Greer, Smith, and ZeroCred

by Miles Mathis

July 21, 2026

I was already working on another paper when I ran across this article at Zerohedge, which I think demands immediate comment. In it, Charles Hughes Smith begins by heavily quoting another three-namer, John Michael Greer, from his paper at Ecosophia, and for some reason my antennae went up. Could be the name Greer, or could be something else. I quickly found my intuition was right again, since we find this:

Greer begins by laying out a compelling taxonomy of class in America, four self-explanatory classes defined by this question: how do different groups in today's America get their income?

1. **investment class: income from investments (i.e. capital)**
2. **salary class: income from a monthly salary with benefits**
3. **wage class: income from an hourly wage with no benefits**
4. **welfare class: income from welfare programs**

Greer argues that the salary class is now the gravitational center of power in the U.S., absorbing much of the national income in unproductive faux-problem-solving for fabricated problems explicitly devised to justify generous salaries and benefits.

The nation can no longer afford this staggeringly costly unproductive work force and so "replacing cognitive work with AI" is the cover story for the mass evisceration of this class, in a parallel to the previous gutting of the factory work force by automation and offshoring.

Did you catch it? How's that for a record scratch? I was expecting him to say the investment class was the center of power and that *it* was the useless feeder here, since everyone knows that is the case, so I had to go back and reread it a second time. Greer really expects us to believe people working on a monthly salary are the "gravitational center of power" in the US? And obviously, you can already tell the problem isn't that the salary class is unproductive, it is that word "benefits". The investment class is "losing" billions having to pay benefits to the salary class, and it would prefer to bump all those people down into the wage class. That's another reason they are letting in all those poor immigrants: they will naturally fall into category 3. They will be very productive with low wages and no benefits, and hopefully eat bugs while living in a tiny apartment rented to them by the investment class. If they get out of line they can be thrown into a private jail owned by the investment class, who will be paid by the treasury for imprisoning them.

So this whole article is a mind-eff from the first. You should have already relegated both Greer and Smith permanently to the garbage can. Smith should have taken violent exception to Greer's gloss, as I did, but he doesn't. He only wants to add his analysis to it, so we know his analysis will be just as slippery. Smith has ten divisions instead of four, but the holes in his set are even greater than those in Greer's, and we can soon see it is just an unnecessary complication and noisy tangent. He puts his middle class in the Salary Class slot, since they have benefits like retirement plans; and his next class below that is the working poor, which acts as his Wage Class, I guess. But that isn't a good fit, either, since many of Greer's wage class wouldn't be poor. They might be plumbers or mechanics, for instance, who aren't poor. So with ten slots, Smith still manages to completely misdefine the middle class, which isn't at all synonymous with Greer's salary class. He has basically hidden it from the start, since he doesn't want you to notice how obliterated it already was before AI ever came along. If Greer is right and AI is aimed at the Salary Class, it means the capitalists have targeted what most of us would call the already-rich: not the upper-middle, but the lower-upperclass. It has to be because those are the only people that still have anything worth stealing. The current economy is an institutionalized rapine, so someone beside the middle class has to be targeted. They are already tapped out and destroyed. Where they aren't destroyed, as with my previous example of plumbers or mechanics, they are untouchable because they can work for cash, and many already are. Their wages can't be garnished and robots can't do their work.

But these guys like Smith and Greer can't have the rich realizing they are the ones now targeted, since they actually have the ability to resist, to some extent. That can't be allowed, since you can't have the mark realizing he is a mark. It would destroy the con.

It is also a clue that Smith puts the Deep State above the Oligarchs, and refuses to call them the CIA. He wants you to believe the Deep State is the ultimate controller here, to focus your anger on a mythical undefined entity, but obviously the CIA is just a tool of the trillionaires. Smith's slots 2, 3, and 4 are all Phoenician-class, just of different ranks, so pretty much meaningless. Same families, different ages and lineages. Likewise, there is almost no separation of his slots 5 and 6, and they too are mostly of the families, filled by those of even less rank. Which means of course that the Phoenicians are now preying on their own, eating their own young, with the only excuse being these people are cousins instead of children or grandchildren. This is also dangerous, of course, especially if these cousins become aware of it in time.

Smith spends many sections posing as revolutionary by telling us things we already know but making them boring, then in section 6 confirms Greer's main thesis that the Salary Class is the most unproductive. Yes, they ARE unproductive, wasting time in meetings and so on, but are they the MOST unproductive? Not even close. It is the upper classes, led by his Oligarchs, that are the most unproductive by far, since they not only fail to produce, they steal everyone else's product. Funny how both Smith and Greer never get near that, despite posing as revolutionaries. That elephant in the room is constantly hidden by them both. They might as well be blocking for Marie Antoinette.

We always see the same thing from all these “independent” authors reprinted by Zerohedge, Infowars, Gateway Pundit, Breitbart, and many other outlets pretending to be revolutionary: they are also the ones pushing the idea the rich are already over-taxed, as I have shown many times before. Yes, these “revolutionaries” are actually running interference for Gates, Musk, and the Rockefellers and Rothschilds, trying to convince you that taxing them more (or better, shutting down their rackets) isn't the way to go. They already pay more than their fare share, you are assured. But that is such an obvious lie it is hard to believe anyone would dare to tell it in this day and age. To start with, the rich DON'T pay what you are told they pay, since they don't pay the percentage listed in their bracket. These authors just assume they are paying some flat rate listed in IRS forms, but they hide most of their wealth and pay almost nothing as a percentage. The old guys admitted it in weak moments, the Rockefellers and Morgans conceding occasionally they paid less than 1% or something. But it is far worse than that because the wealthy are paying hugely *negative* taxes, via government subsidies and other cons. That's how they got rich, remember? They aren't *paying* taxes, they are *eating* your taxes, greedily slurping from the treasuries of the world. Your taxes are going to keep these people fat. That is what taxes are for. Hard to believe they have been able to get you to forget that. It would be like teaching you to deny the sun came up in the morning.

That's what the defense department is about. It isn't about defense since no one is attacking us or ever has. For them it is about investing in the defense industry, which then steals a trillion or so of your taxes every year for pretty much nothing. Same for the Intel industry, the cybersecurity industry, the nuclear industry, event security, NASA, Big Pharma, Big Tech, and a hundred others: just a big con to keep the oligarchs fat. That isn't democracy or republicanism, and isn't even capitalism, it is just theft under the guise of taxation and normal spending. Most of the money made by capitalists doesn't come from investing, though they steal a lot from the stock markets and by these conjobs like the SpaceX IPO: it comes from raiding the treasury directly, in seemingly legal ways. A far larger part of that than defense is now debt. The debt ceiling has been raised a hundred times in past few decades to allow these people to print money and steal it before the presses have even cooled, depositing it directly into their accounts while making you responsible for it anyway. In other words, if they then invest that printed money and make a profit, the profit goes to them. If they take a loss, it goes to you. It is still marked as your debt even while it is sitting in their bank accounts, since the Federal Reserve is their bank account. It is a win/win for them and a lose/lose for you, like everything else.

So maybe you can now see what Smith and Greer were up to. They need your eyes off the Oligarchs and on these unproductive Salary Class people, who you are supposed to hate for taking meetings, having benefits, and making money in the fake stock market.

Which, by the way, explains why the Oligarchs have allowed these cousins to make money in the fake stock market, using their own rackets. They are being fattened before the slaughter.

In case you didn't know—I didn't until just now—John Michael Greer is a Freemason and Golden Dawn druid with no bio before 1997, when he was 35. Before he became a fake economist he wrote the *New Encyclopedia of the Occult*. He has also extended Lovecraft's Cthulhu mythos. So they really didn't spend much time trying to make this guy NOT look like a spook. I guess his return address is Langley. Incredible that Smith, Zerohedge, or anyone else trying to be taken seriously, would reference such a person.

You have to laugh. This is who the Oligarchs have tapped to block for them, showing you how desperate they are. Or how little they think of your intelligence.