



theater, since it works so well, and their theater departments have grown exponentially since the 1950s. The authors at Narratively.com lead by comparing this theater to Orson Welles' "War of the Worlds", and the comparison is apt. It is the creation of a completely fictitious world, in order to create a psychological and emotional response, and therefore a political one.

This series at Narratively.com should also act as a warning to you: if the CIA could fool the entire country of Guatemala back then via the media, they can fool the entire world now. And *they have* and continue to. Back then they only had radio broadcasts and pamphlet drops. Now they have 24/7 TV, Hollywood, newspapers, magazines, and internet. The entire Covid narrative is fake, directly analogous to the "War of the Worlds" threat. So is the BLM/rioting narrative. All manufactured to create fear and move you where they want you to go. And there are more and bigger narratives in the works, including perhaps a sequel to the "War of the Worlds". In other words, be very suspicious of *any* new narrative. Remember what you were taught as a child: don't believe everything you hear. Except that I would change that: don't believe *anything* you hear, especially when you are hearing it from your government or its mouthpieces.

You will say, "If that is true, then why should I believe this story about Guatemala?" Two reasons: one, it was already known. For the most part, private researchers like me had already blown the CIA's cover long ago, so it is not like they are divulging any new information. [They admit it at places like Wikipedia now.](#) Because the information was already known, the current authors figured why not use it to buy some street cred and then spin it off with this malarky about Russia. Two, my guess is these authors are Intel, but *not* CIA. They may be DHS, you see, a competing franchise. So old CIA agents looking bad is no skin off their back, they think.

On the way out, I will point out one thing everyone has missed, and that you may miss as well. The President of Guatemala who was deposed is usually given as Jacopo Arbenz. But I beg you to note that his name is actually Jacopo Arbenz **Guzman**. His mother was a Guzman, and his father wasn't even Hispanic. His father was a Swiss pharmacist named Jakob Grobli, "wealthy and upperclass". His mother is said to have been middle-class, but she wasn't. We have seen the Guzmans in previous papers: they were Marranos, meaning in this case they were wealthy Jews of Spanish extraction. The name was originally Gussmann.



There you see his parents. You will see where I am going with this already, so I won't beat it to death. But what it indicates to me at a glance is that Arbenz had been installed *in order to fail*. This is the spin that still exists on the story, the still hidden layer: Arbenz was in on it.

Another clue in that direction is that Arbenz came out of the military academy *Escuela Politecnica*, which was run by a US Director, Colonel John Considine. Wikipedia lists him as a Major, but that appears to be incorrect. That would be [John Arthur \(Tiger Jack\) Considine](#), military intelligence, who later became Brigadier General of the Guatemalan Army. Arbenz became a captain (later colonel) and instructor at the military academy, which had been modelled by Considine on West Point. Arbenz married Maria Vilanova, also from a very wealthy Jewish family. Wikipedia tells us that Vilanova exposed Arbenz to Marxism, so they are still spinning 70 years later.

To make a long story short, the October Revolution of 1944 looks like another fake revolution to prevent a real one. The revolution came out of the US-led military academy, which looks very suspicious. After the revolution, Arbenz was promoted to Lt. Colonel. He became Defense Minister under Arevalo, and they were Capitalist and anti-Communist. However, it is admitted the country was still run by the military and especially General Arana **Castro**. And who ran the military in Guatemala even then? That's right, the US, via its people like Considine. Considine was hiding behind Ubico the whole time, which puts the lie to the entire history we are told. We may assume Considine's successor was hiding behind Arana and then Arbenz.

One of the first things Arbenz did after he was elected in 1951 was begin working with the IBRD (International Bank), putting his country into debt. In the "agrarian reform" Degree 900, Arbenz

supposedly took land away from the rich and gave it to the poor, but if we look closer we find of 350,000 private holdings in Guatemala, only 1710 were affected by this reform. So it was mostly just words, and we may assume that the 1710 holdings affected had been targeted by the very wealthy. Best guess is most of the best plots went from the wealthy to the even more wealthy. At any rate, no lands were actually “taken”. They were *bought* by the government, so we may assume many, including landowner Arbenz, used this reform to unload unprofitable lands.

We are told Arbenz came to loggerheads with the United Fruit Company, but that looks manufactured as well. Of the small tracts of land being taken from them, they were paid twice what they had paid for them, so again, I would assume the UFC profited even there, unloading the most unprofitable tracts. At any rate, this “democratic experiment” lasted less than three years, so the story we are told can't be true. In fact, Truman had already signed off on Operation PBFortune to overthrow Guatemala by 1952, **one year** after Arbenz was elected and before the reforms had even taken effect. Ask yourself how the US could make that decision so fast. Nothing had really happened by that time: no real damage could have been felt by UFC in less than a year. PBFortune had to have been pre-planned before Arbenz even took office. And if the UFC and US had such a stranglehold on the country, they would never have allowed this experiment to get off the ground in the first place. So we must assume they installed Arbenz for a reason. It was probably as a lesson to the entire region, to show that this was what would happen to any revolution or any attempt at self-government. Nothing squelches a real revolution like a failed fake revolution, you see.

This would explain the unexplainable: why Arbenz was so helpless in countering these ragtag groups of CIA radio actors. If you read the series at [Narratively.com](#) closely, that is the obvious hole in the story. Arbenz should have had control of local media, including newspapers and radio, so it is not believable that a small group of outsiders could hijack it so easily. It would be like claiming Russia or China could set up radio stations in the US without our being able to do anything about it. Radio is unblockable and ungovernable, right? No.

Also note that in the [Narratively.com series](#), they admit the atrocities allegedly committed by Arbenz, including the horrible bodies in the death squad photos, were faked or misattributed. The head of United Fruit, Thomas McCann, later admitted in his memoir that the photos were borrowed from elsewhere, and may have been earthquake victims. Remember that when looking at Holocaust photos or any other atrocity photos.

More indication that Arbenz was in on it is that he was later fully rehabilitated, and his family given reparations by the OAS. They have named highways and museums after him, and put him on a postage stamp. This is what they do for agents they formerly had to blackwash in the name of international politics. They are sold as goats for a few years, but later become heroes.