

[return to updates](#)

JOSEPH STALIN



by Miles Mathis

First published April 16, 2019

We will start with the name itself. Joseph Stalin. It isn't his real name, of course. It is a *code name*, and they admit that. It means “Man of Steel”. No, really. You will say, “like Superman?” Yep. Already you can see the level of the hoaxers here. This whole story is about as believable as that of Beaver Cleaver, and just as clever.

How would you feel if you found out Donald Trump's real name wasn't really Donald Trump, but a code name? Wouldn't that make you ~~highly-suspicious~~ even more highly suspicious that you already are?

Just ask yourself why the Premier of a country needs to exist under a “code name”. Does that make any sense? Normally, agents have code names, don't they, not the rulers of countries.

We are told Stalin's real surname was Dzhugashvili. Do me a favor and try to say it outloud. What did you hear? Something like **Jew**-gash-vili? No, it can't be that easy, can it? Well, if you don't believe me, go to Wikipedia, where they conveniently spell it Jughashvili. That is how much they think of your intelligence. It is called hiding in plain sight. Again, that was **Ju**-gash-vili.

Of course Stalin's genealogy is nearly completely scrubbed at Geni, the only clue we get being that his maternal grandmother was a Chomezurashvili. Which looks faked. We can probably drop the fake ending to get Chomez, a variant of Gomez, [which is also a Jewish name](#). The oldest Jewish residence in the US is the Gomez house. As we saw in [my paper on Philip III the Bold](#), the Gomez name was originally Gometz, and they were Counts of Troyes and Vexin back to the time of Charlemagne. Before that they came from the East, in the vicinity of Armenia. So they are part of the family that has been ruling Europe since the beginning.

[If you want to see something funny, do a Google search on “Joseph Stalin Genealogy”. The third result is [this page at ancestry.com](#), and the first entry is a Josef Stalin married to. . . Meg Ryan.]

Wikitree scrubs Stalin even more than Geni, listing only parents. Ethnicelebs admits the end of Stalin's real name Jughashvili was added later, the original name being Jugayev, which we are told is Ossetian. But that is more misdirection, since Jugayev isn't Ossetian, it is Jewish. Ethnicelebs misdirects furiously on this, taking the time to mention that some have claimed Stalin is Jewish. Their answer: these websites are anti-Jewish and provide no evidence Stalin is Jewish. But notice that Ethnicelebs provides no evidence Stalin is *not* Jewish. They simply state it. Or, their evidence is of this caliber: Stalin's hometown of Gori is near the border of South Ossetia, therefore he is Ossetian. That is like saying that I was born in Texas, which is near Mexico, therefore I am Mexican. But you can immediately see what they are misdirecting from if you look at a map. Stalin is from Georgia, the far southern part of the former Soviet Union, just north of Turkey in that little arm between the Black Sea and the Caspian Sea. And what is just below Georgia, about 50 miles south of Gori? **Armenia**. There has been a heavy Jewish presence there for thousands of years, though it has often been hidden in the history books and still is.

Many websites have been created to tell us some Ossetians have the name Jugayev. Of course they do, because they are Jews living in Ossetia. So that proves nothing. It would be like saying many Cohens live in the US, therefore they are Americans, not Jews. Its a rubbish argument. The mainstream has hidden or destroyed all real records concerning Stalin, so they can't possibly point to proof Stalin was a non-Jewish Ossetian. They just say it. And when you don't take their golden word for it, they imply there is something unscientific about you. But in truth, there would be something unscientific about you if *did* believe them, based on no evidence. Especially given that they are known liars. All the real evidence I have managed to compile points to Stalin being Jewish.


Still don't believe me? Then you can go to [JWeekly](#) (Jewish News of Northern California), where they admit Stalin was married to a Jewish woman formerly named **Rubinstein**. She is normally scrubbed from his bio. Why did I make that red? Because it may link us to Jack Ruby, who was really a Rubinstein from Russia. [See my paper](#) on him for more. This marriage to a Jew also throws a wrench into the whole anti-Semitism charge we often get with Stalin.

But there's more. If we go to the Holocaust database and do a soundex on the name Jugayev, we get a lot of hits. The best match is Pavel **Chuguyev**. This reminds us that when Stalin got famous in the 1920s, a worldwide memo probably went out to prominent Jugayevs, telling them to quickly change the spelling of their surname. We saw that a similar thing happened with the name Hitler. So, we now have a bit of evidence from the Holocaust database itself that the name Jugayev/Chuguyev is Jewish. That is already more hard evidence than Ethnicelebs, Wikipedia, or other websites are giving us.

But there's more. If we stay at the Holocaust database, but do a fuzzy search on Chuguyev, we get many results that didn't come up on the soundex search. Including Schugaev, Khugaev, Chagaev, Chuvaev, and Shugaev. Even if we throw out the least closely matching names, we still have very close matches with Shugaev and Schugaev. In fact, the Shugaev match is so close someone there decided to put the name in Cyrillic characters, as a dodge.

Which reminds us we should try the Dzhu- opening, since that is how it is spelled at many mainstream sites. A fuzzy search on that gives us two more possibilities: Kugaev and Dzugaj. There are several Dzugajs listed at the Holocaust database, and I consider that one a keeper.

So we now take Shugaev, Dzugaj, and Chuguyev to other sites, to see what we find. The first thing I found is quite unexpected. I tried to use Wikipedia against itself, but it had no results for Dzugaj. But it did send me to “Dzungar people”. Since they are western Mongols, also called Oirats or Kalmyks, I at first thought there was no link. But then I remembered this famous picture of Stalin with the little Mongolian girl.



That is Gelya, daughter of Ardan Markizov, a Mongolian Commissar. We are told Ardan was executed as a Japanese spy and Trotskyite about four years later, and this photo had to be retagged as a different little girl. It was no longer useful as propaganda, you know. But although I think the photo is fake to begin with, it may explain why it was staged and/or pasted up. It would now appear that Stalin may have been fairly closely related to these people through Jewish bloodlines, and the photo probably helped Gelya's father maintain order in the Eastern provinces of Russia. I would say it is also doubtful the father was executed, since he was probably nobility to start with. Gelya later married a Cheshkov, also spelled Chekhov, and the Chekhovs were closely related to the ruling houses of Russia. See below for more.

But we still need to check those other names. Wiki has no page for Shugaev, but the name does appear on several pages. The first is the page on the Federal Service for Military-Technical Cooperation in Russia. The current director is Dmitry Shugaev.

The next page is that of KGB Belarus, where we find Sergei Shugaev as the current head of Counter-Intelligence. So that is informative. I would guess he is a relative of Stalin.

We also find the Russian playwright Vyacheslav Shugaev, who was connected to the **Gorky** Literary Institute in the 1960s. This will tie in below.

A Wiki search on Chuguyev pulls up Chuguev, a city and district in the Ukraine. It was built by Ivan the Terrible and has been a military center since then. It is the home of a huge air force base. So everything is linking up, as usual. I now think this is the original spelling, with Jugayev being a purposeful misspelling. I think Stalin was a Chuguev, and his family was from Ukraine, not Georgia.


Now we widen our search. A general Google search on Chuguyev takes us to Jewishgen.org, the third listing on that search. Why would a search on that word alone take us so quickly to a Jewish website? The page doesn't tell us, but it does give us a raft of new spellings, including the Yiddish spelling Tshuhuyev, the Polish spelling Czugu^{ew}, and many others. Note that last spelling. That sends me back to the Holocaust database, where I initially ignored many respellings of Jugayev because they didn't seem that close to me. Now I see why the computer pulled them up as matches. These include

Schukajew, Zogojew, Sagajew, Dsachojew, Schachajew, and Sachajew. So if you wish to do further research on the name Jugayev, there is a lot left to do. I think I have made my point, however. So let's move on.

They admit Stalin was in drama in highschool. So, once again, an actor. He allegedly went to Spiritual Seminary after that, so he must have been training as a monk or priest, right? But we are told he dropped out, becoming an atheist. Soon he was working as a meteorologist. What? Did I miss something? Exactly when did he train to do that? You don't just drop out of seminary and become a meteorologist. Especially if you are the son of a poor shoemaker and laundress. But this is how it goes in this fake biography.

I also encourage you to visit Joseph Stalin's Wikipedia page. As far as I can tell, not one photograph there is real. They are all fake, most of them very obviously fake. How could that be? How could a person like Stalin exist behind a large cache of fake photographs?

Here is the first one on the page:



Does that look like a real photo to you? What did they do, beam it back from the Moon and photograph the ghost image on a TV monitor? What happened to the resolution? Why does he look like he is dissolving into a mist? Look at his lower hand! What is going on there? And look at the hard line where his neck meets the collar of the shirt. Who ever saw a line like that on a real photo? The head was pasted on the shirt, and that line was drawn in by hand. Why would they need to do that? This wasn't the 1850s or something, right after the invention of photography. It is dated 1937, when cameras were already quite advanced.

Here is the next one, allegedly at age 15:




Again, a total effing fake. Most of that was completely painted, starting with the hair and the shirt. His ears are square and his eyelids are drawn in with a Marx-alot.

Here's the next one on the page, supposedly his 1902 mugshot.



Again, *very* obviously a fake. Not even a good one. The darks in his head aren't as dark as the darks in his coat, and it is very easy to tell the head was pasted on that body. Both were then pasted into the background. Why? If they had a picture of his head at that age, why not use whatever body and background they had? I suppose because it would have been even more obvious this wasn't a mugshot than it already is. Maybe he was wearing silk pajamas or there was a champagne fountain in the background or something. But even as it is, it should be very obvious it isn't a mugshot. What kind of dirt-poor son of a laundress and Communist revolutionary wears a striped silk scarf for his mugshot? You have to laugh.

But it gets worse. Realizing they had a problem, the fakers decided to fake a side view, to make this seem more like a mugshot. [Here is what they currently have up at Biography.com:](#)



OK, let me tell you what they did. In the first pic, that isn't the same guy. They found someone who looked a bit like Stalin at that age, and pasted him into that coat. But the nose is all wrong, along with everything else. But they had a far bigger problem than the nose. Can you tell me what that was? It was the hair, which didn't match at all. So their hired painter had to go into picture two and totally repaint the hair, to try to make it match the new photo. He did a terrible job, since the hair not only doesn't match the original photo above, it doesn't look like real hair. It is too stiff, with edges that are too hard. To try to mask that, what did he do? He went in and repainted the entire face, to try to match the hair. So it just kept getting worse. He also needed to repaint the face for another reason: the new side view is fairly sharp, while the old front view is very blurry. So he tried to add a lot of sharpness to the old photo. The only way to do that was to totally repaint it. Unfortunately, he didn't just change the sharpness, he changed the shape of everything. The eyebrows aren't what they were, the eyes have gone googly, the nose is a disaster, the mouth is too sharp and edgy, the beard is obviously painted on, and the ears look fake. Compare the new ear to your right to the original. Not even close.

While we are on it, I beg you to notice the paragraph next to that original photo at Wikipedia, where we are told he was working for the **Rothschild** refinery storehouse. What, as a meteorologist? Were the Rothschilds worried about asteroids hitting their refinery? Or did they just need up-to-the-minute weather forecasting for storehouse security? And what are the odds that the Rothschilds would make an appearance here? Pretty good, since it is basically an admission Stalin was their creation. He was working for them at the start of his career! It reminds us of Eichmann, who was working for the Rockefellers (Standard Oil) when he joined the Nazi Party. They even boldly admit in that same paragraph that many people on the ground thought Stalin was not a meteorologist or storehouse worker, but an **agent provocateur** working for the government. No! Say it ain't so, Joe. Of course that is exactly what he was, since that is what all Marxists were, are, and always have been. He was a Jewish actor and mole, a front for the billionaire Industrialists running the country behind the cover of Communism. That is what he was in 1902, and in every year of his life after that.

Here is the next photo of him on the page, dated 1915:



Oi! Look at that hand! Not only could he palm a basketball, he could palm a medicine ball. And why is his hand fifty shades lighter than his face? Was he lightening his skin like Michael Jackson, starting the treatment on that hand alone? And again, the lack of resolution is ridiculous. Was he photographed from the Goodyear blimp, though a fog, in the land of no backgrounds? The teeth are also far too white. Whoever faked this was a moron.

Next we get this heartwarming fake which I have already ridiculed in a previous paper:



Touching, isn't it, to see Stalin leaning into Lenin for warmth, and Kalinin apparently shoving his right thigh under Lenin's left buttock, for the same reason? These Communists were so cozy, so Gemutlich.

Here's one supposed to be from 1925, with Mikoyen and Ordzhonikidze:



A bumbling fake that any amateur could spot. I draw your attention to Stalin's rear hand, behind the cigarette. And to the white space in between Stalin and Mikoyen. And to the hands of Mikoyen. And to the boot of Mikoyen. And to the hand of Ordzhonikidze, and to his shirt. And to Stalin's legs, and the way they sit on the chair. And to the way the heads meet the background. Awful, across the board.

Next is the “well-known” image of Stalin with Yezhov, Molotov, and Voroshilov.



They admit that Yezhov (the little guy) was later removed from the photo, but it is easy to tell he was pasted in to begin with. Look at the very obvious line around him, in the painted water. The entire canal is painted, and anyone can see that. But everyone else was pasted in as well. The light on the other three heads doesn't match, and they aren't even sized correctly to one another. An atrocious fail. Also note the hand in the vest, telling us he is Jewish.

They don't yet admit Yezhov was Jewish, but they do admit his wife was a very active Jewish woman. Strange, because they try to sell Yezhov as a blood-thirsty dwarf, killing millions, many of them Jewish.

Remember this one, from [my paper on Lenin?](#)




Extravagantly fake. Look at his hand on her arm! I don't know where they got that little girl, but I suspect we could find her in some old Hollywood film from the 30s or 40s. Maybe *A Miracle on 34th Street*, or something.

Here's one that is a bit better:



At least they hired a professional to fake that one. You have to look closely to spot the fake. First we notice that Stalin's hat looks odd, like it is sort of perched on his head. Then we see that the line where the head meets the background is unnatural. Then we notice his face is blurrier than the other two faces, with less contrast. Then we think to do a shadow analysis, and we see that Stalin's blacks are far lighter than his daughter's. Stalin had very black hair, of course, but we see the blacks in the little girl are far blacker, for no good reason. And it finally dawns on us that Stalin is way too small. He is often sold as a largish man for that time: see the photo above with Yezhov on the canal. But here his head is smaller than that of a 12-year-old boy and an 8-year-old girl. Actually, Stalin *was* small, being about 5'4", but even so his head should be bigger than a little girl's. That photo is a fake.

This famous one with the Mongolian girl is also a terrible fake:



Look at that guy in the background. It seems we are looking at him through a screen.



That is supposed to be Stalin with Bolshevik revolutionaries in 1915. But every single head there is a shockingly bad paste job, no better than the cover of *Sgt. Pepper's*.

Here's yet another with a child, where everyone is mis-sized and misplaced.



Just pathetic. Is she supposed to be 5'4" at age seven? Notice how she looks very 2D, with no depth? That's what happens when you paste up a photo. You have a photo in a photo, so you lose the illusion of 3D that a photo should have.



There's another amusing one. He looks sort of plasticized, doesn't he? That's because the whole thing has been airbrushed. It is a painting from a photo, not a photo. They do that so that they can change things, or edit things out. Maybe he was wearing his Actor's Equity pin, or had on his "property of Walt Disney Company" t-shirt.

For the last one I will show you, let's return to the one under title. Perhaps the worst of the lot.



Surely you can tear that one apart on your own. Start with the hands, which are a tragedy. But nothing about the photo is right. It is a junior-high-level cut/paste/paint job.

And why in the world would they need to fake a picture of Stalin with his daughter? Probably because everything we are told about his family is false. As with the Kennedys, it is all a monstrous lie, pushed through caches of faked photographs and fictional stories. Wikipedia tells us he had two wives, but doesn't even bother to tell us what happened to the first one. He married her in 1906 and then she disappeared. The second wife appears out of nowhere, with no dates given, and then she too disappears, an alleged suicide in 1932. The fake historians tell us Stalin had many female lovers, but of course there is no evidence of that. We have much more existing evidence of his relationships with men like Yezhov, whom Stalin called his "little blackberry". Hmmm. Don't tell me, let me guess. . . Stalin was really a gay Jewish actor?

No way, you say? We only have to leave the whitewashed pages of Wikipedia for clear evidence. See [this 2009 article](#) from the *Independent*, showing a large collection of Stalin's male nude pictures, on which he has written various jokes. The authors and historians criticize him for his crude sense of humor, but downplay the fact that Stalin is collecting such pictures at all. Obviously, no heterosexual man would have any interest in the pictures to start with, no matter the blurbs. We are told the pictures betray a military sense of humor. Yes, a gay military sense of humor.

Here is a picture of Stalin allegedly with his first wife:



But it's a fake as all the rest. They are lit differently and don't even seem to be aware of one another. Why do we have no real photos of this man?

We find that someone has asked [this gay question at Quora](#), and although it only has a couple of answers, it is listed on the front page at Google. So it must be promoted. If we go there we see why it is promoted: it is total misdirection from the same fake historians at Wikipedia. They simply regurgitate the mainstream pabulum. One of them is bold enough to tell us we would be beaten up by angry Russians if we asked that question over there. Uh, really? So we are supposed to believe the Russians of today are in love with old Joseph Stalin? They love the man who murdered their grandparents and great-grandparents, and who continued to sell out their country to the Industrialists? Only stupid Americans would believe this. The Russians of today would be more likely to beat the crap out of this Dmitriy Genzel (obviously Jewish and probably gay), who is lying like such a bum about them.

You should have figured out by now that Quora is a CIA front, like Snopes and the most of the rest of the internet. They ask these questions just so that they can repeat the standard lies. They then delete answers they don't like. Sort of like the Wikipedia discussion pages.

This Quora page is just backing up the raft of stories we have been told in the past few years about Russians loving Stalin again. Unfortunately, none of those stories is believable. See [this Newsweek feature from 2017](#), making the same claim. The problem? The one claiming it is Nina Khrushcheva, Nikita Khrushchev's great-granddaughter. That makes it believable, right? Well, no, not really, since she works for the New School for Social Research in Manhattan, a known CIA front.

We are told that Soviet Russia was very anti-gay, but [this article at ForeignPolicy.com](#) tells the truth. The biggest gay cruising site in Moscow in the Soviet era was on Theater Square, at the statue of Marx. Statues of Lenin were also hot spots, and the gays called Lenin "Aunt Lena". Looks like they knew something we don't. At that link we are also reminded of Arthur Matthews' 1953 article entitled "Homosexuality is Stalin's Atom Bomb to Destroy America". Ask yourself this: if Soviet Russia was known to be anti-gay at the time, how could Stalin hope to affect the US in that way? Since the

Communist Party was the same sort of fake construct the Nazi Party was, made up of Jewish actors, we may assume the Communist actors were—like the Nazis—a band of poofs. This would explain why Stalin's wives and children had to be manufactured. We saw the same thing with the Nazis, especially with Eichmann.

We saw above that the wives of Yezhov and Stalin were Jewish. And we remember that they now admit many of Hitler's generals and field marshals were Jewish. Well, they also admit that about Stalin's top people. The Jews admit it themselves. [See here](#), ynetnews.com. There we learn of Genrikh Yagoda, head of NKVD under Stalin. He killed “at least 10 million people” and “his Jewish deputies established and managed the Gulag system.” He was Jewish. Another of Stalin's righthand men was Lazar Kaganovich, Jewish. As a prominent member of the Central Committee, he voted for many of the greatest atrocities of the regime. Also see Leonid Reichman, Jewish, head of Special Department, NKVD, a sort of Grand Inquisitor. “According to statistics published in the Soviet Union in 1934,” almost **40%** of the senior posts in the security apparatus were *admitted* Jews. Our Jewish author at ynetnews.com admits that. If we include crypto-Jews, that takes us up to. . . what? . . . 90%? 100%?

So why would a Jewish magazine admit this? Because it, too, is mostly false. Yes, these guys were Jewish, but most of the stories we are told are not true. For instance, we are told Yagoda presided over the Great Purge, in which old Bolshevik leaders like Kamenev and Zinoviev were executed. Since those guys were also Jewish actors, fronts for the Industrialists running the country behind the scenes, there is no chance that is true. See my paper on Lenin for more about those guys. Funny, they admit the trials of Kamenev, Zinoviev, and the others were **Show Trials**, but they don't expect you will notice what that clearly means: *they were fake*. They tell you to your face, right in the name of the trial, but most still don't get the message. The term “show trial” actually comes from these events, and they admit that a show trial [is a form of propaganda](#). They just forget to tell you it is fiction. It is a *show*, like on TV.

As proof he was just an actor, notice they admit Yagoda's wife was the niece of Zinovy Peshkov. Do you remember who he was? He was the “adopted son”, actually gay lover, of Maxim Gorky. Gorky was only 16 years older than Peshkov and wasn't living with his “wife” at the time, so the adoption should look kind of strange. Gorky was 28 and Peshkov was 12. In fact, [according to Geneanet](#), he wasn't married at all. Some sites give Gorky an early wife, but Geneanet does not. To see the amount of misdirection, [go to myheritage.com](#), which tells us there are documents showing that Gorky married three women in 1896, including Maria Andreyeva—whom he hadn't met yet. There are no such documents. And yet that page comes up second on a search for Gorky's genealogy. Peshkov's real name was **Yeshua Zalman** Sverdlov, and his mother was **Elizaveta Solomonovna Averbach**. In others words, she was an **Auerbach**, daughter of Solomon. That isn't Russian, it is German. They admit Peshkov was Jewish. Peshkov later became a general in France under de Gaulle, and was ambassador to Japan. So the number of blowjobs he gave in his career is probably beyond count. If you thought that was funny, you may want to watch again [this skit from Mr. Show](#). If you didn't think it was funny, don't go there.

Like Hitler, Gorky came out of the **theater**. While Hitler was connected through Dietrich Eckhart to the Prussian Royal Theater and its artistic director Count Georg von Hulsen-Haeseler, Gorky came out of the Moscow Art Theater, where he was a protege of Nemirovich-Danchenko. Gorky established his own theater in Nizhny-Novgorod in 1904. The year before, he had (allegedly) married famous actress Maria Fodorovna Andreyeva, daughter of Fyodorov-Yurkovsky, director of the Alexandrinsky Theater. Maria was also the wife of billionaire industrialist Andrey Zhelyabuzhsky. But there are many


problems there, including the fact that there is no evidence Maria ever divorced Zhelyabuzhsky. He was still alive in 1903 and there is no evidence of divorce proceedings, much less a certificate. An equally large problem is that Gorky was very gay. So their relationship was a complete fiction.

Gorky and his guypals like Peshkov spent seven years frolicking in the gay waves and bars of Capri, although the fake historians try to write this off as an exile or as the founding of some sort of workers' party there. Right. Beyond that, they try to convince us the flamer Gorky was anti-gay, supporting the 1934 anti-homosexuality acts. That by itself is enough to prove the whole thing was another hoax. They may or may not have passed such laws, but we can be sure they didn't enforce them against gays. Possibly they enforced them against their enemies, trumping up charges, but probably they didn't even do that. The story is just another grand lie, an inversion of actual history. Stalin's links to Gorky and his set are just more confirmation he was also gay. Which brings us to another fake photo of Stalin:



Why is he always leaning into people? There he is leaning into Gorky like some teenage girl. Are we supposed to think he has vertigo, or is he just super friendly when he gets knee-to-knee with a guy? But seriously, it is just our poor pasters again, pasting in people at strange angles. We have seen many famous people listing precariously to port or starboard, and that is what we have here.

Here is Yagoda in 1930, doing his best Alistair Crowley impression:



That photo is very obviously a production of the theater department, down to the mood lighting and the soft focus. We are supposed to believe that in 1937, Yagoda himself was arrested and executed for smuggling diamonds, being a German spy, poisoning Gorky and Peshkov, etc. Just more theater. Both Yagoda and Bukharin were accused of poisoning Gorky, but since Gorky was a gay Jewish actor who faked his death, no one could be accused of murdering him. And why would Stalin try these guys for being enemies of Gorky, when Gorky was supposedly under house arrest *by Stalin* at the time of his death? As usual, the story is full of obvious holes. These trials were faked simply to create widespread fear and prevent an uprising by real people. The Jewish bankers wanted the Russian people to believe Stalin was a monster, killing millions and then his own people in unfair trials. When the fact is, Stalin, like Hitler, was just another gay Jewish actor faking major events.

But I want to go back to Gorky for a moment. He is actually a more interesting character than Stalin, because at least he produced some literature. It is Gorky's ancestry that interests me, because as it is it is (nearly) completely hidden in the common bios. We get the usual adoption dodge, and Gorky was supposed to an orphan by age **11**. Wiki tells us he was raised by his grandmother, but we get no names. All we know is he came from Nizhny-Novgorod. However that is a huge clue, one big enough by itself to build a theory on. Nizhny-Novgorod was a great trading center in the 1800s, run by Jews. The richest people in the town were the Stroganovs, also crypto-Jews, and they were among the wealthiest people in Eastern Europe. My guess is Gorky's mother was a Stroganov, explaining his rise and constant promotion, up to the present day. Can we confirm that? Well, Geni has a page for him, but it is in Russian. So we go to a translator. Even so, he is mostly scrubbed. All we find is that his maternal grandmother was an **Ivanov**. However, that is enough to go on, since the Ivanovs of Nizhny-Novgorod were closely related to the . . . Romanovs. ScienceMag.org, a sometimes enemy of mine over on my science site, actually helps us here. [In 2004 they ran a story](#) on the research of Alec Knight at Stanford, who proved the original DNA tests on the Romanovs were faked. Knight scientifically proved that my guess in my previous paper on Lenin was right: the DNA samples of the Romanovs were contaminated, i. e. faked. And who did the original research in Moscow that Knight is destroying? Pavel **Ivanov**. So we see why Ivanov was faking the research: he was related to the Romanovs. Despite that, five years later the mainstream was ignoring Knight as if he never existed. *The Independent* [ran an article](#) on the Romanovs in 2009, reporting only Ivanov's findings. Knight is not even mentioned.

Also see the poet Georgy Ivanov, son of a banker from the Puki Estate, Lithuania, indicating a Jew. Also the poet Vyacheslav Ivanov, born at the same time as Gorky and probably a cousin. Also see Vsevolod Ivanov, a writer of the next generation after Gorky, and mentored by Gorky himself. Indicating Ivanov was a close relative. Also Razumnik Ivanov, a writer of the same generation as Gorky, born in Tiflis (Tbilisi) **of Russian nobility**. Oh, and who else was born in Tbilisi? That would be Yakov, Stalin's son. Stalin was born nearby in Gori. Commander-in-Chief Brusilov was also from Tbilisi royalty. Although he was a Tsarist, a noble, and White Army general, somehow he was spared any hassle by Lenin and the Bolsheviks when he was replaced in 1917. He was in Moscow during the October Revolution, but was left completely alone.

Anyway, this indicates I was right about Gorky, since the Ivanovs, Romanovs, and Stroganovs are all closely related. See [Grand Duchess Maria Romanov](#), daughter of Nicholas I, who married Count Grigorii Stroganov in 1856. Only I aimed a little low with Gorky. He wasn't just a Stroganov, he was probably a Romanov as well.

But let us return to Stalin. His bio reads much like that of Hitler: complete garbage. In Batumi, he allegedly led strikes and then a storming of the prison. He had arrest warrants out in Tbilisi for similar activities, but we are supposed to believe the authorities in Batumi didn't know that. He was finally arrested and was sentenced to three years in Siberia, which seems very lenient, but he didn't even serve that. He is supposed to have escaped almost immediately, going right back to Tbilisi. I guess arrest warrants there expire in just a few months and police have their memories wiped at the end of every year.

In 1905 we are supposed to believe Stalin was in Baku,

Stalin's Battle Squads disarmed local police and troops,[84] raided government arsenals,[85] and raised funds through [protection rackets](#) on large local businesses and mines.[86] They launched attacks on the government's [Cossack](#) troops and pro-Tsarist [Black Hundreds](#),[87] co-ordinating some of their operations with the Menshevik militia.

Yeah, I'm sure that happened. Despite being such an outlaw, Stalin went openly as a Bolshevik delegate to a conference in Saint Petersburg. I guess the authorities there hadn't heard that Stalin had raided government arsenals in Baku, and that he was known as an international thief and revolutionary. There were no newspapers at the time, as well as no police or military communication.

After returning to Tiflis, Stalin organized the [robbing of a large delivery of money to the Imperial Bank](#) in June 1907. His gang ambushed the armed convoy in [Yerevan Square](#) with gunfire and home-made bombs. Around 40 people were killed, but all of his gang escaped alive.[102] After the heist, Stalin settled in Baku with his wife and son.[103] There, Mensheviks confronted Stalin about the robbery and voted to expel him from the RSDLP, but he took no notice of them.[104]

Does anyone really believe this? Do people still read history, or all they all watching Game of Thrones?

Stalin's first wife Kato is in the story for only one year. She came out of nowhere in 1906, he married her immediately, Yakov was born, then she died eight months later. Convenient. She must have not liked the part and asked for a reassignment.

In Baku he had reassembled his gang, the [Outfit](#),[110] which continued to attack [Black Hundreds](#) and raised finances by running [protection rackets](#), counterfeiting currency, and carrying out robberies.[111] They also kidnapped the children of several wealthy figures to extract ransom money.[112] In early 1908, he

travelled to the Swiss city of [Geneva](#) to meet with Lenin and the prominent Russian Marxist [Georgi Plekhanov](#), although the latter exasperated him.[\[113\]](#)

So now he is kidnapping the children of “several wealthy figures” in the town where he lives, and nothing is done? Who are these wealthy figures? Where are the newspaper reports of the time? Let me guess: they were lost in the great fire of Baku in 1920?

In March 1908, Stalin was arrested and interned in Bailov Prison.[\[114\]](#) There, he led the imprisoned Bolsheviks, organised discussion groups, and ordered the killing of suspected informants.[\[115\]](#) He was eventually sentenced to two years exile in the village of [Solvychevodsk, Vologda Province](#), arriving there in February 1909.[\[116\]](#) In June, he escaped the village and made it to [Kotlas](#) disguised as a woman and from there to Saint Petersburg.[\[117\]](#) In March 1910, he was arrested again, and sent back to Solvychevodsk.[\[118\]](#) There he had affairs with at least two women; his landlady, Maria Kuzakova, later gave birth to his second son, [Konstantin](#).[\[119\]](#) In June 1911, Stalin was given permission to move to [Vologda](#), where he stayed for two months,[\[120\]](#) having a relationship with Pelageya Onufrieva.[\[121\]](#) He escaped to Saint Petersburg,[\[122\]](#) where he was arrested in September 1911, and sentenced to a further three-year exile in Vologda.[\[123\]](#)

I guess they figure if you have gotten this far, you will believe anything, so why bother even trying to make sense. He leads a prison riot and orders deaths, and for that he is sentenced to two years in exile—in a town so poorly guarded he escapes dressed as a woman immediately? What we were taught in other places about the strictness of the times under the Tsars appears to be a myth. There was zero security, and people apparently did whatever they wished. Also notice how they are selling him as a big lady's man, even in this paragraph about prison escapes and dressing as a woman. Let's see, that makes two escapes in two years, on top of prison riots, murder contracts, and of course the original charges of being a major revolutionary and thief: robbing banks and military outposts and kidnapping the children of the rich. For all that, he gets another 3-year exile in Vologda? Why not a 3-year exile in Capri with the all-male cast of *The Love Boat*?

And guess what? He escaped again. He must have been made of silly putty: no jail or house could hold him. He was soon arrested again, and escaped *again*. Did they ever consider putting locks on the doors? Or did they just make him swear to stay in his room?

Soon afterwards, he crossed the border of Poland to meet with Lenin. Of course there was no border security and no one was looking for this man who had escaped from prison 15 times.

Stalin then played his fake part in the 1917-18 revolutions, which I have already exposed as fiction in my paper on Lenin. So we will skip all that, and the Lenin period as well. We move directly to the rise of Stalin, and this gem:

To bolster his image as a devoted Leninist, Stalin gave nine lectures at [Sverdlov University](#) on the "[Foundations of Leninism](#)", later published in book form.[\[268\]](#) At the following [13th Party Congress](#), "Lenin's Testament" was read to senior figures. Embarrassed by its contents, Stalin offered his resignation as General Secretary; this act of humility saved him and he was retained in the position.[\[269\]](#)

You *cannot* be serious! The humble Stalin. And they don't tell you who “retained” Stalin in his position, do they? Because this all makes perfect sense: we all know “senior party members” are watching out for acts of humility from one another, and rewarding each other for it.

This is also informative:

Although Zinoviev was concerned about Stalin's growing authority, he rallied behind him at the 13th Congress as a counterweight to Trotsky, who now led a party faction known as the [Left Opposition](#).[\[282\]](#)

The Left Opposition believed the NEP conceded too much to capitalism; Stalin was called a "rightist" for his support of the policy.


Hmmm. They don't normally tell you that, do they? Here they bury it as best they can. How can the Communist Party of the Soviet Union be conceding too much to Capitalism? Conceding what, and to whom? They don't tell you that, but my readers already know.

Of course this fake jostling between Stalin and Trotsky is just more theater, since they were both tools of the Industrialists, competing to see who could turn over the resources of Russia to them quicker. Since these Industrialists were just family members, even that is putting it too gently. They were both fronts for the same Jewish trillionaire families that were dividing Russia between them. They had been chosen for their acting and speaking abilities, and we may assume Stalin had been chosen like Reagan, mostly for his haircut. I suspect Trotsky was initially chosen for the same reason:



That is Trotsky's early entry in the "fakest mugshots of all time" contest. Apparently they didn't require you turn all the way to the side back then. It was a long time ago, you know.

Next at Wiki we come to Stalin's time as Supreme Leader, although the head of government then was Molotov. Wiki lists some of the top members of the Politburo, and we have already seen Kaganovich above. So let's look at Sergo **Ordzhonikidze**. Already the name doesn't look Russian. None of these guys have Russian names, which is why many of them existed under code names. But his picture is even more informative than his name:



How in the name of all that is holy did the Russians not figure this out? This one is also a beaut:



That's Sergei Kirov sitting in Ordzhonikidze's lap. What is Kirov doing with that hand in his pocket? And could Ordzhonikidze look more like a Betty with a mustache there?

What about Kliment Voroshilov, People's Commissar for Defense at the time? First of all, Wikipedia admits his name wasn't Voroshilov, though they don't tell you how important that is. Instead, they don't mention his parents at all. The surname was **Voroshilo**, and he was from Ukraine. You may wish to cut that up, as Voro-shilo. Shilo is a Jewish name, often spelled Shiloh. Of course Shiloh was the site of an important temple before First Temple was built in 832BC. Kliment is also an odd first name for a Russian, and is more indication Voroshilo was Jewish. Kliment=Clement. There were many Pope Clements, but the most famous was probably Clement VII, who was actually Guilio de' Medici. His uncle Lorenzo the Magnificent bought him the papacy, and he was instructed to tarnish it as much as possible, which he did. As did his first cousin Giovanni, Lorenzo's son, who became Pope Leo X. Clement protected Jews from the Inquisition, which is not surprising seeing that the Medicis were Jewish. Clement is the one who gave Malta to the Knights Hospitaller, confirming it as another Jewish enclave and center of power—and shipping. This is not beside the point here, seeing that other than Malta, other headquarters of the Knights Hospitaller were in Rhodes and. . . **Saint Petersburg, Russia.**

Bolsheviks allegedly founded on Capri by Gorky and his guypals. Meaning, it was a Party School kind of like South Beach. Or more like Fire Island.

Which brings us back to that picture, which I have continued to study.



In some ways, it is the best of the pictures we have seen, but it has continued to trouble me. It is suspicious from the get-go, simply because it is so polished. It has no flaws and doesn't look old. But it is troubling beyond that. What could it be that was bothering me? Finally, I got to Kalinin's own Wiki page, where we find this photo sold as a part of a larger photo:



But that just caused more problems in my head, because now they look like they have been pasted into that photo. Why do I say that? Because the resolution and overall quality of that larger photo is pretty poor. If you zoomed in, it would be really blurry and crappy. But somehow they were able to pull Stalin, Lenin, and Kalinin out of there and enlarge them into that really nice photo above. That shouldn't be possible.

And then it hit me! I had been staring at Lenin, thinking it didn't really look like Lenin. His eyebrows are too arched, his beard is too pretty, and overall he is just too good looking to be Lenin at any age. Kalinin also looks very coifed, but I didn't have a clear image of him in my head to compare it to. So I looked a lot of pics of him, including this one on his Wiki page:



That's what a real photo from the time looks like, with normal signs of aging. But again, I see no match. That isn't the same guy. That is the real Kalinin, and his eyes are larger and set further apart than the one in the first photo. His face is narrower and his chin is pointier.

Which made me look closer at the Stalin in that triple photo. That isn't Stalin, either. Again, the face is too narrow. The eyes are too handsome as well. Stalin always had small squinty eyes. What we have there is a staged modern photo with three look-alikes! They then pasted them into that larger Communist Party photo, which is otherwise mostly genuine. They don't publish large versions of that photo, since if they did you could more easily tell they had been pasted in there. You would see that Stalin, Lenin, and Kalinin have a lot more resolution than those around them.

Kalinin supposedly met Stalin through Stalin's second wife Nadezhda Alliluyeva. Which brings us to that. We remember from my paper on Lenin that Kerensky's mother was named Hope Adler, but she Russianized that into Nadezhda Alder—since Nadezhda means Hope. So we now have Stalin's wife as Hope Alliluyeva. Are you beginning to get the joke? Try this: respell the surname. Hope Hallelujeva. Got it now?

I searched on the surname, but apparently the only people in the history of the world who had it are fake relatives of Stalin. Namepedia has never heard of it. Forebears.io has one living nameholder in the entire world, and he is probably a Stalin.

So it looks like all three of Stalin's fake wives were Jewish, and my first guess would be they were cousins hired to be his beard. But he was such a nasty character none of them could keep up the part for long. The first one didn't last two years, as we have seen, and the second retired the part almost as fast. The third one was scrubbed from the bios, probably at her own request.

In 1928, we get the next big lie, when we are told Stalin ditched NEP and came out to the left of Trotsky and Zinoviev. Anyone who believes that should be put in front of a Soviet firing squad. Do you think Stalin arrested the international cabal of industrialists raping Russia? No, he went after the Kulaks, the affluent peasants and small business owners who were allegedly hoarding grain and other valuables. Which just means he went after them to shake them down for his industrialist masters—who were pissed that the rapine of the country wasn't happening fast enough for them. They weren't willing to share anything with the little guys who were their representatives on the ground.

Do I believe Stalin wiped out the Kulaks? Yes, I do, though I doubt the stories of how it was done. We can be sure he cut them out of the deal, bankrupting an entire class. No doubt many of them deserved it, being the petty thugs and local thieves who collected for the big dogs. However, since that didn't stop the theft, rather increasing it, it is hard to applaud the action. Because the Kulaks were local, they spent their money locally, causing some “trickle-down” of the Reagan sort. But after the Kulaks were wiped out, more of the stolen money made it to the billionaires and trillionaires, who weren't local. So the wealth of Russia was vacuumed out entirely, never to be seen again. It was going to German, British, or American bankers.

Also in 1928, we see the first 5-year plan, with forced industrialization, many new mines, new canals to carry ore, and so on. In other words, the accelerated rape of the country, both its resources and its people. The peasants were forced into slavery on the farms, although this was called collectivization. When they fled to the cities, they were forced in to slavery there. Basically, the entire country was forced into a plunder economy for the international trillionaires—who from then on drank from Russia like a hoard of vampires. It is no coincidence that this is when the Rothschilds and other trillionaire families became so rich they could no longer count their assets. They were taken off the lists and went into hiding. They still don't make the *Forbes'* lists and such things, except in absurdly reduced reportings. You are supposed to believe that they and the Rockefellers, Vanderbilts, and other families gave away most of their money as philanthropy, but again, if you believe that you are Soviet firing squad fodder.

Next we find the purposeful demolition of the Cathedral of Christ the Savior in Moscow in 1931. Ask yourself this: do Bolsheviks really demolish cathedrals on purpose? That is what we are told, but I no longer believe it. Only Jews or Luciferians would demolish beautiful cathedrals. Is this what just happened in Paris at Notre Dame? Possibly. Either that or it was some sort of insurance fraud and art theft. You can be sure it wasn't an accident.

Next we find that H. G. Wells and George Bernard Shaw were impressed with Stalin. Which tells you all you need to know about them. I guess they were also fans of Mordor.

In 1936, Stalin declared that socialism, the first stage of communism, had been achieved. Meaning, the

entire country had been turned into a slave labor camp for the benefit of hidden trillionaires. To keep all eyes off this fact, these trillionaire families could see they needed to involve Russia in a World War pronto. Luckily, they had been planning one for some time, since people in Germany were also starting to catch on to the conjobs being run there. The solution: manufacture a con so big no would ever suspect it. They were masters of those, having just run one called the First World War. But WWII would be even more spectacular, as a matter of boldness, cunning, and cinematography.

Since I have already [blown the cover of the top actors there](#), I will not get into that again. I will point out that the Wikipedia page admits Stalin “purged” the military in 1940-41, as preparation for the war. Seems like a brilliant plan, right? Get rid of all your top officers right before a major war, so that your leadership was totally decimated? But it was part of the plan of the trillionaires. They wanted the Russian military to be weak, so that they could waltz in and rape mother Russia one more time, with war as a cover. Which of course they did.

Remember, the Russians couldn't even defeat the Finns at the start of the war. This was something the trillionaires didn't expect. They had thought Finland would fall like Poland: a sort of freebie in the opening stages. The German army could have been sent in against Finland, but it was busy elsewhere at the time, so the Finns dodged that bullet.

We are supposed to believe Stalin was taken by surprise when Germany invaded Russia in 1941, but that had been the plan from the beginning, and he knew it. He was there to be sure the door was open. And he was their best man on the ground, since he is the one that ordered infrastructure and food supplies destroyed. We are supposed to believe this hurt the Germans, but it hurt the Russians more. It was *their* food and infrastructure, after all.

It appears that once again, things didn't go as planned, and the Germans weren't able to take Russia like they had Poland. Although Stalin did his part to leave the barn door open, Mother Nature and the Russians didn't go along. The Germans were forced to retreat and the trillionaires were forced to use their back-up plan: have Stalin continue plundering Russia for the international bankers, instead of having the German army do it.

Finally, the trillionaires pulled the plug on their Nazi actors, Germany itself was imploded, and all of Europe except England was now directly subjugated and on its knees. Germany had plundered the rest of Europe, Stalin had plundered Russia, and Churchill and Roosevelt could now come in and plunder Germany, at the behest of their trillionaire masters. 90% of Europe had just been plundered by the bankers and other industrialists, with a manufactured war as the excuse. As the cherry on top, the Jewish plunderers created *themselves* as the primary victims.

On the way out, I want to point you to Stalin's book *Falsifiers of History*. It has been seen as Soviet propaganda, written to counter US/British propaganda published in the book *Nazi-Soviet Relations*. But we now can see that both books were written by the same committees, since the entire war was staged. The entire post-war and Cold War would be staged as well, by the same people and for the same basic reasons: maintenance of worldwide hegemony and maintenance of constant plunder via controlling treasuries. This makes the title of Stalin's book doubly rich. We have finally seen the falsifiers of history, and the extent of the falsification.