


The Lindsay Clancy Case is the MOST FAKE of all Time



by Miles Mathis

September 4, 2026 Just my opinion as usual, based on free internet research and protected by the Constitution

I knew it was faked as soon as I learned it was televised. Televised=CIA fake trial. Period. I have proved that many times, starting with [the O. J. Simpson fake](#). It's on CourtTV, a CIA front. Everything on CourtTV is a complete and utter fraud and always has been, filmed out of the CIA's own lots in Studio City or Langley or somewhere.

But this one takes the cake, since it is a blatant test of your legal knowledge, even more than all the previous ones. That is what came out this week, as we learned the jury was deadlocked. I assumed that meant one juror was refusing to convict on the charges, and they require unanimity since it is a murder charge. **But nooooooo.** If you read more closely, the one juror is refusing to vote with defense, to let her off. Which is why defense is throwing a big fit, scolding the judge for not instructing the jury enough on reasonable doubt. Defender/actor Reddington wanted the juror removed *for voting to convict*. But you have to read very closely to see that, since they never tell you that directly.

This is all a joke, since you don't need unanimity to acquit, only to convict. See if you can follow me here: if you need unanimity and 11 of 12 jurors vote to convict, that is a deadlock and the judge can call a mistrial, in which case there may be a second trial. But if 1 of 12 jurors vote to convict, that is not a deadlock or a mistrial. It is an acquittal. No point retrying when arguments only convince one of 12

jurors to convict, right? Yes, a 1-11 vote is technically a hung jury, but no state is going to retry a case like that, so it is as good as an acquittal.

If you don't believe me, see [here](#), a law website that comes up near the top on this question.

A deadlocked jury might occasionally portend good news for the defendant. For instance, the prosecution might decide not to retry the case if 11 jurors voted for acquittal and only one juror chose to convict. As a result, the case can be dropped...

Except that it is even stronger than that, since “occasionally” there applies to the 1-11 vote, which hardly ever comes up. It wouldn't, because it indicates the case should have never been taken to trial. It indicates the grand jury goofed by indicting. The “occasionally” doesn't apply to the decision not to retry in that instance, since a state would *almost always* decline to retry such a case. Not “occasionally” but “almost always”. It would just be waste of time and money to retry such a case. In my opinion, it should be illegal to retry such a case. Beyond the question of double jeopardy, it begs the question of hidden malice from some party. Who is prosecuting such a case and why?

You see, jurors don't vote to convict or acquit. They vote to convict or not convict, with a no vote as good as an acquittal. So if you have 10 of 11 no votes, that indicates no tendency to convict from a jury seated in that jurisdiction. So Reddington should be ecstatic, and the fact we see him throwing a fit and scolding the judge is just pure theatrics. Vaudeville. A real judge would never put up with it. Judge Sullivan should have shut Reddington down immediately and then found him in contempt when he kept it up. Another way we know this is all a CIA fake.

But you should have know it was fake from “hello”. Being drugged up or stressed out isn't an excuse or a defense for murdering three children. Almost everyone is drugged up and stressed out now, so is murder now OK? Does everything go now, with no responsibility. No, had this been real, the mother should have known not to go on ten anti-anxieties, especially since she was allegedly a nurse. Did she or her doctors really believe giving her a bunch of pills was going to solve anything? Did anyone believe mixing ten different experimental medications was the way to go? No. That was a horrible mistake off the top and the person taking the pills has final responsibility for that. Had this been real, the doctors should have been convicted as well, as in the Matthew Perry case, but it wasn't real so this is just speculation. But again, that is another clue: if defense is right and the doctors are responsible, why have they not been charged? Contradictions everywhere. No continuity in this Langley script, as usual.

So who is this defense attorney Kevin Reddington? Must be some kind of spook, right? Looks like it to me. He is 75, so you have to ask why he isn't retired. Don't they have some kind of sunset law on trial lawyers?



We are told he rose to fame in 1989 with the Therese Rogers case, but Wiki doesn't even have a page for it. When you search on it, AI sends you to these recent press releases concerning the Clancy case, where it is mentioned. That's odd. That's only 37 years ago, so Reddington would have been almost 40 then. Not really adding up. He is supposed to have been involved in over 100 murder cases, more than two a year for 50+ years, but a search on the most famous brings up bupkis. Even [a Google search](#) brings up nothing except these links to the BBC 37 years later:

During the case, Reddington threw a pair of ninja stars across the courtroom to demonstrate their lethality. The scars in the wall remained decades later.

Yeah, and you believe that? I think I am going to need to see those scars. What courtroom was that, precisely? And how old was this Therese Rogers when she stabbed her boyfriend in the eyes? You get one guess. 33.

I asked Google AI where I could read about this case, and it told me I would have to go to physical newspaper archives.

Because the trial occurred pre-internet in 1989, there is no single, dedicated website or modern landing page solely for the Therese Rogers case. Most of the original, comprehensive reporting is preserved in digitized newspaper archives rather than live web articles.

That sounds like a runaround, since thousands of cases before 1989 are available at tens of thousands of sites online. I have researched many hundreds myself, and never once have I been told I have to go to paper archives.

But then we come to the clincher:

Another major client of Reddington was Catherine Greig, the girlfriend of convicted killer James "Whitey" Bulger.

Busted! You mean the Whitey Bulger who was an FBI informant and brother of the President of the Massachusetts Senate and President of UMASS? I didn't make that up: it is admitted at Wikipedia. See [here](#) where I out him as a total fraud. A cloaked FBI agent posing as #1 Most Wanted. Also see [here](#), where *The Boston Globe* admits the FBI is hiding all Bulger files, to make it look like he never existed. Pretty much proving Reddington is also a government actor.

A people search on Reddington finds he is related to Schwarz, Maher, and Devine, indicating he is Jewish. Through the Duquettes he is related to the Sullivans, possibly linking him to Patrick Clancy through his sister, as well as to the judge.

What about Judge Sullivan? He must be an actor, too? Yep, looks that way to me. Amusingly, he said yesterday,

Reddington responded by calling Sullivan 'soft' - to which Sullivan replied: "What do you want me to do?! Get a brass band? I read the instruction as written by the Massachusetts Supreme Court. The fact I perhaps did not give it my full inflection, I'm sorry about that, I'm not an actor - I'm giving instruction.

Kind of a giveaway, there. You have to laugh. I shouldn't have to tell you, but in real cases you won't see the judge telling defense he is not an actor.

Sullivan is an old pro at these fake murders defended as “a brief psychotic episode”, since he was the defender in 2013 of highschool football player Nathan Fujita accused of killing his girlfriend Lauren Astley. Hmmm. Astley is another peerage name, think singer Rick Astley, who is related to Eric Clapton.

The two families had known each other for years, he said. “You would walk into the courtroom and just feel the sadness,” Sullivan said.

During the trial, Sullivan did not dispute that Fujita killed Astley, but argued he experienced a “brief psychotic episode” and could not control his actions. The murder made national headlines, and was later featured on the crime show 48 Hours. Sullivan appeared on the show to talk about the mental health aspects of the case.

He told council members he originally was not planning to do the television interview, only agreeing after Fujita’s family requested he be a part of it.

See [this article](#) at the *Daily Mail* from 2013, which is the usual BS. The title is “**Heart-wrenching moment father of dead teenage girl hugs the parents of his daughter's murderer moments after their son was found guilty**”. Right. That would never happen in real life, but the Langley scriptwriters—skunked on fluoride and diesel fumes in their underground bunkers—didn't know that, even before they brought in AI to do this for them.

And guess what? [FastPeopleSearch tells us](#) there is a Lauren Astley, 32 (right age), living near Boston in a \$1.1 million-dollar home right now, with a fake name Camilla Pedroso.

fastpeoplesearch.com/camilla-pedroso_id_G-1077304177600605910

- Birth & Death Records
- Marriage & Divorce Records

- Public Records
- Background Check

[VIEW FULL BACKGROUND REPORT](#)

 Also Known As

Lauren Astley
John Astley
Sarahhels Astley

Camilla Pedroso
Sarah Astley
Betsy Astley

Sponsored Link

Birth, Death, and Divorce Records for Camilla Pedroso in Wayland MA
Sponsored by Ancestry.com

Camilla Pedroso
Wayland MA
[View Birth Records](#)

Camilla Pedroso
Wayland MA
[View Death Records](#)

Camilla Pedroso
Wayland MA
[View Divorce Records](#)

 **Current Address Property Details**

147 Boston Post Rd
Wayland MA 01778
Middlesex County

Bedrooms 3	Bathrooms 3	Square Feet 2,280	Year Built 1944
Estimated Value \$1,118,000	Estimated Equity \$807,675	Occupancy Type Owner Occupied	Ownership Type Individual
Land Use Single Family	Property Class Residential	Lot SqFt. 64,792	

That is a free people search, so I am not doxxing anyone. I saved a screenshot since I predict they will take it down. Still aren't with me? This Camilla Pedroso has Malcolm Astley on her relatives list.

fastpeoplesearch.com/camilla-pedroso_id_G-1077304177600605910

 **Relatives**
of Camilla Pedroso in Wayland, MA

Christopher Astley Age 53 (Jan 1973)	Lauren Astley Age 33 (May 1993)	Malcolm Astley Age 81 (Aug 1945)
Sarah Brown Age 44 (Jul 1982)	Shanon Astley Age 30 (Jun 1996)	Stephanie Astley Age 49 (Aug 1977)
Fred Brown Age 73 (Sep 1952)	Gail Astley Age 69 (May 1957)	Hannah Brown Age 23 (Mar 2003)
Julia Honshell Age 41 (May 1985)	Kristy Brown Age 42 (Dec 1983)	Larry Astley Age 69 (Sep 1956)
Licrecia Brown Age 67 (Jun 1959)	Nicola Astley Age 62 (Apr 1964)	Paul Brown Age 45 (Nov 1980)
Rachel Hennegan Age 38 (Dec 1987)	Robert Astley Age 82 (Jul 1944)	Robert Mcpherson Age 75 (Jan 1951)
Robert Mcpherson Age 47 (Feb 1979)	Robert Mcpherson Age 41 (Jun 1985)	Rosalie Brown Age 21 (Aug 2005)

[Show Less...](#)

That's Lauren Astley's father.

...bungee cord and dumping her body in a swamp




Distraught: Lauren's father Malcolm Astley (left) and Nathanial's parents Tomo and Beth Fujita

'And then I thought somewhere, if this is real, there's somebody who is waiting for someone to call or someone to walk through that door, and their loved one's not there. I had to make sure.'

All these people should be arrested for running psychological operations against the US public.

So, do we have a pic of these children Lindsay Clancy allegedly killed? Yep:





The second one looks like AI to me, boss. The highlights on the baby's face are far whiter than the highlights on the other two. And why is there so much pixellation? Phones and SLRs now have gobs of resolution, so that makes no sense. You only release pixellated garbage like this when you are hiding something. Also curious is that the kids' pajamas are in a Halloween pattern, since Intel loves to reference Halloween, especially in spooky fake murder cases. The first photo is more convincing, but I am not sure it matches. Those kids have obvious dimples, while the second ones don't. No match on the girl's hair, either.

I finally found [a better example of the first one](#) at AOL, without the pixellation, but the strangeness continues because the photo credit is given as "Heard Foundation".

The Heard Foundation. A 501(c)(3) nonprofit and community-powered movement reimagining perinatal mental-health care and working to create Massachusetts' first dedicated treatment center.

OK, but why would they have the credit for that photo of her children? Why would the Heard Foundation or one of their photographers be photographing Clancy's children in their pajamas? You would expect the photo credit to go to the Clancys. **Unless the Heard Foundation was involved in faking this event to promote their expensive healthcare center.**

Turns out this Foundation was started by Patrick Clancy and his sisters in 2024 after the event. But that still doesn't explain why the Heard Foundation would have the credit for that photo. The photo had to be taken **before the Foundation was founded in 2024**, so how could they have the photo credit?

And funny we have no pictures of the children with their parents. Only with eachother.

But wait, we do have this one:



Except for two problems: one, still not with Lindsay; two, that isn't the same kids. Totally different baby. Note the eyebrows and hairline. Compare to this one:



Not even close. And the little girl goes from brown hair to blonde overnight, and from dimples to no dimples.

But wait, there's this one, you will say:



Yeah, and does anyone think that looks real? That one screams paste-up from a mile away. Heads mis-sized, looks like it was shot with old ISO 1600, and everyone in full-light except Dad, who is mysteriously in half-light. In the other ones, the girl is about the same size as the boy, but here she is twice as big. That's quite a growth spurt! I haven't seen such a cache of fake family photos since Sandy Hook.

For more evidence of fraud, let's look more closely at Lindsay herself. We are told Lindsay cut her wrists and neck, but I have looked at hundreds of photos of her online and not seen any scars. See photo under title and this one, for instance:



She often wears her long hair forward in court to hide the lack of scars, but her pristine neck sometimes peeks through.



I found nothing on her wrists, either. Not surprising, and not surprising they would expect you to miss this. They think you are blind.



No scars on the wrist. Cue Twilight Zone music.

Lindsay Marie Musgrove was born on August 11, 1990. Aces and eights, of course. Is Musgrove a peerage name? Of course. Related to? You are going to love this. [Kathleen Amy Musgrove](#) became the Baroness Bowles in 1964, her husband being the Baron Bowles. But they were from Wales, so no possible link to this event in Massachusetts, right? Wrong. The Baron Bowles' mother was Louisa Vance, d. 1958, daughter of the Reverend George Hamilton Vance of . . . Boston, Massachusetts. The Musgroves are also related to the Forbes.

And Musgrove is the same as the much more common Musgrave, linking us to all kinds of action. I remind you of the Conan Doyle mystery “The Musgrave Ritual”, where Sherlock links them to the Stuarts. That's because they are baronets in three demesnes. As the baronets of Hartley Castle, they were related to the Grahams, Hamiltons, and Stewarts, Earls of Galloway. As the baronets of Tourin, they were related to the Cavendishes, Talbots, Manners, and Hardwicks. The last links them to the actor who played Watson to Jeremy Brett's Sherlock Holmes.

But the most interesting thing I discovered in this line is that these Musgraves are also . . . Lindsays. [They recently married](#) into the Lindsay-Dicksons of Vancouver. So it is possible our actress here isn't Lindsay Musgrove, but Lindsay Musgrave, or that the spelling was changed some time in the 20th century.

Lindsay Clancy's ex-husband is supposed to be Patrick Clancy, but according to FastPeopleSearch, she is related to Clancys but no Patrick Clancy. 45 relatives, but no Patrick. The closest is a Brian Clancy, 41, of Ithaca, NY. Her parents are apparently Michael and Paula Musgrove, Paula being nee Graziano. Big red flag there, Graziano being an Italian/Jewish name famously linked to the mob. See the Bonanno crime family, who we saw in [my paper on Kurt Waldheim](#). Pope Gregory VI was a Graziano. So this event just gets more and more hilarious. Paula is given as Paula Graziano at FastPeopleSearch, but then they refuse to give any of her Graziano relatives. Very weird. So let's double check this at

Radaris. They again give Paula Musgrove as aka Paula Graziano, and confirm it is the mother since she is also aka Lindsay Musgrove. Can't be the same woman since Paula is 74, but it confirms the relationship. Radaris gives only one relative, Ashley Bores, but gives us a link to Truthfinder which lists a couple more, including Frank Graziano and Carla Iannaccone. This Frank Graziano of Malden, MA, is given as 110, also related to Paul and Vincent Graziano. Frank died in 1994.

These Clancys are related to Campbell and Sumner, other prominent names of that region. So let's go to Findagrave to learn about the Clancys of Duxbury and Boston. Strangely, we don't find them. NO burials for the Clancys in Duxbury and none for Boston since 1949. But we do find them in Norfolk County related to the Fitzgeralds. Also interesting is that the head of security at Comcast is a guy named Joseph Clancy, who was head of Secret Service until 2011. [We also find this at AOL](#):

Around that same time in 2013, Lindsay met [Patrick Clancy](#) through one of his cousins, per a profile in [The New Yorker](#).

Who exactly was being profiled in the *New Yorker*? Patrick or one of his cousins? So it is interesting that the *New Yorker* also got the scoop 13 years later in this case, scoring a big interview with Patrick. The *New Yorker* isn't about Bostonians, so I would suggest the Clancys are from New York, not Duxbury.

And on a hunch, I did a search on that cousin, and guess what I found from Google AI:

The *New Yorker* did not publish a full profile of Tom Clancy in 2013; instead, following his death at age 66 on October 1, 2013, the magazine published a remembrance titled **"Takes: Tom Clancy"** by Joshua Rothman.

That suggests that Patrick Clancy is a cousin of famous author Tom Clancy. Tom was from Baltimore. His father's birth name was Kirsch/Kursh, Jewish, but he was adopted by his grandparents the Clancys. Ethniclebs admits that but Wikipedia hides it. Both places hide Tom's mother, so there must be something big there like Cohen or Hiller. So let's head back to Findagrave to check the Clancys of Baltimore. We do find Tom's grandfather James Clancy, Jr., of Owing Mills, d. 1999, but he is otherwise scrubbed. But we get a bit more information through his brother Daniel, who has parents listed. The mother was a [Morrison and Driscoll](#), granddaughter of [Georgeana Gordon](#) of DC. These are the Morrisons of New London, PA, previously wealthy merchants from Ireland. Strangely, one of Tom Clancy's great aunts, [Margaret Clancy](#), also married a Kirsch/Kursch, indicating that either the two families liked to marry, or that the more recent story was borrowed from an older one, and therefore is not true. These Clancys were also related to the Grahams, and I remind you we found above that the Musgraves are also closely related to the Grahams, indicating Lindsay Clancy and her ex-husband may be cousins themselves. Would be par for the course in these fake events, where all the actors are cousins.

At the Heard Foundation, we are told Patrick Clancy started it with his sisters Erin Donohue and [Laura Sullivan](#). I remind you that defense attorney Kevin Reddington is related to Sullivans and that the judge is a Sullivan! At FastPeopleSearch we find Erin Donohue on Lindsay's list, so if we click on her we are sure to find her brother Patrick on her list, right? Nope. Lots of Clancys, but no Patrick. What about Laura's page? Nope, no Patrick. Even weirder, we can then search on Patrick Clancy of Duxbury, where he allegedly grew up as well, but nothing. Also nothing for New York City, where he moved after the event. This guy is a ghost.

Finally I found him at Radaris, age 49, but very well scrubbed. No address, just NY, with a dot near Broadway and Maiden Lane. That is the Financial District, not Upper East Side. No relatives except Joanne Clancy and Caroline and David Sullivan. Joanne is not one of the Clancys on Lindsays list. They list an aka for Patrick at Radaris of Domingo Segoviano. What? Instantcheckmate has never heard of a Patrick Clancy of Duxbury or the Upper East Side of Manhattan. They have a Patrick Clancy, 49, of New York, but he is in Orchard Park and the Buffalo area, related to different Clancys. So none of this is adding up.

[His sister Erin Donohue lives in Mill Valley, CA](#), in a million-dollar home, so I am not sure how she is much help for the Heard Foundation in Boston. She hasn't been in Massachusetts since 2019. Her husband is John Donohue, also of Mill Valley, but he lives in a \$2.5 million-dollar home nearby.

Laura Sullivan lives in a million-dollar home in South Hamilton, MA, and she is married to Connor Tucker Sullivan. His father David Sullivan lives in a second \$1.2 million-dollar home nearby. So we are dealing with a very wealthy family here.

Patrick's parents are given as Christopher and Susan. FastPeopleSearch has a Christopher Clancy in Duxbury, but he is 55 and married to Maureen, so too young and not our man. What about Boston? Again, no matches.

Patrick Clancy allegedly remarried Dr. Rachel Danis, but the people searches have never heard of her, either. Or at least not of Upper East Side, Manhattan or Brooklyn. There is a Rachel Danis (Ginsberg), 34, of the Bronx, now living in Hollywood, Florida, in a \$1.7 million-dollar home. She is definitely Jewish. Radaris also has her listed for Eglin AFB, FL. Instantcheckmate has her listed for Silver Spring, MD, which could be CIA. They also have a Rachel Danis, 37, of New York and West Hollywood, but neither one is related to a Patrick Clancy. And no Patrick Clancy related to any Rachel Danis, although they allegedly got married. Going on what I learned above, I finally searched on Patrick Clancy of Baltimore or Maryland. Again nothing in his age range. The closest is a Patrick Clancy, 68, formerly of Baltimore and Silver Spring, but our man is not 68. So he remains a ghost. The big computers have not been read into this story.

And why run this big fake? Same reason for running all the others: Operation Chaos, which has been running since WWII, and long before that under other names. Keep your eyes off the real world, split the sexes, split left and right, keep fear high, sell anti-depressants and a thousand other fake and dangerous products, and prevent revolution by diverting your attention to these sexy fictions and away from the real criminals. You should be convicting tens of thousands of criminals for the vaccine genocide and thousands of other heinous crimes against humanity, but instead you are watching this crap on CIA TV, I mean CourtTV.