

THE SPLIT



by Miles Mathis

September 29, 2025

I have mentioned before that Intelligence shows signs of a split, and we are seeing it now like never before. We know there is a split in the ruling class just from the Trump and Biden regimes, which have been like night and day. But it could be argued most of that is window dressing or the attempt to spark a civil war. In large part that is true, as I have argued myself many times. But it goes beyond that because a good deal of what we are seeing now would be counterproductive for any government prepping us for full-on tyranny. Not only would it be unnecessary, it would be extremely ill-advised. They have prospered for millennia mainly by keeping us ignorant, so admitting to any fraction of their crimes is ultimately unspinnable. Operation Chaos requires huge amounts of content being dumped on us, as we know, and a lot of truth ends up getting mixed in, by intention or oversight. Until I came along, that worked fairly well, since no one could separate the truth out from the lies. Putting some truths in there helped create confusion. But it isn't working so well now. The governors were in control of all information until recently, but with the rise in information has come a loss of control they didn't see coming. They have become hoist on their own petards—victims of the chaos they themselves created.

Part of that loss of control is people like me, but another part is this split in Intelligence, which was bound to happen with a system grown so large and complex. The size of the US, both in population and area, was a plus for the governors from the beginning, since it made revolution almost impossible to organize after a certain point in time. But that same size became a negative with the Intelligence agencies, especially after Watergate, when they expanded exponentially, splitting into more and more subagencies. At first they just competed for resources, but very soon they were competing in their plans for the future. Their scripts called for different forms and flavors of tyranny and different speeds of execution. This became even more obvious after 911, when DHS split off and almost immediately began competing with CIA. The guys behind DHS like Cheney and Rumsfeld were the blackest of the blacks hats, liking nothing more than the jackboot grinding in your face, and they have been so bad they have made the old-school fascists at CIA look relatively charming. So although we don't have any white hats in this saga, we do have some grayer shades of black. Like whiter shades of pale, but on the

opposite end of the spectrum.

Not following me? Well, I remind you that when Trump came in in his first term, one of the first things he did is give a speech at Langley (CIA) assuring them he was behind them 1000%. Dick Cheney helped found DHS, and Trump despises the Cheneys above all others. And the sentiment goes both ways. Both guys are RED all the way, so they should be allies, but aren't. Has Trump ever said he supports DHS all the way? Nope. He nominated the hairdo/nobody Kristi Noem to head up DHS, showing his disregard for the agency. Part of the platform of Project 2025 is defunding or even abolishing DHS.** So you can see the CIA/DHS split right there. Couldn't be more obvious.

Which brings us into how I got into this today. I was watching cat videos and golf at youtube when I noticed the other things being pushed on me. I often sniff the wind there, to see what is going on, as you know. Even when I am trying to decompress, my eyes remain open. Well, the wind has reversed course in the past couple of weeks, and it seems to have something to do with the Charlie Kirk event. The first thing I noticed was many new channels popping up seriously questioning that event. Most were spinning it, trying to make you think there were second shooters or something, rather than suggesting the whole thing was a fake, but still. These were the kind of videos that would have been censored six months ago. After the Sandy Hook debacle there, when real info got out that even CNN couldn't spin, Youtube began deleting almost any videos that questioned mainstream events. The only ones allowed were slick mainstream productions that obviously came from the government itself. The citizen journalist videos were a thing of the past.

These new videos are very slick, again indicating they are not just “the guy next door” making them—although some of them actually use that feint, titling themselves as “[Dad saves America](#)” or something. But they taste very different nonetheless, indicating to me some sort of invisible sea change. For example, that last link takes you to a guy being interviewed and pretty much just telling you the truth about something he could not have told you the truth about last year, that is, about Fauci and vaccines. He is Matt Kibbe, an old Ron Paul guy, who is saying everything right these days. Does that mean I trust him? No. He works for BlazeTV, Glenn Beck's baby, so he must be some sort of Intel front (he is selling Friedrich Hayek* in this clip, proving that). If he weren't, he wouldn't be on Youtube at all, saying these things. But my point is these agents, whoever they are and whatever their ultimate allegiances may be, are actually informing the populace. They are attacking some really evil people.

Another sign of this change in the wind was Trump's press conference with Kennedy last week, where he actually made a shout-out to the Amish for their lack of autism. I nearly fell out of my chair. It looks like this attack on Big Pharma is not just a feint, since it is doing them real harm, both financially and in public opinion, and the Tylenol fiasco will accelerate that. Pharma is already losing billions, not just in government contracts, but in direct sales. And this isn't coming from Congress—supposedly our representative, guffaw—but from the executive branch, which, being one man, is far easier to buy off.

And no, I am not naive enough to think this is all being done on Trump's initiative, or that it is due to his goodwill. He is just a front for a far deeper and larger consortium, making this even more important. It is more important because it means Pfizer can't change it just by assassinating Trump or otherwise pulling him from the stage. Trump is the actor fronting far bigger interests, and if he nods to the Amish, for instance, it is because *they* are nodding to the Amish. In the same way, Trump is not powerful enough to protect Kennedy on his own. They are both protected and promoted from way above. Everyone in DC and in media is.

The Kirk event is also very informative in this regard, since it was clearly run to create more sympathy

for the Republicans and further blackwash the Democrats, as well as to escalate the Red/Blue wars. So we can say it was run by the Red side of Intel. But some faction in Intel is now blowing the cover of the event, via Youtube, Rogan, Infowars, and many other sites, and the uncanny thing is that it doesn't look like the Blue faction doing it. The Blue faction of Intel is behind Jimmy Kimmel trying to blame the event on MAGA, spinning it their way, but they have no interest in completely blowing the cover of the event, or encouraging conspiracy theories. Neither Red nor Blue wants to blow cover, or further damage the old Wurlitzer, for obvious reasons: that would be to point at the man behind the curtain, which is themselves.

So what we seem to be seeing, even at Youtube, is some powerful entity moving into the gap between Red and Blue, and thwarting the interests of both for some reason. This entity can only be what has heretofore been called the Deep State—only they would have that reach—but why would they do this? I can see only three possible reasons:

- 1) The top rulers have seen the light to some extent, deciding to be more benevolent,
- 2) The top rulers have heard my warnings, agreeing that it is politic to back off somewhat for the time being,
- 3) This is just a further turn of the invisible screw, whereby we are lulled into further complacency by a few bones being thrown our way, before the final crush.

You can decide for yourself which of those three is the most likely, and I myself teeter between two and three. As I have said before, I think these people are almost wholly self-interested, which precludes any real benevolence, but some of them are capable of seeing how they are harming themselves, and deciding to remove the torch from the pyre beneath the Phoenix. No sense in having to reconstitute from the flames when you can just avoid burning up to start with.

To say it another way, we know they understand that fake philanthropy is among the best forms of self-promotion, and in the same way fake altruism can often be the be most useful form of egoism. In governance, a feigned benevolence can take you further than a poorly cloaked malevolence; the carrot working better than the stick, especially when the mule is already balking. So although I don't think there is any hope of a benevolent dictator, much less a benevolent governor or legislator, I think it is possible some in the ruling class are realizing their own self-interests aren't being served by the current state, ironically making them the most dangerous revolutionaries of all.

*Hayek came out of the London School of Economics, won the Nobel, and was promoted by *Look* magazine and General Motors, so we know not to trust him no matter what he is saying. As with Orwell, Hayek's warnings about tyranny are not what they seem.

**Which reminds us that Erika Kirk's mother works for DHS, so there may be some connection there. If the event was run by DHS, CIA may be blowing their cover to further damage the agency. It wouldn't be the first time. The problem there is that if so, Trump seems to have a leg in both camps, since we have seen him promoting the Kirk storyline. Maybe the directors feel he can do both, supporting the Kirk story in the short term to benefit the Republicans, but later finding some way to blackwash DHS through the event. The webs as usual are very tangled.