

We Never Touched Bottom with Iran/Contra



by Miles Mathis

First published June 11, 2023

Do you still find that photo convincing, or does it make you want to laugh?

If you don't know what I mean, look closer. It's a paste-up. Easiest place to start? The black guy in the middle at the rear, with the little fro. They have painted a white blindfold in using photoshop, but it is transparent. You can see his eyes through it. Just awful. All the people are pasted in and weren't there together, and you can tell for two main reasons: 1) they are mis-sized, 2) the perspective is wrong. Look at the blond guy behind the tall guy in front. His head is too big and it seems like his face is right on the other guy's shoulder. That's because they are pasting flat images, so any depth of field is lost. It reminds us of the horrible paste-up of Bobby Kennedy at the Ambassador Hotel, on the podium with all the people behind him:



I hit that in my [long paper on the fake Kennedy assassinations](#). Look at all those heads pasted in there willy-nilly, like they had been glued in by a grade-schooler with a pot of Elmer's Glue-all. There is no depth of field, so they all look like they are standing in the same line as Kennedy, crawling up his shorts.

It's been a while, so I will remind you of the main storylines of Iran/Contra. The year was 1985 and Reagan had just started his second term. In 1982 Congress had passed the Boland Amendment to prevent the President from using taxes to overthrow the government of Nicaragua. There was also an arms embargo against Iran, and Reagan was claiming we wouldn't sell arms to terrorists. He had also claimed we wouldn't deal with Middle East terrorists by making deals for hostages. So it was a big deal when the US got caught selling arms to Iran in exchange for hostage releases, and then using that money to illegally fund contras in Nicaragua who were waging war against Nicaragua for the US. Or, it seemed like big news since it was in headlines for two years, but of course nothing ever came of it. Reagan claimed he was in Wyoming at the time riding ponies or ostriches or something and hadn't been read into the script. Secretary of Defense Weinberger also skated, being indicted for minor crimes and then pardoned by Bush, Sr., before the trial even went to court—which is of course ridiculous. You can't be pardoned before you are convicted, since there is nothing to pardon *until you are convicted*. Proof this was all theater. Almost everyone else was also pre-pardoned by Bush, which should have been illegal since he was Vice-President at the time. The Supreme Court should have quashed all these pardons on Constitutional and logical grounds, since the Executive Branch cannot pardon itself. Congress did nothing since they were led by Republicans and would not go after their own. Oliver North and John Poindexter were temporary scapegoats, since they were at first convicted of minor things like perjury and destruction of evidence. But even those convictions were thrown out on appeal for technicalities. It was all a wash.

That was all bad enough, but it was even worse than the historians admit. A whole level down the

rabbit hole was completely ignored. Your first clue comes if you take the “[seven American hostages](#)” link out of the Wikipedia page for Iran/Contra, near the top of the page. There we find there were actually 25 American hostages, and the first two mentioned are CIA agents Buckley and Higgins. They were allegedly killed, so no one needed to bargain for them. But my point is they were *agents*, which should be a huge clue this was faked by CIA. William Francis Buckley was CIA since 1955, and was military retirement age, being 57. He was a **lieutenant colonel**, as you would expect. He came out of Medford, just north of Boston like Salem (where he also lived). He was with the CIA in Mexico in the 1960s. Does any of that sound familiar? No? William F. Buckley? As in William Francis Buckley, Jr., famous head of *The National Review*. He also worked for the CIA in Mexico. His mother was **Aloise Steiner**, daughter of Aloysius, and my readers will know what that means. Jewish. Buckley and his father both changed their middle name later from Francis to Frank, I guess to break this link to our Lt. colonel. Buckley, Sr., was an oil magnate who worked with President Huerta in Mexico, and of course the CIA helped Huerta's rise. Buckley, Jr., went to a **Jesuit** prep school near **Windsor Castle**, telling us how privileged he was. But let's return to Lt. col. William F. Buckley, supposedly resting in Arlington National Cemetery since 1985. We find him at Findagrave, but curiously he has no relatives. No parents. Strange, eh? Or not so strange, since they don't want us linking him to the more famous William F. Buckley. But it isn't that hard to do, since they admit the famous Bill Buckley's dad came from Canada. Well, so did the Lt. col's dad, who [is listed right below him on a search at Bing](#). His grandmother is a Dickinson from New Brunswick.

But this is even easier, since we can do a people search on this Lt. colonel of Medford at Instantcheckmate, [where we find he died at age 74](#) in 2002, not at age 57 in 1985. Confirming that the CIA faked his death for this event.

William F. Buckley
aka: William F. Buckley, William F. Buckley Junior

74

Medford, MA
Somerville, MA
Tewksbury, MA

Catherine Buckley
Eileen Buckley
Kelsey Buckley
Kevin Buckley
Stephen Buckley

*****hall St, Medford, MA

That is not his current age now, since he would be 95 if still alive. The computer has a death notice, just not one from 1985. Also notice that confirms he was a Jr., confirming the William Buckley of Boston and Foxborough, b. 1906, on my Bing link is his father. We can also search on those relatives, finding a Kerry Buckley married to a **Kaufmann**. That confirms our suspicions here once again.

Another one allegedly kidnapped was Terry Anderson, chief correspondent for the **Associated Press**. He just happened to be a former **Marine** who served two tours in Vietnam. He was allegedly kidnapped off a tennis court by the Islamic Jihad at the behest of Hezbollah. Yeah, I bet. Except that I have previously shown [both groups are Mossad fronts](#). The Islamic Jihad is a ridiculous fake organization like the Symbionese Liberation Army, supposed founded by the ghost Imad Mughniyeh. Almost nothing is known of him, but they do give you a big clue: he was born in **Tyre. Capitol of Phoenicia**. Just a coincidence I am sure. His brothers are named Jihad and Fouad. You have to laugh. Although they claim he was from a family of poor lemon farmers, they admit he studied at the American University in Beirut. On a Langley scholarship, perhaps? The Islamic Jihad was founded in 1982, but we have a problem since Imad was just 20 then. He supposedly died in 2008 at age 45 in a car bombing. You do the math. The CIA/Mossad had been trying to capture Imad for 23 years at that

time, with a \$25 million reward, but we are told they couldn't find him. He just slipped all their nets.

Wikipedia now all but admits the Islamic Jihad never existed.

After the MNF bombing, the *New York Times* reported that "Lebanese police sources, Western intelligence sources, Israeli Government sources and leading Shi'ite Muslim religious leaders in Beirut are all convinced that there is no such thing as Islamic Jihad," as an organization, no membership, no writings, etc.[8] Lebanese journalist [Hala Jaber](#) compared it to "a phony company which rents office space for a month and then vanishes," existing "only when it was committing an atrocity against its targets ..."[9]

Hmmm. Sort of like a CIA front, then?

But back to Terry Anderson. After allegedly being held for five years he was released in 1991. He was later awarded \$25 million from frozen Iranian assets and was pictured shaking hands with his captors, whom he has forgiven. So that isn't suspicious at all. Makes perfect sense. His daughter [Sulome](#) married an orthodox Jew and a photo of them kissing was published nationally with the caption "Jews and Arabs refuse to be enemies." What? Are they implying she is an Arab? Yes, because her mother is from Lebanon. But her mother is (allegedly) a Christian and Sulome doesn't look Arab. She looks Jewish, and her name is a variation of Salome, a famous Jewish princess. But I guess no one ever noticed that.



And, let's see, who was Terry Anderson supposedly captured with? That would be another Beirut Bureau chief for CNN, Jeremy [Isadore Levin](#). Oho, you don't say? Another Phoenician? Now we just need a Cohen, a Stanley and a Stuart.

Well, what do you know, another hostage just happened to be David S. Dodge, with the S. standing for . . . are you ready? . . . [Stuart](#). You will say his middle name is a first name. Nope, he comes from the Stuarts of Connecticut, [previously of Scotland and the Royal line](#). He is also of the famous and wealthy Dodges, related to Cleveland, [Reeds](#), [Clements](#), Lows, Pratts, Phelps and [Murrays](#). See [my paper on Mabel Dodge Luhan](#) for more. Dodge was not only the President of American University, he came out of ARAMCO, the Arabian Oil Company. Note the Reed there, since another hostage was

Frank Reed, director of the International School. Another Stuart cousin, I guess.

Another fake hostage was Thomas Sutherland, Dean of American University, released with Terry Waite on November 18, 1991. Chai, aces and eights. Sutherland was born in Falkirk, Scotland, and I guess you remember who the Sutherlands of that area are? We saw them when [I did Keifer and Donald Sutherland](#). They are closely related to the Stuarts through the Leveson-Gores, Dukes of Sutherland. Donald Sutherland is also a Clement through his wife Shirley Douglas, and we just saw how the Clements fold in here through the Dodges and Stuarts. So this is all one-big-happy, as usual. A gathering of cousins. More proof of that is Malcolm Kerr, the former President of American University allegedly murdered outside his office on January 18, 1984, aces and eights. No one was ever caught or tried for that murder and it is still “a complete mystery”. Except to me, since his name tells us everything. His father was Stanley Elphinstone Kerr, giving us three names from the top of the British peerage. The Kerrs are the Marquesses of Lothian, closely related to the Fitzalan-Howards, Dukes of Norfolk, same as Stuarts. The Elphinstones are closely related to all the same people, including the Murrays, Stuarts, Cavendish-Bentincks, Charltons, and Bowes-Lyons, including the Queen Mother.

Remember, [I have shown you](#) the US/UK have owned the Middle East since the time of Lawrence of Arabia—another top peer—and the Phoenicians have owned it for 4000 years or more. So the idea it is aligned against us somehow is just another old joke. The various Shahs and Ayatollahs and Sultans are just [Jews in turbans](#), propped up as our enemies for the day like Russia, China, Cuba, and North Korea. It is all a five-minutes-hate leading to a trillion-a-year defense industry.

So you can see how I knew this list of hostages was a fake at a glance. It is all peerage names and CIA agents. But if there were no real hostages, what does that mean for the Iran/Contra Affair? Well, it means the US military was just selling arms to foreign countries with no Congressional authorization, and not even diplomacy to back it up or justify it. Since Article One of the Constitution gives that power to Congress, we can now see the Iran/Contra Affair as a test of the 1976 Arms Exports Control Act, by which Congress attempted to re-assert its Constitutional power in this arena, after years of bowing to the executive. At the time, the military/CIA thought they could hide these arms sales behind fake hostage transfers, whitewashing them to some extent, but what the spin-out told them is that they didn't even need that. The response to Iran/Contra by Congress and the public told them they could sell anything to anyone with zero oversight. Basically, no one cared. No one was going to do anything, regardless, so there was no piper to pay. Since then, Congress has been defunct in that area and all others, just rubberstamping any and all military or intelligence budgets and sales. Same with the American public, which just stopped caring after Watergate, leading to an anything-goes mentality in Washington. It isn't bread and circuses anymore, it is pizza, beer, and televised sports. As long as the Americans have that, the rest is gravy. Don't ask, don't tell. The thieves don't even try to hide their treasury thefts anymore, just raising the debt ceiling to cover that year's thefts and moving on. Raise everyone's Valium allotment and move on. Which has of course led to bigger and more magnificent thefts every other year, since they aren't even investigated. 911? Move on. 2007 Financial Crisis (aka biggest conjob ever)? Move on. 2020 Covid/Vaccine genocide/rape of the treasury (aka even bigger conjob/theft with mass murder)? Move on. And because we aren't doing anything about that we can expect an even larger and more deadly theft/murder very soon. That is the way these things work, you know: the thieves just keep coming back until you stop them or there is nothing left to steal.