAC. He was sworn in

in January at age 34 and was on the committee by February. Why? Now you know. This was the House Un-American Activities Committee, which was Hoover's private vaudeville to make everyone think Communists were trying to take over the country. It was his way to increase funding for FBI in the 1940s, after [doing it with fake mobsters in the 1920s and 30s](#). They admit Nixon prepared for the HUAC hearings by cramming for five months with FBI files given to him by Hoover himself.

The whole Alger Hiss event—which made Nixon's name in the early years—was manufactured from the ground up by FBI, with Hiss just being an actor. The Russians later admitted that, saying they had never heard of Hiss and that he hadn't said boo to them. And again, anyone with any discernment can tell that just from scanning Hiss' Wiki page. It is the usual poor fiction, transparent in a hundred places. There are a half-dozen give-aways in the first paragraph alone:

Alger Hiss (November 11, 1904 – November 15, 1996) was an American government official accused in 1948 of having spied for the [Soviet Union](#) in the 1930s. The [statute of limitations](#) had expired for [espionage](#), but he was convicted of [perjury](#) in connection with this charge in 1950. Before the trial Hiss was involved in the [establishment of the United Nations](#), both as a [US State Department](#) official and as a [UN](#) official. In later life, he worked as a lecturer and author.

Born [11/11](#), which is often a clue. We have caught them many times changing these dates to fit their numerology. And you would not expect the statute of limitations for espionage to expire so quickly, would you? Normally any statute of limitations is voided by fraud, and espionage would be a form of fraud. It should be a subset of treason, for which there is no statute of limitations. Equally fishy is his conviction for perjury, since all he did is claim he was innocent and that Chambers—who was accusing him—was lying. Claiming innocence in the face of guilt isn't normally considered perjury.



His accuser was Whittaker Chambers, who, in order to implicate Hiss, had to admit he was also a spy. Sounds logical, right? Plus, look at him. He looks completely trustworthy, right?

Chambers also had to admit he had previously lied under oath, when he claimed he and Hiss were NOT

spies, just Communists. Despite that, Chambers was somehow never charged with anything. I wonder how that happens? Hiss was tried twice for the same fake crime, but Chambers dodged all prosecution, both from Congress and Justice.

Whittaker Chambers was really Jay **Vivian** Chambers, born April 1, 1901—the original 20th century **April Fool**. Not a coincidence, since that date is about to come up again. It is the usual joke. They now admit he was an intelligence agent, and he worked for TIME magazine from 1939 to 1948 leading up to this. In the 1950s he went to work for the *National Review*, and Reagan later awarded him the Presidential Medal of Freedom. But none of that is suspicious, right? None of that completely undercuts the Alger Hiss story, does it?

Chambers came out of Columbia University and its spooky Boar's Head Society to join the Communist Party in 1925. So he was obviously an agent from the first. The US Communist Party wasn't an FBI invention, since it had been around for a long time in various forms and under many names, but Hoover saw its usefulness and expanded the project in 1924, as soon as he started the BOI. After that it was little more than an FBI front, used not so much to snare unsuspecting dissidents as to create work for the FBI. As we have seen, the FBI was expanding ferociously in those years, and Hoover had to do something to justify the huge increase in federal funding. So he just manufactured his own opposition. We have seen it a million times. The ADL and SPLC do it now every month. Israel has been doing it since October.

Chambers was editor of the *Daily Worker* from 1927-29 and continued to write for *New Masses* into the 1930s. He was allegedly active in Communist spy rings until 1938, when he went to work for TIME. That makes sense, right? TIME would know his history, so why would they hire him? I am telling you it is because it is all the usual conjob.

Hiss sued Chambers for libel in 1948, and

Chambers retaliated by claiming Hiss was not merely a communist, but also a spy, a charge he had not made earlier; and, on November 17, 1948, to support his explosive allegations he produced physical evidence consisting of sixty-five pages of re-typed State Department documents, the last of which was dated **April 1**, 1938, plus four notes in Hiss's handwriting summarizing the contents of State Department cables. These became known as the "Baltimore documents". Chambers claimed Hiss had given them to him in 1938 and that Priscilla had retyped them (Hiss could not type) on the Hisses' Woodstock typewriter for Chambers to pass along to the Soviets.[39]

See there? Another clue thrown in your face. **April Fool!** You bought it. Why else tell you when the last document was dated? Is that critical information? No, it is just inserted to mess with you. As is all the ridiculous stuff about typewriters and handwritten notes.

Even more ridiculous was the "Pumpkin Papers" hoo-ha. If you are younger you may not know about this. Hang on to your seat because it is a thumper.

On December 2, Chambers led HUAC investigators to a pumpkin patch on his **Maryland** farm; from a hollowed-out pumpkin in which he had hidden them the previous day, he produced five rolls of 35 mm film that he said came from Hiss in 1938, as well. While some of the film was undeveloped and some contained images of trivial content such as publicly available Navy documents concerning the painting of fire extinguishers, there were also images of State Department documents that were classified at the time.

The FBI scriptwriters were more creative back then, weren't they? That's almost Hollywood-level stupidity. And did you notice the Navy documents concerning the painting of fire extinguishers? Let me guess, they were to be painted red? But they needed to classify that information about painting fire extinguishers red along with this superspy stuff and hide it in pumpkin patches. Hilarious.

They say that Hiss' first trial was hung or deadlocked, at 8-4. So they retried him. I will never be able to make sense of a hung jury, since to me an 8-4 decision is a failure to convict. It isn't "hung", it is an acquittal. If a conviction requires unanimity, and they fail to get that, then *he isn't convicted*. If you aren't convicted **you are acquitted**. A retrial should logically be double jeopardy.

But regardless of how you feel about that, we find the second judge allowed people to testify that the first judge had not, which again makes no sense. Judge Goddard allowed convicted Russian spy Hede Tune Massing to testify against Hiss, which is the height of absurdity. We are going to take the word of a convicted Russian spy in our own law courts? You have to laugh. Hiss was convicted on her word. Even funnier? Hede was **an Austrian actress, not a Russian**. She was born Hedwig Tune, and was Jewish, though they scrub her parents and race at Wiki. Her first husband was Gerhart Eisler, who they admit was Jewish. His mother was a Fischer. Despite her being a Fischer, they tell us Eisler was only Jewish on his father's side. Right. Gerhart's sister Ruth Fischer married Arkadi Maslow, who was born **Isaak Yefimowich Chemerinsky**, a rich Jew from Ukraine who studied under Einstein and Planck, and like these others became a fake Communist in Germany between the wars.



They admit at Wiki that the Communist Party of Germany knew he was a infiltrator and spy working for the government and police and that they finally got rid of him as a narc.

Anyway, Hede later married Julian Gumperz, another rich Jewish agent, and they moved to New York. Gumperz came out of the spooky Institute for Social Research in Frankfurt, which was his connection to New York. They are linked to Columbia University. We already saw that, since Whittaker Chambers also came out of Columbia. They were all in this together, of course.

Next Hede was passed to German agent Paul Massing, and they allegedly went to Moscow. The story goes exceedingly misty here, but we are supposed to believe that despite not liking Russia they joined NKVD and were sent to the US by Stalin. Once here she recruited Hiss and Laurence Duggan, among others, though we aren't told how this worked. In her memoirs, Hede claimed she had quit NKVD in the late 1930s, coming clean in 1949 to implicate Hiss. So none of this makes any sense. One clue is left sitting on her page, and that is the final section admitting she worked at Amtorg when she came to the US. Amtorg is Armand Hammer's company, aka Alamerico, so we seem to be being told that Amtorg was being used by Intel as a front for its agents, especially this FBI theater. This is very suggestive for many reasons, and here is just one of them:

Hammer's intentions in the 1921 trip (to Russia) have been debated ever since. He has claimed that he originally intended to recoup \$150,000 in debts for drugs shipped during the [Allied intervention](#), but was soon moved by a capitalistic and philanthropic interest in selling [wheat](#) to the then-starving Russians.^[1]
43 In his passport application, Hammer stated that he intended to visit only Western Europe.^[29] [J. Edgar Hoover](#) in the Justice Department knew this was false, but Hammer was allowed to travel, anyway.^[1]
36 The 26-year-old Hoover, who was the Justice Department's expert on subversives, was tipped off that Armand Hammer was a courier for the [COMINTERN](#) and ensured that foreign intelligence agencies were notified of Armand Hammer's travels.^[30] A skeptical U.S. government watched him through this trip and for the rest of his life.^{[31][32]}

That's from Hammer's Wiki page, and they are trying to make you think he was being watched by Hoover from 1921. But I would guess just the opposite is true: more likely Hammer was one of the billionaires behind Hoover and the FBI, using them for their own purposes. Neither the FBI nor the CIA were ever self-propelled. They were always gofers for the billionaire families. They want you to believe the billionaire Hammer was a courier, which is the ultimate reversal and joke. He could hire couriers all day. Besides, we didn't need any couriers between the US and Russia in 1921, since we were allies then. We were allies up until the end of WWII, remember, and this fake Communist stuff didn't hit the big-time until after the war, when FBI and CIA used it to create more bogeys. Plus, as we found in my [papers on Lenin](#) and the Russian Revolution, the Jewish billionaires weren't in Russia to spy or promote Communism, they were there to take over the country behind a couple of staged revolutions and a lot of storytelling. It wasn't a Communist takeover, it was a Capitalist takeover with a fake Communist frosting.

But back to Hiss. He was sentenced to five years, which seems very short for treason. Except that he wasn't convicted of treason or even espionage. He was only convicted of perjury, remember? But wait. . . these trials were in New York district courts. The guilty verdict was allegedly upheld by the Second Circuit and the Supreme Court refused to hear an appeal. That makes no sense because he perjured himself before HUAC, which is Congress. Congress doesn't need to send real perjury cases to district courts, since it has the power to convict of perjury itself, as long as the perjury is *against itself*. Congress has the power to prosecute perjury against itself, just like it has the power to prosecute contempt of Congress. Contempt is usually contempt of a court, but in its investigative power, Congress acts like a court, being able to make a finding of contempt. Same with lying against itself, which it can prosecute as perjury or lump in with contempt. So, as I keep telling you, in a lot of these cases where Congress says it may refer something to the Justice Department, you are being given the run-around. Congress doesn't need to refer these cases to the Justice Department or to the courts, since it has the power of subpoena, the power of swearing in, and the power of arrest. It can find you in contempt and detain you without referring you to anyone.

Just so you know, this is how we know the conviction and jailing of Steve Bannon is theater. He was allegedly sentenced by a jury for contempt of Congress. That isn't necessary. Congress can find you in contempt on its own, with no jury necessary, and there is no appeal for that. Congress can order its Sergeant-at-arms to arrest someone found in contempt and imprison them. We are told Congress hasn't used that power in a long time, but that is because Congress is now just a paper moon on a cardboard sea. It is defunct, being part of the vaudeville, part of the Matrix. As we have seen, most of its proceedings are theater, and the rest is just rubberstamping of military and spy budgets.

This deserves a whole paper to itself, but you should really pause and chew on that for a while: Congress "has major powers it is not using in modern times". In fact, this is one of the greatest powers Congress has, it being one of the only non-judicial entities in the country with judicial powers like subpoena and contempt and arrest. It makes sense, because it is composed of 535 top-level representatives, most of them lawyers, so they should be able to decide a simple case like contempt or perjury against themselves as well as, or far better than, any jury of citizens. This is why I see Rand Paul's grandstanding against Fauci as more theater, not likely to go anywhere. If these Senators like Paul really represented the American people, the vaccine genocide would never have happened, and these Pharma criminals like Fauci and Daszak would never be allowed to skate. Even now that Daszak has been jettisoned from EcoHealthAlliance and defunded in disgrace, neither house of Congress is proceeding to the next logical step: charging him with contempt and perjury. This is what we always see, as Congress lets everyone slip through its fingers with just an airy scolding. And when we do see people allegedly jailed by Congress, it turns out to be vaudeville, as with all the fake J6 prisoners or Steve Bannon or Alger Hiss.

Just so you know, Alger Hiss was hiding behind a name change as well. He was actually a Hughes and a Hess/Hesse, but the name Hess was too revealing after the Nazis, since I assume Hiss really was related to Hitler's lover Rudolf Hess. Geneastar scrubs both sides of Hiss' ancestry, telling us even less than Wikipedia did, and not admitting he was a Hess. Geni does the same thing, ending the line in Baltimore and giving us no city in Germany. Wikitree likewise. Wikitree gives us Alger's five siblings but doesn't know who any of them married. Suspicious. One trick used is listing the older Hesses like Valentine as Valentine Hiss instead of Valentine Hess. But even the name Valentine is a clue, since Rudolf Hess had Valentines in his family.

In fact, there is a funny story that spills those beans without meaning to. See [the memoirs of Valentine Cecil](#), British peer of the Cecil family who claims to have once guarded Hess in Spandau. He claims to have been in command of the Grenadier Guards in 1980 in Berlin, when he came upon Hess making a snowman in the gardens. No, really. That's what he decided to go with. He helped Hess make his snowman, and in conversation while doing that told Hess that

he had met my grandfather in 1935 at a dinner given by Hitler – the invitation and the *place à table* are in the family archives.

Wow. How's that for a chestnut? So why were the Cecils attending a dinner party given by Hitler in 1935? I remind you that Hitler had already been dictator since 1933, and had already broken the Versailles Treaty. I have shown the answer to that in previous papers: Hitler was actually *related* to these British peers through the Dowlings and Stuarts, which is why he had spent time in Liverpool before the first war. *All these people are cousins*. Cecil is cleverly admitting he is related to Hess, and we now know one way he was: through the Valentines. Valentine Cecil never helped Hess make a snowman in Spandau, since Hess was never there, but he and Hess did know each other since they were cousins. And what else does this indicate? It indicates Hitler and Hess were cousins as well. Kissing

cousins.

But back to Hiss, to finish him off. My guess is Hiss' mother was related to Charles Evans Hughes, Governor of New York and later Chief Justice of the Supreme Court. This has to be scrubbed because the Solicitor General in 1929-30 was his son, Charles Jr. Charles Jr.'s wife was a Stuart, linking us to these people like Hess and Hitler again.

And one other thing we learn with a bit of digging on Hiss. [He is in Tim Dowling's Family Tree at Geneanet](#), and Dowling is a Stuart, confirming all my guesses above. I just reminded you that Hitler was related to Dowlings, so this is one big happy family, as usual.

And what does it all mean? Well, the mainstream is still running interference on Hiss, suggesting that even if you believe Hiss was innocent (as President Truman and Dean Acheson did), either Hiss or Chambers must be lying. They want you to continue to believe the event was real, keeping you off path number three, as above. But as we have seen, the truth is *both* Hiss and Chambers were FBI actors, creating this theater to make work for FBI, CIA, and all other anti-Communist organizations. Also to keep this in the headlines to displace real news. Also to create division and fear. Also to keep the Cold War hot to keep military contracts fat.

Which means. . . Nixon was yet another FBI actor in this HUAC theater, playing his part from the House of Representatives.

First Lady “Pat” Nixon is also interesting, especially for her genealogy. She was born Thelma Ryan, and her mother was Katherine Halberstadt. The Halberstadts are German nobles and Jews. See famous fencer Hans Halberstadt and Victor Halberstadt, former president of the International Institute of Public Finance. Pat's maternal grandmother was a Schlottner, another Jewish name.

The Halberstadts were of course from Halberstadt, a city in Saxony with a famous Jewish population going back to the 1200s. In the 1700s it had the largest Jewish community in central Europe. You may also remember that its synagogue is one of the ones they pretended to destroy during *Kristallnacht*, November 18, 1938. 11/18, aces and eights, of course.

Pat Nixon's Ryans are scrubbed, but they admit they came from county Mayo, so—given they were prominent enough to marry the Halberstadts—I would assume they were the Ryans of Castlebar, Irish peers closely related to the MacLeods. Do you know what that means? We have discovered possibly the closest cousin-link between Nixon and Trump. Trump is a MacLeod. These Ryans of Mayo are listed in the peerage, and that is because these MacLeods they married in the 1800s were Lords of Raasay, of Rigg, of Glendale, of Luskentyre, and of Berneray, also linking us to the McQueens, MacDonalds, and Mackenzies.


If this link is correct, then Pat Nixon was a close cousin of the Chief of Staff of the Air Force under Nixon, General John Dale Ryan. He also takes us back to the peerage Ryans/O'Ryans of Mayo and Tipperary. We find another clue in that Wiki refuses to give parents for this general, showing us they are hiding something. I had to go to Findagrave to drive around that block. Once we get to Findagrave, we find his ancestry isn't as scrubbed as Pat Nixon's, so we can link her Ryans to the Armstrongs, Kennedys, Houstons, Newberrys, O'Hagens, Cantwells, and Howes.

Richard Nixon is also an Armstrong, so he married a cousin when he married Pat. His great-grandfather was a Hemingway and his great-grandmother was an Armstrong from Mt. Holly, NJ.

These Armstrongs came over from Ulster. The Hemingways lead us through the Morses to the Pierces of Middlesex, MA, which is how we link Nixon to President Franklin Pierce. They are pretty close cousins. Nixon is also a cousin of Ernest Hemingway, since they both come from the Hemenways of Roxbury. Also a cousin of George Armstrong Custer, since they both come from the Armstrongs of Ulster.

Through the Smythes, Nixon is descended in direct line from the Breton knights, taking us back to the 1500s. This also links us to the Egertons, Byrons and Venables, so we have hit the big time. We also hit the Vernons, Booths, Warburtons and Grosvenors. William Breton became Baron of Leighlin in about 1600, and his son married a Goring, linking us to the Nevilles. These Nevilles then link us to the Kings of England.

But enough genealogy for now. Let's look at this interesting graphic from the 1960 Presidential election, which Nixon lost to Kennedy, of course.



Notice anything? It is mostly upside down to now, isn't it? Texas and the southern states are blue, when they are red now, and the west coast is red, when it is blue now. Vermont and Maine are red, though they are blue now. This wasn't that long ago, and the platforms of the parties haven't changed much. I am suggesting a new reading, and maybe you have already caught on. If Nixon was the FBI candidate, then Kennedy must have been the CIA candidate. Which flips that mainstream theory on its head as well. You are supposed to believe Kennedy was offed by the CIA, but I have already disproved that in a series of papers. He wasn't killed at all. In fact, he was the CIA's candidate in 1960, and they stole the election of that year by stealing Texas and Illinois. The last part of that is admitted by many, since there was a huge outcry even at the time that Texas and Illinois had been stolen. Lyndon Johnson was a master of stealing elections and he was chosen as Kennedy's VP for that reason.

So why has that map changed so much? Well, you now see it is because CIA all but killed FBI during Watergate, getting rid of Hoover first, then Nixon, then scaring Congress with heart attack guns. We

saw all that in my Watergate paper. That's when this map really started to flip. You may think Reagan reversed that, turning California red again and so on, but that isn't what happened there. After Watergate, CIA took control of *both* parties, so they were behind Reagan as well. Hollywood has always been a subset of CIA, or at least since the 1940s. As the CIA became more and more powerful, the country tilted more and more blue, until, with Obama, it started to go all blue. [I have shown](#) the Republican Party wasn't pulling more than 20% of the vote after 2000 and after the Clinton revolution, if you look at real votes instead of results manufactured for the papers and TV. CIA, which had historically been blue on the map, for some reason forgot to maintain party parity after the Bushes. Bush Sr. was a CIA director, remember, so he reminded them to steal some elections for them, but after the second term of his son, CIA got drunk on its power. It was so proud of itself for getting this non-citizen black man from Kenya with a name like Barack Hussein Obama elected, it lost sight of the big picture. Running the losers McCain and Romney almost killed the Republican Party, which is why CIA had to reorganize in 2016, focusing their attention on reanimating the comatose Reds. Unfortunately, they had no one to really turn to, having to go with the extremely divisive Trump. But just ask yourself this: who else was there? Just as the Democrats now have no one with any charisma to turn to, there was no one for CIA to turn to in 2016, to revive the Republicans. CIA had been so successful in eviscerating all of politics for 50 years, no one with any real stature was left. No real person wanted to run for anything, from either party, since it was clear they would just be puppets reading from a CIA teleprompter. So, as in the fields of art and science, no one was left but zombies—upperclass twits with no scruples who would do whatever they were told and tell any lie, no matter how large or obvious.

If Trump keeled over from a heart attack tomorrow, who would replace him? DeSantis? DeSantis is short and awkward and Trump has already destroyed his reputation. The shorter candidate has never won a Presidential election. Nikki Haley? She isn't even a natural born citizen. Ramaswamy? Not a chance. He is too young and most Republicans wouldn't vote for an Indian in this election cycle. The Dems might be ready for him, but the Reps definitely aren't. You will say no one has to vote for him, the CIA just has to steal the election for him, which is true. But they are trying to rebuild the Republican Party and stealing the election for Vivek wouldn't achieve that. Small town USA wouldn't support him and many might move to a moderate Democrat to avoid him.

My point being that the Republican field is just as weak as the Democratic field. Neither party has anyone really likable, or even tolerable. And the reason is because politics is just a CIA animation now. They might as well switch to AI, and that honestly may be the next step. They are probably hoping to create computer animated candidates that you can't spot as artificial, at which point they can just build whatever candidates they like. May I suggest an AI Eva Vlaardingerbroek clone, aged another 15 years, with an easier American name, like Eve Brook. AI is already using her face to sell all sorts of things: I have seen them doing it. I am just surprised she hasn't yet sued for use of her image.



She is the one that used to appear on Tucker Carlson. Running her would also be great for their split-the-sexes project, since just about every man in America would vote for her and every woman would hate her.

But back to Nixon. We left off with him as VP. Let's hit the Checkers story, since it contains an undigested chestnut.

In mid-September, the Republican ticket faced a major crisis when the media reported that Nixon had a political fund, maintained by his backers, which reimbursed him for political expenses. Such a fund was not illegal, but it exposed Nixon to allegations of a potential conflict of interest.

That is an example of the form of the statements being a giveaway. It is a peculiar way to put it, isn't it? As stated, it makes no sense, since of course political candidates are going to be funded. But they refuse to tell you what the media found worth reporting here. That's because the media wasn't able to tell you back then, either. It was all as misty back then as it is now. And that's obviously because what the media was trying to tell us was that Nixon was being funded by the FBI. Hoover wouldn't allow them to state it outright, but they were hoping some readers might get a clue. Unfortunately, readers almost never get any clues, as we now know. Most readers won't get a clue when you tell them directly, as I do, so what hope is there in them figuring out puzzles?

To be sure no readers got the clue, FBI got its scriptwriters busy concocting a cockamamy story about some Texas donor sending Nixon a cocker spaniel, which his daughter Tricia named Checkers:



They know just which brand of stupid you are, since you will fall for dog-and-little-girl stories all day long. Alaric the Visigoth could have hidden his sack of Rome behind a dog-and-little-girl story in 410AD, but propaganda was still in its infancy then. Nixon didn't want to give the dog back, and besides his wife Pat didn't have a mink coat, so therefore move on. You know the drill by now. If the dog story doesn't work, they will just put the little girl down a well or up a balloon or something.

Despite all this promotion by the FBI, Nixon still wasn't popular, and Eisenhower's people floated the idea of running with someone else as VP in 1956. But then this happened:

Although no Republican was opposing Eisenhower, Nixon received a substantial number of write-in votes against the president in the 1956 [New Hampshire primary](#) election.

Can you see through that? Who do you think wrote in all those votes for Nixon in New Hampshire? The FBI, of course.

Nixon gets a lot of credit for shepherding the 1957 Civil Rights Act through Congress, and convincing Eisenhower to sign it, but that is the usual misdirection. That act had already been watered down to the point of uselessness, which was the whole game. As usual, the government could pretend to be addressing an issue while not addressing it. It could pose as a great hero of minorities while doing everything it could behind the scenes to prevent any real progress. For instance, before passing, the Act was stripped of all authorization of enforcement by the Anderson-Aiken Amendment, making it pretty much null. Before, the Attorney General would have been authorized to step in in civil rights cases, but that clause was removed. A jury trial amendment further eroded the Act. Lyndon Johnson, Senate Majority Leader at the time, also gets credit now for the Civil Rights Act, but he is the one who led the push *against* it, crafting these phony amendments. Nixon pretended to be against these amendments, but it is doubtful he really was. I assume the FBI and other entities made sure to bring this to a vote when they knew the Southern faction in Congress was strong enough to kill it.

Next we come to the famous "Kitchen Debates", which were sold as impromptu but which were almost fully scripted to sell dishwashers and refrigerators. No doubt it was brought to you by Westinghouse. It just shows you how controlled the world already was by 1959, with the advertisers being able to fly in Khrushchev for their commercials. We have to wonder how much he was paid. Unfortunately for them, Khrushchev was a bad boy, going off script several times and making the whole thing look ridiculous. No doubt he demanded payment in advance, just to protect him in such a case. He asked if the Americans had a machine to put food in the mouth and force it down the throat, referring to a scene

in Chaplin's *Modern Times*. As it turns out, Khrushchev got all the zingers and nearly undercut the propaganda, but no doubt most people missed that. They were too busy rushing down to Sears to buy all the new gadgets.

Feminists will note that Nixon was busy promoting women as housewives during these fake debates, which tells us how interested in progress he always was. This was before both parties, driven by CIA, figured out it was much better to promote the opposite: get the women out of the kitchen where they could spend a lot more money and generate far more profit. In fact, get them out of the marriage altogether, since their spending would be severely limited by their husbands. Only if they were single could their buying potential be realized.

Next we come to the campaign of 1960:

Nixon campaigned on his experience, but Kennedy called for new blood and claimed the [Eisenhower–Nixon administration had allowed the Soviet Union to overtake the U.S. in quantity and quality of ballistic missiles](#).^[107] While Kennedy faced issues about his Catholicism, Nixon remained a divisive figure to some.^[108]

You have to laugh, since there never were any ballistic missiles (nuclear weapons) of that sort. They are just dummy capsules sitting in pretend silos. People were actually stupid enough to believe a Republican administration run by a former general of the army was too soft on defense and spending too little money on the military. But remember, Kennedy was the CIA candidate and the CIA never overestimated their audience. Like P. T. Barnum they knew it was impossible to underestimate the intelligence of the American public. This same American public soon bought the Cuban Missile Crisis and the Bay of Pigs, accepting the idea that Cuba could repel an invasion by the US, even though we already had a huge base covering 1/3rd of the island. These are the same people who believe icebergs are floating around in the Atlantic in April and May at the latitude of Rome or Madrid and who believe it is possible to fly a tiny mail plane over the Atlantic with no forward visibility, no radio, no compass, and no lights. These are the same people who believe it is possible to fly and land rockets backwards on little lily pads out in the ocean. These are the same people who believe Steven Hawking lived with ALS for 55 years and spoke great truths out of a computer language box. These are the same people who trust Big Pharma.

It reminds me of that scene in *Little Big Man*, where the conman Allardyce T. Meriweather is telling Dustin Hoffman

Listen to me, a two-legged creature will believe anything and the more preposterous the better: whales speak French at the bottom of the sea. The horses of Arabia have silver wings. Pygmies mate with elephants in darkest Africa. I have sold all those propositions.

None of those are more preposterous than my list, but my list isn't fiction from the 1870s, it is a "reality" you lived through and bought. I bought most of those things like the *Titanic* iceberg myself before looking more closely. I wasn't aware of the details until later, and once you know them the whole thing falls apart.

You will say that if Nixon was an FBI candidate, and CIA had been installing their own people for eight years, why did they allow Nixon to win in 1968? I suppose because it was part of deal: it was time for the Republicans to come back, to keep the fake two-party charade in place. Besides, it appears the CIA felt they could keep Nixon in line, Hoover and FBI notwithstanding. Both parties and FBI had

been read into the Vietnam script, and it was known the War was to continue for at least five more years. Besides, the CIA didn't have anyone to run. Johnson and RFK had retired or gone underground, and Humphrey was as lacking in charm and looks as Nixon. Hoover was still alive so it is possible FBI won this straight-up war with CIA in 1968, getting their man in. That pissed CIA off so much they were determined it would never happen again. And it didn't.

They had to kill the Presidency, the FBI, Congress, the Supreme Court, and the Constitution, but what's a little collateral damage? They have since destroyed the mainstream media by polluting it beyond any usefulness, and destroyed all trust in government, but as I say, what's a little collateral damage? Profits are up in the short term and the wealthy are fatter than ever, so it's all good, man.

As Bill Maher said recently, Chill Dude, it isn't like people are dying in the streets. Or. . . wait. . . at least nobody but the people dying of Fentanyl, Meth, crack, and a hundred other drugs, most of them committing suicide in slow motion after being destroyed by the Phoenicians in other ways. Just the Fentanyl deaths alone now outnumber all those killed in Vietnam, but forget that, that doesn't count. And at least nobody but the people keeling over and dying on baseball, football, and soccer fields by the thousands, but that isn't the streets. That is grass. And at least nobody but the countless and uncounted dying of strokes and heart attacks from the fake vaccines. But that can't be proved so it doesn't count. His point being that those people aren't rich, so they don't count. What he meant was that rich important people that count aren't dying on the streets. Well, except for the ones who bet wrong in the stock market with Kathy Woods or Sam Bankman-Fried or Bitcoin or one of the thousands of garbage stocks pumped by the usual conmen that later tanked down to zero. Many of those rich people jumped out their office windows and hit the pavement at terminal velocity, so I think that counts as street. But forget all that, we can fudge that with statistics, we can bury it on page 19, we can memoryhole it later. The point is that Maher told us with a cigar-smoking grin that people aren't dying on the streets, and he has a show on TV, so it must so. Have a beer and a bag of chips and move on.