

THE INTELLECTUAL DARK WEB?

You have to be kidding me

by Miles Mathis

First published July 10, 2021

Tucker Carlson had Bret Weinstein on his special edition last night, so I looked him up. He is supposed to be part of the “intellectual dark web”. You have to laugh. I am sure a [Weinstein](#) is going to be part of an intellectual dark web, telling hard truths in the face of huge pressure. Funny, since it is the second time in a week I have seen that term “intellectual dark web”. [It was also applied to Lindsay Shepherd](#), the alleged Ontario graduate student who allegedly assigned a Jordan Peterson video to her class and was dressed down for it. She ended up becoming semi-famous for that one thing. At that last link, also notice the name of the author of the article promoting her: [Tennant](#). I could tell just at a glance that Shepherd was planted in this story by Intelligence for her looks—which are admittedly smashing. Because nothing else about that story rings true. The same goes for the Bret Weinstein story, which is that his small fame came from being attacked by students at Evergreen State College, where he taught. That by itself is enough to out him, since [I have previously outed Evergreen](#) as one the top ten premier CIA-front colleges. They all are now, but these small colleges like Evergreen are wholly owned subsidiaries of Intel. Absolutely everyone on campus is a spook, down to the cleaning and gardening staff.

You begin to understand how I could read this whole project just from the names. They are peerage names, aren't they? For the name Shepherd, see [my previous comments about actor Sam Shepard](#). He was really a Rogers of the Rogers of Standard Oil. The [Tennants are top peers](#), with many people on both sides of the pond in media and entertainment. Even Lindsay's first name is a probably pointer to the peerage, since the Lindsays are Scottish dukes.

As for the Weinstains, Bret happens to be the brother of Eric Weinstein, a very wealthy venture capitalist [who I have hit hard on my science site](#). He is the one who coined the term “intellectual dark web”. Funny, since he is the ultimate pseudo-intellectual. He is the one who was sold as the next Einstein a few years ago, for his ridiculous unified field theory. Without even writing much less publishing a paper, he bypassed peer review and was heavily promoted from Oxford by the university's own propaganda czar, Marcus du Sautoy (also peerage).

[Added July 12: A reader just wrote in and told me I should be more explicit here about the Weinstains' connections. I agree. Wikipedia admits Eric Weinstein is managing director of Thiel Capital, and you can take that link to founder Peter Thiel. On his page we learn he was a co-founder of Paypal, Palantir, and Facebook, making him some kind of ranking spook. This links us to InQtel and DARPA, as well as SpaceX and Google, so Eric Weinstein's links to Intelligence are explicit. They are not hidden. Another founder of Palantir is Stephen Cohen, so we have the explicit link to Kohens as well. Palantir is used by Intel and Defense in counter-terrorism, which means it is being used against *you*. Roughly half the US population has now been categorized as potential terrorists, so you are the stated enemy.]

Another proposed leader of the dark web is *Quillette* magazine out of Australia. And who publishes

that? Claire **Lehmann**. Well, what do you know, another big Jewish name. Just a coincidence, I am sure. Her father-in-law is corporate tax lawyer for PriceWaterhouse turned famous poet Geoffrey Lehmann. Although she is now sold as counter-mainstream, Lehmann has previously worked with *The Guardian*, Harvard press, *Scientific American*, and ABCNews. So, not looking very revolutionary, is she? She is promoted most heavily as an intellectual by Bari **Weiss**—another red flag. Or should I say purple flag? Also Jewish, and a product of the *Wall Street Journal*.

So, we have seen the “intellectual dark web” is led and promoted by prominent Jews from mainstream fonts of fascism. My work is done.

But why? Why promote this “intellectual dark web”? As misdirection away from me and those like me, of course. I am the most prolific writer of the real intellectual dark web, and everyone knows that. But will you ever see me quoted or interviewed on Tucker Carlson? Of course not. They can borrow my talking points and even my patter, but you will never see them quoting me for obvious reasons. I tell WAY too much truth, and that truth is wildly inconvenient to all these people on both sides of every canned argument, debate, and interview. So not only can they not promote me, they have to anti-promote me like crazy. As just one example that came up here, try searching on “Miles Mathis Evergreen State College”. Although I have mentioned it several times and we know the spiders have crawled my pages, none of them come up at either Google or Ecosia. Google especially has been aggressively downlisting my papers for several years. We know this because they *weren't* downlisting me at first, and [we saw many of my papers go super-viral](#), with some even outranking Wikipedia on their topics. My enemies will say my papers have tumbled since then, but we know that isn't true, either. My real numbers continue to rise, which is precisely why Google has to censor me so aggressively. Besides, we already know Google censorship has gone through the roof in the past three years: the mainstream and even Google admits it. Congress is begging them to censor MORE. So I hardly have to prove I am being censored. The only remaining question until recently was why BING wasn't censoring me as aggressively, especially considering my comments on Bill Gates. I suspect it was just an oversight and that they will catch up.