



[return to updates](#)

FAKE ELECTION NEWS

After the South Carolina Republican primary, this is how two major news sources reported the delegate totals. First CNN:



And this is CBS's delegate total:



Neither one is even close to correct. Both have been pushed very far to make you think Ron Paul isn't close. What are the real totals? They are Gingrich 23, Romney 16, Paul 10, Santorum 7. Both delegate counts include *projected* delegates for Romney to boost his numbers, and CNN is able to almost double Romney's count that way, taking him above Gingrich. What are projected delegates? They are delegates that the media is just *guessing* the candidate might pick up in the future, in primaries as far away as May 22 (Arkansas primary). But they don't tell you that. They don't tell you they are padding the numbers that way. And of course they don't include any projected delegates for candidates they don't like, like Paul. They include projected delegates for everyone except Paul. [At Wikipedia](#), they even include projected delegates for Rick Perry, who is out of the race.

That's right, Wikipedia is part of the propaganda and fake news as usual. Wikipedia has a long page on the 2012 primaries, and it is full of the same faked charts as CNN and CBS (since they are all written by the CIA).

Notice that CBS completely fakes the totals from Iowa, giving Paul zero delegates. This despite the fact that Paul was a close third in Iowa, with a reported 21% to Romney's 25%. Iowa award delegates to the top three. According to Iowa itself, the delegates were split three ways, 7, 7, and 7.*

This is dishonest in the extreme. It is fake news reporting. Bald propaganda. Until recently, they didn't report delegate totals this way. They reported actual delegate totals, from the primaries that had already happened. This is a new trick. It is being used to prevent support for Ron Paul and to steal the election for the anointed candidate. It is the transparent effort to deflect attention from Paul, to make future voters think that past voters didn't support Paul when they did.


Of course this isn't the only trick being used, or even the main one. Among other secondary tricks being used are the [misreporting of polls](#), the suppression of polls, skewing the debates by asking fewer questions to Paul and couching all questions in a dismissive or accusing tone, and publishing only negative press. But the main trick being used against Paul is actually stealing the vote. None of the primary votes have been transparent, and we have already seen the mess in Iowa. [Iowa actually admitted](#) it could not verify or certify the vote count, since many precincts were so corrupt no vote total could be determined. Since at least eight precincts could not be verified, the total cannot be verified. The Iowa GOP chair tried to dismiss this mess by saying that the other precincts could be verified, but that isn't the point. If you can't verify them all, you can't verify the total. For instance, what if they just took the eight precincts Paul did best in and pretended they couldn't verify them? They "lost" those results. The dog ate them.

Iowa isn't even trying to get to the bottom of this. In a country with real voting, a party chair would demand delivery of the paper ballots, a recount, or put all the administrators in the precinct in jail and prepare a revote. Why aren't those precinct voters screaming disenfranchisement? Why no lawsuits? We may see some, but we could have predicted this mess as soon as the governor of Iowa said, before the caucus, that [if Paul won](#) the state and country should ignore the caucus and look at who got second.

Similar problems are coming to light in New Hampshire and South Carolina, where all the votes from the whole states [had to be sent to Spain](#) to be counted. That's right,

[In South Carolina, 100% of election results will be redirected through a private Barcelona, Spain-owned company, Scyt/ISOE Software, before being reported to the public.](#)

You may ask yourself why the votes can't be counted on the premises, or why it requires *any* software to do it. These precincts are not that large, and the vote totals are such that they could be counted by hand in a few hours or less. Besides that, there are simple counting machines that can speed up the count if we need speed. We don't need electric computers and software to count ballots.



That is a tabulator from 1890. It can tabulate thousands of slips in a few minutes. The only reason we now have software to “tabulate” votes is that software can be hacked. Software is nothing more than a way to manipulate the vote. As it now stands, whoever owns the software owns the vote. [They can create any totals they want](#), right out of thin air.

This means that we have no evidence that Gingrich just won the South Carolina primary, and a lot of *prima facie* evidence he didn't. [An event](#) for Gingrich the day before the primary had to be cancelled, because only 12 people showed up at the auditorium. Ron Paul should sue to see the actual ballots. He should sue for a hand count in *all* states. I guess he hopes that one state will be honest, showing up the others, but it didn't happen in 2008 and it isn't going to happen this time. There are no honest states. Since Paul isn't suing, his voters should. The obviously disenfranchised voters in those precincts in Iowa should already be filing suits. The ACLU in all states should be filing class action lawsuits for Paul. I can't think of anything more important for the ACLU to be doing.

But what does this mean for you? It means you should ignore the mainstream media completely. Nothing they tell you is true. It is all manufactured to sell you what they want you to have. It means you should vote for whomever you prefer when the time comes, paying no heed to who is popular or who is being touted as the frontrunner. You should stick with your candidate even if you have to write him or her in. If your precinct doesn't accept write-ins, make a huge stink about it. File a lawsuit for disenfranchisement. Write a story for the local paper. Make your own ballot and tape it to the door of the county courthouse, with a youtube video of you doing it. And talk to your neighbors. At some point we are all going to have [to march on city hall](#), the governor's mansion, and the White House, to get this solved.

Update, January 31, 2012:

Drudge Report is in on this deception, since they are posting the Associated Press' figures. We may assume that the AP is now run by the CIA as well, since they can't seem to add. They give the total as Romney 87, Gingrich 26, Santorum 14, Paul 4. If Florida is a winner take all state, then the real total is Gingrich 23, Romney 66, Paul 10, Santorum 7. But Florida is not definitely winner take all until the issue is "ironed out" in the convention. The RNC chairman Michael Steele said on MSNBC today that "Florida is proportional." That is a direct quote, stated on national TV. Florida is breaking all kinds of rules this year by moving its primary up and trying to be winner take all. It can do the first only by losing half its delegates and it can't do the second at all, according to the rules that are written down. So we are being finessed once again by the media (CIA). Florida will be forced to be proportional in the convention, which brings the current delegate total to these numbers: Gingrich 39, Romney 39, Paul 14, Santorum 13. As you see, not even close to what is being reported in by all the major channels. Romney's number has been inflated by almost 50 delegates, after only four primaries! They have multiplied his real total by more than 2.2 times.

Why are they doing this? Because they want Romney to be the Republican candidate. That is the plan, and the votes mean very little. They will manipulate the numbers any way they like.

The numbers from Florida are meaningless as usual, anyway, since the votes there are not traceable or checkable. See blackboxvoting.org.

Update: 2/5/2012. I said in a recent article that it was questionable whether the mainstream could steal an election that wasn't close. We are finding out that they can, and we are seeing them do it in slow motion. The most transparent theft so far has been in Iowa and Nevada, where we are seeing clear evidence of widescale fraud and the pushing of numbers great distances. Paul was polling so strong in Iowa the days before the caucus that even the mainstream was forced to admit he would win. The governor went so far as to say publicly that the results from his state would have to be ignored and the media should only look at who came in second. On the day of the caucuses, [the exit polls](#) showed Paul [winning with 29%](#), seven points ahead of second-place Romney. [Such exit polls have *never* been found to be wrong by 28%, which is what we were told they were wrong here]. Instead, Iowa conveniently lost 8 major precincts and then reported totals without them, as if it didn't matter. It is turning out that those precincts just happened to be Paul strongholds. In Nevada, we saw a Romney victory accidentally reported two days *before* the primary [by Newsmax](#), a major source. Then we watched live on CNN as the votes were counted in a Nevada precinct considered weak for Paul, since it was heavily Jewish and Christian. Nonetheless [Paul won 66% of the vote](#) (22% to Romney). That was live. After that total was seen (but not reported—no reporter said a word about it) all the TV channels blacked out any further coverage from Nevada. New totals were suppressed for the rest of the night, and all coverage went underground. Although there were widespread claims of fraud the next morning, the mainstream media refused to report on it, instead repeating the report of a landslide Romney victory and repeating his campaign speech. It is probable that Paul's number was changed by a large margin, in the ballpark of 50 percentage points, from about 65 to 15. We may assume [the same thing happened](#) in New Hampshire and South Carolina and Florida, but since all the votes in those states were held in untraceable computer software, the evidence has already been erased.

***Update, August 29, 2012:** Now that the Republican National Convention is over, we can report the final totals for Iowa. Although the mainstream gave the primary to Romney, then to Santorum, in state caucuses, Paul took 22 of 28 delegates, or 78.6% of the vote. At the national convention, all 22 delegates voted for Paul. *That means Paul won Iowa.* He also won Minnesota, Maine, Louisiana, and Nevada. In Maine he won 88% of the vote.