

CHRISTCHURCH PART 3



by Uncle Owen

First published March 25, 2019

Miles: I just noticed something everyone else has missed. Tarrant has the Hapsburg jaw. And something else: the ***Speedway 1986 South London*** on his jacket. That was before he was born, so we seem to have a clue here pasted on to this hoodie. South London indicates Wimbledon track, which was the site of the April 9 British qualifications that year. If we check the lists for those, we find the runner-up was Andrew Silver, son of promoter [Len Silver](#). See below where Uncle Owen links Tarrant to the Silvers. Tarrant is a Silver on his mother's side, so what we appear to have here is a shout-out from Tarrant or his handlers to some relatives in London.

According to the posted bios, the alleged shooter never had a relationship with a woman, and we will see that he's Jewish, so he appears to fulfill the Miles Mathis Bad Actor Hypothesis: Tarrant may be (yet another!) gay Jewish spook—whose death will no doubt soon be faked. How tiresome. Just to drive it home: the shooter is also extremely short, like all of these other bad actors.

As it turns out, the gym where Tarrant worked as a trainer [is owned by Tracey Gray](#). You just saw that name many times in part 2, didn't you? Also curious: Tracey's daughter is named Moana Gray. As in Aramoana? Why would you name your daughter after the site of a mass shooting? Well, if you are a Gray, maybe you are commemorating the great event.

The shooter's sister Lauren is reported as being a drummer in local bands. This is stonecold BS. She isn't some sort of session musician, she is a wealthy producer bankrolling crummy local bands.

Harrison is the alleged shooter's middle name. It is also the name of a very wealthy department store-owning family who claim to be WASPs. We will see below genealogy links between them

and the Tarrants. If they are WASPS, that would make them the only non-Quaker or non-Jewish people in that line of work in Australian history.

Brenton Harrison Tarrant's parents were divorced early in his childhood, according to his father's obituary in *The Daily Examiner*, of Grafton. Tarrant's mother was not mentioned in the obituary, suggesting she may have been estranged from the father. The lack of mention of his mother also prevents her Jewish bloodlines from receiving any mention. In my research, I found no evidence the parents were actually married, and some indications they weren't. If I can nail that down I will let you know. Sharon Tarrant is quite mysterious, and it possible she isn't who they say she is. That wouldn't really surprise us, would it?

The 9 MSN website news provided this gem:

To Radio New Zealand, Australian *ABC News* reporter Claudia Jambour, who was in Tarrant's home town of Grafton, said, "We don't know if they're (Mr Tarrant's family) is still living in Grafton at this stage, however, like I say, they are very well known in Grafton, Grafton is a quite a small community of about 30,000 people." That's a small community? Where I come from, a small community would mean under 1,000 people.

Jambour continued, "It's a very wholesome, friendly regional community, it's very tight-knit. As the old saying goes, everybody knows everybody in a small town, it's not known for that at all."

They can't have it both ways. If Grafton is such a tight, close-knit community, how did the Tarrant family go missing? Either it's a close-knit community and they know all about the Tarrants; or, as seems to be the truth, the Tarrants are ghosts. This just proves the media outlets can hire people to say anything. Also notice how strange this *ABC* report is. They send a reporter to Grafton, and she admits they don't know anything about the Tarrant family there, down to whether they live there at all. So how is that a story? Shouldn't a journalist wait to file a report when she has something solid to report? Wouldn't you expect them to interview at least one Tarrant, or other relative from the extended family, as proof they live/lived there? Nope. They interview a couple of strangers who could have been hired from actor's equity, so this whole segment is a waste of film.

The same website claimed that Tarrant's mother is an English teacher. According to my research, there is no English teacher by her name anywhere in the State of New South Wales, let alone Grafton. Also, one look at her waddling out of her alleged boyfriend's house shows her to be (at least) an upper middle-class lady of leisure with a nice watch, expensive pendant necklace, \$500 handbag and an expensive pedigree dog. Not quite the lifestyle of an impoverished teacher. None of it adds up.



And the idea that she is fleeing the spotlight or seeking safety by going into hiding—*while a film crew films her in a floral blouse*—is of course absurd. If you are really going into hiding, you do it at night with a police escort to keep the cameras at bay. You don't just wear dark glasses, you wear a hoodie or shawl and a floor-length black coat or something.

As for the surname Tarrant—the entire mainstream story of the origin of the name is completely false and ridiculous. It is asserted everywhere that it is a name of Anglo-Saxon origin referring to a locality in Dorset. In fact it is nothing of the sort and the two earliest known “English” nobles who gave rise to the surname are both **bankers**: Reginald de Tarenta and John de Tarent.

The name probably refers to **Taranto**, a Phoenician settled colony named after the demigod Taras, a son of Neptune bearing a trident. Supposedly this colony was Spartan. The problem with that is that it was a **merchant shipping** colony from its earliest days and Spartans, rather notably, were land-based. It was Athens that had the fleet, not Sparta. Clearly, it wasn't Spartans sailing the ships so expertly.

This sailing and trading didn't stop when the Tarrants arrived in Australia either. George Tarrant, aged 36, a shepherd who arrived in South Australia in 1851 aboard the ship *Oregon* according to local records, is the first Australian ancestor of the shooter. We can assume the “shepherd” is a euphemism for “millionaire rancher”.

These Tarrants intermarried with the **Lindsays, Stanleys, McGregors and Smiths**. These Smiths would be unremarkable except they all have first names like Israel... And Renee! This Smith family was in fact Barr Smiths, one of the richest families in South Australian history, intermarried with all the owners of the gigantic sheep stations who controlled Australia's economy from 1900 to 1950. No simple folk these.

By 1900 the Tarrants were in Coolangatta, Adelaide, Perth and Sydney, with another family group in Melbourne.

And now what you came for: [Heavy.com admits](#) Tarrant's mother's maiden name was Sharon Fitzgerald. The shooter's grandmother is named... Marie **Joyce Fitzgerald**.

<https://www.stuff.co.nz/national/111355390/christchurch-shooting-gunmans-family-sorry-for-terror-act>



That's uncle Terrence Joyce Fitzgerald with his mother Marie Joyce Fitzgerald. Given what is known of the prominent families, Joyce isn't a normal middle name, it's a transported surname, and obviously one very important to them. **Think spook writer James Joyce.**

And remember how the shooter comes from a poor working-class family? Not according to his cousin, [who is one of the very wealthy Coxes!](#)

The cousin of the man charged with murdering worshippers at two mosques in New Zealand says her 28-year-old relative 'deserves to die'.

"He deserves the death penalty for what he's done and that hurts me to say that because he is family," Donna Cox from New South Wales told *Sunday Night*. "But for somebody that has taken that many lives from so many people it's only fair that he deserves the same thing."

Ms Cox said Brenton Tarrant came from a "very respected" family.

"His mum, his dad, were pretty high in the community here," she said.

So the shooter / actor is a Fitzgerald, Cox, **Joyce** and Tarrant! But hold on—how can a garbage man and a morbidly obese English teacher be "high in the community"? It's one or the other: lowly working class schlubs or high-ups. Hoi polloi or hoity-toity. But they keep trying to have it both ways! And the Cox branch of the family is risking blowing the whole operation out of their arrogant desire to assert how well respected, ie stinking rich, the family really is! But who can blame them, their families are listed on a website called "Australian Royalty"!

<https://australianroyalty.net.au/individual.php?pid=I44683&ged=purnellmccord.ged>

Their other cousins include **Woolfs**, **Silvers**, **Harrisons** and **Bryants**.

Given names	Surname	Birth	Place	Death	Age	Place
Henry James Barber		5 January 1839	Greater Sydney, New South Wales, Australia	11 November 1891	52	Greater Sydney, New South Wales, Australia
Robert Bridle		1790 (estimated)		1853	63	Liverpool, Greater Sydney, New South Wales, Australia
Mary Elizabeth Bryant Mary Elizabeth Woolf Mary Elizabeth Silver Mary Elizabeth Tarrant		9 April 1875	Alexandria, Greater Sydney, New South Wales, Australia	1 July 1947	72	Kempsey, Mid North Coast, New South Wales, Australia
Sophia Cavanough Sophia Everingham Sophia Dennett Sophia Woolford Sophia Hilton		22 April 1853	Greater Sydney, New South Wales, Australia	8 August 1926	73	Greater Sydney, New South Wales, Australia
John Colquhoun		22 October 1855	Kincumber, Hunter, New South Wales, Australia	1 September 1935	79	Pagewood, Greater Sydney, New South Wales, Australia
Catherine Delaney Catherine Green Catherine Bambridge						

<https://australianroyalty.net.au/family.php?famid=F20958&ged=purnellmccord.ged>

Yes, you saw that name too, didn't you? This is indeed the same clan that **Martin Bryant** is part of by both birth AND adoption. He was the fake mass shooter at Port Arthur in 1996. Now his cousin twice-removed with the similar -ant ending name has done the same in New Zealand. What are the odds? **See below for more on Bryant.**

Also worth noting that the Silvers, Mills and Woolfs have given more than their fair share of family members to the most senior levels of the police. And the Silvers intermarried with **Busches**—or is that Bushes? Small world.

Once again giving the lie to the “poor working class” nonsense, there is no poor person in the entire 19th century family tree. They are pastoralists (rich farmers), mill owners (Jewish), store owners (Jewish), textile importers (Jewish) and “businessmen”. After that they are professionals, police, store owners and in two cases LOTTERY OWNERS, licensed to run ticket lotteries which are government-sanctioned rackets.

Theodore Silver and **Moses** Woolf each married a Bryant, and the Silvers married into the **Rivkind** dynasty in Shanghai, China. The Woolfs married back into the Tarrants – or simply changed their names. [Rene Rivkin](#) was born in Shanghai, so the Tarrant/Fitzgerald family appears to link to this famous Australian crook as well. Rivkin is admitted to be Jewish and Woolf is a common Jewish name. So is Silver. We have a pile of evidence in that direction without looking very far.

One interesting snippet from the geni sites is that a Tom Tarrant was a coach driver in the mid-1800s New South Wales. He successfully robbed the deliveries in his own coach with the help of his wife and reported it to be the work of bushrangers (highway outlaws in outlandish costumes). He was suspected but never prosecuted. He died a very wealthy man and his son, also called

Tom, became a “headmaster”, ie a wealthy landowner with a school on part of his gigantic estate. The claim is that these Tom Tarrant claimed descent from a poor convict sent to Australia in the 1790s, but the dates don’t match.

A Tarrant also ran the first incarnation of the Sydney Ducks (inspiration for the Mighty Ducks), a gang of mostly homosexual bandits who were the most successful and ferocious gold thieves in California, based in pre-earthquake San Francisco. Small world.

http://www.mudgeehistory.com.au/cob_and_co/cobandco_andthegoldrush_p4.html

The Tarrant coach owners (the equivalent in wealth to American railway owners) supposedly leased land from... the Cox family. Small world.

The Tarrants were also wealthy enough to have a TWELVE HORSE carriage, and they transported the two most valuable commodities of the time, wool and gold. From the link above:

Added information from Liz at that link:

I am writing in reference to Thomas Tarrant (my great great grandfather)- his father (also a Thomas, was a convict who settled in Mudgee after his wife died in Richmond he died in Mudgee in 1861) - I am sorting out a geneology book for the family, and Thomas Tarrant (the coach driver) probably interests me more than any others, so I am back on his track again. He married an Eliza Eames in 1856 at the old Wesleyan Church in Mudgee , they lived at Burrundulla on leased land from the **Cox's**. but I was wondering about something else - Thomas ran a carrier business and was the forwarding agent on the Mudgee to Capertree run, his coaches ran from Mudgee to Hill End in the 1870's and drove the **gold** (escort) coach from Gulgong.”

These families all pled poverty and disguised their fabulous wealth by listing a minor kind of trade related to their industry, such as groom, coach driver, station master, innkeeper. What is quietly mentioned or covered up is that they are a groom only in the sense of occasionally brushing one of their entire stable of horses, or that they sometimes rode on one of the innumerable horse coaches they built and owned, or that they were the master of a station that they owned, in a town that their family built. As for the lowly innkeepers – they *owned* the inns, and the breweries, and the delivery coaches. And they still do, with updated technology.

Which brings us back to the father of the suspect, Rodney Tarrant. We find from the common bios that he completed his Grade 10 cert at Saint **Aloysius**. See Miles' [papers on Hitler](#) to discover why that is a clue. Although he allegedly died in 2010, he has his family tree at Wikitree guarded by a **Kennedy**:

Profile manager: Rod **Kennedy**

Profile last modified 18 Mar 2019 | Created 16 Mar 2019 | Last significant change: 18 Mar 2019 21:42: Rod Kennedy added Rod Kennedy as manager for profile of Rodney Tarrant (abt.1961-2010). **Note that this profile was created the day after the event.**

Much of my information was found at this free Wiki page <https://www.wikitree.com/genealogy/FITZGERALD> which I have saved on my computer, should it get taken down.

<https://australianroyalty.net.au/individual.php?pid=I16421&ged=purnellmccord.ged>

Australian Royalty also gives us some good clues. There you see that our Tarrant's descend from Decimus Tarrant, father of coach business Thomas Tarrant, who is the great-grandfather of Rodney Tarrant. This means the suspect is the 3g-grandson of Decimus.

This may be taking it too far, but the administrator / gatekeeper of the genealogies at Wikitree is Rod Kennedy. His profile photo is very old, 1970s vintage, but he bears a strong resemblance to Rod(ney) Tarrant. Is Rod Kennedy actually Rod Tarrant? Rod(ney) Tarrant would be just 59 or 60 if he didn't in fact die when his death was announced. I do not think that is taking it too far, since that is exactly what I thought when I saw the two Rodneys. In fact, I don't think Uncle Owen took it far enough, which is why I went back to that free page on the Fitzgeralds at Wikitree myself—finding a lot more information. For instance:



Remember that photo I posted in part 2 of Jacinda with a hook nose? It was taken from this larger photo. That woman in the middle is Patsy Lee Reddy, current Governor-General of New Zealand. Well, on that very Fitzgerald page at Wikitree, we find a [Patricia C. Reddy](#), formerly Fitzgerald, mother of Francis J. Reddy. So Patsy Reddy is also likely a Fitzgerald, and if she is, she and Jacinda are cousins once-removed. Surprisingly, Reddy's father but not her mother is scrubbed at Geni.com. Her mother is given as a Baylis. We do know Patsy Reddy is from famous and connected lines, since they admit she is related to singer Helen Reddy. Her husband Sir David Gascoigne is a Commander of the Order of St. John of **Jerusalem**. This is a spin-out of the spooky Knights Hospitaller, out of Malta. Malta is the same red flag Jerusalem is. Besides Jerusalem and Malta, these Knights were also headquartered in Rhodes and St. Petersburg, giving you four clues in one.

We also find a Catherine **Lyons**, formerly Fitzgerald, of Parramatta, NSW, mother of an Alma who married a Duggan. That links us to the Lyons in many papers, including the Lyon in part 2 of this series.

We also find an Honora **Graham**, previously Fitzgerald, husband Graham scrubbed but linking us to all the Grahams in this series.

We also find Fitzgeralds on this page related to Coffin, Boothe, Moffett, Stewart, **Cleveland**, Eldridge, **Hoffman**, Pillsbury, Brewer, Buckingham, McKenna, Nicklaus, **Herbert**, **Cox**, Chiconi (think Madonna), Hickey, Tarrant (of Illinois) and through them to Schehl and Clements, Leavitt, **Murray**, Webster, **Campbell**, **Arbuthnot**, Hart, Dougherty, Barrett, Jacobs, Saunders, and **Bryan and Harrison**. [Through the Harts](#), it appears that our NZ Fitzgeralds have links to Grand Rapids, Michigan. Joyce Dougherty (b. 1940), formerly Fitzgerald, is active at Wikitree, and may be one of the NZ Fitzgeralds. In fact, she is the right age to be our Marie Joyce Fitzgerald.

I hope you noticed something strange. Rodney Tarrant's pages are guarded by a Kennedy, as I said. That is because they are Fitzgerald pages. But it is the suspect's *mother* that is supposed to be a Fitzgerald, according to Heavy.com, not his father. It looks to me like those Fitzgeralds on the couch above are really relatives of Rodney Tarrant, not Sharon Fitzgerald. Which could mean one of two things: 1) the suspect's parents are near cousins, 2) Sharon Fitzgerald is not really Sharon Fitzgerald. They have fudged her maiden name, to avoid telling you something very big. I am chasing that down and may have more for you in the near future.

Here is something I found proving the Fitzgeralds are on the paternal side: Rodney Tarrant's mother was Joyce Knox, note the Joyce again. Well, the Knox family [is closely related](#) to the Kennedy-Fitzgerald clan. Even better, we find that for some unstated reason [these Knox-Fitzgeralds adopted out a son to Christchurch](#). **Also note the father's middle name: Herbert.**

Last word from the family tree websites:

No known carriers of Rodney's Y-chromosome or his mother's mitochondrial DNA have taken yDNA or mtDNA tests and no close relatives have taken a 23andMe, AncestryDNA, or Family Tree DNA "Family Finder" test.

That can't be reconciled with the Fitzgerald and Joyce pages because both of them began as auto-generated pages based solely on DNA test results. So how did people who never took the tests end up on pages created from those tests? Rod Kennedy put them on there, presumably. Why on Earth would he do that without inside knowledge or a desire to deliberately obscure?

And in case you missed it in the rush, even without Rod Kennedy, we have proof here Tarrant is related to the Kennedys through the Fitzgeralds. Those Fitzgeralds listed at Wikitree aren't just any Fitzgeralds of Ireland and NZ. They are JFK's relatives.

But in Christchurch, the Fitzgeralds are more important, now and in the entire history of the island. See [James Edward Fitzgerald](#), the founder of Christchurch and the first Prime Minister of New Zealand. He owned the newspapers and the banks and the police. There is a statue of

him on Castel Street. He is Mr. Christchurch, basically, so to find Fitzgeralds involved in this shooting hoax is definitive. As soon as the locals heard a Fitzgerald was involved here they should have known the score immediately. Fitzgerald is the bastard who led the genocide against the Maori, so if you want to know the real story of the history of New Zealand, ask them. They probably know this shooting is just another conjob from the white people. Amazingly, Wikipedia is still trying to whitewash him as a supporter of Maori rights, though I don't know who would be stupid enough to believe at this point. It would be like trying to teach people Custer was fuzzy with the Lakota.

Does Fitzgerald have the Jewish nose in spades? You tell me:



He forgot to put his hand in the vest there. His wife was Frances Erskine Draper, and of course the common bios fail to tell us who she was. Many of the Drapers are scrubbed at the peerage, and we can tell since they come out of nowhere, being listed despite not being linked to peers. The line appears, then disappears, then reappears for no reason. But they were among the first baronets created, related to Beresford, Beauclerk, **Carrey**, Paget (Anglesey), Carnegie, Gooch, **Grey**, Vere, **Middleton**, **Armstrong**, Lacy, **Beerbohm**, Montgomery, Mason, Barrington, Hawkins, **Kerr**, **Ritchie**, Johnstone, and Tudor. But it was her name Erskine where the real gold was buried. They were the Earls of Kellie and the Earls of Buchan. They were the Barons of Restormel Castle and the Barons of Rerrick. They held many baronetcys over the years, including Cambo and Alva. They were closely related to the **Husseys**, Pratts, **Sharpes**, **Grahams**, Stuarts, Forbes, **Lindsays**, Palmers, Pierrepoints, Montagus, Grahams, Dundas, Campbells, Flowers, and **Allens**.

CONCLUSION: I have shown you a lot of evidence that what we have here is a rather large cast of top Jewish families, wealthy from the day they set foot in Australia, sending a branch of

the family Rothschild-like to Ireland to then claim that is where they came from and that they are good Irish Catholics. But there isn't a Catholic (or Christian of any kind) in 250 years of their family trees! That takes some doing, and shows that this is the predominant Jewish clan in Australia, crossing both sides of the line between legality and illegality and involved in two serious fake mass shootings! Far more than two.

We have a small group of these current families, all closely related, all working secretly behind the scenes to manufacture events in Australia and New Zealand (including Tasmania, where we saw many of the same surnames involved in famous shootings, as well as at MOFO and MONA). They aren't just related through some distant ancestors in the peerage, they are all first and second cousins, down to the copy boy at the newspaper. The only people in this event who were not first cousins were the Muslims they hired from the mosque, and a majority of those are probably Jews in turbans as well. So this whole event is a crashingly obvious fake, and it is currently crashing around their feet. They seemed to have seen that coming too, which is why they have clamped down on the media like never before. They are trying to prevent analysis, but even now you can't prevent people from seeing and thinking. The word is quickly spreading, and—as in the US—the event will backfire on them. People in the US aren't doing much yet, but most of them know the score. The ones not publishing on the internet are talking quietly among themselves, biding their time.

The Governors would be smarter to withdraw, backing off their schemes for a while, but they aren't getting that message. They continue to accelerate and amplify the hoaxes, getting sloppier at the same time that they are getting louder. I can't predict where it will all end, but it doesn't look good. There is a wall somewhere, and they seem to be attracted to it like moths to a flame.

Uncle Owen signed out. Miles here, skipping the green from here on. Since Uncle mentioned the Port Arthur fake, I want to hit that really quickly. You can see why I would take exception to this fake especially, given what the shooter allegedly looked like:



Wavy blond hair: that could be my cousin. You see what they are doing here, don't you? It is like what they first did with [Ted Bundy](#): purposely blackwash an attractive guy, to mess with

women's heads. They want straight women thinking they can't trust anyone, even the boy next door, the guy walking his dog, [or Santa Claus](#). Charles Manson wasn't doing the job in that regard, because most women wouldn't be attracted to him to start with. But Ted Bundy or Martin Bryant: that's another matter.

I encourage you to read closely the page on the Port Arthur massacre at Wikipedia, including Bryant's page. It reads like complete fiction. None of it makes any sense and it is full of gaping holes. Bryant was admitted to be a rich boy from a rich family, but we are told he got his money from an older female friend who died in a car crash. How did that work? She drew up a will leaving everything to this rich young friend—just for his looks, I guess—and then miraculously died. I guess she knew she was going to die in a car crash, and wanted to be prepared. . . as people do.

On Bryant's page, we are told he had an IQ of 66. That would make him clinically a moron. Unfortunately, you can tell these people just by looking at them, and Bryant looks nothing like a clinical moron. To me, the look in his eyes reveals an *above-average* IQ. We are also told he was violent and mean. Just the sort of young man a woman would leave all her money to, right? Older women love to adopt catatonic, violent, autistic young men, and buy them 30 cars. It happens everyday, right?

I can tell you from firsthand experience the world doesn't work like that. I used to look somewhat like Bryant, as you know, but I was brainy, sweet, considerate, and easy to talk to. Still mostly am. Yes, I have had older women drawn to me, but not once did one of them proposition me, buy me anything expensive, ask me to move in, or will me anything. That is strictly Hollywood and dime-store novels. You will say my mistake was *not* being a violent moron. Possibly.

As with Adam Lanza of Sandy Hook—[who we now know didn't exist at all](#)—Bryant was said to be suffering from Aspergers. But we have absolutely no evidence of that. As usual, they just make it up. If they need another story to fill another hole, they make that up too, with no concern for continuity.

Having nothing else to do with his wealth and looks, Bryant decided to buy some semi-autos and become a crazy person. The story of the fake rampage isn't worth repeating, since it follows Langley scripts pretty closely. Nothing is verifiable or makes a lick of sense, and reading it makes you sorry for the people who had to manufacture it. I guess they had hoped to find careers as real writers, but found they hadn't the talent for it. You couldn't get the story structure past your highschool English teacher, much less the leader of a writer's workshop, but here it is, offered by the mainstream as history.

They admit the worldwide media altered photos of Bryant to make him look crazy (in the same way they later did with Holmes, the Batman shooter). Also from Wiki:

There were also questions as to how the photographs had been obtained. The Tasmanian [director of public prosecutions](#) warned the media that the reporting compromised a fair trial and writs were issued against the [Hobart Mercury](#) (which

used Bryant's picture under the headline "This is the man"), [The Australian](#), [The Age](#) and the [Australian Broadcasting Corporation](#) over their coverage.

The writs never went anywhere, because we *know* how the photos had been obtained: there is a direct pipeline between Intel and the press, and the same people who manufactured the event supplied these manipulated photos to the media. But you should be astonished they are giving you the clue at Wikipedia nonetheless: they are *admitting* it is against the law to release jail or courtroom photos to the press except under tightly defined conditions. They are even telling you why: because in normal circumstances it might compromise the prosecution, by interfering with jury selection and many other things. These conditions are not the discretion of any judge, but must be decided by legislatures. I covered all this in [my paper on O. J. Simpson](#). Therefore, when we see these photos being released and then manipulated to sell a story to the public, we should know we are not in the presence of a real trial, a real event, or a real person. We are in the presence of an event manufactured from the ground up by Intelligence.



The same analysis applies to the famous photos of James Holmes and many other alleged murderers. In all cases we are seeing photos we should not be seeing and are being given data we should not be given and are being supplied with testimony and conclusions we could not be supplied with, given a real event. But no one ever bothers to notice that.

Although that by itself should be enough to blow the story for you, most people never question any of this. I daresay many people in Australia and most people in the US don't even know the details of the Port Arthur case, and never did. They just accept it based on the title and conclusion because. . . well, because it is there.

I may unwind Port Arthur more fully another time, but I shouldn't have to. If you can read the Wikipedia pages on the event and not see through them, you need to take a battery of basic courses on reading comprehension, logic, law, and psychology. In fact, I would go so far as to put it this way: if you come out the other end believing any of it, we can tag *you* as having a very sub-normal IQ.

BTW, reports are coming in that I am being blocked in Australia/NZ. Let me know if you are having this experience.

There is now [a long paper on Port Arthur](#) that you can read, proving my points above.