

[return to updates](#)

MORE SEX-BAITING FROM MI6/CIA



by Miles Mathis

First published April 20, 2020

I have been watching a lot of University Challenge on youtube for the past couple of weeks, and during one search [I found this recent article](#) [Mar. 22, 2020] from *The Guardian*. In it, Lucy Clarke, above, tells her story of being on the show, doing well, but being lambasted on social media for her looks by “old men”.

That is our first clue this article isn't what it appears to be. How does Lucy know the ages of these “guys”? How does she even know they are guys? Trolls don't normally give their real names, sexes, and ages, do they? But I guess she—despite being so smart—never considered that.

The subtitle of the article tells us there are few women on the show, but I can tell you from experience that isn't true. I have watched hundreds of contests going back many years, and women are very well represented, including as team leaders. The picture posted with the article also belies her claim:



Unless some of those people are unadmitted trannies, I see the same number of women and men there. And Bleything is the team captain of Birmingham.

Clarke tells us that Gail Trimble (captain of the winning team who answered many questions) was similarly attacked in 2009, being called smug and cocky. But I followed that as well, and don't remember anything like that. What I remember is that Trimble got huge amounts of very good press, being called a human Google and things like that. She came off as charming and self-effacing. I suppose a few guys may have hit on her, but although I am supposed to see that as more sexism, I don't. It is just human nature in action. I have also been hit on, but have never thought to complain of it. Worse *not* to be hit on, in my opinion.

So what is going on here? Some will say that if you appear on TV you should expect some pointless abuse. Same for the internet. Anyone who becomes a known quantity should expect the bullies to arrive. As someone who has personally waded through more abuse than just about any other person online, I can confirm that. Clarke has had to deal with it for a few weeks, but I have been dealing with it for years, at much higher levels. Which is probably why I can see that this goes far deeper than a few mean guys having a go at a girl with pink hair and a nose ring. There are two levels here, the second far more important than the first, but I will hit them both.

The first level ignored by Clarke is that it isn't women who are the primary victims here, it is men. I will assume for the moment that some percentage of these attacks on her are from actual guys. Well, the government and media have promoted women for many decades while pissing on men at every opportunity. Books, TV, films, the news, and art have all been attacking the male sex with a ferocity never before imagined in history. We are the targets of a thousand Intelligence projects. Why? As a primary form of control. To squelch any possibility of revolution, maleness and testosterone have to be attacked as the primary threat. The project is a general emasculation, both physical—through drugging—and psychological—through a constant shaming and squashing. Given that, what we are seeing with this male commentary online is a sad, unfocused attempt at pushback. These guys are trying to find a voice in any way they can. They are repressed and understandably angry, but they don't have the acumen or fortitude to attack those actually responsible for the projects. Instead, they go after targets they see as more their own size: those such as Clarke.

But I think that is a minor part of this. In my years of facing abuse online, I have come up against very little abuse that I would call real. That is to say, I now think very little of it comes from real people. Remember, the internet is the invention and home turf of Military Intelligence. Over the years I have been able to trace much of the worst abuse, and in my opinion it is being underwritten by government entities and scripted by paid committees. That is to say, it is manufactured. It is agitprop, created by agents. As just the easiest example, see RationalWiki, which was relatively simple to trace to nearby Kirtland Air Force Base in Albuquerque, and to the psychological operations unit there.

What that means for the current question is that I would assume most of the abuse of Clarke online was also planted. Why? Well, my readers know why: Operation Chaos, and specifically the MAP (Men-are-Pigs) project. Anyone reading her article at *The Guardian* unguardedly would come to the conclusion that men are pigs, and that is no accident. The governors have been trying to split the sexes for over a century, and in recent decades the projects have gone into overdrive. They want us all living alone and miserable, as we see from the recent coronahoax. Their sex-splitting projects were losing traction in the past few years, partly due to my papers, so they prominently included “social distancing” in the current project, as a last-ditch effort to keep men and women apart. It won't work, but they are giving it their best shot.

Why split the sexes? Because fractured people spend far more money. They have to compensate for their basic dissatisfactions by purchasing a raft of unnecessary products. This is a large and growing part of the contemporary economy. Think anti-depressants, just for a start.

For myself, I suspect Clarke is herself an agent, hired to be part of this project. The heavy spin in her article pushes us strongly in that direction. And besides, *The Guardian* is a known favorite hangout of British spooks, *especially* on questions like this. Lucy is a **Clarke** at **Jesus College, Oxford**, so she is likely to be from the peerage. There are 1572 Clarkes in the peerage, and they are a particularly spooky bunch. They include the Baronets of Salford, Baronets of Rupertswood, Baronets of Dunham Lodge, and Baronets of Snailwell. Also the Barons of Stone-cum-Ebony, the Barons of Hampstead, and the Baroness Anelay of St. Johns. They are related to the Stanleys, Spencer-Churchills, Lindsays, Middletons, Erskines, Montagues, Douglasses, Eyres, St. Johns, Gordons, Roosevelts, Stewarts, Adams, Pitts, FitzRoys, Cholmondeleys, Vaughans, Campbells, Owens, Tates, Grahams, Gibbs, Hoares, Howards, Houghtons, Herberts, Russells, Dunhams, Grants, Molyneux, Dowlings, Walkers, **Stevenson**s, Turners, and Forbes. The Roosevelts do link us to the Presidents of the US. There are at least four Lucy Clarkes in the peerage, though not this one. But it *is* a family name.

Col. William Clarke was MI5 and led a (fake) mission to rescue the Romanovs. Gen. Sir Charles Clarke was Governor of Malta. Baron George Clarke was chairman of the British Empire League and Governor of Bombay. Sir Charles Clarke was director of the Swiss Bank Corp. 1992-4. Sir Rupert Clarke was chairman of the National Australia Bank. Charles Clarke was Home Secretary 2004-6. Kenneth Clarke was Home Secretary 1992-3, Chancellor of Exchequer 1993-7, and Father of the House of Commons 2017-2019. The Baroness Anelay is Chair of the International Relations Committee, House of Lords. The Commanding Officer of Joint Task Force, Guantanamo, was Admiral Peter Clarke, 2015-2017. Phil Clarke is head of comedy at Channel 4. Theodora Roosevelt Clarke is an MP for Stafford, daughter and sister of baronets, and niece of Jacob Rees Mogg.

Besides, this is not Lucy Clarke's first foray into this topic of blackwashing men. She is a DPhil student, and her current project is “Public Men on Public Stages: the Performance of State Authority by Magistrates”. She spoke at the London Renaissance Seminar on “The Violent Household”. In April of

last year she spoke at Cambridge, using Thomas Middleton's *A Yorkshire Tragedy* to talk about domestic violence. In that play the lead character beats his wife and neglects his children. Also notice the author: **Middleton**. If I am right about her genealogy, then we have caught Clarke lecturing on one her own ancestors. She also spoke at the Durham IMEMS conference on domestic violence. Clarke's other concentration is **theater**.

On [her twitter page](#), Lucy admits she set the record for most incorrect interruptions in the series, so it is no wonder some people find her abrasive. I went back to find her performance and realized I had already seen it. I remember not thinking anything about her one way or the other. She neither impressed me nor offended my senses. I have seen far less appealing people on University Challenge, to be honest. I also saw the punching incident, but again found it absolutely unremarkable. I can't believe any real person picked it out for comment. If anything, it almost made me doubt my own analysis, since it was so playful. It *doesn't* make me doubt my analysis, however, because if we study her twitter page closely, we find a **McKenzie-Jones** claiming his dad just passed of Covid. Also a lot of promotion of NHS and posting of fake Covid numbers, including by Richard Burgon, MP. So her twitter page is being used to spread disinfo, once more indicating she is a spook. We also see several pics on her twitter pages that indicate she is a lesbian, so what we may be seeing is yet another example of men-hating. So we might ask why *The Guardian* allowed her a column to push her little project? If society is still so phallogentric that it stacks college quiz teams with men, why is that same society—where men own papers like *The Guardian*—so obviously promoting her? I just told you why. 1) She is a Clarke, 2) She is pushing Men-are-Pigs.

But I remind you, she is just a little tool. Save your hate for the big boys running these projects, who really are men. . . and pigs. The MAP project is not the invention of some gathering of pink-haired lesbians. Nor is it the invention of CIA or MI6. Those people just put it into action. MAP is the brain-child of the big bankers and merchants, for whom your misery is a cash cow. And it isn't just my male readers who should be hopping mad, as I have shown. My female readers should be even madder, since you have been targeted equally, or moreso. You have been targeted not as victims of inequality, prejudice, or haters. No, you have been targeted as psychological guinea pigs. It is your emotions that are being played, even more than ours. That is why the men-are-pigs project is so much larger and more visible than the women-are-pigs project (though that does exist, too). The sexes are being split mainly *from your side*. They want you to think men are pigs so that you will cause the split by your own apparent decision. Once you have done that, the link is broken, whether men want it broken or not. And it cannot then be fixed, except from your side. Men can sue for peace or reconciliation, but as long as women believe the projects, they will believe men are basically bad. No reconciliation is possible under those circumstances. The only thing that is possible is more psychosis, more neurosis, more anxiety, more depression, more loneliness, and thereby more desperate spending—the thing on which the merchants rely.

We see the same project being run from Nova Scotia today, where the fake death toll from the latest mass murder is now **19**. Here is a lead photo from that story:



That is supposed to be Const. Heidi Stevenson, RCMP, supposedly murdered in the line of duty. But I encourage you to stare at it for a while. Can you believe how bad that fake is? That is computer generated from the ground up, and it is not even done well. It is amateurish in the extreme. That's all you need to look at there. That is enough by itself to prove we are being conned by the same people again. Also note her name, Stevenson. We just found it above in the list with Lucy Clarke. Coincidence? There are no coincidences of that sort.

This fake shooting was done by a man, so they won't have to try very hard to tie it to Men-are-Pigs. The shooter, Gabriel Wortman, was at first reported to be arrested, then reported to be dead. That makes no sense, of course. Par for the course. Stir your mind. He is reported to have been dressed as RCMP in an RCMP car, but was not a policeman. So where did he get the uniform and car? He just built it in his garage? A basic search on Gabriel Wortman finds someone of that name and age from Berkeley and Phoenix. His father Dennis worked at Colliers International, which is in . . . Canada. According to 411Canada, the only listing there is for G&R Wortman in Caledonia, NS. But that looks like a business, not a person. Otherwise a people search in Canada returns no one by the name of Gabriel Wortman. Canadafinder also returns nothing for that name in NS. If Gabriel Wortman is really a denture clinic owner in Dartmouth, NS, then why have the big computers never heard of him? A denture clinic should be listed in various online directories, which would include his name. If we search on that, we find a Yelp listing in the first spot at Duck, but clicking on it takes us to a 404 page. The second listing is at Yellowpages, but it tells us the denture clinic isn't in Nova Scotia, but in Stephenville, Newfoundland. The next listing tells us Atlantic Dental Clinic is in nearby Halifax, NS. So someone on the ground there needs to tell me what is going on. Spooks: don't bother writing me and telling me nothing is going on, since I won't believe you. See the photo above.

The good news is that to me this means the coronahoax is slowly being phased out, to be replaced by the weekly faked shootings again. We haven't seen any in a long time, which is strange in itself. I guess all the crazy mass murderers were being good citizens for a couple of months, staying home, social distancing, and stocking up on toilet paper. You would have thought the stress of corona would have made *more* piggy men go postal, but we saw the opposite phenomenon, with no one playing shoot 'em up for many weeks. Why? I'll tell you why: all the agents were busy on their coronahoax assignments, and none were spared to manufacture these fake shootings.