

# Was the John Stossel Lawsuit also a Fake?



*by Miles Mathis*

*First published October 19, 2022*

*Just my opinion, protected as usual by the First Amendment*

I ask because, like the Alex Jones case, it makes absolutely no sense from a legal standpoint. Stossel, whom you may remember as a former co-anchor of *20/20*, sued Facebook over a year ago for libel and suppression of trade when their “fact” checkers downlisted his pages for allegedly misleading information. Most people thought he would win because the case was so cut and dried. Facebook and other entities like Twitter and Google are obviously censoring people illegally, and Alex Berenson had recently won his case against Twitter on similar issues. But it is the way Stossel lost that is most suspicious. Facebook used [anti-SLAPP arguments](#) to claim Stossel was interfering with *their* First Amendment rights, which is so perverse it is ludicrous. This turns the anti-SLAPP legislation on its head, since it was invented and passed to protect the little guy from legal suppression by deep-pocket entities like big corporations. And yet here we see big corporations using it *against* individuals to suppress their speech. In the name of the First Amendment. What real court would confirm that? And yet somehow we are expected to believe the court agreed and dismissed the case on those grounds. To uphold anti-SLAPP here, the court had to find Stossel was using legal intimidation to infringe upon Facebook's Constitutional rights. Wow.

Even stranger, the court went out of its way to confirm that the “fact” checking at Facebook had nothing to do with facts and everything to do with Facebook making arbitrary decisions for its own internal reasons. So the court found that Facebook had the Constitutional right to do that, under First Amendment protections, and that anyone who had a problem with that was guilty of intimidating Facebook. Or to say it another way, **the court found Facebook had a Constitutional First Amendment right to censor free speech**, with no compelling reason other than it felt like it. I have to wonder if Stossel was ordered to pay court costs and triple damages as well? A search on that finds he *did* have to pay court costs and Facebook's legal fees, which is also extraordinary. And highly suspicious.

The timing of this is suspicious as well, since the Stossel lawsuit was filed one month *after* Berenson was suspended from Twitter, and two months before Berenson's lawsuit. Facebook responded to Stossel in that same month of Berenson's suit.

Also suspicious is that there are no plans for appeal here: understandable given that Stossel just allegedly lost so much money. So using anti-SLAPP successfully shut down not only Stossel but anyone else thinking of pursuing such a course.

Except me, since I don't believe a word of it. Like the Jones lawsuits, this has all the earmarks of another CIA staged event. It was clearly staged to undercut and reverse anti-SLAPP legislation and to protect Big Tech from a rash of lawsuits on First Amendment grounds. Remember, Big Tech and CIA are joined at the hips and always have been. Both are fronts for the same trillionaire families. So you should not be surprised to see the CIA's theater department coming to the rescue of Big Tech. This is exactly the sort of thing you should have expected to see happen, given what we already know.

Remember, Stossel is the same guy who reported in 2000 for *20/20* that both organic and conventional produce had no pesticide residue, while organic produce was contaminated with *e coli*. Brought to you by Monsanto, I guess. This turned out to be a lie, since the conventional produce was never tested for pesticides. *The New York Times* and *ABCNews* admitted this, and this information is on Stossel's Wiki page to this day. The producer of the segment was suspended and Stossel apologized, though he continued to maintain the gist of the segment was true. So the claims were false, but the gist was true. How does that work?

Stossel's brother Thomas has served on the advisory boards of **Pfizer and Merck**. They both came out of New Trier High School near Chicago. See [my paper on Marx](#) for more on that. They are Jewish, of course.

I also remind you of the strange Andy Kaufmanesque incident Stossel had with professional wrestler David Schultz on *20/20* back in 1984, [where Schultz appeared to slap Stossel on camera](#). See the pic under title. Stossel claims it was real to this day. Go watch it on youtube and get back to me. It is obviously staged, since Schultz pulled the punch and Stossel took a dive. I repeat, **Stossel took a dive**.\* So why can't we speculate Stossel took a dive in this lawsuit? According to the First Amendment, we can, and we could even if Stossel weren't a celebrity. That is because we are not required by law to believe anything the TV tells us, or the newspapers either. After the events of the past two years, why would we? Believing the news is real is now strictly equivalent to believing pro wrestling is real.

BTW, it looks to me like Kanye West is being set up to be the new Alex Jones, for another round of fake lawsuits, even more high profile with even greater media coverage. They needed someone who actually *had* a billion dollars, so he could seem to pay out a large part of it, bluffing and scaring me where Jim Fetzer and Alex Jones failed to. We will see how that plays out. I can tell you one thing already: no matter what happens, I won't believe it. So they might as well give it up.

**Added next day:** As if on cue, they have raised the amount requested from Alex Jones in his fake lawsuits to 2.75 **trillion**. I guess they read the paragraph above yesterday and thought, "If Miles isn't scared by a billion, maybe he will be scared by a trillion." Yeah, good thinking guys. Do you want to try for a quadrillion? At what point does this theater of the absurd become transparent to everyone? Surely we have hit that point? I am not scared by fines or judgments because *I have nothing to lose*. I have no assets and no connections. No family, no investments, no insurance, no savings, no retirement, NOTHING! The Phoenicians have made sure of that, by sitting on me my entire life. They have blocked everything I have ever tried to do, as you see from them downlisting my websites on Google, censoring my readers off all the major forums, slandering and defaming me all over the internet. Well, they have been doing it for decades, it just wasn't as obvious back in the 1990s, when they were burying me as an artist. It wasn't as obvious in the 2000s, when they were burying me as a physicist. But now it is glaringly obvious, and they are just proving I was right all along when I said something strange was going on. Everyone can now see **something strange is going on**, and has been for a very long time, and not just regarding my life or experience.

\*Also watch closely Stossel's response to Barbara Walters at minute 1:50. She says "You really were beaten by this man?" and he says "Yes" sheepishly, looking sideways at Walters. I have never seen such an unconvincing lie.