

Tucker and the January 6 Tapes

by Miles Mathis

First written March 9, 2023

So, it is now Thursday, and it looks like the “series” we were promised on January 6 is already over. It was a one-day event and was then quashed. On Monday we got a few minutes of video (out of 42,000 hours) and three minor stories: Jacob Chansley, Ray Epps, and Brian Sicknick. On Tuesday and Wednesday, Tucker commented on the mainstream commentary to his expose, showing us nothing new. Today we saw nothing new, and Tucker sold a story about sheep loose on the roads as of more national import than breaking the January 6 story like he had promised.

Although Tucker's ratings were through the roof Monday and Tuesday, with a large segment of the nation tuning in hungry for the truth, most of it was ultimately denied them.

We can now see why McCarthy gave the video to Tucker, since this is obviously controlled opposition, softsell, stalling, and more obstruction of justice. Tuesday's show was the biggest disappointment on TV since Geraldo opened Al Capone's vault.

Tucker has been selling his audience the idea Chansley is now in jail, having been sentenced to four years for being escorted through Congress personally by Capitol police. But even that is false. Chansley is a Navy Intel officer in disguise and his whole trial was faked like the rest of this. So are the other trials. None of those people were ever in jail and aren't now.

Tucker also repeats the mainstream claim that 114 officers were injured in the fake riots, deciding not to question it. He simply counters it by reminding us that in the DC riots a year earlier, we were told 180 officers were injured, so how could January 6 be the most heinous event of all time, worse than Pearl Harbor or 911? But that is all misdirection as well, since both numbers were made up. The real number of officers injured at the January 6 event is near zero, and none were injured by protestors. If any were injured, they injured themselves in the theatrics or were accidentally injured by other actors, in friendly fire. The same with these other riots, which were staged as well. All the riots in recent years were staged by the government, as part of Operation Chaos. All the groups involved, including Antifa, Proud Boys, Oathkeepers, and BLM, are CIA fronts or military theatrical. I have proved that on January 6, the theater was led by Air Force/Navy walking over from the Pentagon and ordering Capitol Police to stand down. If you think theater of this magnitude isn't possible, I remind you of *Ben Hur*. I remind you of Leni Riefenstahl and her Nazi theatrics, which used over 30,000 extras. The government has infinite resources and nothing better to do than fake your world.

I didn't expect Tucker to break the news that the deaths of Ashley Babbitt and Brian Sicknick were likewise faked, or to touch on the subject of fakery at all, since that is not ready for primetime, but there are dozens of big stories from [my January 6 paper](#) he could have hit. Like what? Like the fact that Ray Epps wasn't the only government asset there. In fact, almost everyone who went into the Capitol, and everyone that broke a window or door, was an agent. This was a huge gathering of agents that had walked over from the Pentagon, led by Air Force Intelligence, but also including Navy, Army, Marines, FBI, DHS, CIA, and everyone else. Many of these agents have already had their cover blown by mainstream outlets, so Tucker wouldn't have even been breaking news by showing official video of

them inside the Capitol. The London *Guardian* [outed Proud Boy Henry Tarrío as an FBI asset](#) 26 months ago, so why can't Tucker pursue that? *The Washington Post* [outed Oathkeeper Thomas Caldwell](#) as Office of Naval Intelligence and FBI section chief 25 months ago. So why is Tucker ignoring it? John Sullivan, the guy who just happened to film the fake death of Ashli Babbitt, has since admitted his father is Air Force Lt. Gen. Kevin Sullivan. Air Force Lt. Col. Larry Brock was filmed on the Senate floor with Chansley, in full military gear. He also works for L3 (Lockheed). Air Force veteran A. J. Fischer is one of those now posing as a Jan. 6 prisoner. So is Marine veteran Dion Rajewski. Also Army veteran and fake Proud Boy Joe Biggs, whose lawyer admitted he was an FBI informant in court filings. Tucker had previously reported on Army Ranger and fake Oathkeeper Jessica Watkins, also now a fake prisoner. So why hasn't he pursued that? Why not show what she was up to in the video? We also have to wonder why Tucker is giving Stewart Rhodes a pass, despite the red flags blanketing that guy.

The reason, obviously, is that all these things quickly take us to the question of fakery once more, so Tucker can't even start down that line. Once you start outing Intel agents and blowing cover, the whole event falls apart from the ground up, and his audience would quickly see the lay of the land. If Capitol police can escort Navy man Chansley through the building on a private tour, past groups of guards and agents, then they can just as easily escort any number of agents through the halls, where they can manufacture any event they like. So Tucker has to keep your eyes off any footage of that nature. He just keeps showing you the same footage of Chansley over and over, hoping it, by itself, will eventually jog that full realization in your head.

The question then becomes, why is Tucker being allowed to do this at all? You would expect the government to seize these tapes as a matter of national security and to forbid Murdoch from allowing any of his people to pursue these lines. If the two parties were completely in cahoots and all on the same page, as Tucker says they are, none of this would have ever hit the newswires. There is no reason for them to create and control an opposition here, when they can simply continue to censor it. I will be told they are manufacturing this split for effect, since that gives us the impression some faction is fighting back. But while that may be the right answer, it makes no sense to me. It is completely unnecessary and very dangerous. It risks sparking some real opposition, and makes the leaders look very bad regardless. It is continuing to destroy trust in government, which will just make it more and more difficult to govern. So I continue to believe it is the sign of some real split.

And in other news today, the chairman of the January 6 Committee, Bernie Thompson, actually claimed that the Committee, including himself, Liz Cheney, Adam Schiff, Adam Kinzinger, and Jamie Raskin, had not had access to the video footage. He said they hadn't seen it, and that "they only let staff view it". Because that makes sense, right? So the clown world continues. The January 6 Committee has never seen the January 6 tapes, although it is a Congressional Committee and the tapes were made by cameras in Congress. Brilliant. I guess these people have never read the Constitution, either. They didn't realize they were able to, and thought only staff were allowed to read it.

I guess Kevin McCarthy will claim he never saw it, either. He was told to avert his eyes as he passed to Tucker's staff.

In maybe the biggest gaff of all time, Biden said in a speech today that Trump was “the former and maybe the future President.” What!!! Looks like he has seen the script. It is amazing they still let this fool talk at all.

However, this does tie into my question above, since like the split I talked about there, the Biden gaff seems to confirm this whole Democratic Party meltdown is a planned fail, as I have been saying for years. The Dems are being purposely torpedoed, so that Trump can ride in like a [new Teddy Roosevelt](#) or Ronald Reagan and “save” the nation. This fake saving will pre-empt the revolution already in progress, hijacking it and ultimately detoothing it. The real revolution will be replaced with a fake one, and the men behind the curtain will have succeeded in moving the whole country hard right again, right into the waiting arms of the fascists. The Phoenician Navy will try to squirm out of the net one more time. But as I have also said, it may not work, because they have allowed this to proceed into territory never before mined and mapped. With the vaccine they have promoted a real genocide, while at the same time stealing so many trillions from the treasury they can never be paid back. National infrastructure is in total shambles, and it is very doubtful Trump can turn this all around. Even if he closes the borders, ends the war in Ukraine, slashes the budget, cleans up the streets and starts a public works project, he can't bring millions of people back to life or cure a hundred million people of vaccine damage, Fentanyl damage, and other drug damage. Trust in schools, hospitals, doctors, and government has been obliterated, and it will take at least a generation to even begin to restore it, even if they do everything right. In the meantime, the people are rising worldwide, and if the proles find a way to dry out from the drug fog, the Phoenicians are in serious trouble.

I waited to see what Tucker would do on Friday, so I could cover the whole week here. He led with the SVB bank collapse, selling the usual fear porn, to get your mind off the January 6 fake, but more importantly to keep it off the vaccine genocide. Mid-show he interviewed Jordan Peterson on some throw-away article in the *LATimes*, which—sorry to tell you—I agreed with. Hate to agree with the *LATimes*, but there it is. I saw the story at Infowars earlier in the day and checked it out. The point of the article was that traffic in the area disproportionately affects the poor, who tend to be people of color. Tucker dismisses the article as claiming white car pollution is racist, but that isn't what the article says. The article simply states a fact: the biggest highways tend to get built through low-income areas, where poor people have to breath more smog. Neither Tucker nor Peterson get anywhere near that. Unlike Tucker or Peterson, I am a poor person, though obviously not one of color. So I know that low-income housing does tend to be right under sprawling highways: no one else wants to live there. I remember when my wife and I were looking for a starter house to buy in Austin in 1994. The only ones we could afford that weren't way out in the burbs (like Pflugerville) were within two blocks of I35. We made an offer of \$87,000 on a cutish one on the east side (Cherrywood), but didn't get it. Just as well, since I don't know how we would have ever made the payments on it. Though it would be worth five times that now. Stop. Just checked that at Zillow. Make that **nine** times. The rent estimate for 1550sqft on that house is \$5000/mo. Though it has been seriously renovated. I am currently paying \$1300/mo including storage. So I have been completely priced out of Austin, and couldn't even now afford to rent in Leander or Buda. I am relatively poorer now than I was at age 30, in 1994. I might be able to afford to live in Taylor, 30 minutes out, but would probably have to have a roommate even then. The house I was living in in Tarrytown in West Austin in 1995 has a rent estimate now of \$7250 and a value of \$1.7 million. I was paying \$900, and I thought that was steep. I had moved there from a place where I was paying \$350 in 1994. Some rich people are living there now, but it looked better when I was there. My paintings and furniture were much more interesting.



That's what it looks like now. Bleh! That used to be filled to the brim with my art and [Van's sculptures](#). I photographed many models in that big window, including Tess.





Wow, did Peterson blow some major smoke tonight! He went on and on about “stories” and other pseudo-philosophical mush, and I could almost see the audience getting up to get another eclair. I haven’t witnessed such a load of manure spilling from someone’s mouth since I left college. Entire minutes went by where he said nothing. Tucker had his usual confused look as Peterson rattled on, with brows knitted and mouth pursed, and one wonders why his directors haven’t cured him of that. Someone should have said, “Hey, Tuck baby, you need to watch yourself here. See how you look there? Go practice in the mirror for a few days, making that face and then unmaking it. Whenever someone you are interviewing is talking, remember to UNMAKE that face.” Tucker is first and foremost an actor, so he should be able to do that.

Even weirder, Peterson begins selling nuclear power in the middle of this mess, and Tucker nods hugely. What in God’s name does that have to do with highways in LA? Peterson says no one debates that nuclear power is the cleanest energy, limiting carbon production. Really? No one debates it? I’ll debate it, since there is no such thing as nuclear power. It’s just another fantastic fraud, right up there with serial killers. Peterson could just as easily say no one debates that there are serial killers, which—in the mainstream—is true. No one does because the truth isn’t allowed in mainstream channels, on any question. All truth is a conspiracy, since it doesn’t drive forward “the story”.

Which brings us around in a tight circle. I bet no one understood why Peterson was talking about “stories” in that mushy philosophical segment preceding the leap to nuclear power. But I did. He was telling us he was about to tell us *a story* . . . in other words, tell us the usual whopping lie. The usual fiction. Scientist and philosophers, he told us, all agree that life is just “a story”, so you can think of that like the “world as hologram” nonsense they are pushing now in *Scientific American*, or life as a simulation, etc. There is no reality or truth, just stories, so grandiose pettifoggers like Peterson can say whatever they like, as long as they pretend to be smarter than you are while doing it. Peterson’s whole

schpiel is just a hypnosis of the weak-minded, which is why it didn't work on me. I can see right through him. This just tells me one of the conjobs they have waiting in the wings for the US is a renaissance of the nuclear power fake-out, and it looks like we are going to see billions in taxpayers dollars funneled into an expansion of that. Will that solve anything? No, since—like NASA or SpaceX—it is just another pretend industry and money pit, where they route water or gas power through some fancy towers and claim it is nuclear. It takes pressure off other industries and allows for huge unnecessary projects, and therefore new hidden rapes on the treasury.

I have to admit I hadn't listened to Peterson yap for this long before. People keep sending me to him, telling me how great he is, but every time I turn him off after about 30 seconds. I can spot a liar that fast, or faster. But tonight he just kept going on and on, to the point that surely it was embarrassing for someone besides me. I kept imagining the director giving him a cut sign from off-camera. After a while I expected a cane to come in from stage right and pull him off physically. He started talking about women and children starving to death worldwide from lack of nuclear power, denied them by those on the left—“hypothetically well-meaning deluded pseudo-religious environmental worshipers of the apocalypse”. Oivay.

Even Tucker looked a bit shellshocked by the end, saying “not cheery, but true”. And I beg you to notice something else. It looks like they *did* cut Peterson's mic, because there is a strange cut at the end of his segment. The yapper normally stops yapping and Tucker thanks him for coming on, etc. Not this time. Peterson just disappears.

I have to think I am not alone in seeing this as a really really *really* poor performance by Peterson, possibly a career-ending one. This was a big stage even for Peterson, since Tucker's ratings are about three times normal this week, as people like me tune in to see if there will be more on January 6. But this was a royal crash-and-burn of Biblical proportions, and I predict Peterson will soon be back on the anti-depressants.

As for January 6, not a peep from Tucker about it on this day. Already memoryholed.