

It Just Keeps Getting Worse for Chomsky



by Miles Mathis

February 6, 2026

In a seminal and now prescient paper from 2015 I outed Chomsky as an Intel asset, and more than a decade later the mainstream is finally catching up to me, admitting I was right and using many of my talking points. Almost no one believed me then, but things are changing. Many of my readers believed me—that is why they are my readers—but none of my local friends or acquaintances did. I was in Taos at the time, very liberal and blue, and people just couldn't process what I was telling them about Chomsky or anything else, since it didn't fit what they had grown up on. Chomsky had fooled most people up to about 2001, but his reaction to 9/11 was so strange it started his long decline to where he is now: with a legacy blowing up in his face just as he prepares to leave this Earth.

Even now, it is hard for me to process that photo above, where an aged Chomsky is chatting with Epstein on the Lolita Express. Why were they photographing this, you have to wonder? Was it a hidden camera? Doesn't look like a paste.

Back in 1982 I never thought to see such a thing. At that time Chomsky was a sparkly intellectual hero of the liberal arts schools, even in places like the University of Texas, where I was. As I have admitted before, I wrote him in for President in my first election, not being able to come up with anyone else. What can I say except I was 21 and very naive. About a decade later I saw him speak at UMASS, and though he was the worst speaker imaginable—being the definition of limp, spiritless and monotone—I still didn't see through him. He said mostly the right things, steering his audience, and I still hadn't punctured my own bubble of gullibility.

Try as I may, I can't conjure up any reason Chomsky would be hanging out with Epstein, other than that he is another sexual deviant of some sort. Are we supposed to think they were discussing generative grammar or western imperialism? That Noam was off to the islands for a snorkel and tan? Can you picture him in swim trunks? I can barely picture him out of a chair.

He certainly failed to do his research here, since—like the rest of these people—he should have known not to mix with the Epsteins and Maxwells. If not because they were perverts then because they were very obvious agents. But as we now know, Chomsky had been hanging with the shady CIA set from the beginning, being a member of it. He was running in these circles by college, if not earlier. He was to this manor born. Or to this temple born. We just don't have pictures of him socializing with these people back in the 1950s or 60s.

As it turns out, [this latest story came out in the *Guardian* two months ago](#), before the latest huge release of documents, but now it has blown up even more, being promoted on the [Jimmy Dore Show on Youtube](#) today, where I finally saw it. Chomsky has said his friendship with Epstein “was a most valuable experience”, even after Epstein was convicted of prostitution with a minor. Really, Noam, in what way was it valuable, exactly? Are we supposed to believe Epstein had a lot to teach him about manufacturing consent? It gives that term a whole new meaning, doesn't it?

The first thing I noticed at Youtube is that Jimmy Dore is still spinning this *for* Chomsky, even while attacking him, saying (min 1:20) that Chomsky was misdirecting on JFK and 9/11 and selling the vaccines “because he had been compromised by the deep state.” That at least gives Chomsky an excuse. He had a gun to his head. Except that, I remind you we found Chomsky was selling “deep state” kool-aid back to the 1960s ([see his stint at CIA-front Ramparts](#)), so it wasn't Epstein that blackmailed him. Supposing he needed any pressure to work for his cousins, that pressure came way way back in his career, sometime in his 20s.

Plus, this line that Chomsky was compromised is contradicted in Dore's very next segment, where he posts the *Guardian* article where Epstein admits he was getting advice from Chomsky in 2019 on how to navigate “the horrible way you are being treated by the press and public.” Again, this is *after being convicted and just months before more sex-trafficking charges*. So we have to ask, 1) Why would Chomsky be doing this in private, since he could easily refuse. The public knew nothing about it. In other words, it wasn't an assignment, it was a personal favor, contradicting the idea Chomsky was compromised. 2) Why would Chomsky privately wish to help out a convicted pimp, and why would he feel sorry for him?

You will say Chomsky is being controlled even here, and they are making it up. Maybe, but they have released actual emails from Chomsky to Epstein, one from February of 2019 (min. 4:07) proving this very point. Yes, they can fake emails in their sleep, but then you have to ask who “they” are. If Chomsky was doing their bidding under pressure, as the theory is, why would they wish to destroy him now? It was clear why I outed Chomsky in 2015: his outrageous gatekeeping since 9/11 and his slandering of all “conspiracy theorists”. His becoming a Pfizer rep in 2021 just cemented that in. But the CIA and other Intelligence is not for 9/11 Truth, JFK Truth, vaccine truth, or anything else, so if Chomsky is being dumped now, who is doing it and why?

I don't know the answer to that, but I point out that this email in question was 2019, and Chomsky was already 90 and not really in his full mind anymore. [As we have seen](#), he has been doddering around for the better part of a decade, saying some pretty idiotic things, as those in their 90s will do. Whoever

wrote that email seems completely out to lunch, not really seeming to know who Epstein is or what his recent bio is. Whoever wrote it talks mainly about himself, having a hard time seeing beyond his own eyelashes. So that also fits a 90-year-old, as well as fitting what we have seen of Chomsky in recent interviews.

My point is, even if this is real, it wasn't exactly fair to print it. It doesn't prove much of anything, other than that Chomsky doesn't retain all his faculties. Chomsky deserves to crash and burn, but not for getting old. Dore should concentrate on things Chomsky said in his prime and in public, not on some private email written from the ventilator.

Plus, it shouldn't even be legal for the government to be publishing private emails like this. Chomsky hasn't been accused of any crimes by the government, so I don't see by what law it claims the right to publish his private emails. Do you cede the government the right to publish your private emails? By that same claim they should be able to open your mail and publish it online, and tape your phonecalls and publish them online. It's just a slow-glide into *1984* tyranny. It is one-step worldwide blackmail, without even having to announce it.

The only thing this latest story proves is that some part of Intel has turned on Chomsky for some reason, deciding to jettison him as unnecessary ballast. I would guess that because they have decided to tank the Dems and move hard right, people like Chomsky aren't really necessary anymore. And since I already destroyed him a decade ago, there was no saving him anyway. He was a dead rat that they didn't even need to kill: they could just sweep him out with the shavings.

Remember that if you are thinking of working for or with these people. This is what you have waiting for you, *even if you do what you are told*. If they are going to destroy you regardless, you might as well NOT DO WHAT YOU ARE TOLD. It is more fun that way, I assure you.

To be fair to Jimmy Dore, he does move on from the email and hit Chomsky for his 9/11 and JFK remarks, starting at min. 9:07, and then his vaccine remarks at min. 14:40, roasting him for all that. In regards to the latter, we see Chomsky talking about the vaccines, and this would have been 2020 or 2021, when he was 92, about a year *after* the email we were just looking at. So again, not in his full mind, but not obviously raving either. He still *seems* rational, but old people can fool you. They speak clearly and at near full-speed, so you don't realize they have mostly left the building.

Except that you can say the same thing about a lot of younger people now, of all ages: they seem rational, but they can fool you.

And the reverse is also true: many of them don't even seem as rational as a 90-year old Chomsky, and they can't fool anyone. Which is precisely why we are ignoring so many of them, from the 25-year-old Billie Eilish to the 97-year-old Chomsky. Just sending the whole lot to spam, along with everyone in Hollywood, all the pop music stars, and the [300 fired editors of the Washington Post.](#)^{*}

Oh, and [Big Tech lost \\$1.35 trillion in market cap](#) over the last week, due to "AI skepticism", so I was [right about that as well.](#)

^{*}They are pretending the *Post* crashed and burned due to Bezos not allowing them to endorse Harris, but that is baloney. It was hemorrhaging readers long before that, like the rest of the mainstream media. All the other

major papers and magazines are quickly downsizing, going bankrupt, or being completely subsidized by the CIA, including the *New York Times*, *LA Times*, *Boston Globe*, *Chicago Tribune*, *San Francisco Chronicle*, *the Atlantic*, *Scientific American*, and all the rest. I assume all print media is now written out of Langley, down to the yoga magazines and *Cat Fancier*.