

### by Uncle Owen

[Miles: To start with, that isn't a white supremacist sign, it is an Illuminati/Eye of Horus sign. He might as well have his hand in his vest, except he has no vest. My comments below will be in green]

Using the analytical approach pioneered by Miles W. Mathis, can the Christchurch Shooting "event" be deconstructed and explained properly? Following from this, is it possible to establish that the shooting as alleged did not take place and that in fact it was a hoax of some sort?

Answers below.



#### THE PROLOGUE

Just as the shooting was first being reported, a user on the internet image posting forum 8chan, part of the general "4chan" image board family, posted an announcement that he wanted immediate action taken. He was a quick worker, like everyone here. He didn't just want a thread monitored, he wanted a domain suspension. This already indicates a project being run from the top.

4chan and its associated boards are always described in the most violent, sinister and at times outright criminal terms, as though 4chan and the other boards are part of the dark web. This is absurd, as membership is available for purchase using conventional credit cards, hardly a sign of dark web membership. It is also widely known and frequently confirmed that 4chan and 8chan are infested with government agents. They are not even honeypots at this stage, but more of a fake front for intelligence agency events and a means to create a detailed false narrative. Because the lapdog media does its best to scare normies away from 4chan at every turn, a lot of media consumers will never visit the sites. However, by constantly pimping 4chan as this racy exciting forbidden place the media also maintains the mystique and allure of the website, meaning there is always a fresh crop of mainstream rubes who will visit, act as witnesses to the latest intelligence agency scam, then become part of the fuel for the psyop. This is exactly what has happened with the Christchurch Shooting hoax.

#### THE SHOOTING EVENT

"TIMELINE OF TERROR: HOW THE CHRISTCHURCH MASSACRE THAT CLAIMED 50 LIVES UNFOLDED

A 28-year-old Australian man entered a mosque in central Christchurch on Friday afternoon and opened fire on people gathered inside the building - killing 50 people and leaving dozens more injured.

This is how the incident unfolded in local New Zealand Time.

- 1.40pm: First reports of a shooting at a mosque in central Christchurch.
- 2.11pm: Police confirmed they were attending an 'evolving situation' in Christchruch.

Gunshots are heard in the area outside Masid Al Noor Mosque on Deans Avenue.

Witnesses reported hearing multiple gunshots, with one saying she attempted to give CPR to an injured person but they died.

2.17pm: Multiple schools went into lockdown in Christchurch.

People who were in the mosque began to leave covered in blood and with gunshot wounds. 2.47pm: First reports of six people dead, three in a critical condition and three with serious injuries.

Miles: Tracy Withers at Bloomberg posted an article on this massacre on March 14 at 7:33pm MDT. You will say she posted before the event, but MDT means mountain daylight time, which is in Denver. That is 19 hours before New Zealand daylight time, so she posted at 2:33 local time. We still have a problem, though, because the shooting didn't end until after 2:00, and Tarrant was not arrested until 2:02. So how did Tracy, writing from Denver or Albuquerque or somewhere, manage to get a story written and published just 31 minutes later? That isn't even enough time for the Christchurch authorities to gather information and give it to the press, much less to wire it all over the world and have local writers compose pieces. The local press would have had to run in as soon as Tarrant ran out, count the bodies themselves, then follow the right police car and watch the actual arrest. Then they would have to write up the story themselves on their phones and immediately press send to the worldwide wire services, without checking anything with the authorities. Do you think that is what happened?

And that timeline shows up a further problem. The first emergency call came in at 1:41, about one minute after the first shots were fired. But Tarrant left that mosque about 6 minutes later, driving 3 miles to a second mosque. So we are supposed to believe he killed 42 people and injured about another 40 in less than six minutes? He spent even less time at the second mosque, leaving less than five minutes after he arrived and driving off. But *less than two minutes later*, the police had already rammed his car and arrested him, **with no shots fired**. He left the second mosque at 2:00 and was in custody by 2:02. Now that's what I call some impressive police work! They would have to have a tracking device on his car to find him that fast. Or. . . it was a set-up.

In fact, they were sure to cover this problem in their response. Some people (allegedly) complained that the police were slow in responding, pointing to the 21 minute gap between first call and arrest. But district commander John Price replied "That is an incredibly fast response time. You had a mobile offender across a large metropolitan city." That is misdirection, since the response time wasn't just incredibly fast, it was suspiciously fast. And we aren't talking about response time with the 21 minutes, we are talking **arrest time**. Response time is just time from emergency call to first officer on the first scene. All we know is that that response time was more than six minutes. But an arrest time of 21 minutes from first shot to arrest is unbelievable. He had driven off from the second site, so the police would have had to just run into him by accident. And I mean that literally. They would have had to look down to grab a donut off the floorboard and have accidentally rammed the suspect's car.

- 2.54pm: Police Commissioner Mike Bush said the situation is 'serious and evolving' and told people to remain indoors and stay off the streets. The Canterbury District Health Board activated its mass casualty plan.
- 3.12pm: New Zealand's Prime Minister Jacinda Ardern cancelled her afternoon arrangements.
- 3.21pm: Christchurch City Council locked down many of their central city buildings.
- 3.33pm: First reports of a bomb in a beige Subaru that crashed on Strickland Street, three kilometres from the shootings.
- 3.40pm: Police confirmed there were multiple *simultaneous* attacks on mosques in Christchurch. Later the attacks were *not* simultaneous, and were allegedly done by one person.
- 3.45pm: Reports of multiple shots fired at the shootings, which are ongoing.
- 3.59pm: 300 people were reported to be inside the mosque.
- 4.00pm: One person is confirmed to be in custody but there are warnings there may be others out there. Police commissioner Mike Bush urges Muslims across New Zealand to stay away from their local mosque.
- 4.10pm: Jacinda Ardern calls Friday 'one of New Zealand's darkest days'.
- 5.27pm: First reports of a second shooting. A witness said a Muslim local chased the shooters at the mosque in Linwood, firing in 'self defence'.
- 5.31pm: Four people are confirmed to be in custody. including one woman. Multiple fatalities were reported.
- 7.07pm: It was confirmed an AR15 rifle was used in the attack.
- 7.20pm: Dunedin Street was cordoned off. Reports the attackers planned to also target the Al Huda Mosque.
- 7.26pm: At least 40 people were confirmed dead, Jacinda Ardern confirmed.
- 7.34pm: Confirmed that 48 people were being treated in hospital.
- 7.46pm: Britomart train station in central Auckland was evacuated after bags were found unattended. The bags were deemed not suspicious.
- 8.35pm: New Zealand's Government confirmed this is the first time ever the terror level has been lifted from low to high.
- 9.03pm: Police Commissioner Mike Bush confirms that the death toll has risen to 49. Brenton Tarrant was later charged with murder, and the death toll has since risen to 50."

The alleged shooter apparently posted a video feed to Facebook showing his arrival in a car, his equipping himself with various weapons, his walk towards an apparent mosque and his opening fire from a considerable distance before opening fire once more as he approached a doorway.

Leaving aside the impossibility of making successful shots at the distances and in the manner the shooter initially used, at a later point he is in close proximity to alleged victims. At that range using metal jacketed ammunition, deaths would result from rifle fire. However the firing and the impacts don't match.

Some particularly absurd features of the shooting:

Some people run into different rooms of the alleged mosque. There doesn't seem to be a rear exit, meaning that the entire building as shown, if real, would be an illegal structure under the local fire code.

Two victims stand facing a wall and another reads a magazine whilst deafening gunshots echo around them and everyone else cowers on the ground. Even when shot at, one of the people facing the wall continues to do so. Some "Muslim commentators" have said that the men facing the wall are completing their prayers and that this is so intense and holy and reverent an experience that nothing will stop it, even the chaos and cacophony around them. If you believe that then there is no hope for you.

The shooter did not attack during Friday prayers, when the mosque would have been full to overflowing, including obnoxious blocking of the local road as is typical of these mosques in real life. Instead he attacked at an hour when there was a convenient number of people available sent in by local casting.

The victims with only four exceptions all formed neat piles of bodies (although they were still alive) in the corners of the large room and along its walls. Despite outnumbering the shooter 50-to-1 none of them ran for it or led a charge against him.

The shooter took shots here there and everywhere then left. He went back to his car then returned to the same mosque, shooting into the piles of bodies. In the intervening four minutes when he was gone from the building, NO ONE MOVED. They all remained piled up on top of each other in neat clusters. This despite the fact many of them were uninjured. Two of these uninjured have since boasted in interviews that they cleverly hid under corpses. Unfortunately for their story the media version includes the fact that the shooter used metal jacketed ammunition. At the range at which the shooter fired, this ammunition would go clean through all the bodies and into the floor, injuring or killing everyone and splattering blood and gore everywhere.

And yet there is a noticeable lack of gore. Despite the rivers of blood reported, what we see is no blood splatter on the white walls. Curious.

At the end of the available "first mosque" video, before the shooter gets back in his car for some more running commentary, we hear what seems to be a woman's voice saying "help me" over and over again. Notice that it seems to be an added soundtrack. The shooter walks up to a prone figure lying in the gutter. The figure is dressed in black and is apparently on her belly, with one arm stretching out into the street in a very cinematic effect – framed perfectly as a wounded person stretching out one arm imploring for mercy or aid. Unfortunately, the person is stretching out this arm into the middle of an empty street, pointed at no one, rather than facing "her" apparent attacker.

The shooter then apparently shoots this person twice. The first apparent shot hits the outstretched arm. There is a spray of red liquid and the sleeve of the person's costume flips, revealing in the process a rectangular red bag filled with the same sort of liquid that has just squirted out. In other words, **a blood pack**. A second squib detonates at the back of the person's head but no liquid comes out. Instead, the liquid begins to seep out under the person. An excuse for why this looks exactly like blood packs and squibs rather than real rifle wounds is that the resolution used to film the video is so low that essential details are lost. This is an explanation being wafted around internet forums right now by "experts". Interestingly, it is also being wafted around by

some longterm and well known users on these forums, indicating once again that these forums have been well and truly infiltrated long ago, with "sleepers" in place ready to be activated as needed. Unfortunately for the "low res" alibi, there are very high quality versions of the shooting footage. Of interest is that the higher quality versions are also 45 seconds longer than the "normal" one. I didn't realize adding resolution added time. In the higher quality versions the rectangular blood pack on the person's arm is very obvious. For one thing, it flips and lands on the arm and for another it doesn't lose its shape or spread out like real blood and gore. It just flips and lands and stays there.

This single inconsistency is enough to be fatal to the version of the event pushed by the media. However it does not stand in isolation.



Chilling photos show blood soaked survivors emerging from the Linwood Mosque just minutes after Brenton Harrison Tarrant allegedly opened fire

There is also Kool Aid Man, a large black man covered with pale red stains. Behind him is another man holding a nearly empty bottle of red energy drink. . .or moulage. 2+2 = 4. Also the red stains in no way resemble blood. Miles: I find it interesting that Kool Aid Man, though apparently wounded all over his upper body, has managed to avoid dripping blood on his shoes. So we can also call him Anti-Gravity Man. His blood doesn't run down, it just lightly stains his white clothes and then stanches itself.



Another alleged victim carried away on a stretcher makes the ISIS symbol with his hand as he is rolled to the ambulance. [Or is that the Intelligence symbol?] This is significant as it was one of the pieces of footage endlessly replayed without comment in Australia and New Zealand for hours upon hours. This same man has since been interviewed and claimed to have escaped injury by hiding under dead bodies. This is literally unbelievable but is also a sign that this white bearded Islamic man will have much to say in the weeks ahead. Remember the ISIS connection though. Plus, if he is uninjured, why is he being carried on a stretcher? Just shagged out from a long night of standing in a Jewish actors' call line?

The whole video is allegedly created by a GoPro helmet camera. This has the effect of creating video footage EXACTLY like a first person shooter video game.

Then we have the hero Muslim at the second mosque. He happened to have a gun of his own in the original version. He opened fire and chased the attackers off.

Oh yes I said "attackers", plural. Because you see in the original version of this event THERE WERE FOUR SHOOTERS. A team of two shooters at each mosque for a total of four. This was the explanation for why in the video footage when Tarrant goes into the mosque people are already slumped in the corners—the other shooter had already shot them.

That was quite a big mistake but it has all been sent down the memory hole now.

#### THE VIDEO

I won't post a link to the video as that may well cause problems for Miles if he chooses to post this paper on his site. [No it won't: they are only pretending to suppress it. If they didn't want you to see, they wouldn't have manufactured it.] But it is easy to find. Compare that to all the past atrocity videos. This availability of video should raise a red flag all on its own.

4chan was the site of the release of a first person shooter game based on the video. This game is very well done and quite accurate to the video. Which begs the question of how it got released so quickly.

The video is so uncanny in its resemblance to video game footage that it is the first thing younger people who watch it comment upon. It is also surprisingly bloodless. Or is that surprising? If it was full of real gore and blood then it would not be possible for the authorities to keep circulating it. The public would demand that it be suppressed. Instead it is one of the top bootleg videos in the world. Despite there being clear censorship and criminal laws in place covering this sort of video and empowering authorities to suppress it, it has not been genuinely suppressed.

Like all the other carefully crafted features of the Christchurch Shooting event, the video is an essential part in a process. At a time when "white guilt" has begun to collapse as a means of control and soft coercion, this event and video are meant to reinforce it.



#### THE DECORATED WEAPONS

The caption to the above picture of the alleged shooter's alleged weapons, or at least the clips or magazines from those weapons, states that the names are those of "other people who have carried out attacks". But in fact the vast majority of the names are those of anti-Islamic aristocrats, political freedom fighters and leaders of armies, who were allegedly part of the centuries-long resistance to Islamic invasion of the West. Therefore, these names make you *think* Islam really has been attacking the West for centuries. When the truth is the opposite. Let's think: does the Middle East control Europe, or does Europe control the Middle East? Or, let me put it another way: did Lawrence of Arabia (Chapman) ride into Damascus on a camel and lead revolts there, or did some Arab spook ride into London on a Yorkie and lead revolts there? Was it Baghdad and Tripoli that were leveled recently, or was it Dublin and Copenhagen?

This multi-level psyop reversal is the specialty of one group of people – the Phoenicians, or modern Jewish descendants of them.

Here are some of the historical figures the attacker chose to display on his assault rifle and his rifle's magazines:

Alexandre Bissonnette — the university student who burst into the Quebec City mosque on Jan. 29, 2017, and opened fire on the 40 men and four children who were chatting among themselves after evening prayers. That of course was faked.

Luca Traini — an Italian extremist who targeted black migrants, injuring six in February 2018. Faked.

Josue Estebanez — Spanish neo-Nazi who fatally stabbed 16-year-old anti-fascist protester Carlos Palomino in the heart in Madrid in 2007. Faked, since there is no such thing as a neo-Nazi. Since there were no Nazis, there can hardly be any real neo-Nazis.

Bajo Pivljanin — a famous 17th-century hadjuk (militia) commander who fought against Ottoman rule in the northwestern Balkans and was hailed a "hero" by Serbs and Croats. Faked.

Charles Mantel — the King of the Franks, nicknamed "The Hammer," who won the Battle of Tours. Manufactured.

John Hunyadi — a Hungarian general and governor and the Voivode of Transylvania. He defeated Ottoman forces both in 1441 and again in 1442. [Miles: I haven't covered this one, but since we find it here, we may assume it was faked. Yes, we may read this new list as a clue. We can read it as Intelligence referring to its own previous projects. So anything we see here we can take as a fake. In fact, I *have* previously tied Transylvania to the old Jewish lines. Wasn't hard to do.]

Konstantin II Asen — Constantine II of Bulgaria, who led a rebellion against the Ottomans that lasted for half a decade, but ended in defeat. Ditto.

Milos Obilis — a Serbian knight in the service of Prince Lazar, the assassin of Ottoman Sultan Murad I. Ditto.

Pelayu — a Visigothic nobleman instrumental in the victory at the Battle of Covadonga. He is credited with starting the Reconquista (the Christian reconquest of the Iberian peninsula from the Moors). Ditto.

Prince Fruzhin — a Bulgarian noble who fought against Ottomans in the conquest of the Second Bulgarian Empire. Ditto.

Sebastiano Venier — doge of Venice who fought against the Turks during the Fourth Ottoman-Venetian War. Ditto.

Sigismund of Luxembourg — led the Crusade of Nicopolis in 1396 to liberate Bulgaria from Ottoman rule, but failed. Ditto.

Feliks Kazimierz Potocki — participated in the Vienna expedition in 1683 during the Great Turkish War and fought against Tatars and Turks in many battles. Ditt-

Iosif Gurko — Russian field marshal who fought against the Turks in the Russo-Turkish War (1877-1878). He led troops at the Battle of Shipka Pass. o.

[Miles: Wow, this Tarrant guy was pretty well educated for a 28-year-old personal trainer, hunh? I guess he secretly had a PhD in Medieval European History, as personal trainers in Dunedin tend to have. ]

Other important dates, events and numbers painted on the rifle:

14 Words (or 14) — a slogan derived from a passage in Adolf Hitler's autobiography *Mein Kampf*. It is now also a popular meme used by the alt-right. Most commonly known as: "We must secure the existence of our people and a future for white children." Except that both the alt-right and alt-left are Intel constructions. They don't exist, except on paper.

Here's your migration compact! — reference to the Global Compact for Migration signed by the U.N. in December.

Turcophagus (Turk-eater) — a nickname widely used by the Greek militia fighting Ottoman-Turkish troops.

Tours 732 — Battle of Tours in 732 A.D. which ended the last Arab invasion of France. See Charles Martel, above.

Battle of Kagul, 1770 — the most important land battle of the Russo-Turkish War (40,000 Russians were up against 75,000 Ottomans). Ditto.

Siege and Battle of Vienna, 1683 — the farthest advance of Ottomans into Europe, but the empire was defeated there and expelled from Hungary. Ditto.

(Siege of) Acre (1189 -1191) — The Third Crusade besieged the walled Muslim city of Acre and recaptured the port in Levant from Saladin, who was the Muslim ruler of Egypt and Syria who defeated Crusader States and captured Jerusalem.

Ditto. I have covered this one, see my paper on the Crusades.

Source: <a href="https://www.dailysabah.com/asia/2019/03/15/new-zealand-mosque-shooter-names-his-idols-on-weapons-he-used-in-massacre">https://www.dailysabah.com/asia/2019/03/15/new-zealand-mosque-shooter-names-his-idols-on-weapons-he-used-in-massacre</a>

The anti-Islamic (and intelligence agency backed) Bare Naked Islam site adds to our store of information. As the basis for genealogical studies it almost becomes unnecessary to go further but some really striking things leap out. Let's look at what they say first:

#### "MARKO ANTONIO BRAGADIN:

Marco Antonio Bragadin, also Marcantonio Bragadin (21 April 1523 – 17 August 1571) was a Venetian lawyer and military officer of the Republic of Venice.

Bragadin joined the Fanti da Mar (marine infantry) Corps of the Republic of Venice. In 1569, he was appointed Captain-General of Famagusta in Cyprus and led the Venetian resistance to the Ottoman conquest that began in 1570. He was gruesomely killed in August 1571 after the Ottomans took the city, the fall of which signalled the end of Western presence in the Mediterranean island for the next three centuries."

A lawyer (banker) for crypto-Jewish Venice and a man whose campaign was a dismal failure. Why on Earth would the shooter put his name on a gun? He is a failure. Also he's obscure. This shooter must surely have had a university degree in history! Except he doesn't. But whoever wrote on the gun sure does. [Miles: he is included for the reason I gave above: he is a previous Jewish agent involved in a previous hoax. Maybe they study him in Mossad school. This is the equivalent of Mussolini writing about Hus.]

#### "STEFAN LAZAR:

Lazar was killed at the Battle of Kosovo in June 1389 while leading a pan-Christian army assembled to confront the invading Ottoman Empire, led by Sultan Murad I. The battle ended without a clear victor, though both sides endured heavy losses, which were more devastating for the less numerous Serbs and their Christian allies. Lazar's widow, Milica, who ruled as regent for their adolescent son Stefan Lazarević, Lazar's successor, accepted Ottoman suzerainty in the summer of 1390."

Lazar is a jewish name. There have been sixteen battles of Kosovo over the centuries and not one of them genuine. Again, Lazar was a dismal failure. So far these guns are two for two for commemorating people who supposedly fought Islam – and LOST.

#### "MILOS OBILIC:

Miloš Obilić (Serbian Cyrillic: Милош Обилић, pronounced [mîloʃ ôbilite]; died 15 June 1389) was Serbian knight in the service of Prince Lazar, during the invasion of the Ottoman Empire. He is not mentioned in contemporary sources, but he features prominently in later accounts of the Battle of Kosovo as the assassin of the Ottoman sultan Murad I. Although the assassin remains anonymous in sources until the late 15th century, the dissemination of the story of Murad's assassination in Florentine [sic]"

An assassin not mentioned in real history, added in later. Lee Harvey Obilic makes us three for three with completely questionable names on the guns. Aren't there any obvious well known and actually WESTERN anti-islamic campaigners he could have named? The only reason not to use real anti-islamic campaigners' names is because it would risk genuinely making the complacent white population rise up against the Islamic immigrants and their political enablers. Hmmm. [Doubtful. Almost no one is going to look up these people anyway, so this list is just for wonks like us.]

#### "MARKO MILJANOV POPOVIC

Marko Miljanov Popović (Serbian Cyrillic: Марко Миљанов Поповић, pronounced [mâ:rkɔ mĭʎanɔv pŏpɔvite]; 25 April 1833 – 2 February 1901) was a Brda chieftain and Montenegrin general and writer. He entered the service of Danilo I, the first secular Prince of Montenegro in the modern era, and led his armed Kuči tribe against the Ottoman Empire in the wars of 1861–62 and 1876–78, distinguishing himself as an able military leader."

An obscure nobody not important enough to have been defeated by Islam. But the first SECULAR prince of Montenegro was secularised due to BANKING. This is so unsurprising it is comical.

#### "GROF ERNST RIDGER VON STARHEMBERGR:

Count Ernst Rüdiger von Starhemberg (12 January 1638 – 4 January 1701) was military governor of Vienna from 1680, the city's defender during the Battle of Vienna in 1683, Imperial general during the Great Turkish War, and President of the Hofkriegsrat."

The first arguably notable figure. However as a member of a crypto-jewish family, we get this interesting snippet about his descendant, who was accused of running an anti-Jewish ("anti-Semitic") campaign on behalf of the Rothschilds to drive Jews to Palestine! <a href="https://www.jta.org/1930/10/09/archive/disprove-charge-rothschilds-financing-starhemberg-head-of-anti-semitic-heimwehr">https://www.jta.org/1930/10/09/archive/disprove-charge-rothschilds-financing-starhemberg-head-of-anti-semitic-heimwehr</a>

"The allegation of Dr. Robert Dannenberg, Austrian-Jewish Socialist leader and president of the Vienna landtag, at today's session of the landtag, that the Rothschild bank here has lent money to Prince Ernest von Starhemberg, leader of the Heimwehr and minister of the interior, is disproved by an investigation made by the Jewish Telegraphic Agency.

Dr. Dannenberg had charged that the Rothschild bank was aiding Starhemberg's campaign and emphasized that the wealthy Jews care more for class than for race. This is a repetition of a statement made by Dr. Dannenberg on his recent visit to the United States when he said that the wealthy Austrian Jews and industrialists seem to prefer the protection of their class rather than their race."

Perhaps it's just me but whenever I see overt Jews clearing other Jews of being anti-Jewish I sort of get suspicious.

#### "SERBAN CANTACUZINO:

Cantacuzino took part in the Ottoman campaign which ended in their defeat at the Battle of Vienna. At the same time, it is alleged that he conceived a plan for a march on Constantinople, planning to drive the Ottomans out of Europe, counting on support from major European states. During the siege of Vienna, after being forced to join the war alongside the Ottoman Empire, he sabotaged his Turkish "allies" by warning the Austrians beforehand about the siege.

He was also informing them about the Ottoman plans, movements and tactics (in a hidden tunnel beneath the city's walls), stalling bridge repairs across the Danube (since before that the Turks considered the Wallachians unreliable when it came to war matters) and finally ordering his men


to put hay & straw inside the Turkish cannons so that the damage on the walls of Vienna would be minimized. He also planted a cross with a double meaning in which he offered moral support to the besieged Austrians while at the same time, avoiding Ottoman suspicion. As a result he received praise from General Wallenstein and the Habsburg Emperor himself and also earned the title of 'Defender (or Count) of the Holy Roman Empire'. Thanks to his contributions and the Polish intervention, Vienna was saved. The Imperials therefore promised him the throne to Constantinople after the Turks were driven out."


Other than being a two-faced opportunistic swine, once again this man is not worthy of any attention and is hardly a great fighter against islam. He was in fact a DOUBLE or even TRIPLE AGENT, a traitor twice over, an informer, a spy and a crook. It is also questionable as to why the ruthless and barbaric Turks were comfortable with him erecting a cross of all things! Should have been an inverted red cross.

He and his family are usually described as anti-Semitic, but in fact he and his later family played crucial roles in modernising Judaism. The nature of their "anti-Semitic" behaviour was that they improved and modernised Jewish schools and demanded that all teachers be qualified, preferably educated rabbis. How anti-Jewish!

His direct descendant Alexandrina Cantacuzino is a Jewish Romanian feminist who did tremendous damage to traditional women's live in Romania. https://en.unionpedia.org/Alexandrina Cantacuzino

So to summarise, so far on the shooter's guns we have a bizarre coterie of non-entities, crypto-Jews and people who suffered incredible (unbelievable) defeats against Islam. It is like a who's who list of Medieval agents and minor Modern spooks. Just imagine how bored NZSIS must be to come up with a script like this.





It is claimed that "14" is written on the gun as a reference to the infamous "14 words" of **Rockwell** – securing a future for white children. However given the date of the alleged shooting, on the 15<sup>th</sup> March, it is much more likely that 14 is a reference to something that was going to be part of the "Plan A" version of the facts. The event may have actually been filmed on the 14<sup>th</sup>, but not released until the 15<sup>th</sup>. See John Podesta's hands for more on that. With all the other long-winded twaddle written on the guns it is beyond credibility that the shooter (or whoever actually wrote on the guns) wouldn't have either written "1488" or written out the whole 14 words. A slip by someone, presumably because their knowledge of actual white-identity people is too shallow to walk the walk properly.






If nothing else it shows that the alleged shooter is quite possibly the best educated and most literate criminal in known history since he not only managed to assimilate all of the above information but transcribed it without spelling errors all over his alleged weaponry. Quite a feat for anyone. And quite time consuming. But isn't your 20-something homicidal personal trainer a genius of just that caliber? I know mine is.

Not to be outdone, "ISIS" (a creation of Mossad, CIA and MI6 that we are meant to believe is real) responded to the mosque shooter in kind:





Em resposta a @Te\_Ruki @fraser\_anning

## Don't worry Revenge is coming

Traduzir Tweet



So we can expect some fake revenge. I just hope it is this erudite. We can look forward to them referencing Pepin the Short, John Scotus, Isabel of Conches, Maud Gonne, Elendil the Tall, Irwin "Fletch" Fletcher, and Ned "the Head" Ryerson.

#### THE MANIFESTO

The shooter obligingly left his manifesto online where it is circulating merrily. As with the Unabomber's Manifesto <u>deconstructed by Miles</u>, this shooter's manifesto is also clearly the work of a committee, and a lazy one at that.

The shooter manifesto consists of a FAQ style series of questions and answers. In addition the bulk of it is literally cut and pasted from 4chan, including infamous and well know "copypasta" such as the Navy Seal section. On 4chan, these "copypasta" paragraphs are cut and pasted into a thread by bored participants as a kind of tremendously unfunny joke or attempt to troll. In the

manifesto it is just howlingly out of place. The excuse is made that since the shooter is a 4chan poster, he is "shitposting" in real life. In other words, trolling normal people with "ironic" statements not made to be taken literally. In short, fake extremism to get a reaction.

If you were really going to shoot up a mosque or two, and were really going to murder people in cold blood, could you be described as being "ironic" or a "fake extremist"? It's one or the other. This event is too clever by half. Or, too clever by 1000%.

The usefulness of the cut and paste from 4chan is that it acts as sorry justification for a wholesale crackdown on websites; and within 24 hours that is exactly what happened in New Zealand, then the whole Indo-Pacific region including Australia. With no explanation, warning or legal right, ISPs in the region blocked 4chan and all related boards, sealing off a whole region from full access to the internet. What made it worse for 4chan users is that 4chan doesn't allow the use of VPN to disguise your origin. An interesting point for a free speech website, isn't it? Blocking the use of the number one tool of free access and free speech in the online world.

The manifesto was immediately noted as being silent on, and incongruously sympathetic, to the Jews. Unlike the typical 4chan poster, the shooter has no problem with Jews or Israel, and makes no mention of the Jewish role in the mass immigration and cultural genocide of white countries. This alone is such a central point as to confirm that the manifesto is a fraud and that the shooter himself is not as he pretends to be.

#### THE SHOOTER

Brenton Harrison Tarrant is the alleged Christchurch Shooter. Let's start off with what the CIA/Mossad/MI6 wants us to know about this guy:

"He announced his mass killing over social media and filmed it live on the internet. He shared a 74-page online manifesto peppered with sarcastic jokes about popular culture, repeating well-known internet memes and striving to mint new ones. He even laid out his explanation in a "Q. and A." format, as though in an interview, with asides to imagine the reactions. "I am sure the journalists will love that," he wrote, after answering, "yes," to his own question, "Were/are you a fascist?"

The man accused of killing 49 people on Friday in a shooting spree at two mosques in Christchurch, New Zealand, identified in court papers on Saturday as Brenton Harrison Tarrant, is a 28-year-old body builder and personal trainer from the small town of Grafton, Australia. He is the son of a local garbage man who made a hobby of competing in Ironman triathlons.

But after the death of his father in 2010, the suspect's life took him in an unexpected direction. He invested in cryptocurrency, quit his gym job and took an idiosyncratic tour through North Korea, Pakistan, Eastern Europe, France and elsewhere. The sign of an agent, of course. Body builders from Dunedin don't normally just joyride through Pakistan and North Korea, do they?

And his travels — apparently solo — plunged him deeply into the online world of white-nationalist message boards. And International intelligence.

Mr. Tarrant now appears to have become the first accused mass murderer to conceive of the killing itself as a meme; it seems he was both inspired by the world of social media and performing for it, hoping his video, images and text would go viral.

"Terrorism is the propaganda of the deed, and the terrorist is always as interested in his audience as his victim," said Reid Meloy, a forensic psychologist and consultant to the FBI, paraphrasing the 19th-century Russian anarchist Mikhail Bakunin. [Miles: agent Meloy referencing previous agent Bakunin.]

"But social media makes this vector much more powerful," Dr. Meloy said. "We become host to the virus, and we accelerate its spread." Which is why they invented it.

The suspect's parents were divorced early in his childhood, according to his father's obituary in *The Daily Examiner*, of Grafton. Mr. Tarrant's mother was not mentioned in the obituary, suggesting she may have been estranged from the father. What is her maiden name? Cohen, Russell, Bennett, Stanley? No, it is. . . Fitzgerald. See part 2.

According to the obituary, the father competed in 75 triathlon competitions, including grueling Ironman triathlons in both Australia and Hawaii. Because garbagemen can always afford to travel to Hawaii for competitions, right?

A woman with the same name as Mr. Tarrant's sister played the drums in local rock bands, according to local news reports.

"Just a ordinary White man" from "a working class, low income family," Mr. Tarrant wrote in his manifesto. "I had a regular childhood, without any great issues. I had little interest in education during my schooling, barely achieving a passing grade. I did not attend University as I had no great interest in anything offered in the Universities to study." So he must have learned Medieval Jewish history from the Mossad, eh? It is unclear how he developed an interest in cryptocurrency but he wrote in his manifesto that his profits from investing in the cryptocurrency Bitconnect enabled him to travel." That and his NZSIS salary. Plus plug for Bitconnect, invented by Intelligence and the bankers.

https://www.nytimes.com/2019/03/15/world/asia/new-zealand-shooting-brenton-tarrant.html

I will start at the beginning of this CIA piece and just work through to the end. It is filled with the most outrageous mendacities and ridiculous statements.

I also consulted the "Jewish Racism" blog and was not disappointed: <a href="https://jewishracism.blogspot.com/2019/03/brenton-tarrants-propaganda-of-deed.html">https://jewishracism.blogspot.com/2019/03/brenton-tarrants-propaganda-of-deed.html</a> Let's keep in mind what the competitors of the CIA call the "propaganda of the deed".

Anyway, to the propaganda piece from NYT:

"He announced his mass killing over social media and filmed it live on the internet. He shared a 74-page online manifesto peppered with sarcastic jokes about popular culture, repeating well-known internet memes and striving to mint new ones."

Given that the internet as a whole and 4chan and 8chan in particular are live-monitored by law enforcement and intelligence agencies, and that the shooter also supposedly livestreamed on Facebook, which again is monitored intensely without pause, how on Earth did the shooter get away with what he was doing if he did indeed announce it and stream it? It's the equivalent of walking armed into a police station, and calling ahead to tell them when you will be there.

Repeating "internet memes" and "striving to mint new ones" is a clear indication that this is part of the point. The whole idea of this shooting event was to meme into people's consciousness that revolution is evil and that white guys from Christian countries are dangerous. In that sense, it is an obvious continuation of the old Theosophy project: damage Christianity and emasculate the West (and its allies) via a stready stream of false flags and fake events. The Phoenicians want the West strong only insofar as it is now their favored home turf. The *country* should be strong. But the *people* in that country, most of whom are Gentiles, should be prostrate before the media.

"He even laid out his explanation in a "Q. and A." format, as though in an interview, with asides to imagine the reactions.

"I am sure the journalists will love that," he wrote, after answering, "yes," to his own question, "Were/are you a fascist?""

The Q and A format, properly called a FAQ (there's a reason they used the Q and A phrase, see below) is for the benefit of the normal media consumers. They will be able to follow along at home. A lesson has been learned since the days when *Mein Kampf* was seeded everywhere. *Mein Kampf* was too big, too complicated and too obscure. This FAQ is presented in journalist-friendly snippets, just like you would expect from a committee in Langley.

The "Q and A" phrase is also specific to Australia, since one of the top TV programmes there is the left-wing show "Q and A" which each week pushes the most extreme of socialist / Jewish causes, attempting to hypnotize its audience into acceptance of its own oppression. The use of "Q and A" is now like a dog whistle to this horrible programme.

As for the "I am a fascist" tag—strictly for the birds. He isn't a fascist in any sense of the word, particularly not when he praises Communist China's government or argues for a vague European nationalism instead of a specific form of nationalism local to his own heritage. Someone who is an expert about obscure Austro-Hungarian losers wouldn't make that mistake. This is like what we saw in the Unabomber Manifesto, where the committee of authors can't remember which hat they are wearing. Since they themselves know Socialism and Fascism are actually the same thing, they forget and blackwash one while blackwashing the other. But since at other times they are *selling* European Socialism, they shouldn't have their Anti here praising Communism. But they can't stay on the same page.

"Son of a local garbage man". Right. It was that or possum trapper, I guess.

"Just a ordinary White man" from "a working class, low income family," Mr. Tarrant wrote in his manifesto. "I had a regular childhood, without any great issues. I had little interest in education during my schooling, barely achieving a passing grade. I did not attend University as I had no great interest in anything offered in the Universities to study."

As for the "ordinary White man" stuff, NOBODY in Australia calls themselves that or talks that way. It is a real mistake to put that in as his own words because it is utterly wrong. They had to do it, because they want to clearly identify the target of this project. Ordinary white working-class families are the EXACT group targeted for population replacement in all the anglosphere countries. But this is not how a member of that class would talk about himself. He would say "I'm a typical Aussie battler" or he'd just say "I'm a typical Aussie." The words actually used show an archness and self-aware attitude that is totally out of place.

#### **ADMISSION**

"The New Zealand Police have admitted that the suspect in last week's shootings at two mosques in Christchurch was charged with killing a woman who's not actually dead, reports said Thursday local time. A woman's name was erroneously included on court charge sheets for the suspect's first appearance, the *NZ Herald* reported. The woman is believed to be connected to the shootings but she's still alive, said Det.-Supt. Chris Page, as quoted by Stuff. "We have been to see her today [Thursday] and apologized," he said.

"The name was supposed to represent many victims and someone was just trying to do the right thing. "We made a mistake and we will own it." We don't want you to own it, we want a logical explanation.

Brenton Tarrant, a 28-year-old Australian national, has so far been charged with a single count of murder. The alleged victim's name has been suppressed. Tarrant is expected to face a "bundle" of new charges at a court appearance on April 5, *Stuff* reported. But Page said the police error won't affect the legality of Tarrant's prosecution."

https://globalnews.ca/news/5079592/new-zealand-police-christchurch-shooting-suspect-error/? utm\_source=Other&utm\_medium=MostPopular&utm\_campaign=2014

In a real court case, having the alleged dead victim turn up alive and well would tend to prove your innocence of a charge of murder. They really don't respect us at all, do they? Also, using one woman's name to stand in for all the other victims is questionable at best. It has all the usual stench of unreality.

#### THE MISSING VICTIM

As of 2am AEDT Monday this is the vicsims list: Mucad Ibrahim, 3

Abdullahi Dirie, 4

Sayyad Milne, 14

Khaled Mustafa, 45, and son Hamza, 16

Naeem Rashid and his son Talha, 21

Tariq Omar, 24

Ozair Kadir, 24

Syed Areeb Ahmed, 26

Ansi Alibava, 25

Ramiz Vora, 28

Farhaj Ahsan, 30

Mojammel Hoq, 30

Atta Elayyan, 33

Hussein Al-Umari, 36

Mohammed Omar Faruk, 36

Junaid Ismail, 36

Osama Adnan Abu Kwik, 37

Zeehan Raza, 38

Kamel Darwish, 39

Dr Haroon Mahmood, 40

Husne Ara Parvin, 42

Syed Jahandad Ali, 43

Mohammad Imran Kahn, 47

Mathullah Safi, 55

Amjad Hamid, 57

Lilik Abdul Hamid, 58

Arifbhai Mohamedali Vora, 58

Ghulam Hussain, in his 60s

Karam Bibi, in her 60s

Musa Vali Suleman Patel, 60

Abdelfattah Qasem, 60

Ashraf Ali, 61

Mohsin Al-Harbi, 63

#### Linda Armstrong, 65

Maheboob Khokhar, 65

Muhammed Abdusi Samad, 66

Ali Elmadani, 66

Mounir Soliman, 68

Ahmad Gamaluddin Abdel Ghani, 68

#### Hussein Moustafa, 70

Abdukadir Elmi, 70

Haji-Daoud Nabi, 71

#### **Still Missing:**

Zakaria Bhuiyan

Miles: Wait. Linda **Armstrong**? Really? Now we just need a Ruth Custer or a Delilah Roosevelt. I would say Ms. Armstrong is on this list because she needed to disappear and cash out on a life insurance policy. Was it double indemnity? Was it with Lloyd's of London?

That whole list looks like a joke. Sayyad Milne? Why not Yasser Conan Doyle? And Osama Kwik? Get the joke, people. That's like Muammar Hershey. Patel is the guy who wrote *Life of Pi*. Imran Khan is the Prime Minister of Pakistan, a former cricketer and current spook. And remember that shooter Tarrant visited Pakistan last year. Someone somewhere is having a good laugh with this list, and it should be you.


All but one of them are foreigners to New Zealand who despite having been in the country for an average of five years have never learned the English language or bothered to obtain a New Zealand passport. I repeat: of the 50 "victims", 49 are not only *not* native to New Zealand, they have **foreign passports.** All of these 49 are "refugee" status immigrants. It also means no one outside the supposed local Muslim community knows who the hell any of these people are.

And notice how elderly most of the victims are. We have seen this in many fake events. They're of the sort of age where bodies would be quite readily available from local hospitals and morgues. Immigrants, of which New Zealand has received a flood, are hospitalised at vastly greater rates than native New Zealanders. Many elderly immigrants are allowed to more or less live at a hospital, taking up a desperately needed bed for the genuinely sick. But the advantage for the authorities is that these immigrants are "on tap" as needed and since they are elderly many of them die in hospital of natural causes or infections they contract from the hospital itself. Not difficult to slot them in as "victims" when genuine dead bodies are required.

#### THE MOSQUES

There's an enormous problem with believing these mosques could be targeted by a shooter. They were both under constant surveillance by law enforcement and intelligence agencies. In addition, both of the mosques were allegedly terror cell training and recruitment centres.


# HE DIDN'T JUST PICK A RANDOM MOSQUE



But don't take my word for it. Let's see what a gay Jewish actor spook has to say:

...

The Al Noor mosque in Christchurch targeted by Brenton Tarrant produced at least two terrorists, from a very small congregation: al-Qaida recruit Daryl Jones, killed by a drone, and Christopher Havard. The parents of these boys say their sons were radicalized by extremist preachers at Al Noor. The boys were in Yemen with the guys who trained the Charlie Hebdo killers. A 2014 story at Stuff.co.nz (now scrubbed from the internet) quotes a mosque attendee who says, "A visiting speaker from Indonesia talked about violent jihad and plenty shared his views." Three questions: How many news reports have you read these details in? If you'd known that this mosque was a terrorist factory, would it have changed your feelings about the news at all? Finally, how does it make you feel to learn that the media has been not just ignoring these facts but deleting stories to hide them over the past 24 hours?



That just means the mosques were already Intel fronts, of course. Tarrant probably had his own cubicle, mat, and hotplate there.

The funny thing is, these local boys Jones and Havard (both of whom had already received government military training) joined non-English speaking mosques. The compounds hosted international Islamic guest speakers, giving secretly recorded talks that were always of the most inflammatory and violent nature. So it makes perfect sense that two local white boys would front up, right, completely unable to speak the languages used, then convert to a totally alien religion, and THEN somehow manage to fly not just to the Middle East but into the waiting arms of Al Qaeda.

Unfortunately, just like ISIS, Al Qaeda is also a creation of the CIA/Mossad. So basically two white mercenaries were added to the ranks of the fake Islamic terrorists. From these same two mosque compounds.

#### MICHAEL BUSH

Police Chief Michael "Mike" Bush has had a checkered career for decades. He has previously been criticized for running a lawless bunch of thugs and scofflaws who use Chilean death squad tactics and flouting the law at every turn. Let's start with the fantasy:

"his officers had sprung into action straight after attending a training session on how to deal with armed criminals, it was previously revealed. The entire incident, from when the alleged gunman opened fire to when he was brought into custody, took place over approximately 40 minutes.

No, according to the current timeline, it is 21 minutes.

'While we had the offender in custody at the Justice Precinct within 36 minutes, it in fact only took 21 minutes from the first 111 call for the offender to be apprehended at the roadside by the two officers,' Commissioner Mike Bush said." Since there was only one minute between first shot and first call, the time is at most 22 minutes. Not 40 minutes.

https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-6832269/Christchurch-shooter-told-police-bomb-moments-arrest.html

There we find rookies who came straight from a training session on how to deal with armed suspects. So drills were happening prior to and during the event. Exactly like every other one of these mass casualty events: every single goddamned time there's a drill on at the same time.

As with 9/11 which turned Port Authority, Fire Department and Police crooks and corrupt thugs into overnight heroes, so the New Zealand police—locally known as notoriously corrupt despite mainstream media claims otherwise—are now turned instantly into heroic "boys in blue". Unfortunately international observers have found otherwise:

 $\frac{http://www.laudafinem.org/2014/12/16/at-what-point-do-new-zealand-cops-become-disgraced-ex-cops-a-case-in-point-constable-barry-john-clark-sex-offender/$ 

Only creeps, goons and political wide boy's with their own, often nefarious, agendas will ever be seen to stand by laws that are unjust, inequitable or just plain evil, more often than not within the context of their own efforts to delay the inevitable, attempts to cling to power, pervert justice or maintain the status quo. New Zealand's courts have become increasingly unjust, some would argue for years, being either blinded by a police force that has also become increasingly corrupt, arguably worse, willfully blinded by their increasingly hapless efforts to maintain public confidence, perhaps better framed as mass delusion. The discontent of New Zealand's citizenry has however now reached a point that's not to far removed from despair, people are sickened, especially those who number among the victims or have been in anyway connected to someone who has suffered an injustice at the hands of government or the so-called authorities. So what does one do to bring about change, to shake the tree of political apathy, to remove the rotten limbs, to call the police to account? What does one do to bring an end to the constant flow of lies, the deceit, the carefully concealed politically driven cronvism?

This is one big reason why the media can report with a straight face Michael Bush's statements that the shooter being accused of murdering someone who isn't actually dead won't affect his prosecution. Of course it won't! We will be treated to the latest in a long line of fake court proceedings! This ridiculousness has already begun, with the accused shooter being shown in a witness dock instead of an accused dock, dressed in what looks very much like Jewish ritual sacrificial garb – the dress used for the tanist substitute, in other words the FAKE SACRIFICE which is to be swapped at the last minute.

But back to Michael Bush.

https://thedailyblog.co.nz/2015/04/12/an-response-to-police-commissioner-mike-bush/

At the link above is a laundry list of serious criminal offences committed by members of Michael Bush's police force. This endless list is ongoing; as recently as the day before the shooting there was another scandal brewing, now buried.

From the website:

This is pure sophistry. NZers have an authority worship complex, they slavishly side with cops no matter what the issue, and that's part of the problem. Holding up stats that show the sleepy hobbits of NZ are easy to con isn't any proof NZ Police not being corrupt, these are the same sleepy hobbits who elected John Key despite knowing his office colluded with the SIS to falsely smear the Leader of the Opposition months before an election.

What Michael Bush presides over is an institutional police culture of rape, aggravated assault, officers drugged or drunk on duty, and the lack of any prosecutions of serious offences caused or committed by police. But the Christchurch Shooting has had the effect of whitewashing Bush and his thugs.

https://www.nzherald.co.nz/nz/news/article.cfm?c\_id=1&objectid=11427914

Academic Bryce Edwards literally took his life in his hands to denounce Michael Bush and his officers, in detail, in 2015.

- "The failure of the police. A lengthy catalogue of failure, embarrassment and injustice has been building on the police scorecard in recent years. On top of the retrials for the likes of Mark Lundy, David Bain, and Teina Pora, other major policing controversies include:
- Peter Ellis' conviction for sexual offences revealed very suspect methods used by the police.
- The 2007 Bazley Commission of Inquiry into the police showed that the institution has pernicious problems in dealing with women.
- Official reports have been scathing about the Roastbusters investigation.
- The so-called Urewera anti-terrorist raid on activists and communities in 2007 showed, once again, that dissenting voices are clamped down on hard, using unlawful techniques.
- The police fervour against Kim Dotcom has also been telling in apparent deference towards US law enforcers they illegally used the GCSB to carry out surveillance and then unlawful arrest warrants in their over-the-top raid.

Other serious failings have plagued the police, including official criticisms for numerous deaths in police custody, involving inadequate duty of care or overly vigorous restraint. Likewise, police use of firearms, Tasers and high-speed pursuits — with deadly consequences — have indicated an often-cavalier approach.

Historically, New Zealanders have held the police in high regard, and to a large extent that's still true. But that trust does appear to be eroding. When TV3 ran a special on police in 2013, 56 per cent of viewers said the police were losing the public's trust."

Another scandal is at the link below:

http://www.stuff.co.nz/national/4010805/Police-sex-witnesses-denied-compensation

and this:

https://www.nzherald.co.nz/nz/news/article.cfm?c id=1&objectid=10841503

Also notice Michael Bush's last name. If you think he isn't part of worldwide clan Bush, you aren't thinking.

So the reason this hoax event took place in New Zealand appears to be threefold.

First of all, the use of an Australian committing a terrorist act in New Zealand creates the perfect blood libel for the whole of the anglosphere outposts of the Pacific. In one fell swoop the stable peaceful white culture of both countries is likened to Nazi Germany in the public's perception.

This was done in the approved classical Lee Harvey Oswald way, with an agent from the Families painted as a right winger. The manifesto of the alleged shooter overplayed the hand by a large margin, but with social media in lockdown this is now a familiar exercise in propaganda by the compliant press. And besides, NZSIS was bored.

Secondly, the south island of New Zealand where this occurred is becoming infested by Jewish billionaires building bunkers for the anticipated worldwide disruptions and wars. See for example these articles:

https://www.townandcountrymag.com/society/money-and-power/a9408/billionaires-new-zealand/

https://www.thesun.co.uk/news/7027464/new-zealand-bans-foreigners-from-buying-homes-after-billionaires-snapping-up-apocalypse-proof-boltholes-hike-prices/

https://nypost.com/2018/09/06/apocalypse-fearing-billionaires-are-shipping-bunkers-to-new-zealand/

This shooting event was used immediately by the prime minister of New Zealand—herself the beneficiary of an illegal election procedure—to grab all dangerous weapons in the country and especially in the south island. Which means everyone will have to rebuy, enriching the gun companies as usual.

Thirdly and finally, this event was the next and probably final test of the Boston Bombing instant-grow fascism. Remember how the witless people of Boston applauded the unconstitutional invasion of their city by paramilitary and soldiers in armored cars? Remember them cheering as their rights to be protected from illegal stop and search, invasion of their homes and illegal seizures of weapons took place on live television? New Zealand's phony shooting event is the final test to see if the frogs have been boiled alive yet.

I suppose a fourth objective, one doomed from the outset, was to somehow make still homogeneous local white societies in New Zealand and Australia feel sympathy for the Islamic invaders in their midst. On this score it has been a dismal failure. The media will double down but it is causing a backlash in Australia because the propaganda is too crude and in too great a contrast to the experience of the locals forced to live near these people. Australians don't like Christian nutjobs, Jewish self-entitled extremists, *or* Muslims in CIA-front mosques, especially when they all turn out to be Intel actors. This shooting event and its propaganda have in fact hardened people's attitudes, and they will harden even more when they discover it was all theater.

Fifth objective: siphon money from the local treasuries by paying out false claims to fake victims. Also to siphon money from fools donating to post-event charities. Also to siphon money for fake court costs. Also to collect on fake life insurance policies, with institutional insurance fraud. Also to sell more guns. Also to create more fear and instability, as a continuation of Project Chaos. **Actually, that was job one here**. Job two was to blackwash a white male, as part of the ongoing men-are-pigs project. This adds to women's already huge fear

of heterosexual men, dooming the het relationship and guaranteeing continued compensatory spending in the hundreds of billions. Job three was keeping your eyes off real news and real history. Job four was continuing the Theosophy Project, further damaging Christianity through a fake attack on Islam. Job five looks like kicking internet censorship to the next level by starting in tiny New Zealand, via Telecoms blocking sites at will, without notification or due process.

Some of us are boiled yes. But plenty more of us are alive and kicking. And I promise you the enemy can't take too many kicks. How do I know that with such confidence? Because if they had all the power they pretend to, why on Earth would they do ridiculous grand guignol grognards like this Christchurch fantasy?

4chan is missing a quarter of its most active members in the aftermath of this event. Oh the irony—now the spooks on the chans are forced to talk to themselves, and a thousand critical thinkers have had their dummysucking interrupted. Now they are loose on a thousand other websites, thinking furiously about every aspect of the Christchurch First Person Shooter Scam.

And what about you, reading this?

Dissent, or the whole American experience is meaningless and worthless. Kick back against this outrage, fight back against the media. They're going to say you're worse than Hitler (the difference is, you are a real person, I hope, while Hitler was just a gay Jewish actor who faked his death) and steal away your rights anyway, so you might as well fight them.

Part two coming soon.