

The Fake 4B Project



by Miles Mathis

First published November 7, 2024

Well well, the CIA wasted no time pushing the Men-are-Pigs/Women-are-Dopes project into the new administration, to keep tensions high and continue to split the sexes. We now see the new [4B Project making headlines](#), and that is about liberal women who are allegedly saying no to sex, dating, marriage, and children, in reaction to the Trump victory. We are told this is to show Republican men voting wrong has consequences.

Many conservative and alternative sites are ridiculing these women, but I didn't see any of them outing it as another fake project, using Intel actresses. How did I know within seconds? Well, I have many intelligent female readers, and they may be able to spot the flaw here faster than the males. That flaw is here: **what about liberal men who voted correctly, Tara Bull? Why aren't you sleeping with them?** You are punishing all men and yourself because some guys you don't know didn't vote right? Yeah, sure you are.

In other places, we see women breaking up with their men for voting Red. OK, so start dating and marrying Blue. Hard to believe they weren't already doing that, if they are so militantly political. So none of this makes any sense from the first word, outing it as a project.

And of course Miss Tara Bull above outs herself *before* the first word, since we can spot the project just from her fake name. She is telling you it is all Bull. Also that her people worship the bull, El,

Ba'al, whatever. Also the 808, which looks like another signal, although we would have preferred 818. And then the finger to her lips in the little inset photo of her, a Phoenician favorite, since it means "shhh, don't tell the secret, that this is all a covert operation". And I'll bet you that ring is also a marker. Looking into it. . . blowing up the photo. . .



Still can't tell for sure, but it looks like a coin, the head of some queen or king upside down in this position, so my guess it is a coin from Malta or something, giving us the usual signal. But I see many others things now as well. It is possible this girl is actually a pornstar, onlyfans girl, or other sex worker, since she talks like a pornstar, has the fried fake blonde hair, and the pornstar fingernails. And the final clue in that regard is her red chin. Why would her chin be chapped from her lower lip on down? I won't tell you, but you can think about it if you really want to know. If we could see the color of her tongue it would help us decide. If that is true, of course means it is very unlikely she will be giving up sex in the near future. She would starve.

Next we find this ClareClearly agent/asset at TikTok, also being shared all over the net.



She leads off this video by claiming an IQ of 130. Unfortunately her looks and voice betray an IQ of about 90. The injected lips and huge amounts of make-up indicate that without further study. She obviously has no taste in clothing either, unless she is still in her Halloween catwoman suit. We know her lips are injected/stung, because there are older videos where they aren't. She's a big Swifty, too, not a common thing among Mensa women. In one older video she [admits she has hated men all her life](#), which sort of contradicts this new video where she is giving them up because of Trump. She says she is dry as a desert, because of politics, you know. Which makes perfect sense, right: I know that my erections rise and fall not due to who I am with, but due to who is in the White House. She's clearly an FBI actress or something, beautiful enough to draw some dudes and cause some problems, but too crazy to make it in Hollywood, like an Anne Hathaway on jelly beans, or *more* jelly beans. Or maybe she is just really good at playing crazy. If that is the case, I would guess her future is in Modern Art. If she isn't acting, and the FBI chose her to just be who she is, her near future is in the sanatorium. Unless she is a really happy lesbian, in which case her cover is blown: she isn't giving up men for 4B in that case, is she?

Added November 12: And now on the other side, [we see](#) famous male agents (probably) paid to say Piggish things, to drive the project forward on their side. Andrew Tate said that the loser women should now make him a sandwich. Obviously a joke, but a bad one, poorly timed. Coming from him, nothing is funny, and especially not now. Nick Fuentes said “your body, my choice, forever.” Not sure what that means, since it could be construed in at least two ways, but again not funny. Just purposely divisive and Piggish. It either means that men decide when to have sex, which is admittedly rapey. Or it more likely means that he thinks the abortion question is completely won, so women will have to do what his side tells them: no more abortions. Again Piggish, and not even remotely true since Trump has no plans to outlaw abortion, or to even push that forward. He has said it up to the States. Jon Miller (not sure who that is) is being republished all over the place saying “women threatening sex strikes like LMAO as if you have a say.” Definitely rapey and definitely not funny and

definitely part of Men-are-Pigs.

Even Bill Burr seems to have joined this current push, since his SNL monologue was the weakest mini-set I have ever seen from him. I mean, I understand why guys are angry, and I have even quoted Burr since he is often funny and right, but one of the things he said on SNL was “ugly women. . . I mean feminists” and then chuckled at his own joke. Almost no one else did. I have attacked the nastier parts of feminism, but I know firsthand that there is no link between feminism and ugly. Or not physical ugliness. Many manifestations of new feminism are ugly, but that is a spiritual ugliness. My mother, my ex-wife, and every woman I have ever lived with or dated are feminists, though all of the “first wave” type. Equality feminists, not gender feminists. They aren't man-haters, they just want to be treated fairly. Who doesn't? Every one of them is beautiful.

Burr then says, in regard to Kamala, “enough with the pantsuits!” He tells us lady politicians need to work it, implies they need to show some leg or cleavage or something. I guess he is happy to see Megyn Kelly, since she is showing a lot of skin these days. I don't mind Kelly showing skin, to be honest, since I am not aware of her taking it too far (though I don't watch TV or keep up). But Burr's comments are again extremely tasteless, since although I paint nudes, I find it appropriate for lady politicians to dress conservatively. The last thing I want to see is Kamala, Nikki Haley, Sarah Palin, Kari Lake, or least of all Hillary “working it”. And that's because I don't want to see Gavin, Vivek, Kennedy, or least of all Trump working it. That is the last thing we need in politics right now, though it is probably coming. So this was the worst possible time for Burr to take his schtick to the next level. He was already right on the edge of sexist, pushing that line hard, and suddenly he decided to jump the shark on SNL a couple of days after the most divisive election ever? Awful timing, and it is hard to believe there were no editors on hand: no agents, handlers, wives, girlfriends, mothers, or Lorne Michaels to put a line through those comments, telling Burr to try again. Burr is a funny guy and he has been useful in the Save the Males response. But this was just a groaner, in my opinion.