SUCCESSOR IDEOLOGY

by Miles Mathis

First published July 9, 2021

My move, which was supposed to happen on July 8, was pushed back two days, allowing me some unpredicted downtime. I have already posted about 5 new pages on my science site, and am now working on this paper on the Successor Ideology.

If you haven't heard of it, it is a new term coined last year by Wesley Yang as an umbrella term for all the "radical" stuff going on now, including BLM, critical race theory, cultural Marxism, transgender promotion, and all the rest. Yang admits this movement has replaced or displaced old-style liberalism, but he conspicuously (I would say) diverts you away from what I have been warning: this has all been manufactured by Intelligence to purposely create division and divert attention away from the usual suspects. Also to finally destroy old-style liberalism.

You can see this by taking the link from Wikipedia to a discussion at the Manhattan Institute that includes Yang as well as two MI spooks Reihan Salam and Coleman Hughes and NYT spook Ross Douthat. All these guys misdirect the entire time, never getting near the question of source. Or, by context, they imply it must be a natural outcome, arising organically out of academia and grassroots activism. But of course it isn't. Anyone awake can see the fingerprints of Intel all over this. Zerohedge is partially republishing a piece by Matt Taibbi that misdirects you from the CIA in the same way. Taibbi suggests conservatives are missing the point by "hyperventilating about critical race theory", but like the rest he misses the nut: this was all cooked up by Intelligence to sow fear and dissension.

Which means the conservatives have it about right. Although the conservative commentary is also paid to miss the CIA connection, they do understand this is about sowing dissension. They do realize it is about starting race, sex, and party wars, to keep your eyes off the very rich. But since much of the commentary from the right comes from the Republican Party, or what used to be the Republican Party, they can't really put a target on the rich. They ARE the rich. So they are put in the curious position of outing the cooked up civil war while skipping who cooked it. You can see this every night from Tucker Carlson, as the rich boy pretends to out the rich and powerful while interviewing someone from the Hoover Institution. Only my readers can spot the contradiction, and the line Tucker can never cross over.

If you don't believe me, go to the Wiki page for Manhattan Institute. If you wonder why they are promoting Yang and Successor Ideology, you will find out there. MI was established in 1977 by William Casey and Antony Fisher. And who was William Casey? Oh yeah, he was director of the CIA under Reagan. Just a coincidence, I guess. And who was Antony Fisher? Just a Trinity College and RAF Jew who, under the guidance of F. A. Hayak, was setting up think-tanks by the time he was 30. By 1952 he was already linked to the FEE (Foundation for Economic Education), a phoeny "libertarian" think-tank which, like all the rest, was really fascist. In 1955 he used his private fortune to set up the IEA (Institute of Economic Affairs) with fellow spook Ralph Harris. Fisher's granddaughter was recently a Facebook VP and is now a VP at Netflix. She is married to Steve Hilton, former director of strategy for David Cameron and now FoxNews host. So these people run both sides of every argument, as we have seen.

You can also look up Wesley Yang, where you will find he hit the big time with an article about Virginia Tech mass shooter Seung-Hui Cho. Let's see, did Yang out that as a CIA manufactured event, and Cho as a crisis actor? Nope. Of course not. If he had, do you think the CIA-front Manhattan Institute would now be promoting him? Would Matt Taibbi now be promoting him?

So, as usual, you have to flap your arms and fly high up in the air to look down on all this with the proper altitude and the proper perspective. If you remain at media level, you will never figure any of this out. You will be permanently tied up in misdirection from both sides, as each side accuses the other of being racist. While the truth is, neither side is racist, since neither side is real. The entire script is cooked from both sides, to promote division in the short term and ultimately to push the country hard right, as in the Reagan years. In other words, Intel is purposely sparking a backlash against a fake left, hoping in the longer term to reinvigorate a dead Republican party. To avoid a manufactured tyranny from the left, it is hoped you will embrace a somewhat more palatable tyranny from the right, since it is assumed (probably correctly) that middle America will do anything to save itself from trannies, Marxists, radical gays and feminists, and rioting blacks. Middle America has never yet figured out it is being yanked by Intel and the trillionaires behind it, and it is hoped they will miss that con one more time. While everyone is celebrating dodging the fake Marxist bullet, the treasury will have been pillaged several more times, and the payment of the national debt will be moved back to the year 2300.