

# The Night of the Long Knives was Faked



*by Miles Mathis*

*First published August 1, 2023*

Given that [I have already proved the Nazis were manufactured by](#), and a front for, German/Phoenician Intelligence, we already know all these Nazi events were manufactured, staged, or wholly faked. Since [I just did Kristallnacht](#), I naturally turned next to the Night of the Long Knives, another famous early Nazi event.

This one was easy, since the 1934 Night of the Long Knives never made any sense from the beginning. It is sold to us as an attack by Hitler and the SS against the *Sturmabteilung* (SA, Stormtroopers) and its leader Ernst Rohm. We are told at least 85 people were killed, and others inflate that up to 200 or even 1,000—already a sign of the fake. The number should not be so variable, since they should know who was killed. They kept death records back then, you know. They didn't just dump bodies in the river with no paperwork, although you are expected to believe they did. Anything to create fear.

But we have never been shown any evidence Rohm or the SA were disloyal, and in fact the SA continued to exist and prosper after this, so the story is full of the usual contradictions. The SA was the leader in the Kristallnacht story of 1938, remember? But don't try to make sense of any of this, just accept it because it is in a book.

The first thing to do is look up a genealogy of Rohm, which blows the whole event by itself. His mother was Sofia [Baltheiser](#), and although the links are broken at places like Geni.com, these [Baltheisers of Bayern are related to Marx](#). Sofia's niece, also Sofia, married Rudolph Raphael Marx in around 1900, whose grandfather was Martin Marx of the Rhineland-Palatinate—where Karl Marx's family was also from. Karl Marx's sister was also named Sofia. Karl's real name was Hirschel [Halevi](#) Marx. But the thing to key on here is that this means Rohm was Jewish. We have found another Nazi leader that was Jewish. The mainstream Jewish historians have now admitted that many of the Nazi field marshals, generals, admirals, and colonels were Jewish, but I have proved it goes way beyond

that. All the top Nazi leaders like Hitler, Goebbels, Eichmann, and Himmler were also Jewish. So is Rohm.

As usual, the pictures also confirm we are in the middle of another fake.



We will start with that one, with Rohm in the middle. Hitler is known to have been short, being around 5' 5". We just saw Dorothy Thompson admitting it in my last paper on Kristallnacht, calling him "the little man". So how short are Rohm and his two buddies? Rohm looks like he is barely over five feet tall. Is that even tall enough for the German army? I doubt it, but it is plenty tall enough for German theater. Regardless, that photo is a paste-up, with Hitler being glued in later. The lighting is wrong, with Hitler having more light and less contrast, and for some reason he is walking up a steep hill while the guys right behind him aren't. How does that work?

We see similar problems with the photo under title, which is another ridiculous paste, with everyone falling to the left. I especially like the guy next to him, with his pinky out straight. Did he just drop a cup of camomile tea? Also notice that he has on a pinky ring.

How about this one, allegedly another one of Rohm in the middle back:



Von links nach rechts: Oberpräsident Kube - Justizminister Kerrl - Minister Dr. Goebbels - Der Führer - Genobach Köhm - Ministerpräsident Goring - Minister Darré - Reichsführer der SS Himmler - Der Stellvertreter des Führers, Hess - Vom stehend Minister Feil.

Wow, he just grew five inches for that atrocious pasted photo, now nearly the same height as Hitler, about one inch shorter at the eyes. There is another version of that where Rohm is missing.



Doesn't match that one, does it, where Rohm is at least four inches shorter.



And in that one, the variable Rohm is now taller by an inch. For some reason Hitler's head is washed out. I guess he was standing in a hot spot, causing his hair and mustache to go to blond.



Next, we have that problem, since it comes with this caption at the German Federal Archive:

Der große SS-Schutz-Staffel-Appell der Gruppe Ost der SS. in Berlin! Der Stabschef Hauptmann [Ernst] Röhm, (rechts) der Reichsführer der SS. [Heinrich] Himmler, (mitte) und der Gruppenführer der Gruppe Ost der SS. [Kurt] Daluege, (links) beim Gespräch im Lager in Döberitz. August 1933 (Ausschnitt)

Wikipedia implies that caption may be wrong, but what it says is that those guys pictured are SS in Berlin. Not SA, but SS. Rohm is given as stabschef hauptmann, which is a captain chief-of-staff, a sort of field marshal title only he had and only from 1933 to 1934, abolished after that.



But again, no mention of that being a rank of the SA there. This is a group photo of the top SS. Also strange is that Rohm's lapel insignia looks like it is on sideways. Compare it to his successor Lutze:



Oak leaves surrounded by an open wreath facing up. So why would anyone design an insignia to be worn sideways, like Rohm's?

Here's a funny one that I think is partly real, of the cast together.



So if it is real, why republish it? Because it reminds us that the top guys don't have any rank. Lapels empty. That should seem strange to you, since Hitler could have easily made Goebbels a general and himself a field marshal. He was allegedly commander-in-chief, Oberfuehrer, so he could have worn as many stars as he liked. Napoleon made himself Emperor, so Hitler could very easily have made himself a field marshal. But we never see him with any rank. I will be told his mustache was enough: people knew who he was. But it also fits my reading, doesn't it: these top guys like Hitler and Goebbels were just inserts from the theater department and therefore had no real rank. The real army refused to let them wear the real insignias. In some photos they add it in later, as we saw previously with Himmler, but in most like this, they don't bother. It becomes very obvious these guys were just brought in for the photo shoot.



The standard uniform of [Joseph Goebbels](#), consisting of a brown Nazi Party jacket, with no insignia, and a bare swastika armband. This generic "catch-all" style uniform was worn by many top Nazis who held cabinet and ministry level positions in the German government.

Even Wikipedia admits this is strange, [publishing that photo](#) of Goebbels with that subtext. But what other world leaders have ever worn “generic catch-all style uniforms”? It makes no sense. You would expect these bozos to be littered with decs and medals, but instead they are bare. Germany is sold to us as a military dictatorship in those years, and yet these military leaders didn't even wear basic decs? Not even the German Phoenix, I mean eagle? The Germans, so known for protocol, utterly ignored it in those years?



There we see it again, and that is about 1930, since Rohm (far right) is still a lieutenant colonel. I guess he just got back from Bolivia. None of the other guys have any rank, though they are wearing fake military uniforms.

We already knew Rohm was a fake coming in, since of course he was a top cast member of the staged [Beer Hall Putsch](#). He is the one that allegedly occupied the War Ministry for sixteen hours. Rohm was found guilty of High Treason, but somehow **skated with probation**. We are never told how that worked. Guilty of High Treason, which has a mandatory sentence of five years without parole, and Rohm gets a suspended sentence. Suspended by whom and under what legal authority? The SA was supposedly outlawed after that, but Rohm just renamed it the Frontbann for nine months while the ban was in effect, and no one noticed. Wow, the Germans are really lax when it comes to treason and outlawed organizations, aren't they? But that's just the German way: they are too light-hearted and jovial to worry about little things like treason.

Then we have this tiny problem:

**Under Röhm, the SA often took the side of workers in strikes and other labor disputes, attacking strikebreakers and supporting picket lines.**

Funny, since—as I have proved—Hitler, Rohm, and the other Nazi leaders were from wealthy, mercantile backgrounds. We saw the same thing with Communism, the International, and other Socialist organizations, which came out of millionaire and billionaire families. These people were the natural enemies of labor and unions, which was proved when Hitler shut down unions a few years later. But even that contradiction has passed by the mainstream historians, who have never noticed it. The Nazis allegedly rose from labor, then shut it down. Fits my reading like a glove, though.

For our next clue, we find it admitted that Rohm and other leaders of the SA like Edmund Heines were gay. Private letters of Rohm were published, proving it. Same thing we found with Hitler and Hess,



who were actually a couple. All these guys were puffs, which again points us at the theater department. They admit Rohm never called Hitler “Führer”, instead calling him Adi. So not only was Rohm *not* a competitor of Hitler, he was probably another lover.

Although Wikipedia admits this, other mainstream sources have been cleansed of the gay issue. See for example famous historian William Shirer's *Rise and Fall of the Third Reich*, which in the original 1964 edition spoke at length of the homosexuality of Rohm and the rest. But in the current edition all that has been expunged. Since Shirer died in 1993, I assume this was done after his death, without his knowledge. The 1987 edition was still intact. This rewriting of books is Orwellian and should be illegal. All publishers involved should be boycotted as Intelligence fronts. The current publisher of this book is Rosetta, so make a note.

Then we have this problem: we are told the SA numbered over three million in 1934, which would have dwarfed the army (*Reichswehr*), which had been limited to 100,000 by the Treaty of Versailles. This was seen as a problem by Hitler and his wealthy financiers, as well as by the army, which makes sense. What doesn't make sense is the idea that killing a few SA leaders would solve that. What you would expect in such a situation is the SA revolting, overwhelming the SS and standing army, and taking over the country. Or, at the very least, you would expect the rank and file SA to organize counter-assassinations against the SS and Gestapo, targeting those like Goebbels and Heydrich who were also gay actors hanging out with no security at spas. Since nothing like that happened, we may assume the whole story is a lie.

But it explains why they needed to fake this Night of the Long Knives. Those in the SA would have been told beforehand the whole thing was an op, but for those outside the SA it would instill even more fear, since it implied some entity in Germany existed that was *more powerful than the SA*. It was golden for the SS, since their bluff now out-bluffed the bluff of the SA. The SA existed mainly on bluff, since it never had 3 million men. But the truth wasn't important. What was important is what they could make the German people *believe*. The German people believed not only in the Nazis, but in the SA and now the SS. The Night of the Long Knives allegedly gave the government the power to ignore all previous laws and perform extrajudicial killings, which was the whole point. It wasn't true, but once again it didn't matter: what mattered is that the Germans *believed* it was true, which was pretty much the same thing. As we have seen many times since then, fake killings are much better than real ones, because they create the same amount of fear—or *more*—without the nasty side effects. No real martyrs are created and there is no real blowback. You don't need real police as long as the people will police themselves with fear. That is what all this was about more than anything, and it goes on to this day, all over the world.

I encourage you to read closely the Wikipedia page for this event, which mirrors the story in books by mysteriously ending at the death of Rohm and a few others. We are told Hitler OK'ed this destruction of the SA (or reducing it by 2/3rds) in Operation Hummingbird. Hummingbird? Really? Not very scary, is it? Why not Operation Fairy? Rohm and his chiefs were taken by surprise at the Hanselbauer Hotel in Bad Wiessee, without a guard of course. Heines was found in bed with an 18-year-old troop leader—just to be sure they got that number in there.

[Hummingbird is *Kolibri* in German, so we are being given some cloaked clue here, but I can't read it yet. I am sure my readers will clue me in. It probably means something else in Yiddish or Hebrew. Strangely, the word has no known etymology, so something is being hidden here. That usually leads us back to the Phoenicians.]

This all begs so many question I don't know where to start. Rohm knew they were on the edge of a civil war or revolution, and it is claimed he was planning a coup. So why would he be snoozing without guard in a little spa town on the Austrian border? It is the height of absurdity.

After allegedly killing Rohm and a few others, it was all over. Wiki has a section on “reactions to the purge”, but it forgets to tell us the reaction of the 3 million members of the SA. They just rolled over? Three million men just dissolved into mist? Even if Hitler and his goons killed 1,000, that leaves 2,999,000 armed men, who should have just been turned into a nest of hornets by the killing of their leaders. Even if Hitler was able somehow to reduce the SA by 2/3rds, that would leave one million against 100,000 in the *Reichswehr*, which is ten to one. But the scriptwriters here are not mathematicians, obviously. Not logicians, either. You are expected to believe the 3-million-man SA was attacked over a weekend at a spa, losing a handful of men, and utterly folded without a whimper. The only way the script at Wiki can deal with that is to completely ignore it and end the page, and the story comes to a screeching halt, with no explanation of the fallout, or lack of it.

Remember, Rohm had recently threatened that if the SA were attacked, he would kill twelve for every one stormtrooper killed. The stormtroopers knew about that, but they wreaked no vengeance. They didn't even counter-attack at all. They terrorized whole cities, supposedly, but didn't think of taking on the Nazi theatrical department.

We are told that the purge was legalized in July by a one-paragraph decree, the Law Regarding Measures of State Self-Defense. But of course whether it was legalized is not the question. The question is would three million SA members stand for it, or obey that decree. They had no need to, so why would they? The mainstream stories answer that question by never asking it. Nobody but me ever asks questions like that. In a speech to the Reichstag on July 13, Hitler justified the purge as a defense against treason. This from the guy who was convicted of High Treason just eleven years earlier. And if Hitler could make laws on his own bat, why was he giving speeches to the Reichstag? The Reichstag should have been defunct by that point, so why make speeches there? Makes no sense.

Hitler didn't end the SA, or even appoint someone from outside it to lead it. He just promoted SA General Viktor Lutze to four-star, giving him Rohm's position. You will remember Lutze as an associate of [Franz Pfeffer von Salomon](#) back to the early days of NSDAP. I hope you can now read that name. Salomon was Jewish, and so was Lutze. Lutze is just a variation and cloaking of Luz, which is Hebrew for almond tree. You would expect the three million men of the SA to look on Lutze with disdain or at least suspicion, but although we are told he was downsizing them for their own good, we see them mobbing him in Leni Riefenstahl's 1935 *Triumph of the Will*. I guess we are supposed to believe they enjoyed having their leaders illegally murdered along with their wives, and their organization wiped out.

You may also be interested to know the SA was never a paramilitary unit, and wasn't invented by the Nazis. It goes back to WWI, where it was an. . . [intelligence operation](#). Sort of like Special Forces joined to the CIA. They now try to tell you the SA came out of the *Freikorps*, or irregular volunteers, but that isn't true. [Even Wikipedia admits](#) it came out of “special assault troops” in WWI who used [infiltration tactics](#). Did you catch that? I will say it again. **Infiltration tactics**. It was established in 1915 out of the 8<sup>th</sup> Army Corps, which was at the time under the command of General Karl Dieffenbach, whose father was a privy counsellor to the Kaiser. You may want to consider the possibility that the *Sturmabteilung* was that all along, and it only faked its own death in 1934 in order to gain more cover. By 1933 it was getting way too much attention, and some were probably starting to ask the questions I am asking. So the best thing they could do is dissolve it in slow motion,

appearing to to transfer its tasks to the SS and regular army as the Treaty of Versailles fell into mothballs.

You can see why they want you to think the SA came out of the Freikorps instead of the Imperial 8<sup>th</sup> Army Corps, right? Same reason they want you to think the Nazis came out of labor and the lower or middle classes. They want you to think all these people were rank-and-file German laborers and paramilitary volunteers, but none of them were. There was nothing para about this military, and no one was a volunteer, a laborer, or least of all middle-class. The Nazis and SA came out of the aristocracy and intelligence, which is why both were funded by the billionaire Jewish industrialists. Not only did they have no ties to labor, they were violently *opposed* to labor. They would have brought back slavery if they could, which is what we may assume the later work camps were about, not gassing Jews. See [my long paper on Hitler](#), where near the end we hits the Quandts, billionaire industrialists who just happened to be in-laws of Goebbels. These Quandts actually used slave labor in their textile factories where they produced German uniforms, and the mainstream now admits that. So it all comes together, but not in the way we were taught.

We see them manufacturing the rift here:

**Not content solely with the leadership of the SA, Röhm lobbied Hitler to appoint him Minister of Defence, a position held by the conservative General Werner von Blomberg.[12] Although nicknamed the "Rubber Lion" by some of his critics in the army for his devotion to Hitler, Blomberg was not a Nazi, and therefore represented a bridge between the army and the party. Blomberg and many of his fellow officers were recruited from the Prussian nobility and regarded the SA as a plebeian rabble that threatened the army's traditional high status in German society.[13]**

Except for one thing: the SA was *not* a plebeian rabble, having been created by, led by, funded by and manned by the aristocracy, wealthy merchants, and secret service. The SA was just as blue-blooded, or purple, as the regular army. And do you really think Blomberg was called the rubber lion for his devotion to Hitler? No, he was named that because he was a fat fraud, like the rest of these people. The Blombergs are barons related to the von Schwarzensteins and the von Rundstedts. [According to Geni.com](#), Blomberg's brother refused the Blomberg name, becoming Field Marshal Gerd von Rundstedt. Wikipedia gives a different story, telling us he came from an old junker family of no titles and no wealth. Then why was he a "von"? They do give us a clue, though, saying his mother was a Fischer. They try to sell her as a Huguenot, but Fischer is a Jewish name. Von Rundstedt ties in here, since he is the one appointed martial law plenipotentiary by von Papen in 1932, during that crisis. So it is strange to find this mystery about his ancestry.



Von Rundstedt with two vaudeville actors

Although von Rundstedt was Commander-in-chief of the West for the Nazis, being their highest ranking officer, the Nuremberg Trials didn't even call him up, allegedly due to old age. He was 70. In fact, no field marshals were tried at Nuremberg, though we still don't understand why.

**Eventually the International Military Tribunal (IMT) decided that no German field commanders would be tried at Nuremberg. Instead "the General Staff and High Command of the German Armed Forces" was collectively indicted.[155] The only Army officers individually indicted were the OKW chiefs Keitel and Jodl, but they had never been field commanders.**

Does that make any sense? What does it even mean? Collectively indicted? Were they collectively shot? No, they collectively skated. German High Command was actually **acquitted** in the Nuremberg trials. Meaning, they were found NOT GUILTY. Von Rundstedt was soon released, and was probably never in jail for a minute. Only the actors were convicted.

Here's something else I haven't hit before. One of von Rundstedt's fellow field marshals was Erich von Manstein. But he also changed his name, allegedly taking his adoptive father's name. They now admit he was born Fritz Erich Georg Eduard von **Lewinski**. You have to laugh for how obvious all this is. Yep, he was from Polish ennobled Jews, coming from the Brochwicz clan and using their coat of arms.



They are from Silesia and date back to the Teutonic Knights. They were closely linked to the Kings of Poland, who were Vasas. We have seen them many times before, being linked to the Radziwills, Jagiellons, and Komnenes. They have been the premier Phoenician house since the Dark Ages. Manstein was the nephew of Paul von Hindenburg. Meaning? **Meaning Hindenburg was Jewish as well.** Hindenburg was in turn a direct descendant of Martin Luther, and we have covered that before. Luther was also a Jewish front. Hindenburg also comes from the Counts of Waldeck, linking us again to the Wurttembergs, Nassaus, Wittgensteins, Solms, Lowenstein-Wertheims, Hohensteins, and Holsteins.

Jewish historian Bryan Rigg identified around 140 high-ranking officers as Jewish, including at least two field marshals, 20 generals, 7 admirals, and 40 colonels in his book *Hitler's Jewish Soldiers*. That's a lot of generals. They don't tell us what percentage of all generals and field marshals that is. Unfortunately, Wikipedia hides that information on their page on the subject, German Jewish Military in WWI. There is no page for German Jewish Military in WWII, but Wikipedia implies there weren't any by having a section on WWII but listing no one. They claim that after Kristallnacht,

**the veterans who remained in Germany, also initially released after arrests during the Kristallnacht, received no special treatment after this, being deported to concentration camps and murdered like other Jewish German citizens.**

They don't mention Rigg's book at all, of course. This despite the fact that Jewishmag.com now admits it. I bought the book, but as it turns out Rigg doesn't actually name the ones he found. He just gives us a total. Since then I have found that *every single* general or field marshal I research is Jewish, adding to his list. I haven't researched them all, but my default assumption is now that *all* top Nazi officers were Jewish, including all the field marshals. Wikipedia lists 26 Nazi field marshals, and so far I have outed seven more above Rigg's two, giving us nine so far.

Let's do one more while we are here, just for fun. What about Wilhelm **Bodewin** Keitel? Reminds us of Jewish actor Harvey Keitel, doesn't it? Wikipedia lies, telling us Field Marshal Keitel was middle-class. But Geni.com admits his maternal grandmother was the **Baroness von Blonay-Montfaucon**. They scrub her, but we can walk around that easily since the Montfaucons are very famous. They are very old nobles going back centuries, related closely to the **Counts of Brienne**. You will remember them from my paper on Napoleon, where we found they had claimed the regency of Jerusalem back to the year 1264. These Counts of Brienne were closely related through marriage to the Kings of Poland, including. . . yep, the Vasas, Radziwills, Jagiellons, and Komnenes again. So Keitel is from the exact same lines as everyone else here. Which makes ten. Not only is he related to Hindenburg, as we just saw, he is a close cousin of all the other field marshals. This also adds another Nazi general to our list, since Keitel's cousin was general Bodewin Keitel, General of the Infantry and head of the Army Personnel Office. The name Bodewin links us to the same families through Keitel's paternal line as well, since it is a variation of Baldwin, one of the early Kings of Jerusalem. It is the same as Baudouin as well, linking us to the Kings of Belgium, who are Saxe Coburg Gothas, Hohenzollerns, Glucksburgs, Wittelsbachs, and so on, leading us back not only to the Jagiellons, as usual, but also the Bourbons.

But back to the SA. The Nazis and SA were the polar opposite of what we have been sold, being a military intelligence front composed of top nobles and Jews. For that reason alone we can be sure none of these fake organizations ever targeted Jews. That was just another part of the flip.

Here's another. We are told the SA's favorite pastime was battling **Communists** in the streets. OK, then why did the Nazis call themselves National **Socialists**? Socialism=Communism, so what is going

on there? Just more stirring of your brain. The last thing they want is you asking any questions or figuring any of this out, so they pepper the script with these grand contradictions, to be sure you can't ever make sense of it. So you don't even try. You just give up and gulp it all down as “history”. Beats thinking, right?

Another way we know the whole Night of the Long Knives was fake is by the involvement of Reinhard Heydrich, head of the Gestapo at the time. In [my recent paper on Operation Anthropoid](#), I have already established that Heydrich was another gay Jewish theater department actor who faked his death, though he waited another eight years to do it. Since he allegedly died in 1942 at age 38, we do the math and find he had just turned 30 at the time of the Long Knives. Seems a bit young to be head of the Gestapo, doesn't it? But remember, like the rest of these people, Heydrich was from a rich Jewish family, so the usual rules didn't apply to him. He progressed on a series of byes. At age 27, with zero experience, he joined the SS and Himmler personally appointed him chief of the intelligence service. He was head of the Gestapo just a little over two years later.

We aren't told what the SA thought about the Long Knives, but the historians admit many Germans, even in the press, were suspicious of the whole thing. Viktor Klemperer—Jewish himself of course or we would never have heard of him—noted how strange it was that Hitler decided to murder everyone who put him into power, including top members of his own army. Illogical from the first word, so it must be something else.

Here's another sign of the fake: they admit that the Nazis killed not only former Chancellor Kurt von Schleicher but his wife also. How could they possibly justify killing his wife? Such a thing had no precedent in German or European law, and wasn't even tolerated in war. You don't murder wives. But since this was fake and Schleicher's wife was going to have to disappear with him, it was the only way they could explain her simultaneous disappearance, you see. It is a common occurrence in these fake deaths, and we have seen it many times. While we are here, was Schleicher also Jewish? You bet. His mother was Magdalena Heyn, daughter of the big shipowner Friedrich Heyn. Phoenician navy. They were closely related to the von Papens—also top players in this saga. Magdalena's sister Eugenie Heyn married the brother of Franz von Papen, also Chancellor of Germany. The von Schleichers were aristocrats, of course, which is what the “von” tells us. The wife allegedly killed was Elisabeth Auguste von Hennigs, also noble and also Jewish. The von Hennigs were related to the Barons Ostman von der Leye, and after the war they married the von Croys, confirming my analysis. The von Croys are also crypto-Jews, and we just saw them in my paper on Kristallnacht, since Ernst vom Rath there was related to the von Croys as well as the Salm-Salms, Wittgensteins, Furstenbergs, and Hohenzollerns. One big extended Jewish family. Also, Hennigs=Hennings, so we can link to all those nobles as well, which takes us to the von Sachsen-Weimar-Eisenachs, the von Wangenheim-Wintersteins, the zum Falkensteins, the von Wurttembergs (kings), and the Romanovs. So that is who we are dealing with here, as usual. None of these people are who you are told they are. You are told they are good German Lutherans or Catholics, but absolutely none of them are. They are Jews hiding behind fakes histories.

On the Wiki page for the Long Knives, we get this, which is worth pulling apart again:

**The Nazi Party won the greatest share of the popular vote in the two Reichstag general elections of 1932, making them the largest party in the legislature by far, albeit still short of an outright majority (37.3% on 31 July 1932 and 33.1% on 6 November 1932). Because none of the parties were willing or able to put together a coalition government, Hitler was appointed Chancellor of Germany on 30 January 1933 by President Paul von Hindenburg through the support and connivance of traditional**

**conservative nationalists who believed that they could control him and his party.**

I have already shown you what a joke that is in previous papers, but to hit it again here, I remind you that makes absolutely no sense. Because the parties weren't able to form a coalition, Hindenburg appointed Hitler Chancellor. That would be like saying that because the various parties in the UK parliament failed to form a government, King Charles stepped in and appointed David Icke Lord of the Universe, with dictatorial powers. In 1933 Hitler was a complete nobody, not a German citizen, and had been convicted of High Treason. He was not eligible to run for or be appointed dogcatcher, much less Chancellor. Beyond that, the German President had no authority to be appointing a Chancellor of that sort, since this new Chancellor was nothing like the old one except in name. Beginning in 1933 with Hitler, the Chancellorship suddenly morphed into an all powerful super-position, and when Hindenburg conveniently died shortly thereafter, Hitler also became President. How? The Reichstag should have had to confirm Hitler as Chancellor in 1933 and there is no way they would do that. Not only because the position had just been created with a magic wand by Hindenburg, but because Hitler was disqualified from the position for many reasons. Hindenburg might as well have appointed Stalin. Besides, we have no proof Hindenburg appointed anyone to anything. He was no more than a ghost at that point, and the Nazis later *admitted* they were faking communiques from Hindenburg in that last year. So like the rest of this, the appointment of Hitler is just a claim. It is a line in a script and nothing more. But it can't have happened legally, since it was illegal in about fifty different ways.

You will say it must be proof the Nazis were already in charge in 1932, were holding Hindenburg hostage or something, and were running the country through the SA. The Long Knives was then just a feint to make the country think the SA *wasn't* in charge. Which is true in a way, since it is just a variation of my argument here. Except that the SA wasn't ever paramilitary, wasn't labor, wasn't middle class, and wasn't Christian or even German. And they didn't need to hold Hindenburg hostage since he was one of them. He would do what he was told. The SA was an arm of Phoenician Intelligence, which was and always had been aristocratic, Jewish, anti-labor, and was using both fascism and socialism as cloaks. These were the same noble families that had been running Germany and the rest of Europe for centuries, and the only thing that changed after WWI is that they decided to go underground to a certain extent. Things were getting too hot in 1918 and they needed to get out of sight for a few decades. As in the 1840s, real revolution was brewing in Europe and the Phoenicians needed this complex plan to counter it. Somehow, with the help of German theatrical, they managed to pull it off, convincing the world these fictional Nazis were a greater danger to the world than the real people behind them: the aristocrats merged to the capitalists through generations of intermarriage, and now allied against the middle classes of the world. At the end of the 19<sup>th</sup> century, the richest families of the world called a truce, allying instead against the citizenry in an all-out, though covert, war. Their goal was a complete pillaging of the wealth of the middle classes, while disguising it as democracy, philanthropy, and liberalism. Even with their previous levels of pillaging, industrialism was creating ever increasing levels of wealth worldwide, via increasing populations, increasing productivity, and increasing pillaging of the Earth's resources, so the rich needed to invent new ways of taking back that wealth after it trickled down. Fakes wars were a primary method of that, since it created a sort of taxation on steroids, with all nations creating huge "defense" departments that drank astonishing levels of wealth from the middle classes, siphoning it directly to the rich. Later, vast "intelligence" budgets could be added to that with no oversight, since they were all secret, even from their own congresses. Fake science projects came next, with fanciful trips to the Moon and Mars, giant quests to chase fake particles and waves, and all along the manufacture of bigger fake bombs. Fake drugs have been an ever growing part of that as well, and we all know where that led: the recent rape of the treasury for faux-vaccines to address a fake pandemic, which also allowed for a 10X increase of the debt ceiling via unnecessary mitigations.