
return to updates

ANOTHER PRETEND PEDOPHILE



by Miles Mathis

First published April 26, 2020

The mainstream is today <u>republishing a story</u> from *The Guardian* from 2016. Its title is "How did one of the worst paedophiles in history get away with his crimes?" If you are one of my regular readers, you no doubt already know the answer: **BECAUSE IT NEVER HAPPENED**.

Our first clue is the author of record, Robert Booth. Booths have been faking these things for centuries. See John Wilkes Booth, lead actor in the <u>fake Lincoln assassination</u>. Robert Booth <u>has been pushing</u> the coronahoax hard for many months. Is he of the peerage? Probably, though it is difficult to prove. There are 23 Robert Booths in the peerage, but about half of them don't have dates. I found no bio of this Robert Booth.

Our second clue is a numerology marker in paragraph five. We are told the bad man Vahey (above) had taught at the Southbank International School in London, which had students from 11 to 18. That's aces and eights, Chai. You will say it is a coincidence, so let's check it. Wikipedia tells us Southbank has students from 3 to 18, so the numbers aren't even correct. They have been pushed to Chai on purpose. Now what do you say?

By the way, if you want to look up Vahey in thepeerage, try Fahey or Fahie instead. For other prominent Vaheys, see Michael Vahey of Hughes Aircraft, Raytheon, and DARPA. Sacco and Vanzetti's defense attorney was John Vahey, so we see the Vaheys have been faking it for a long time as well. That trial was another fake. See <u>here</u> for more on that.

The next clue comes fast, since Booth admits Southbank is incredibly spooky:

It caters to the children of the rich and the super-rich. When Vahey arrived there as a teacher in September 2009, parents included a billionaire hedge fund manager, Russian oil executives, a top football manager and diplomats at the US, Kuwaiti and Oman embassies. "If you were a lawyer, you were among the poorest," one parent told me. "Southbank gave you a different understanding of wealth." Pupils would pay for their £5 canteen lunches with £50 notes. The goody bags given out at one birthday party contained new iPods. Southbank is owned by Cognita, a private company that owns a further 67 schools across Europe, Latin America and south-east Asia.

It is a new school, not opening until 1980, and is connected to strange people like Milton Toubkin and Sir Christopher Woodhead. The private consortium Cognita is spooky in the extreme, and looks like an Intel front of some sort. What is never explained is why we need a global private schools group catering to the very wealthy. Cognita is now owned by Bregal Capital, which is a global private equity investment firm. Why are these people involved in schools? Probably to create a global interconnecting web of spook-feeder schools, which can train the next generation of agents.

Next, Booth admits Vahey as a child attended US Defense Department schools in England and Japan, and was the son of an Air Force pilot. OK, so Booth has already as much as admitted Vahey was a spook. Are we not supposed to notice that? Defense Dept. schools were the old spook feeders, but apparently someone decided the very wealthy needed more of their own spook schools. Their precious babies probably didn't like going to school on military bases: not enough perks. So they created these schools like Southbank.

The next incredible claim is that Vahey drugged these boys and only molested them in their sleep, so that they didn't even know it had happened. We are told his former students are now asking, "Was it me?" *So they don't even know*. This story is brilliant, because it is guaranteed to freak out everyone. Everyone is a potential victim, with no proof that it happened or did not happen.

But my assumption is the boys know it didn't happen, because they are spook-babies themselves. Mini-spooks. In other words, the people at these schools are in on it.

Despite that, the story is written so that it can apply to *anyone*, not just kids from these schools. It is hoped that every schoolboy in the world will ask himself if it happened to him. It is hoped he will fear that it has, because that fear is useful to his governors in many ways. It will keep him off-balance his entire life, and may screw him up permanently. As a sexual mutant, he will fit in with the other sexual mutants around him, making him the perfect consumer and citizen of the New World Disorder.

At Southbank International, mourning turned to rage. Pupils trashed Vahey's classroom, ripping posters off the walls, tipping the chairs upside down and smashing CDs, a source said.

Yeah, what source? Another graduate of the US Dept of Defense schools?

Booth tells us Vahey was convicted of child molestation and served a 90-day sentence in California when he was 20. But conveniently that was 50 years ago, and there is no record of it. Only more stories littered with numerology: he was convicted of a single charge of lewd behavior "after admitting to pinching the penises of **eight** young boys at a California high school where he taught swimming". Note the eight. Some stories say it was 1969, while others say it was 1970. Some say it was for lewd behavior, others say it was for child molestation. Booth says that although Vahey was put

on a register and was required to tell them his whereabouts, Vahey didn't do so and California quickly lost track of him. Although Vahey should have been on the register permanently, they admit he isn't on it now and hasn't been since at least 2004. They try to tell us it is because he was no longer living in California, but if California lost track of him, how do they know he isn't still in California? Logic.

Of course in the opening paragraphs, Booth had already signaled us this was all a conjob. He told us Vahey's housekeeper in Nicaragua stole pictures off of his computer with a 16gb flashdrive and turned them over to the school headmistress Dr. Gloria Doll on March 11. And you don't think that sounds like a fake name, made up by bad writers at Langley? Gloria Doll? Sounds like a James Bond character, doesn't she? And do you really think housekeepers in Nicaragua are computer experts? This lady with a broom and dustmop knew how to bypass his passwords, find all his files, and transfer them to flash? Did this housekeeper also look like Barbara Bach and drive an Aston Martin?

Did this Gloria Doll, dressed in a negligee and high heels no doubt, think to call police? No, she fired Vahey and allowed him fly out of the country, only notifying the embassy. The embassy called the FBI, who met him in Miami. They again allowed him to skate. Why? We are told it is "because these cases take a while". Oh well, OK, that makes sense. Vahey then allegedly flew to Luverne, Minnesota, and killed himself in a tiny hotel in a tiny town. We have no proof of that, but what the hey. Booth said it so it must be true.

Just so you know, it wouldn't work like that. Either the housekeeper or the headmistress would have called the police, who would have detained Vahey. He would never have been allowed to leave the country. But even if he had somehow beaten police to the airport, FBI would have detained him in Miami, for fleeing justice on top of everything else. So this is the usual BS.

Even Luverne, MN, was chosen for a reason. Look it up. It has Hollywood/CIA connections, being the backdrop for *Fargo* and *The War*. And why was Luverne chosen for those? James Russell Wiggins, Executive Editor of the *Washington Post* and Ambassador to the United Nations, was born there, and later promoted it to his pals. The *Washington Post* has always been the CIA's own newspaper. They all are, but the *Post* is CIA's hometown baby.

Next, we get even more spook connections, when Booth admits Vahey lived in a beach house on Hilton Head. Those aren't cheap. His wife Jean was director of the European Council of International Schools. But a search on that indicates Booth got the name wrong. It is either COIS, Council of International Schools, or ECIS, the Educational Collaborative for International Schools. More research shows it is the latter where she worked. That's curious, since <u>ECIS</u> is famous for its <u>International Taskforce for Child Protection</u>. So we are supposed to believe that the husband of its director just happened to be the biggest pedophile of the past two decades, and that—in a case of high irony—he avoided detection, capture, and trial for four decades? That's a bit tidy, isn't it? You don't think it might be possible that William Vahey faked his death and that ECIS is using the story to fundraise for higher levels of protection for children? With enough donated funds—and funds stolen from treasuries worldwide—maybe ECIS can afford to build an impenetrable kevlar bubble around every child in the world, monitored 24 hours a day by robots, with backup from the National Guard and NSA.

Also convenient is that none of these claims will ever be taken to trial. Booth and his scriptwriters and unnamed sources are free to make up any story they like, since it will never be contested. You either believe it or you don't, based on. . . well, nothing. Based on how much you trust *The Guardian*, I guess.

If you do, I suggest you read more closely the story starting at the big read letter **D** on the page. This is the story of "John", not his real name. This was in Indonesia, and the Vaheys had a big house and two teenage sons. These sons liked to have sleepovers, and we are told Vahey used these sleepovers to prey on his sons' friends. He handed out Oreo cookies that were laced and suggested the boys race to see who could eat the most. The winner would get his pick of cots. Then we are told this:

Despite their suspicions, John said that the boys did not feel confident about making accusations against Vahey. The community was close-knit and they could not easily avoid going to the house for sleepovers.

No, I didn't make that up. That is what it says. These boys thought they were being drugged and molested in their sleep, but they kept coming back for more Oreos because. . . well, how can you avoid sleepovers with pedophiles? It just isn't possible.

This John, "about 14", was so clever, he somehow got trapped with Vahey alone, despite knowing exactly what was going on. Vahey offered him a cookie, and he ate it. Here is how he describes it:

I felt helpless because I knew what he was doing but my parents were out of town and uncontactable. I was also facing up to an adult who was supposed to be taking care of me. In panic, I ate the Oreo. I could taste bitter stuff in the back of my teeth. I couldn't leave his house, because I don't know how that would have gone down. I went into the bathroom and found crushed up blue pill in my molars. I picked out what was left but I had swallowed most of it. Within 10 minutes I was out cold and only woke up the next day.

He couldn't leave because he didn't know how that would go down? Does any of this sound believable to you? When John's parents told him they were leaving him with Vahey, he couldn't remember how to speak? He couldn't say, "Hey, Dad, that guy Vahey is a creep who I think is molesting us. I would rather be grounded for a year than eat any more of his Oreos".

Next, John finally tells his parents what happened, but the story spins out again. As <u>with the Spotlight</u> stories, nobody could remember how to dial 911. It's pretty hard, right? They informed the headmaster Niall Nelson, but he claims he did nothing because John's mother "said she was not voicing a formal complaint and did not wish to make allegations, to involve their son, or to pursue the matter further". So let me see if I have this straight: John's parents and headmaster both buried this? They didn't care that John and other boys were being drugged and molested? They claim there was no evidence, but no one *looked* for any evidence. Since the police were not called in and the parents didn't look for any corroboration (or denial), it is not surprising they found no evidence.

Next, we are told this same Niall Nelson became a consultant to a firm advising international schools. Could that be ECIS again? We aren't told, but whatever firm it was, it must look strange that this guy who didn't care if his boys were being molested would become a consultant. Was he consulting on Child Protection? It doesn't matter, since this is all fiction, but it just shows you how they like to stir your mind with the greatest possible absurdities.

Next, we are told another lie: the only way Southbank School could have obtained Vahey's US criminal record was if Vahey supplied it himself. That is such incredible bollocks, I can't believe they bother to put it in print. Do you really think criminals are required to supply their own criminal record to employers, and that employers have no other way to access it or verify it? What would be the point of that? There are many consumer reporting agencies to choose from, and they can be accessed

internationally. Basic reports are cheap and anyone can get them. Instantcheckmate, one of the sites I use regularly, provides them, though they aren't free. I only do the free stuff there.

For the next stupid story, we get this:

Over the next four years, Vahey led **11** trips, including to Nepal and Jordan. After the trips, he would host slideshows for parents, pupils and the headmaster. "We couldn't believe what we were seeing," said a parent who attended one of these slideshows. "On the screen were pictures of boys in their trunks, a 15-year-old girl in her bikini. He gave a 13-year old boy a 'babe magnet award'. It was so uncomfortable.

This was 2011-2015, just so you know. Does that story sound at all believable to you? He not only gave a babe magnet award, but featured it prominently in a slide show to parents and his headmaster? And of course nothing was done. No one wanted to "go there". They had no problem speaking out later, but speaking out at the time would have just made everyone uncomfortable, I guess. We are told the Principal, Terry Hedger, protected Vahey from complaints by parents, calling the parents vindictive. Hedger now says he can't explain that comment. Maybe he should send us to the scriptwriters, asking them to explain it.

Next, Booth contradicts himself, because he tells us new teachers at Southbank now have mandatory criminal record checks. But he told us they were mandatory before, but no one "followed up" on them. So is anyone following up on them now? We aren't told.

Next we are told Scotland Yard found 53 boys in Vahey's files, and asked parents if they wanted to know if their son was included. Booth admits many did not, though he doesn't give us any numbers. Since we don't hear from any that did, we have no confirmation one way or the other. You either believe Scotland Yard or you don't. But Scotland Yard has never been caught lying to the public, has it?