


# The Most Important Paper on the Internet



*by Miles Mathis*

*June 23, 2026*

For almost nine years I have been waiting for someone else to write this paper, but I guess no one is, so it is up to me as usual. If no one else will tell you what is going on, I will tell you what is going on.

I am not known as an exclamatory writer: I don't call every new paper a bombshell and illustrate it with a fake nuclear explosion, like some people we know. But in this case I feel that some foot-stomping and superlatives are in order. In nine years the impact of this paper has not dimmed one iota, it has only increased exponentially. Or should have.

Since November of 2017, [the most shocking, amazing, and important paper](#) ever published on the internet has been sitting quietly at milesmathis.com, waiting for its due. I have linked to it recently from my art/history site, but I still don't think people are getting it. Because it concerns physics, many tune out, failing to comprehend the enormity of what they are seeing. The mainstream is very intent you never see it, because it is the revolution itself. It is all those things not only because it links to most of my top physics papers, but because it proves mainstream science and the internet are not what you are told. So even if you know and care nothing about physics, you should study that paper closely. Even if you don't take any of the links, you should see that you are in the middle of something that has never happened before in the history of the world.

Any general search or query to the LLMs will tell you I am a big nobody in physics, universally

dismissed as a crank or pseudoscientist, but that paper proves otherwise, using the mainstream's own numbers. It also proves I am the most censored and slandered person ever to exist on the internet, and possibly off the internet, that censorship increasing every year since then. Which of course begs the question: if I am indeed just a crazy person as they say, universally dismissed, why would Google and all the other mainstream gatekeepers expend such incredible energy and resources banning me, censoring me, delisting me, and lying about me? That paper, by itself, proves Google changed its entire method of ranking websites, **in response to me.** One person, telling the truth and solving problems, required a universal response from all the major search engines to hide him. [And the LLMs now admit it](#), as we saw in my recent conversation with one. They admit Google and the other search engines changed their entire protocol, no longer ranking sites based on hits or real popularity, instead gatekeeping for the government and other institutions, to protect the status quo. **All to prevent you from discovering I was outranking all the top university science departments like MIT, Harvard, and Stanford, as well as Wikipedia itself, on general science searches.**

LLMs and paid liars are still misdirecting on this, claiming my incredible rankings weren't due to visitor hits but to search terms. As if just saying the right words over and over led to me being ranked above MIT. You have to laugh. First of all, the old SEO and organic rankings didn't work like that, weighting keywords way above hits, but even they had, Google could have solved that by lowering the weighting of keywords. Instead it threw all that out and started over, explicitly uplisting Wikipedia and the university sites while jettisoning “non-approved” results. In other words, going straight to direct censorship. GoogleAI gleefully admits it in that last link. DIRECT CENSORSHIP, to prevent me from competing with mainstream science.

The other dodge the LLMs and paid liars use is to claim only wingnuts and stupid people are visiting those pages. So we are supposed to believe hundreds of thousands of stupid people worldwide are doing general searches month after month and year after year on the Drude-Sommerfeld model or the Equatorial Anomaly or the Pound-Rebka Experiment or the Phosphorus-Hydrogen Bond or the Vacuum Catastrophe or Bode's Law or the Klein-Nishina Formula? Seems like a contradiction, doesn't it?

And it isn't just the major search engines censoring me. [Ron Unz admitted](#) he censored me like no one before, spending more time erasing comments from my readers than the readers of Alex Jones or anyone else. Jones claims to be the most censored person on the internet, but he isn't even close. Any mention of me is also banned at Youtube, X, Twitter, and even places like Bitchute, 4chan, and 8chan. And notice I don't say shadow-banned, because there is nothing shadow about it: they admit outright they are banning and censoring me, just like Google.

This is a clear-cut Civil Rights violation, wildly illegal, because it interferes with my right to free speech and free expression. You will say they aren't stopping me from writing and publishing these papers, but they are actively preventing them from being heard and read, which is the same thing. It is called government censorship and it is illegal and unConstitutional. Straight from the 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph on this at Wikipedia:

**Free speech means the free and public expression of opinions without censorship, interference, or restraint by the government.**[\[63\]](#)[\[64\]](#)[\[65\]](#)[\[66\]](#)


**Without interference or restraint.** By purposely removing me from searches, Google and the other search engines are interfering and restraining my speech, just as if I were a newspaper they were shutting down by force. It would be like allowing a newspaper to be published, but then hiring

government agents to remove it from all newsstands. That would be interference and restraint, and so is delisting me.

I also pause to point out that implies the censorship isn't working, even with Google/Bing/Yahoo quashing 95% of searches on me. [My real numbers have continued to rise](#) even after the censorship, I suppose due to word of mouth.

Why all this effort worldwide if I am obviously wrong, as they imply? You don't need to universally censor and ban someone who is obviously wrong, *since he is obviously wrong*. You only need to universally ban and censor someone who is **obviously right**. *Because* he is obviously right. The response reveals the truth by itself.

That would already make that the most important paper ever published on the internet, blowing the whole scam from the inside, but that isn't a fraction of it, because it then links you directly to hundreds of those most censored papers, showing you exactly *why* they are being censored: they have already destroyed and overwritten all of mainstream physics back to the time of Newton and before. But since this paper is for my art/history site, I know most of you won't have any inclination to read them and come to your own conclusions, so I am here to gloss some of the best for you. The two central discoveries are about the charge field and [the atomic nucleus](#), and they alone have revolutionized physics, chemistry, and most other scientific fields. I am the first to diagram the atomic nucleus as a channeler of charge, providing a different diagram for each element to explain their properties. Like this:



That's Tin, with the disks generally representing some number of alpha particles, an alpha particle being a Helium nucleus. All those disks are spinning and pulling charge through like fans, charge entering the north and south poles and exiting at the equator, the central part spinning like a carousel and flinging charge out in a circle. These channels of charge not only keep the nucleus together, they extend beyond the nucleus, creating bonds. Yes, bonds aren't caused by electrons being shared by some daffy magic, they are caused by these physical and mechanical charge channels, which work like

any other wind or river would.

And what is charge? For the mainstream it has never been anything but undefined +'s and -'s on protons and electrons, but in my physics it is a real field made up of real spinning photons, again moving like a wind through these structures. Charge is light—all light not just visible—though the charge field peaks in the infrared, like heat. Which is why it has always been missed. The mainstream calls it heat and ignores it, often subtracting it out of experiments and equations before they begin theorizing. A huge mistake, since it turns out the charge field is 95% of everything. So they are ignoring 95% of the total field and then trying to do physics. Impossible. And, as it turns out, the charge field is also their mysterious dark matter field, which also happens to be 95% of the field. But they missed that all along, so they can't admit I am right. It is too embarrassing.

Yes, you can already see why they would have to try to bury me. For the mainstream physicist, my arrival is the ultimate nightmare. Literally centuries of theory have just collapsed into an ugly heap, the entire edifice brought down by one artist. So what can they do but [pretend it isn't happening](#).



That's the mainstream response to me in a nutshell, though they are hiding behind LLMs and paid hatchet men and an entire cast of freaks and frauds, most of them anonymous. Their only hope is to fail to report what is really happening and to browbeat you into silence and acceptance by using all the tricks of the trade of the terminally cowardly and dishonest. Most recently they actually brought in the [boys in the Air Force in 2021 to try to suppress me](#), by faking daily sunspot numbers and planting disinfo all over the web, including of course reams of false and defamatory claims about me from all the big LLMs. I have learned there is no stoop too low for these people.

It is no accident an artist did all this, since it was my work on light that broke large parts of this for me. The mainstream has ignored light from the beginning, treating it as massless and dimensionless (no real radius) the better to fit it invisibly into their operators, where it caused them no problems, being a nothing that could be infinitely fudged both physically and mathematically. That's exactly the reason they never realized the charge field was real and fundamental: because they couldn't cope with it, they

buried it. Same thing they are trying to do with me. But in my *physical* physics, the photon is a real particle like any other, with real mass, radius, and spin. I found early on that didn't prevent me from theorizing, as it had the mainstream; instead it *allowed* me to theorize freely in all directions, solving problems as quickly as I could read them. The math didn't explode, it immediately jelled, explaining thousands of things that had so far been mysterious. But to do that I also had to jettison all the mainstream operators, which were no more than a nuisance. What was necessary was a strictly defined math, with all variables assigned to real particles and motions. No quasi-particles, virtual particles, ghost fields, borrowing from the vacuum, reverse time, or any of the other tricks of the mainstream. Just transparent mechanics. By doing this I was also to explain all the constants, which it turns out were just fudges used to fill holes in equations that lacked a charge field. The most important example of that is [G, Newton's gravitational constant, which he didn't realize was an expression of the charge field](#). Once I unwound G, I was able to unify, something Einstein worked half his life on, and never solved. Did anyone in academia thank me for that? One person did: Tahir Yaqoob of NASA and Johns Hopkins, who wrote the introduction to my first physics book. But almost no one else did. He was immediately silenced and nobody else wanted to stick his neck out after that.

But it wasn't just G. I also proved [that Planck's constant was hiding the charge photon](#) and the charge field, and I showed you could calculate it straight from the photon mass. [Coulomb's constant](#) is similarly just a simple transform between the matter field and the charge field. And those are just three of many. I have since dissolved almost all the constants in physics in the same way, including the fine structure constant, the Boltzmann constant, Avogadro's constant, the permittivity constant, and so on. That isn't just some mathematical trick, it is a massive expansion and synthesis of all fields of physics and chemistry, showing they make sense at last.

And it wasn't just Newton's gravity equation that I showed was unified by G, it was also the [Lagrangian](#), [Maxwell's equations](#), [Gauss' equations](#), and Coulomb's equations. That one discovery unified everything.

The charge field isn't just useful in solving these problems at the quantum level, it is also the key to solving problems in Celestial Mechanics. I have already told you how it solves the dark matter problem: dark matter is just the charge field. But it also solves problems closer to hand, such as the big mystery of why planets orbit where they do, why they are tilted as they are, and why their temperatures are what they are. As far as the first one goes, they have been trying to solve that for centuries, with zero progress. It was considered just an accident until I came along, and they even forbade anyone from working on it. That's right, the journals refused any and all submissions, and still do. So if you are wondering why I am not published by peer reviewed journals, now you know. There are many reasons that will occur to you, but the first one is that they have simply closed many subfields, claiming they are done, perfect, or incapable of solution. If you claim to solve one of them, you will be dismissed out of hand, and slandered as you are dismissed. You will be treated as a rube or an egomaniac for imagining you can make better sense of it than they have. Isn't that just prejudice? Yep, and the mainstream is soaked in it to the gills. They drowned themselves in this prejudice many decades ago.

Anyway, in case you would like to know, the planets are spaced as they are because they are excluding one another with their charge fields. Once I had that idea, [it was easy to match their gaps](#) to their charge strengths, using very simple unified field equations. In that paper I do what they call multi-body problems, with no use of perturbation or chaos theory, which was thought to be impossible. Or, my solution is a perturbation solution, but the forces are not gravity only. They are unified, including the charge field, so they include an entire degree of freedom not known to the mainstream. As it

happens, the charge field is able to fill the gap perfectly, leaving no remaining inequalities and no need for chaos or other fudges. The tilt of the planets is explained the same way, and so is eccentricity, though in some of these solutions I require magnetic effects, not just charge.

What is the difference, you may ask? Well, magnetism is spin effect, so you have to look at the spin component of the charge field, not just its local strength or flux. Photons are moving in a line, but also spinning, so you often have to include both facts in your solution. The linear motion is the electrical effect, causing current and so on, while the spin causes the magnetic effect. This is why the magnetic effect is orthogonal (at a right angle) to the electrical effect. It is a result of real spin on a real sphere. Not only my photon diagrams but my nuclear diagrams make it explicit how that works.

I not only unified gravity and EM, [I unified all the quantum particles](#), what Pauli called the quantum zoo. He thought that zoo would never be explained, but I explained it with the charge field and one simple step equation based on doubling or the number 2. In brief, they are all spin levels of the photon. Everything is not only channeling photons, it is made of photons. If you stack three extra spins on a charge photon, you get an X-ray. One more and you get an electron. Two more and you get a muon, one more and you get a proton or neutron. Stack another spin on that and you start to get short-lived exotics. Again: **a single equation explains all those levels.**

$$[1 + 8], [1 + (8 \times 16)/2], [1 + (8 \times 16 \times 32)/2^2], [1 + (8 \times 16 \times 32 \times 64)/2^4] \\ = [1 + 8], [1 + 2^6], [1 + 2^{10}], [1 + 2^{14}] = 9, 65, 1025, 16385$$

That's it. The first number at the end is the electron and the last is the proton. Divide 16,385 by 9 and you get 1820.6, the known gap between the two. [You can find all other known particles by using that equation.](#)

I mentioned the temperatures of the planets above, which the mainstream has bumped up hugely since I came along. We used to be told Uranus was freezing cold, 200 below zero or more, but now they admit its upper atmosphere is 800C, the temperature of a lit cigarette end. Conversely, Mercury used to be hellishly hot, but they now admit there are icecaps on its poles. Can they explain that with their gravity only models? Nope, but [spinning charge explains it easily](#). With Uranus, the answer is magnetic reconnection, which they know about but only applied to the Sun and other stars. They didn't apply it to planets since, as with the nucleus, they didn't realize everything was recycling huge amounts of charge. They don't even know about the universal or ambient charge field, so they couldn't use it to estimate a temperature on Uranus. But with my physics a high temperature would be expected for a huge planet, even that distance from the Sun, since the planet is emitting a stupendously powerful charge field, one is has captured from the Sun at its poles and recycled through it body, just like the nucleus. As that charge field is emitted, it meets the ambient charge field already existing everywhere in the galaxy, and the two fields spin one another up. In other words, ion fields driven by photons meet at the boundary (upper atmosphere), and because they are spinning opposite and moving opposite, they stack, creating a big light show and a lot of heat. What they still don't tell you, but which I discovered with close analysis, is that the planets are far far brighter than they should be, way over unity. Some nine times over unity, meaning they are "reflecting" nine times more light than is falling on them. That's the only reason we can see those distant planets. And it also applies to our Moon, which is far far brighter than it should be, given mainstream theory. But that is because, as you see now, the light isn't caused by reflection, or by other mainstream tricks like opposition surge either. It is caused by the mechanism I just explained to you: charge fields meeting and stacking on the boundary of a massive spherical object.

I could go on all day, but that I get the usual feeling I am falling in the cracks. You either already know all that because you have read those papers, or your eyes have gone googly because it is still all too difficult. I keep trying and I hope you will, too. I have vastly simplified and clarified the “hard” sciences, to the point that with some effort almost anyone can now understand them. I have tossed out all the magic, illogic, and other nonsense, as well as the hypnosis and authority, so the road ahead of us is now clear for real science.