

# Two 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit Courts of Appeal?

by Miles Mathis

First published January 11, 2024

In a piece entitled *US Appeals Court Blocks California from Banning Gun in Most Public Places*, we find this as the second paragraph:

**The 9th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals dissolved an order by a different 9th Circuit panel from a week earlier that suspended an injunction issued by a judge who concluded that the Democrat-led state's law violated the right of citizens to keep and bear arms under the U.S. Constitution's Second Amendment.**

What? I can't make sense of that. How many Courts of Appeal do they have in the 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit? Looking it up, we find they have a pool of 29 judges, but they don't all sit for a case. Here is what it says at Wiki:

**By contrast, in the Ninth Circuit it is impractical for 29 or more judges to take part in a single oral argument and deliberate on a decision en masse. The court thus provides for a limited *en banc* review by the Chief Judge and a panel of 10 randomly selected judges.[16] This means that *en banc* reviews may not actually reflect the views of the majority of the court and indeed may not include any of the three judges involved in the decision being reviewed in the first place. The result, according to detractors, is a high risk of *intracircuit* conflicts of law where different groupings of judges end up delivering contradictory opinions. That is said to cause uncertainty in the district courts and within the bar. However, *en banc* review is a relatively rare occurrence in all circuits and Ninth Circuit rules provide for full *en banc* review in limited circumstances.**

That also makes no sense. Why would different sets of judges be picked for the same case? You get a preliminary "review" opinion from one panel, then ditch them for the final appeal? That is guaranteed to create chaos, which is what we see in this current case. Could this be another case of manufactured chaos? My guess is yes. If they wanted to clean this mess up, they could, but they don't want to because then these reports we read in the media might begin to make sense. Citizens could comprehend these legal proceedings and the world might become semi-rational. The governors can't have that. They want you completely confused and dialed-out on all serious questions, allowing them to do as they wish.

This is also interesting: on that page we discover that almost 70% of appellate court decisions are overturned on appeal to the Supreme Court! What!!! That is insane. In any given case, there is only one right answer and only two sides, which means their odds of getting it right are about 50%. Yes or no, guilty or not guilty, plaintiff or defendant. So these judges would be more accurate tossing a coin.

This is not at all what we would expect. In a country of laws, you would expect a set of people schooled on those laws to know them and apply them. It shouldn't be a matter of opinion. The laws are written down on paper, you know. Books and books and books full of them. So to see such chaos at the highest levels is very suspicious. It again indicates this chaos is manufactured, to make the news illegible and the world unfathomable.

---

---

And in other unfathomable news, we find this a few days after the Alaska Airlines incident where the door came off mid-flight:

**During an emergency press briefing, Jennifer Homendy, the chair of the National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB), disclosed that the cockpit voice recorder on the Alaska Airlines Boeing 737 MAX, which experienced a door plug loss mid-flight, had been completely overwritten.**

**Homendy said on Sunday no data was available on the cockpit voice recorder because it was not retrieved within two hours – when recording restarts, erasing previous data.**

If this event were what we had been told, that could never happen. She is lying: do you really think these recorders self-destruct within two hours? What would be the point of that?

**Plane has gone down in the Himalayas, we have two hours to find it before all evidence is destroyed!**

Which means? This event is another fake. It was staged. Readers have already written me showing me evidence in that direction—evidence you can find for yourself without much effort. But why? Why would they want to stage a door flying off in mid-flight, due to a couple of loose bolts? To scare you away from air travel. They want you at home hiding under the bed, eating bugs and digging fallout shelters and hoarding bottled water. They don't want you living a normal life anymore, because the normal life is being saved for rich people. Only they will be flying around, eating meat, drinking good whiskey, and living in real houses. You will be living in high-rise ghettos, on the Chinese model, with 800 sqft. for a family of four. That is if you are allowed to have children. And if your sperm still works.

---

---

Oh, and just so you know, the stuff in Ecuador, including the takeover of the live news broadcast, looks fake as well. Manufactured chaos, created to allow the government to come in with more force and more draconian laws in order to “maintain the peace”. Wag the dog 101, like we have seen in many Hollywood movies. Making those movies was really a mistake, you know, since it shows us the method right on screen. We can usually finger the movie these scripts are taken from. They don't even bother to come up with fresh ones.

---

---

And I love this next story: Iran is warning the US against “adventurism” and threatening us with a warship allegedly armed with cruise missiles:



That is the actual ship. Do you really think that is armed with cruise missiles? That tiny hunk of junk could be taken out in five minutes by an F16. Iran couldn't threaten Zimbabwe with that WWII era fossil. And if Iran did have a cruise missile (they don't), where do you think they got it? This just proves what I told you in my last paper: the whole war in the middle east is scripted, and Iran is reading from the script just like Israel. It's all the usual big fake out, to spend money and keep eyes off other things.