


[return to updates](#)

HENRY FORD



by Miles Mathis

First published April 17, 2019

In my recent paper on the [fake Port Arthur massacre](#), I was researching Martin Bryant in the peerage when I stumbled across Clara Bryant, wife of automaker Henry Ford. Both Clara and Henry are listed in the peerage, though it isn't at first clear why they are there. I won't do the full monty on Ford here, but I just wanted to fill in that blank.

At first I thought Henry was listed because Clara is, but that isn't the case. More of his family is listed before the links are broken than hers, telling us to try to rebuild the broken links to the Fords of the peerage—which is very easy to do. They are Baronets in two separate lines, and any least research shows this is where Henry came from.

But the misdirection on this question is incredible. Both Geni and Geneanet scrub all the women in Henry Ford's line. His mother is given no parents at Geneanet, and no grandparents at Geni. We get only the names Litogot, Stevenson and O'Hearn. His paternal grandmother Smith is also scrubbed in both places, as is his great-grandmother Jennings. Since Henry Ford is listed in the peerage, it is very unlikely these people are unknown.

Although the names Jennings, Stevenson, and **Smith** are already suggestive, we will leave them for now, concentrating first on the Fords in the peerage. The Fords, Baronets of East Lothian, descend from William Ford, merchant of Leith, Scotland. His son was James, b. 1820, and he married Agnes **Campbell** Cassels. But, despite being a Campbell—or more like because of it—she is immediately scrubbed. These Baronets Ford don't go back very far, and they are almost as well-scrubbed as Henry Ford. The reason for both should already be obvious.

So let's switch to the Fords, Baronets of Ember Court, Surrey. They are related to the Adams, Potters, and Ansons.** They go back to several Francis Fords, governors of Barbados, which should make us think of Francis Ford Coppola. They also don't go back very far, not hitting the big time until Francis Ford marries Mary Anson in Barbados in 1785. This is where the major action begins, since her father links us to the **Staffords** and her mother was a **Venables-Vernon**, daughter of the 1st Baron. Even better, this Baron had married Mary **Howard**, daughter of the 7th Baron Howard, a descendant of the Howards, Dukes of Suffolk. The Howards are also the Dukes of Norfolk, and as such are the second ranking dukes on the list, after Prince Charles, Duke of Cornwall. This of course links us directly to the Plantagenets, most directly to **King Edward IV**. It also links us to the **Staffords** again, who were Dukes of Buckingham. Also to the **Percys**, Dukes of Northumberland. Through the 6th Baron Howard, we link to the Pelham Baronets, and through them directly to the **Walsinghams**. Yes, that means Sir Francis Walsingham, Queen Elizabeth's spymaster. He links us to the Syndeys, the Devereux, the **Wilbrahams**, the Proctors, the Phillipps, the **Villiers**, the Capells, the Forbes, the Cheneys, the Harbords, the Gardners, the **Smiths**, the Knollys, the Bourkes, the Cocks (**Cox**), the Cavendishes, and just about everyone else.

I hope you noticed that the name Smith came up there for the second time. There are a lot of Smiths, but these aren't just any Smiths. Since they are closely related to the Gardners, you should already see that they are the Smiths of the *Titanic* hoax, as in Captain Smith. The Fords link to them directly through the Venables-Vernons. At this time, these Smiths were the Barons Carrington of Upton, and the Charlotte Smith at that last link was marrying Admiral Gardner, Baron of Uttoxeter, son of **Admiral Gardner** and grandfather of Baron Burghclere of Walden. In 1890 this grandson married Winifred **Herbert**, daughter of the Earl of Carnarvon and granddaughter of George Stanhope, 6th Earl of Chesterfield. This links us back to the Howards again, as well as the Molyneux, the Fortescues, the **Valentines**, the Veseys, and the **Walpoles**.

As you can see, we are getting a lot of repeat names from my paper on Port Arthur, explaining why Henry Ford came up in the research there. It was no accident. He is closely related to all these same people.

But let's return to the 1st Baron Smith of Carrington. His father was Abel Smith, of the bankers of Nottingham, which definitely ties us to the Smiths of the *Titanic* hoax. Abel married a **Beaumont**, tying him to all that action as well, including the **Milners**.

If we return to the Ansons, we find them quickly becoming the Earls of Lichfield, and marrying into the **Russell** and **Hamilton** families in the 1800s. This links us immediately to the Dukes of Abercorn and Dukes of Bedford, as well as to the **Gordons**, Dukes of Gordon, and the **Douglasses**, Earl of Morton and Dukes of Montrose. Also to the Keiths, Leslie, Halyburtons, Lascelles, Cokes, **Erskines**, Balfours, **Melvilles**, Lindsays, and Stewarts. Two more dukes there.



We also find an Anne Ford coming out of nowhere in the peerage, no mother given, marrying Capt. Philip Thicknesse in 1749. Although he had previously been married to the daughter of the Earl of Castlehaven—linking us to the Arundels, Spencers, Somersets, Howards, and Greys—he is also scrubbed, with no parents given. Anne Ford was painted by Gainsborough, but the historians pretend nothing is known of her ancestry. Wikipedia has a page on her, but again no ancestry is listed. We are told Villiers, Earl of Jersey, wished her to be his mistress, but she refused. This implies she was not of the peerage before she married Thicknesse, though we are about to see that isn't true. Villiers tried to destroy her career as a singer, but failed. She was later allegedly imprisoned during the French Revolution, but it sounds fake to me. At any rate, it is very strange we are not told her mother, and are told nothing about her father Thomas Ford.

Finally, at glassarmonica.com we find more info. Anne Ford's mother was a **Champion**. The Champions are closely related to many peerage families, including the **Stuarts**, Beresfords, Russells, Campbells, Smijths (**Smiths**), Windsors, and Leveson-Gowers. The two US Presidents Harrison were also closely related to the Champions, and you are about to see why I mentioned it. We also learn her parents were close cousins, since her *maternal* uncle is given as Dr. Ford, physician to the Queen. Her other uncle Ford was Attorney General of Jamaica. This links us to the Fords of Barbados, as well as to the Ford Baronets. But someone doesn't want you to know that. I would guess it is because Anne Ford links to Henry, so they have to break her links as well.

This whole Anne Ford story is curious for another reason. The great-granddaughter of Henry Ford has been in the news recently because her daughter Allegra has severe learning disabilities. Her name: Anne Ford.

We also find a Maj. Charles Annesley **Wilbraham** Ford, b. 1866, strangely scrubbed, with no parents given. His wife de **Lacy** is also scrubbed. But they can't have come from nowhere, since their son Col. John **fforde** married Jean **Graham**, daughter of the Duke of Montrose and granddaughter of the Duke

of Hamilton. Notice the Fords were in such need of hiding they changed their name to fforde, without even capitalizing it. Why would they do that? Henry Ford.

[Since the Grahams keep coming up, I should tell you this is where we can pull in Heather Graham, daughter of an FBI agent. Her maternal grandmother is a Campbell, so the claim she is mostly Irish is the usual lie. She is mostly Scots, being from the lines of several dukes and kings, including the Dukes of Montrose and the Dukes of Argyll. That said, I think we have to admit Heather is about the prettiest 49-year-old virgin you will ever see. If you wish to look 10 or 15 years younger, sleeping 11 hours a night and being a virgin would appear to be one confirmed way to do that. Her career as a beard burned out early, since the gays could quickly see no one would believe she was sleeping with them. If you don't believe me, you should know that Heather has recently written a movie, all but admitting it. I personally find the script heartbreaking, but I am able to read between the lines. I am sure her father was just trying to protect his little girl, but let this be a lesson to all the fathers out there: you can quickly go too far in teaching the dangers of sex, especially with little girls. I have dated several women like Heather, so I know firsthand what they went through, and are still going through. And what they put me through. In the script, Heather claims to be Catholic, but since she was in Hollywood by the age of 6, we can be sure she can't lay this problem at the feet of Catholicism. It is a problem of Judaism and Hollywood culture. Not that it is limited to Judaism, just that in her case we can be sure that is the nut. This problem is epidemic, and has been for centuries. We are assured it was solved by the sexual revolution, but since that was mostly fake, it wasn't. If anything it is getting worse, because the Governors have decided to promote it. That is what the fake #Metoo movement is about, you know. Tragic to see Heather involved in that. She, if anyone, should know better. In fact, the script was hijacked and turned into another "sex comedy" by these same people.]

But now let's take these Fords forward in the peerage and see what we find. In 1816, Mary Ford married Capt. Henry **Elton**, son of the 5th Baronet. [This links us to Elton John](#). The Eltons also link us directly to the Stewarts, Earls of Galloway; the Maxwells of Monreith; the Kennedys, scrubbed; the Gordons; and the MacKenzies, Earls of Seaforth. In 1839, Rev. Charles Ford married Catherine **Stuart**, scrubbed. In 1844, Anne Ford married Robert Bertram **Mitford**. Mitford is scrubbed, but of course he links us to the Mitfords and later to Hitler. In 1845, William Ford married Mary Hodgson, whose father was Maj. Gen. John Hodgson of the **East India Company**. The 3rd Baronet Ford married a Darling in 1846, and her father was General Sir Ralph Darling, governor of **New South Wales**. Even Wikipedia admits he was a tyrant. What the peerage doesn't tell you is that this Baronet Ford adopted an alleged Indian child in 1845. She is known as **Francina Ford Sorabji**. Her daughter married Theodore **Leighton Pennell**, from the fringes of the peerage, indicating to me that we have discovered yet another nest of spooks, probably involved somehow in the Theosophy project. I will have to hit it another time. As I fill in one hole I find ten others. In 1915, we find Cornelia Ford marrying Reuben **Ball**, probably linking us both to George Washington and Lucille Ball. In the 1950s, we find a Ford marrying a Peirce. Let's see, who else was a Peirce? Barbara Bush. But the Fords and Bushes are related in other ways long before that.

At last, I tripped across some information confirming Henry Ford is from the Ford Baronets. His Geni pages only take him back a couple of generations, to County Cork, Ireland. But if we go down the page, we find a "Family summary" which tells us:

His father, William Ford (1826–1905), was born in County Cork, Ireland, of a family originally from western England, who were among migrants to Ireland as the English created plantations.

So Cork is misdirection, as I thought. The family was not Irish, being English invaders from "western

England”. But even that is misdirection, since Surrey isn't in western England, it is in eastern England. However, we do get confirmation the Baronets of Surrey are the right ones, since the 2nd Baronet married a Brady from Ireland in 1817. Both the time and place are right. The 3rd Baronet also married an Irish girl, since the Darlings were in Ireland at the time.

But we do find where the “western England” comes in. The 4th Baronet married his cousin **Frances Colville** Ford, daughter of William Ford, son of the 2nd Baronet. [The Colvilles were Viscounts, closely related to the Villiers, Murrays, Coxes, Montagus, Smiths, Windsors, and Stuarts (Marquesses of Bute). And yes, these Smiths are the same Smiths we keep seeing, going back to Abel Smith, banker of Nottingham. So the Fords link quickly to the upper reaches of the peerage via these Colvilles.] William Ford lived in Devon, which is indeed in western England. Wikipedia also gives us a clue here, when it admits the family of Henry Ford was from Somerset. That's also western England. We aren't told where in Somerset or Devon they were from, but using the information above, we can take an educated guess. That would be Tavistock, Devon. Why? [See here](#), where we find William **Compton**, Marquess of Northampton, marrying Virginia Heaton in 1942. Virginia's maternal grandfather is given as **Francis Ford** Freeman, of Tavistock. Further searching finds him living at Abbotsfield, married to a Haden. This is of course interesting, since the Ford Foundation had ties to Tavistock Institute. It also ties into the research above, since Tavistock in Devon has been linked to the **Russell** family since medieval times. The Duke of Bedford is also the Marquess of Tavistock, remember. Tavistock was a center for the **cloth trade** since the beginning. The Churchills are also linked to Tavistock Institute, and the first Duke of Marlborough was the son of a Drake. Well, Sir Francis Drake was from Tavistock, Devon. Tavistock is also the site of many mines, including [copper](#), [manganese](#), [lead](#), [silver](#) and [tin](#). This is the source of some of the Russell's great wealth.

So, when you are told Henry Ford worked himself up from the gutter, you can now say you know better. And when someone starts laying the “inspirational” quotes of Ford on you, you can tell them to get lost. [Especially the one about the airplane taking off against the wind](#). Ford, like the rest of these people, succeeded because he was all but guaranteed to succeed from birth. He was with the wind all the way. He became an engineer for Edison in his twenties, and after only two years was already chief engineer. We are told he already had enough money and time by age 30 to do his own experiments. After only two years? He was soon backed by lumber baron William Murphy. As we are. At age 36 he was already set up with his own car company. As often happens with those who have a dream: wish for a car company and *poof!* you have one. This car company folded after two years, so Ford went into auto racing. As poor or middle class people do. He won a few races, so the rich guys set him up in a second company. The very next year, Ford quit again, in a fit that Murphy had brought in another engineer (Leland) to help consult. So, Ford was acting like a rich kid, used to having his way. Murphy had to rename the company from Ford to Cadillac. Ford—being from the families—knew he could always get more money, and he did. Coal millionaire Alexander Malcomson set him up a third time, with extra backing from Malcomson's uncle, billionaire banker (German American Bank of Detroit) and candyman John Simpson **Gray**. I guess you remember that name from my papers on Christchurch and Port Arthur. If you think John Gray was from the same Grays in the peerage, you would be right. Even his middle name Simpson tells us that. [Gray was born in Edinburgh, but we are supposed to believe his family were farmers. Right. His partners Fox and Toynton also confirm our guesses. The Toynes/Toyntons and Foxes [are closely related in the peerage](#). They are also linked to New Zealand, as you see at that link.]

Ford decided to produce low-end automobiles, and the Dodges supplied most of his parts. All Ford had to do was put on the body and the wheels. At first Ford tried to stiff them, but then offered to bring them into the company. I assume that is because if he failed a third time, they would take the loss, not

him. The company scored this time, but that was due to the Dodges, who actually knew how to make cars. By 1916, the Dodges were suing Ford, because he was trying to stiff them again. He stopped paying stock dividends in order to finance a new complex. Since the Dodges' annual stock earnings were about \$1 million, they were basically paying for the complex themselves. The court ruled in their favor, and Ford decided to buy them out for \$25 million.

Ford didn't make a new model until 1926, a true measure of his genius. Almost 20 years of pushing the same crappy jalopy. If you don't believe me, remember what John Dodge said in about 1915: "Someday people who own a Ford are going to want an automobile".

The common bios also tell us this:

Ford did not believe in accountants; he amassed one of the world's largest fortunes without ever having his company audited under his administration.

Say what? Decades of being one of the largest manufacturers in the US, and he was not audited once? He kept no books? Proof of my thesis, isn't it?

You are heavily sold the idea that Ford was pro-worker, but he was actually rabidly anti-union. He brought in Harry **Bennett**, note the name, to bust his own incipient unions. We are told that in 1937, Bennett's boys beat UAW workers, including Walter Reuther, with clubs. No chance that happened. It was staged to create fear, though, and it worked, keeping the union out of Ford's factories for four more years. You can tell it was faked just by the reports. Pictures appeared the next day in papers, but neither the police nor the FBI investigated. And yes, the FBI was around in 1937. Hoover was there even then. [Plus, we have seen in previous papers that Reuther was a mole, controlling the opposition.] Beating people with clubs on camera was against the law then just like it is now. Also notice this: the UAW people were being photographed by the *Detroit News* when the beatings started. Bennett's boys walked up while the cameras were rolling. But that doesn't sound staged, does it? This is also a hint: "a partially fictitious account of these events appear in Upton Sinclair's book, *The Flivver King*. The fully fictitious account was saved for the newspapers.

Ford was one of the biggest liars of all time, up there with Andrew Carnegie. We are told he was pro-peace in WWI, sending peace ships to Sweden, etc. Why Sweden? Why not Madagascar? While at the same time, Ford plants in the UK were working overtime in the war effort, both before and during the war. During the war, they were a major supplier of weapons, including airplane engines and anti-sub boats.


Same thing with WWII, where Ford pretended to be a peacenik while accepting medals from the Nazis. Like Lindbergh, he was awarded the Grand Cross of the German Eagle. Also like Lindbergh, he never returned it or repudiated it.

[We have previously seen Ford](#) connected to the *Protocols of the Elders of Zion*, but we have already seen that was another fake. It was yet another of Ford's reversals. We find much more evidence of that on Ford's Wikipedia page, which can barely stay erect from the weight of red flags it carries. Notice that the *Dearborn Independent* article "The International Jew, the World's Foremost Problem" was written by **Emil Theodor Fritsch**. Do you want to guess why that is a red flag? Fritsch was Jewish, just pretending to be an anti-Semite. That is the way it is always done, and we have seen it a hundred times. On Fritsch's page we find something very interesting: Fritsch sent Nietzsche his anti-Semitic writings back in the 1880s, but Nietzsche told him to take a leap. So Nietzsche could likely see

it was another conjob.

All this was just a prequel to the Hitler project, in which Hitler would do exactly the same thing. Hitler was a Jew pretending to attack Jews, as part of a grand project to appear to victimize them at a time when they were actually controlling large parts of society. Ford, like Lindbergh, was an admirer and friend of Hitler, receiving a representative of Hitler at his home as early as 1924. But, as we now know, that doesn't mean Ford was an anti-Semite. No, just the opposite. [Since Hitler and all the Nazis were cloaked Jews](#), it means Ford was a Semite. A crypto-Jew. You will say “No! It can't be. Ford isn't a Jewish name.” No? Harrison FORD admits he is Jewish. See below.

Tying in to my previous paper on Stalin, we find that Ford built a plant in the Soviet Union in 1929. Where? In Nizhny-Novgorod, Gorky's hometown. I couldn't have planned this if I tried. Oh, and Ford was a Freemason.



What's with the earring, Indiana Solo?

Does Harrison Ford link in here? You betcha. He is a **Fitzgerald**. His grandfather is John Fitzgerald Ford, linking us to the Kennedys. The Fitzgeralds are the Dukes of Leinster. This may be why his [biography.com page](#) is not available to me. I get a 404 error. Strange, since the cached page from March 24, 2019, is still there. Don't really see why it was trashed, since it has no good information on it. It may have been updated and edited. The author keeps putting “Fitzgerald” in quotes, for no reason except I suppose to imply it was a nickname and get your eyes off it. The only other information is that Harrison's mother is a Nidelman and his great-grandmother is a von Niehaus. Also the name Conway. At Geni we discover he is Jewish through that mother Nidelman, since we find the names Schwartz,

Lifshitz, Freeman, Zalmon, and so on. So Ford is technically Jewish, something he has admitted in interviews. On the paternal side, the Conways link us to the Rooneys, then quit. The Niehauses are also scrubbed. John Fitzgerald Ford is also the end of the line, so a heavy scrubbing at Geni.

Strange we don't get a middle name for Harrison Ford. We are told he doesn't have one. Right. Could it be Fitzgerald? His father's middle name is also hidden, so something interesting is being left in the pot there. Other possibilities would be Valentine or Rudolph, the later linking him to Gerald Rudolph Ford.

Where did Harrison get his first name? Probably from [James Harrison Ford](#), d. 1933. He links us to the Dellingers and **Valentines**. As you saw above, the name Valentine links us to the Ford Baronets. Since everyone in Hollywood is related to the Presidents, and since all the Presidents are closely related, I would assume Harrison Ford is closely related to Presidents William Henry Harrison and Benjamin Harrison. Remember the Champions, above, related to both the Harrisons and the Fords? That is one important link between Harrison Ford's two names.

Harrison **Ford** has had close ties to Francis **Ford** Coppola from the beginning. Ford's ex-wife Melissa, to whom he was married for 21 years, lived next door to the Coppolas and babysat for their children as a teen. She later became a protégé of Coppola, who got her script for *The Black Stallion* in front of Spielberg. So I would assume she was related the them, and may have been a cousin of Harrison.


We have seen that Henry Ford is related to the people in the Port Arthur hoax. That is how I got started here. Well, since I have shown the Port Arthur hoax and more recent Christchurch hoax are linked, if Ford is connected to one he is connected to both. And since Henry Ford is related to Harrison Ford, that means Harrison Ford is linked to Christchurch. Don't believe me? What is the middle name of Christchurch shooter Brenton Tarrant? Harrison. [To be clear, I am not claiming Harrison Ford is involved, just that he is related to these people.]



What about Calista Kay Flockhart? Her ancestry is very strange. Wikipedia says her mother is Kay

Calista, but that doesn't sound right. Ethnicelebs contradicts that, telling us the name Calista comes from the paternal side—her great-grandmother being Anna Calista Clarke. It is very unlikely that the name Calista would come up in both places, so it looks like they are lying about mom's last name. Ethnicelebs also conspicuously refuses to tell us what Flockhart's mother's maiden name is. So something big is being hidden there. Ethnicelebs does give us the names **Fisher**, Sproule, Quigley, **Miller**, **Huber**, **Smith** and Noble. At least four of those are Jewish, and maybe all of them. We also find a William P. **Douglas** Honohan. The position of the name Douglas indicates a surname, not a given name, which would link us to the Douglasses. Fisher is a Jewish name and may link us to Carrie Fisher, which would be amusing. I was looking for some genealogy links to Harrison Ford, to indicate they are cousins. Couldn't find that, since Calista is exceedingly well scrubbed. No parents listed at Geni.

We do find a few Flockharts in the peerage, and they are linked recently to the Windsors. See Mary Louise Flockhart, who married John Windsor Persse of Connecticut in 1957. His grandmother was a Windsor. This is somewhat interesting, since these families have connections to Johnstown, NY, about 30 miles NW of Albany. Calista's family also has connections to upstate NY, specifically Norwich, which is about 50 miles SW. So there may be some link there.



What about Francis Ford Coppola? He claims to be Italian. He also claims that his parents named him after Henry Ford. If you believe either one of those things you need to check your prescriptions. He is a famous director in Hollywood. Do I need to say more? Plus, just look at the picture above. It is OK to look closely at it. Italian or Jewish? Some of his lines may come from Italy, but we can be sure they are Italian Jews. His sister Talia Shire married Jason Schwartzman, who they at least admit is Jewish. Talia's first husband David Shire is also Jewish. Coppola's brother August also married a Jewish woman, Marie Thevenin (misspelled Thenevin at Geneanet, maybe on purpose). August also married a Joy Vogelsang, ditto. His grandmother's name is Marie Zasa, which is Jewish. Of course she is scrubbed, to keep me from proving that. Coppola's nephew Marc married Elizabeth Seton Brindak,

also Jewish. His grandniece Natasha married an X Shalom. So these Italian Coppolas sure like to marry Jews. My research above allows us to guess Francis Ford Coppola got his name from the Francis Fords, governors of Barbados.

In this research on the Fords of the peerage, I found not only many Francis Fords, but also Frances Fords. They named both the boys and the girls that. Well, that reminds us of **Frances Ford Seymour**, second wife of Henry Fonda and mother of Jane and Peter. Remember, we have many obvious links to the peerage with her. To start with, the Seymours are Dukes of Somerset, being the second ranked dukes in the peerage. Frances' mother was a Bower, which is Jewish. Also spelled Bauer/Bayer/Beer/Meyerbeer. Frances' husband before Fonda was a Brokaw, relative of Tom Brokaw, and he had previously been married to Claire **Boothe Luce**, linking us to those two families of the peerage—as well as to John Wilkes Booth. Her daughter from that marriage was named Frances **de Villers** Brokaw, linking to that prominent name from the peerage. So, this is Coppola's link to the Fondas. They are both Fords.

Also interesting to [find a Coppola](#) in the British peerage. This Maria was from Naples, same as Francis Ford Coppola's paternal line. She married a **del Balzo**, the 4th Duke of Presenzano in 1843. So the Coppolas are Neapolitan nobility, related to the Princes of Belmonte and Leporano. They forget to tell you that, don't they? Interesting, since there are Norman castles in Presenzano. Since we have seen in previous papers that the Normans were Jewish invaders, you see what that means. It is just more confirmation.

[Here is some more](#). That is a story about Jewish inscriptions on buildings in southern Italy. It is from the Jewish Heritage Europe website. Guess where the offices of this group are? In the Castello del Balzo, Venosa. That is the site of major Jewish studies, since we find it mentioned in several other places, including the European Association for Jewish Studies. With more research, we find the del Balzos are related to the Princes of Liechtenstein, which would be strange for your run-of-the-mill Italians.

So, does Coppola have any ties to Jamaica or Barbados? Not that I was able to uncover, but we do find a connection to nearby Dominican Republic—where *Apocalypse Now* was shot. [See [my paper](#) on that film.] So was *The Godfather II*.



There he is giving you the “eye” of Horus sign, which I will be told is Illuminati. No, it is just a variant of the hand-in-the-vest sign, or the hidden hand—meaning, yep, “eye” am Jewish. Eye, Matey! He is saying, “Eye am a crypto-Jew from royal lines, and Eye do whatever Eye wish, including faking major events and making promo films for the military”.

In researching the Coppolas, I tripped across Capt. Sir Aubrey St. Clair-Ford, 6th Baronet, who married Anne **Christopherson** in 1945. These St. Clair-Fords are the same as the Ford Baronets, Ember Court. They changed their name at the time of this 6th Baronet, in 1878. His grandfather was Francis Ford, 2nd Baronet. Anyway, I suspect this Christopherson links us to Kris Kristofferson. Kris is sold as Swedish, but he has been married to three Jewish women (Bier, Meyers and Coolidge). Bier=Baer/Bayer/Beer/Meyerbeer. His sister married a Jewish man named Kirschenbauer. Cherry tree. We have seen that recently, too.



Rita stops pretending to be an Indian and gets back to her Jewish roots

And you are going to love this: Rita Coolidge's mother is. . . a **Stewart**. See below for list of Stewart dukes. Her grandfather Lon is scrubbed, end of the line at Geni. Geneanet tells us a bit more. Rita's maternal grandparents were cousins, since they were *both* Stewarts. We also hit a Morris, Strother, Humphrey, Fontaine, and Lawler. The Coolidge line is very strange, since Rita's father's father is not a Coolidge. He is listed as a Johnson. Of course this makes no sense, and they may be hiding a connection to Calvin Coolidge.† We are told Rita's grandmother renamed all her children after her second husband, but normally that would require an adoption by him: we have no mention and no record of that. But in fact, one of Rita's step-uncles *is* named Thomas **Calvin Coolidge**. My guess would be that Rita is really a Coolidge (not a step-Coolidge), related closely to that President. Which makes the story that much stranger: Rita's grandmother's first husband was a full-blood Cherokee, and her second husband was a close relative of the President? Does that sound likely? I now doubt Rita has much Cherokee blood, if any: she just has thick dark hair and a good nosejob. Also curious:

although there are many pictures of Rita's mom online, there are no pictures of her dad.

However, we do find some admitted action on the paternal side, once we get to the **Champions**. See above. Even better, our Champion in Rita's genealogy is named Jacob Shadrach Champion. Oooh, what could it mean? His mother is a Tabiltha **Green**. These Champions keep going back, taking us to Helston, Cornwall. The sister of one of these old Champions from Virginia married Elias Fort. See what they did there? Fort=Ford. They pretty much admit this, since by 1790 these [Forts are marrying Fords](#), who no doubt are close cousins. This also links us to the **Phillips, Hancocks**, and Jordans. Rita's paternal aunts married a Sprague and a **Gibbs**:



We also see how white her skin is there. Whiter than the dark-blond Barry Gibb. So I have a question for you. If Rita's paternal side is Cherokee, why do we find Champions, Greens, and Fords there, going back to Cornwall? There are no Cherokees in Cornwall, last time I checked.

As a tack-on, I want to append a list of dukes, for your edification and easy reference. There are only about 24, so you may want to memorize it. I list them roughly in order of importance, which is also the order of creation.

1. Duke of Cornwall. Held by the first son of the King or Queen. He is also currently the Duke of Rothesay and of course the Prince of Wales. Why Wales? See my previous discoveries about Anglesey.
2. Duke of Norfolk, held by the FitzAlan-Howards. Remember, the FitzAlans are also Stuarts/Stewarts. This dukedom has been held by the family since 1397, and before that as an Earldom back to 1101. The Mowbrays later married into the clan, but the main line came through Edward I. The Howards came in through the Mowbrays.
3. Duke of Somerset, held by a Seymour. The Beauforts also enter here. But since the Beauforts came from John of Gaunt, son of Edward III, we are back to the Stuarts again. The Plantagenets were Stuarts through Empress Matilda.*

4. Duke of Richmond, held by the Gordon-Lennox family. They are also the Dukes of Lennox. AKA Lennon. This is another dukedom of the house of Stuart.
5. Duke of Grafton, held by a FitzRoy. Also a dukedom of the Stuarts, since the first Duke wasn't really a FitzRoy. He was the son of Charles II Stuart. The Villiers and Bennets also tie in here. Even more important, the Medicis are involved here, since Charles' grandmother was Marie de' Medici.
6. Duke of Beaufort, held by a Somerset. They are linked closely to the Seymours, Duke of Somerset. This Dukedom also traces back to John of Gaunt, and so is another in the Stuart pile.
7. Duke of St. Albans, held by a Beauclerk. Like the Duke of Grafton, this is another spin-off of the Stuarts. The first Beauclerk was a bastard of Charles II.
8. Duke of Bedford, held by a Russell. The Dukes of Bedford were originally Stuarts. The origin of the Russells has been buried, but they were probably Stuarts as well.
9. Duke of Devonshire, held by a Cavendish. Also a dukedom of the Stuarts, though this is usually hidden. The Cavendishes are FitzAlans through Bess of Hardwick. The Hardwicks come from straight from the Duchess of Norfolk, see above.
10. Duke of Marlborough, held by a Spencer-Churchill. The Churchills also descend from the Stuarts. The 1st Duke's mother was a Drake, daughter of a Boteler, niece of Villiers, 1st Duke of Buckingham. His mother was a Beaumont, and the Beaumonts descend from John of Brienne, King of Jerusalem. They were near cousins of the Stuarts, so we can call them Stuarts.
11. Duke of Rutland, held by a Manners. The Manners are also Plantagenets in direct line, therefore Stuarts. Are you seeing a pattern?
12. Duke of Hamilton, held by a Douglas-Hamilton. They are also Dukes of Brandon. *Big* change here, since the Hamiltons are not Stuarts. They are Stewarts, in the line of James II of Scotland. But since James' mother was a Beaufort, we tie into #3 above.
13. Duke of Buccleuch, held by a Scott. Created for another bastard son of Charles II.
14. Duke of Argyll, held by a Campbell. Also a dukedom of the Stuarts, since the 1st Duke of Argyll's mother was Mary Stuart, a direct descendant of Robert II Stewart of Scotland.
15. Duke of Atholl, held by a Murray. This is where the Stanleys are currently hiding, since the Murrays took over the top Stanley line by marriage. The 1st Duke's mother was a Stanley and his wife was a Hamilton. His grandmother was a Campbell. So this is the Stuarts again by another name.
16. Duke of Montrose, held by a Graham. The Grahams are also Stewarts, the 1st Earl of Montrose being the great-grandson of Robert III Stewart.
17. Duke of Roxburghe, held by an Innes-Ker. The Kers come from the Scotts and Lindsays, making them Stuarts once again.
18. Duke of Manchester, held by a Montagu. The Montagus come from the Devereux, who come from the Woodvilles, who are Stuarts through Jacquetta of Luxembourg, Duchess of Bedford. See #8 above.
19. Duke of Northumberland, held by a Percy. A spin-off of the Duke of Somerset, since the 1st Duchess was a Seymour, daughter of the Duke of Somerset. So, a Stuart. See #3 above.
20. Duke of Leinster, held by a FitzGerald. The FitzGeralds descend from the Stewarts in many lines, including the line of George Stewart, 8th Earl of Galloway. Also via the Howards and Cavendishes.
21. Duke of Wellington, held by a Wellesley. This is the only one I couldn't easily tie to the Stuarts.
22. Duke of Sutherland, held by an Egerton. The Leveson-Gores are also in here, as well as the Warburtons. The Egertons also descend from Stewarts in many lines, including Lady Susanna Stewart and through the Dukes of Bedford.
23. Duke of Abercorn, held by a Hamilton. Obviously linked to #12 above.

24. Duke of Westminster, held by a Grosvenor. The Grosvenors are descended in recent marriages from Phillips, Leveson-Gores, Crichtons, Egertons, Middletons, and Cavendishes, making them Stuarts in many lines.
25. Duke of Fife, held by a Carnegie. Created for Queen Victoria's granddaughter.
26. There are several other Dukedoms, but they are reserved for sons of the King/Queen and so are mostly ceremonial at the current time.

So, anytime you see the word “Duke”, you can substitute “Stuart”. Another thing to note is that almost all of these dukedoms were created after 1675, which should look curious. The peerage goes back long before that, so seeing all dukedoms so recent is suspicious. This should tell you something about the Jewish takeover of the Islands, and its progression. Yes, we have seen the British Isles were Jewish almost from the beginning, especially after William the Conqueror came up from Normandy as part of the “Viking” takeover. But there were important waves of conquest after that, one of them being the wave coming from Isle of Mann in the time of the Tudors. This latest one, which completed the takeover, didn't occur until the late 1600s, and it came from the direction of Holland, specifically Orange. It began with Cromwell and peaked with the conquest of William III. That's why you see all but the first three dukedoms arising after 1675. Basically, you see various very old Stuart lines jockeying for power, with the most Jewish normally winning. That is because these people learned early on that winning the internecine wars was all about buying armies. Since that concerns nothing but money, they vied to pull in the richest merchants and bankers, who were Jewish to man. To this day we are taught in shows like *Downton Abbey* that the vulgar rich married into the peerage for titles and prestige, but that is mostly a reversal. It isn't the rich who vied to marry the peers, it was the peers who vied to marry the rich. You will say the peers don't buy armies anymore, but you just aren't keeping up. Who do you think does buy armies? No, the British peers are no longer fighting one another on British soil: they do that in the investment groups instead. But they are still buying armies for international jostling. However, you are right in a sense. After WWII, the current worldwide hegemony was all but assured, and the main point of armies now is to drain the treasuries.

[return to updates](#)

*However, what a lot of people don't know is that if we follow the male line directly the Plantagenets were *not* Stuarts, but the Counts of Anjou and Kings of Jerusalem. See King Fulk, d. 1143. These Fulks go back several more centuries, where they probably come out of the Carolingians. So in this sense, England is just a later settlement of the Carolingians. These Carolingians are also not who you are taught, since many of their wives have names like Judith, and they come from the East. See Judith of Bavaria, wife of Charles the Bald. This can also be said of the later Fulks, who were also marrying women from the East. See Hildegard of Sundgau. Also Waldrada of Worms, wife of Robert III. So the Medicis were not the first Jews to invade Western houses through the female lines. They were just one wave of many. The Jewish historians pretend these eastern women were just coming in from the Rhine, but they were coming in from far east of that. Why do you think these royal houses of France were so interested in being Kings of Jerusalem?

**Think Anson Williams, real name Anson Heimlich, from *Happy Days*. Patsie Weber.

† Here is what Rita says in an interview: **My father was Indian. His father was full blood Cherokee. He was already born when my grandmother married Papa Coolidge. She just changed all the kids' names to Coolidge.** So the name Coolidge comes from her step-grandfather? That's a new one. Why do I think something is being covered up here? In the same interview, Rita admits she isn't enrolled in the Cherokee Nation, and can't get enrolled. But if her grandfather was full-blood Cherokee, that makes no sense. And Alonzo Coolidge is a strange name for a Cherokee.