

The Iran War

and other things



by Miles Mathis

March 1, 2026

Before we get to that picture, I want to start by thanking everyone who donated or responded in the latest fund drive. You helped make it the biggest response so far and helped me get through a hard time in my life. I have been offered several nice places to live, but none of them have fit the bill, so you aren't too late if you have an idea. I am not looking for free rent, just a roomy place with a safe space for cats. So I can't live on a busy street. I prefer old houses that haven't been turned to plastic (smelly new carpeting and vinyl floors, for instance) and haven't been visited by Orkin in a long time.

OK, now to that photo, which leads a story today with the title

Britain, France and Germany Say They Could Join Attacks on Iran Through 'Necessary and Proportionate Defensive Action'

What? Defensive action? Was Iran attacking Britain, France, or Germany earlier this week? I must have missed that. Is Iran doing bombing runs now over Britain, France, or Germany? No, so how exactly is this “defensive”? AI doesn't seem to know what words mean. It may wish to go back to first grade and start over.

And look at those three goons. Do you really think they are talking about war on Iran? They appear to be laughing about who is wearing the poofiest poof-tah outfit. Starmer is saying, “You think we look

bad, you should see Justin Trudeau! Hahhahah.” He seems to be taking a cue from Zelenskyyyyy, but going for black instead of olive drab for maximum poof. A collarless muscle shirt with a long chain? Since when did heads of state start wearing that? Is he on PEDs now, like Bezos and RFK? Will we have to see him shirtless in coming months? Oh, joy, let me mark my calendar. And how claustrophobic is that room? Grey curtains in front of a white board? A six-foot door? In the US they are normally 6'8”, and Europe is known for its larger doors in the old buildings, with 8 foot clearances or more. Merz couldn't even get through that door. And the table is what, two feet from the door? Was this staged in a closet?

[Later: I am told [it is on a train to Kiev](#) last year. But that doesn't make any sense, either. What is this, Yalta Conference II? Why would the leaders of the three biggest European countries be on a train to Kiev? They just wanted to create an easy target for a suitcase bomber? Do you really think these bozos take trains those distances? No, they fly. This is obviously staged. That isn't on a train. There is no track sound or shaking. Even the TGV makes noise.]



Speaking of staging, I now think the B2 bomber is a fake. I have mentioned it before, but [go here](#), where *Gateway Pundit* is selling a video of the B2 along with many other outlets, mainstream and alternative, as part of the Iran story. And look closely at the photo above, which came up near the top on a normal search. That's fake. Notice how the little men at the bottom repeat, and the shadows don't match, like the fake Moon landing photos. The plane is casting a noon shadow while the men are casting early morning shadows. Plus, the men are too small.

As for the video, it is a 25-second promo video from the Pentagon, which the pundit is selling as “epic”, but which is instead pathetic and transparent. If anything, it proves the plane is a fake. The first half of the video is the plane in a dark hanger, then we cut to some flying footage which is clearly CGI. What? Does that look epic to you? That is the best they can do after decades? I say it is another \$2 billion boondoggle, billing you for something that exists mainly as a model and mock-up, with near-zero functionality.



How about that fake? Lucky the bomb just happened to drop where all the writing is dead center, for your easy reading. What are the odds? And those sure are some big drop doors, eh? They make the plane look small. Seems like the wind would rip those doors right off, doesn't it? You wouldn't expect drop-down bomb doors for a plane that flies at those speeds, or for a plane that is allegedly stealth. You would expect sliding doors. Details.



How do you like that one? Even a monkey could tell that is fake. Only a retarded AI could pass that one for release.

Yes, 19 of the planes do exist, and you can see them on their “elephant walks” on Youtube. But even that is a clue, since they are pretty much admitting these things are white elephants. You may have thought these planes are very fast, but they have a claimed top speed of 630mph, about the same as a 727, and even that is doubtful. I suspect they keep them at low speeds to keep from breaking up, since they are basically Hollywood models. They have no nuclear payload, since nukes are fake as well. What you are getting for your \$2 billion apiece is stealth, but that is BS as well. The plane is said to absorb or deflect radar, but since there are many other ways to track aircraft, that is pretty much meaningless even if it is true—and it mostly isn't true. Most of the “stealth” is just painting it black and making it thin, which has nothing to do with absorbing or deflecting radar. And it isn't thin as seen from the bottom, where it is being tracked. It isn't being tracked from the side, is it?

You will say it doesn't matter since they haven't been built since 2000. Actually, Air Force plans to replace all of them starting in 2032, so start saving your lunch money now. They will be \$4 billion apiece by then, times 20 planes, so \$80 billion for pretend bombers.

[**Added a few days later:** So this fake war is being staged to sell you that. You can now see all kinds of fake AI video on Youtube of B2s over Iran, escaping missiles and so on. Another reason it is being faked is to “quadruple the production of bombs and missiles” by the Pentagon. So add that to your tab. What else? How about the sharp rise in gasoline prices caused by the fake blockade in the Hormuz Strait. So this is a big gift to the oil industry as well. So cosy. A fourth reason is to create a steep rise in fear porn, as they tell you this will spill over in the US, with a bunch of Muslim terrorist sleeper cells waking up to create havoc in your hometown. They have already started faking that, see the event in Austin. To go with that they have a bunch of planted agents on the internet and TV telling you this is the beginning of endtimes, just as Nostradamus predicted, etc., with Iran winning the war, the US collapsing, and the Anti-Christ arising. So buy more guns and prepping gear and make sure you have the bunker fully stocked with Spam, Cheezwhiz and Ozempic.]



That led stories today, with this caption:

Image Credit: Sepehr-M / Middle East Images / AFP via Getty Images

So Infowars didn't make it up. See a problem? It's CGI. Does that green building look remotely real? No.

Below that Infowars [shares a video from Clash Report at X](#) that is also clearly AI. Some fake pixellated Irani leader yapping about something. Is anybody buying this? One way or the other, no, since we find that more than 70% of Americans are against this war, even the ones who think it is real. BOTH parties are against it. Not good optics for Trump and the Republicans before the midterms, is it? No, because it is not meant to be. The country is run by the CIA for the major families, and they hate the Constitution above all other things, except maybe you. They hate having to have a President and a Congress and courts, so this is what they do: they install some bozo from one party or the other and then spend the next four years grinding him down to ash. It is all a planned fail to eventually wean you off the whole idea of a Constitutional Republic.



That's how Iran is responding to the attacks. No seriously, that is really part of the worldwide news cycle. [Iran is creating Lego cartoons to sell their counter-attacks.](#) The White House is leading its PR campaign with Grand Theft Auto cartoons. Pretty much proves the news is for children now, since they are the only ones who might believe it. Also proves the scriptwriters consider this whole war a big joke.

And in other news, Megan Kelly posted an old pic of Bill Clinton supposedly “creeping on a much younger woman.”



Really? All the things Clinton has done in his long sordid life, and Kelly wants to focus on that? All the things going on in the world, and the media wants us clucking our tongues at a middle-age Clinton supposedly looking at a young woman in a sexy top? So many problems I don't know where to start. One, that photo is a paste. Two, the girl obviously wants to be looked at, why else would she wear that flimsy camisole in public? Three, men are *supposed* to look at women, and vice versa. It is called LIFE. Four, [Clinton is gay](#), so if that photo were real, he would be looking there only because he dropped an olive out of his martini.

So this story isn't what it appears to be. It isn't about Clinton, it is about shaming men for looking at women. Any man who looks at a woman is “creeping” on her, according to the New World Order, which is a great way to destroy the heterosexual relationship, the family, and all sanity. This story is just one of millions on the web right now, at Youtube and other places, targeting men and human sexuality in general. As another example, I saw a Youtube short just last night, one that was pushed on me personally, of Andreea Raducan, the young gymnast who won all-around at the 2000 Olympics in Sydney, and jumped into her coach's arms at the end, where he patted her on the butt in full view of the world. The video tells us that kind of thing wouldn't fly now. Probably true, but that just means to me that we are a bunch of frigid tightasses now who can't abide any human contact. The whole world is PTSD from decades of staged events, including Covid, and we are just short of permanently wrapping ourselves in plastic or retreating into private bubble worlds. I certainly don't see it as a sign of progress.

Besides, I shouldn't have to tell you, but pictures like this don't do Clinton any harm with most people. Certainly not with most straight guys, for whom pictures like this act as a rehabilitation of Clinton. Same with the stories of Bill Gates getting caught with Russian hookers, or [Prince Andrew pictured with some 17-year-old hottie](#). They won't admit it maybe, but most straight guys think MORE of Gates and Clinton and Andrew for that, not less, and those pushing these stories know that. These stories make Clinton and Gates and Andrew look almost normal, instead of as the slimy abnormal inverters they clearly are. I don't know what those guys are doing in private, and don't wish to, but I am fairly certain it is far worse than looking down some girl's top, hiring a hooker, or dating a smiling 17-year-old girl.

But one thing is certain: no matter what they are up to, they will never be charged for it, so it is just the usual misdirection. These salacious topics sell, and they know that. They know from long experience this is the best way to get your eyes off the prize. The best way to keep you from reading history or real current events is to keep you reading *The Star* and the *National Enquirer*. Or no, what am I saying, most people can't read anymore, so they have to have Grok read them the *National Enquirer* while they are driving to the gym.