

Will the Fake Sandy Hook Defamation Trial be the End of Alex Jones?



by Miles Mathis

First published August 5, 2022

Wow, even I didn't expect this. The CIA writers are really bottoming out, after a long slow decline from nowhere. Meaning, it was bad before but this is end-of-the-world, spit-in-your-face awful. The trial was actually televised and is now on Youtube, so you can go watch it for yourself and see all the ways it is fake.

As a start, just remember rule one of what I have taught you about these things:

Rule 1: If it is televised, it is fake. Only fake CIA trials are televised. None of the televised trials have ever been real. NONE.

But we knew it was fake going in for another reason, since there ARE no Sandy Hook parents. Who is Jones supposed to be paying \$4 million to? The Actors Equity Trust Fund?

I really can't believe Jones agreed to do this, or that his bosses asked him to. It is a guaranteed fail. A huge slice of his audience will, or should, abandon him immediately and permanently, and the timing couldn't be worse because before this fake trial came along, his popularity was peaking. Due to his pushback on Covid, Biden, Jan. 6, CRT, and other hot topics, he was riding the same wave as Tucker Carlson—though on slightly different beaches. And both were being used to promote the planned tanking of the Democratic Party and the promoted rise of the Republican Party. Tanking—or even risking tanking—Jones right now is horrible timing for them, since he is such an important part of selling the hard push hard right.

There have always been a lot of people wondering if Jones was controlling the opposition. We have to

imagine that question has crossed the minds of most of his listeners and readers, one because that audience is fairly savvy and is the among the most “conspiratorial” out there. They have been schooled on that by Jones himself, so how could they not be? Two because Jones has already given thousands of smaller clues he is doing exactly that. Some of those clues are not that small, I grant you, and I have listed some for you in the past. But none compare to this clue, which is so monstrous it isn't even fair to call it a clue. It is like that scene in *The Wizard of Oz*, when Toto pulls open the curtain and we see Frank Morgan back there pulling levers and creating smoke and noises. Except that scene was amusing, while this is just sickening.

Not only has Jones now admitted Sandy Hook was real—as part of his punishment—he actually sucked up to the fake actors playing the parents and invited them on his show, to talk about how to stop school shootings. I guess he will be touting gun control next. Maybe he will devote a portion of Infowars proceeds to the Department of Homeland Security. He and Matthew McConaughey can do joint press conferences in Uvalde and practice fake-crying together.

But I guess I should be happy. I won't have to visit his site ever again, or address anything there, since he just did all my work for me. What a strange suicide it was, though. RIP Alex Jones.

And remember, **all those who have worked with Jones should go down with him, never to rise again.** Do you really think any of them were innocent, and didn't know they were working for or with an actor?

Another thing this does is prove once again I was right about the need to drive around BOTH parties. Do not let anyone drive you into the open arms of the Republican Party. Do not let anyone convince you you are that sort of conservative. Do not imagine anyone is going to save you, or that any institution, party, or famous person is your buddy. This will not be solved by voting Republican. It will not be solved by the old yoyoing from one party to another. It will not be solved by Kari Lake or Donald Trump or Rick DeSantis or anyone else. It will only be solved by you waking up and saying no to the whole nasty future they have planned for you. It will be solved by you seeing through the entire web of lies you have been caught up in your entire life, and breaking free of it. To do that, you have to learn to spot a lie, and if you believe *any* of the stories in the media—on either side, left or right, mainstream or alternative—you aren't there yet. The “news” is manufactured from top to bottom, left to right, front to back, and I no longer believe *anything*, down to the weather report or the hockey scores.

If you aren't there yet, I suggest you practice on this fake trial. It is not up to me to circle all the red flags there. Do it yourself. Follow my method and read my old papers on fake trials. This one has all the same tells, and then some. Watch the videos over and over until the whole thing unwinds in your lap, until every frame of it offends your intelligence. Then you will be where I am.

PS: I'll give you a couple of freebies, to get you started:

I love the judge's black hexagram earrings, with lots of internal pyramids.

I also like the part about the judge “scolding” Jones for lying under oath. Ridiculous, since it is called perjury, which is a crime. You don't get “scolded” for perjury, you get cited, fined, or jailed for perjury. But there has to be clear evidence for that, and a finding. Without clear evidence of it, the judge would not be able to mention it during trial, since it is prejudicial. It tells the jury Jones is a liar.

As it is, the judge just entered the *claim* of perjury into the record without an actual *finding* of perjury. Which is itself illegal, which shows up the trial as fake.

Jones' defense team supplied the appearance of perjury themselves when they “accidentally” sent years of Jones' cellphone communications to the prosecutors. Whoops! So, as we have seen in all the other most famous trials of the past century, Jones was actually being prosecuted from both tables. Jones used that to claim he was railroaded, and others have called this a kangaroo court, but both fall far short of the truth: **this was all staged**. Even when people are being railroaded by kangaroo courts, nothing like this ever happens. This kind of stuff only happens in CIA trials, when the trial is scripted by people who know nothing of the law *for* people who know nothing of the law. It looks like Langley could hire a lawyer to give these things some continuity, but I guess they figure why bother. Neatness has never been their strong suit, since before I came along they never needed it. In the past, people would buy anything, and the scriptwriters are obviously still working from that playbook.

Another problem is that in a trial for slander or libel, there would be no need to look at Jones' private conversations, since you can say anything you like in private. This should have been limited to Jones' public reporting, which was all aired. You would study the tapes and written reports, which were published online and should be in the Wayback Machine. So all the talk of discovery has been absurd from the beginning. It was never up to Jones to present evidence against himself, it was up to the plaintiffs to enter that evidence, from his shows that were publicly aired and his pieces which were published online. So as I have said before, from a legal standpoint none of this ever made any sense. Trapping Jones in contradictions was all staged, since he had no reason to respond to any of those questions. Any such question of what Jones said in conversations on his cell, or said anywhere else, were outside the purview of the court, and his attorney could just object to any line of questioning of that sort. The only question was, did he slander them in public statements, in reports sold as fact, not as opinion. Did he baldly claim Sandy Hook was a hoax with no evidence it was? No, so the case never should have gone to trial.

It was all staged as a bluff, to make you THINK you could be sued for stating your opinion and presenting evidence. It was staged to keep you quiet. There are no laws of that sort, but they want you to THINK there are. Because if you keep quiet from fear of lawsuit or prosecution, there is no need to actually have any laws. You will then be controlled by lies, not laws. And you always have been.

And here's something strange: Judge Maya Gamble was elected to district 459 with 100% of the vote in 2018, with no Republican challenger. This despite the fact that her predecessor had been a Republican. Dustin Howell was appointed by Republican governor Greg Abbott less than one year earlier, in December of 2017. Yet he chose not to run for election in 2018, and was not a judge for three years, until he was appointed magistrate judge, again by Abbott. And who was seated before Howell in 2017? Ballotpedia doesn't know, implying district 459 was created at that time. In one place, Ballotpedia says 459 is the last one in Travis County, but in another place they say 460 is. I also found this [listing at Law.Justia.com](#), indicating Orlanda Naranjo is the judge in district 459, not Gamble. A search on that indicates Naranjo is judge in district 419, not 459, both of them in Travis county. So there is a lot of confusion on that score.

JUSTIA US Law

Court of Travis County (memorandum opinion)

Download PDF

TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN

NO. 03-20-00440-CV

Ruben Gamboa, Appellant
v.
Tara Das, in her official capacity, Appellee

FROM THE 459TH DISTRICT COURT OF TRAVIS COUNTY
NO. D-1-GN-19-001451, THE HONORABLE ORLANDA NARANJO, JUDGE PRESIDING

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Ruben Gamboa appeals the district court's final order granting a plea to the jurisdiction and dismissing with prejudice his claims against the State Registrar for Vital Statistics Tara Das¹ and the Texas Department of State Health Services (collectively, the DSHS Defendants) concerning the denial of his request for a certified copy of his Texas birth certificate.² Gamboa contends only that the district court erred by granting the plea as to State Registrar Das. We will affirm the district court's final order.

¹ Tara Das, who succeeded Victor Farinelli as State Registrar for Vital Statistics, was

Search this Case

Google Scholar
Google Books
Legal Blogs
Google Web
Bing Web
Google News
Google News Archive
Yahoo! News

Ask a Lawyer

Question:
Please ask your question here and get free answers from lawyers.

Add details 120

Ask Question

Find a Lawyer

Legal Issue or Lawyer Name
Texas Search

Lawyers - Get Listed Now!
Get a free directory profile listing.

SPONSORED LISTINGS
Edward A. Smith

The “trial” is littered with legal tidbits like that, but you will have to collect the rest of them yourselves. I encourage you to run them by any lawyers in the family, to watch them squirm. If my guess is right, they will try to wriggle out of it, but don't let them.

What we just discovered about district 459 leads me to believe all the big cities probably have extra dummy courts and districts like this, used by the CIA and the government to run fake trials. This district 459 and Judge Gamble were also involved in the big Planned Parenthood case of 2021, which you may want to look into for more indication I am right here. It looks like she is used only for these big political cases, though that isn't the usual purview of district courts. Also interesting is that Gamble **has lived in DC** and has been partially scrubbed at Instantcheckmate, where she is not linked to anyone in her birth family of Guerra. She is married to Chris Gamble, 55, formerly of Maine and Massachusetts, who also has red flags all over him. LinkedIn tells us he is VP at One Source Solutions, a subsidiary of GTT, or Global Telecom, which was founded in McLean, VA, in 1998.

The company operates a network of over 650 [points of presence](#) (PoPs). The network spans six continents, and provides services to clients in more than 140 countries.

Its European sister, ETT, was founded at the same time in London. Before that, Gamble was at Comcast. This all points directly at CIA. McLean is their front doorstep. Chris' father is Howard Gamble of Kennebunkport and Marblehead, indicating big wealth. It tells me we may be looking at the Gambles of Ivory soap, think Procter and Gamble. That was my first thought on seeing Maya Gamble's name, and we are already finding evidence of it. Those Gambles were from Cincinnati, but they did have links to ME and MA, including an important one through Dr. Clarence Gamble, who died in Georgetown, ME, in 1966. If you remember, these Gambles were involved in forced sterilization and other eugenics, tying us to Maya Gamble's previous case with Planned Parenthood. Just a coincidence, I'm sure. Clarence's daughter Sally married Lionel **Epstein**, who became head of the notorious Pathfinder Fund, which did indeed work with Planned Parenthood. I say notorious, because it is admitted Pathfinder was funding forced sterilization of poor blacks *without their consent*. Usually it wasn't so much forced as it was secret. This program didn't end until 1977 and the state of North Carolina didn't apologize until 2002.

So you see the kind of stuff that comes up when you ask the simplest questions. Questions they want to bluff you out of ever asking.