


Did you know someone ate Kevin Bacon?



by Miles Mathis

First published September 23, 2022

No seriously, [that is one of the lead stories today](#): **Man pleads guilty to eating Kevin Bacon**. And it isn't at *The Onion* or *Babylon Bee*. Basically, the CIA is rerunning the Jeffrey Dahmer gay cannibal fake story, but doing it much worse this time around, with many more jokes and clues inserted. Oh, and of course Netflix just happens to have a new series on Dahmer out right now. But they couldn't be coordinating the propaganda, could they?

Here's one of the obvious clues they leave us that this is fake: this guy Mark Latunski has his court date on what day? C'mon, you know it, and it isn't March 33. It is **October 18**, aces and eights, of course, Chai. Latunski is Jewish, just as you would expect. So is Bacon. You couldn't pay anyone else to involved in crap like this.

Latunski didn't kill and eat the actor Kevin Bacon, he ate a lesser and younger Kevin Bacon, some fellow Jew from actors equity who couldn't otherwise land a gig. But that's the other lead joke: a cannibal eating BACON. Mmmmm, bacon! Hilarious, right?

The next joke is that there had been recent 911 calls from gays reporting Latunski tried to murder them, but of course nothing was done.

“I’m trying to get away from some creepy guy. He had me tied up in his basement. ... I’m trying to escape from some guy who had me chained up in his basement,” one caller said.

Really? And the police just ignored that? They didn't have time to send an officer out for such a minor thing, due to budget cuts and defund-the-police? We hear from the police and they tell us they just thought it was sexual role playing “with no victim”. Except that in sexual role playing, you don't have attempted murder and recorded calls to 911. We are expected to believe those earlier guys are still

being ignored, even though now we allegedly have corroboration of their stories. No charges are being pressed for those earlier incidents of attempted murder, which makes no sense.

Here's more [I found on that from FOXnews](#):

After Bacon's murder, one of Latunki's neighbors, Michael Parks, said he encountered a man in November on his front porch, covered in blood, knocking on his door.






"He's got purple hair, he's wearing a leather skirt and he's got a couple of belts across his chest," Park said. "This gentleman is grasping my arm with deathly fear (screaming) 'help me, keep him away' just screaming at the top of his lungs 'he wants to hurt me, he wants to hurt me'. Another vehicle pulls in my driveway and out comes, who I now find out is Mark, wearing a leather skirt, belts across his chest, no shoes, no shirt on, his beard is braided. (A) very odd-looking gentleman."

Michigan State Police arrived within minutes and took the bleeding man with them. No charges were ever filed against Latunski for that incident.

Does that sound like harmless role playing with no victim? No, but it does sound like a story some group of GenZ's made up in the subbasements at Langley.

Also note all these problems with the story: How did police know where to look for Bacon? Are we supposed to believe he left a note at home pinned to the refrigerator, saying "I'm on a gay Grindr date with a monster I know is famous for torturing people, here is his name and address just in case he eats me"? Are we supposed to believe Latunski murdered him for sport and then made no attempt to hide the body or dodge police at his door without a warrant? According to the mainstream story, Latunski just invited the cops in, saying something like "Bacon? You mean the little fat guy hanging by the meat hook over there? Sure, that's him, and Oh, by the way, I ate his testicles. A little chewy, but they made me invincible and invisible, so it was worth it! I will be the unquestioned master of the loony bin until the overlords from Nibiru land in their spaceships and make me an honorary Klingon."

So let's look up Latunski at Intelius. One of his relatives is listed as Zena Latunski, but when we do a search on her we come up empty. No one by that name in the entire US or database. Also no Zena Latunsky. Same results from Instantcheckmate. A Google search did find her as a highschool student in Morrice, MI, in 2018. She has a youtube channel with one 3-year-old fireworks video and a Pinterest page with no content. She is now at Grand Valley State University. So she is definitely old enough to be listed at Intelius and InstantCheckmate (min. age 18), so why isn't she? My guess is that the spooks running this event wished to protect her from blowback. Makes sense, actually, but it does prove they are scrubbing these pages. And if they wanted to protect little Zena from blowback, how about not run these fake events? Have they ever thought of that?

<p>William J. Skeffington</p> <p>Phone Number Found!</p> <p>Last Known Location: Suisun City, CA</p>		72	<p>Suisun City, CA Napa, CA Vallejo, CA Fairfield, CA</p>	<p>Christy Bell Jarett Skeffington Shawn Skeffington Lynda Skeffington</p>	OPEN REPORT
<p>William John Skeffington</p> <p>Phone Number Found!</p> <p>Last Known Location: Villa Park, CA</p>		58	<p>Villa Park, CA Newport Beach, CA Huntington Beach, CA Orange, CA Carrollton, TX Costa Mesa, CA Santa Ana, CA Garden Grove, CA Anaheim, CA San Gregorio, CA La Honda, CA Half Moon Bay, CA San Clemente, CA Chino, CA</p>	<p>Teri Braden Alma Skeffington Chanler Skeffington Cole Skeffington Erin Skeffington John Skeffington Karen Skeffington Laurie Skeffington Marie Skeffington Robert Skeffington Taylor Skeffington</p>	OPEN REPORT
<p>William Joseph Skeffington</p> <p>Phone Number Found!</p> <p>Last Known Location: Marysville, CA</p>		86	<p>Marysville, CA Port Orchard, WA Oroville, CA</p>	<p>Pearl Purl Maxine Schoales Marilyn Todd</p>	OPEN REPORT
<p>William P. Skeffington</p> <p>Phone Number Found!</p> <p>Last Known Location: Orange, CA</p>		67	<p>Orange, CA San Clemente, CA Carrollton, TX Santa Ana, CA Villa Park, CA Las Vegas, NV Garden Grove, CA Costa Mesa, CA</p>	<p>Teri Braden Alma Skeffington Chanler Skeffington Cole Skeffington John Skeffington Karen Skeffington Laurie Skeffington Lisa Skeffington Marie Skeffington Taylor Skeffington William Skeffington</p>	OPEN REPORT
<p>William M. Skeffington</p> <p>Phone Number Found!</p> <p>Last Known Location: San Gregorio, CA</p>		75	<p>San Gregorio, CA Half Moon Bay, CA</p>		OPEN REPORT
<p>John William Skeffington</p> <p>Phone Number Found!</p> <p>Education History Found!</p> <p>Last Known Location: Villa Park, CA</p>		53	<p>Villa Park, CA San Clemente, CA Long Beach, CA Newport Beach, CA Santa Ana, CA Anaheim, CA Carrollton, TX Tahoe Vista, CA Bowling Green, OH Chino, CA Orange, CA Sunset Beach, CA Garden Grove, CA Carlsbad, CA</p>	<p>Teri Braden Alma Skeffington Chanler Skeffington Cole Skeffington Karen Skeffington Laurie Skeffington Lisa Skeffington Marie Skeffington Taylor Skeffington William Skeffington</p>	OPEN REPORT

Clicking on all Latunski's relatives does help us, though, since one of them is Pauline Lyons. That name is a red flag, since the Queen who just died was a Lyons. The queen mother was a Bowes-Lyon. You may think that is a coincidence—another instance of my kooky apophenia—but hang on. We also link to Anita Perkins, Lisa Vering, Laurie Skeffington, Lynda Manack, Kimberly Norton, Leigh Szedlak, Kendra Beatty, and Kristina Anne-Thornton Latunski, who the computer thinks is AKA Christopher Thornton. Laurie Skeffington links us to Long Beach and San Clemente, CA. Through her we link to *six* William Skeffingtons and a Teri Braden. Five of them appear to be the same guy, while the sixth is related to a Pearl Purl (joke name alert or CIA codename).

This is interesting because there was a 1944 Hollywood film called *Mr. Skeffington*, starring Bette Davis and Claude Rains. Davis chooses Mr. Skeffington despite the fact he is Jewish. It was based on the novel by Elizabeth von Arnim, nee Beauchamp, who became a countess by her first husband Count August von Arnim-Schlagenthin. She moved up in her second marriage, marrying the elder brother of Bertrand Russell, Frank Russell, who was the Earl Russell. The Russells are closely related to the royal family, including of course. . . the Lyons.

Just so you know, Bette Davis links in here as well. She is related to Tim Dowling, so she is related to

Stuarts. This is through her Hopkins line, which only goes back a few generations at Geneanet. They are also related through the Gordon line, which is the Stuart line. They are also related through the Boynton line, which goes back to de Ayton (Dayton), de Vere, Fitzhugh, and Neville. Bette is a Boynton on both sides. Closer to the present, Bette was a Key, as in Francis Scott Key. Also a Morrell, a Delano, a Hubbard, a Field, and a Noyes. Bette's third husband William Sherry was a cousin, since she was also a Sherry. In fact, all four of her husbands appear to be close cousins, since they are also related to Tim Dowling of Geneanet.

As for the real Skeffingtons, they are also nobles, having been earls themselves. They are still viscounts and barons, see the viscounts Massereene. So you see why I am showing you this: these are strange names to find in the relatives list of a gay cannibal. Or, they aren't strange to me since this is what I expected, but I doubt it is what you expected. The mainstream stories certainly won't be telling you these links. They will sell Mr. Latunski to you as some poor nobody Gentile from Podunk, MI.

If we return to Latunski's relations, we find that Kendra Beatty links us to **Basingers** and Ulinskis: more Jewish names and Hollywood links. Szedlak is an Hungarian Jewish name. Manack is also a Jewish name. [Through the obituary of Dexter Thornton](#) of this family, we can also link to the surnames **Wiles**, **Heikes**, **Trufant**, **Donnelly**, and **Miejewski**, confirming my previous assumptions.

Here's another question for you: do you really think those two guys met on a dating app? Gays are very into looks and they always trade pictures. Why would that bald little 25-year-old be hooking up with a 53-year-old who looks like a bum? Are we supposed to believe Bacon had a ZZTop fetish or what?

Here's your next clue:



That's Latunski in court. Are we supposed to believe prisoners are now wearing sandals with socks to court? Sandals that are two sizes too large? And what's with the old t-shirt? That is neither prison attire nor courtroom attire. But the biggest clue is the beard. Latunski is currently in jail: that is why he is wearing the cuffs and chains. But what about the beard and hair? Do you really think they let inmates look like that?



NO. Prison is like the army, and the first thing they do is cut your hair and beard. In most jails you can wear a short beard, but it has to be trimmed to ½ inch. Murderers are never allowed beards like that, since they are considered dangerous. You can hide contraband or a weapon under that beard and hair. So that is perfect proof this whole thing is fake. But they want him looking like that because it prejudices most people against him. We have seen the same thing in many previous fakes, including the Boston Marathon fake where Dzhokhar Tsarnaev showed up in court with a huge head of uncut hair. But the original in this line was Charles Manson, who was pictured with long hair and a big beard until the end, along with jewelry, a thong necklace, changing tats, and manicured fingernails.

More proof comes from conflicting stories about Latunski's past. He was recently married to a man, but also has on his record failure to pay child support. I don't think he had a child with that man, so where does the child come in? Is it Zena Latunski? If so, where is the mother? Are we supposed to believe someone now so flamboyantly and aggressively gay was in a serious relationship with a woman and had a child with her? Later in the same article we find Latunski was *married* to that woman and has *four* children with her. We are told he was accused of kidnapping two of them, but strangely that story also has no reported outcome. Was he convicted of it? Has he been in jail? Does he have a record? Were there judge's orders involved, such as restraining orders? All this should be easy to pull up, and not being given it tells me it doesn't exist. As usual, we are expected to believe he is just a very bad guy who somehow dodged all police and courts and jails up to now, through some miracle or oversight.

As it turns out, Latunski is not just a white-trash nothing, as you are supposed to think by looking at him. They now admit he has a Masters in Chemistry and owns many patents. His IQ is 127, near Mensa level (130). Which reminds us of many other fake bad guys, including but not limited to Ted Kaczynski, Tim McVeigh, Las Vegas shooter Steven Paddock, Ruby Ridge's Randy Weaver, and UT

Tower shooter Charles Whitman, all who had near-genius level IQs but were sold to you as dopey lunatics. Latunski is a research chemist working on lithographic inks, and was the lab manager at American Chemical Technologies. My guess is he also has a history in theater, which he is making use of now. Due to his looks and family connections, he was offered this role, which may allow him to retire early. That is my guess. It would also be a safe bet to assume he has some military links, having formerly been an officer or something. Any location links like that have been scrubbed off his people searches, but that doesn't mean they don't exist.

Also strange is that his LinkedIn page is still up, three years after this event. There we find even more anomalies, including the fact that he studied under Glen Russell at Iowa State. I remind you that we already saw the name Russell above, in my very brief research. Latunski brags about his "chemical pedigree" at LinkedIn, letting us know that Russell was a student of Nobel Laureate Herbert Brown, who was a student Herman Schlesinger of University of Chicago, who was a student of Joseph Stieglitz at the University of Chicago, who was a student of Ferdinand Tiemann of Berlin. What do they all have in common? Yes, Jewish. But there is more to it than that since Tiemann was involved in the first synthesis of ionones, which led to huge profits in perfumes for Harmann and Reimer Co. Two more Jews of course. He was a student of August Wilhelm von Hofmann, also Jewish, and son of a privy councillor at the Grand Duchy of Hesse. Hofmann was chosen by Prince Albert to be the first director of the Royal College of Chemistry in London in 1845.

As for Latunski's professor Glen Russell, he had ties to GE, which of course has ties to military. So there you go. He was a Fulbright Lecturer in Germany and Guggenheim Fellow in France. Russell's wife was also a top chemist and she was a Havill, [and these Havills were also. . . Pagets](#). Proving Glen was not just any Russell. The Pagets and Russells are linked at high levels in the peerage, the Pagets being the Marquesses of Anglesey. We saw them in my paper on Princess Diana, since they again link us to the Queen/King.

And who do you think the judge is in this case? Matthew Stewart. Of course, that would have been in our top-three guesses, along with Cohen or Stanley. The Stewarts are also closely related to the Russells, Lyons, Pagets, and everyone else we have seen above, including the Queen.

Now let's look at this Kevin Bacon porker. To start with, we find him, but the big computers think he is still alive since they are still aging him. He is listed as being 27 at InstantCheckmate, which means they have no death cert for him. He allegedly died at age 25. They also have him listed for Dodge City, KS, the only listing for him out of MI. Looks like yet another Intel joke. I guess he was practicing his marksmanship and equestrian skills there with Matt Dillon and Festus. Or was it Wyatt Earp and Doc Holliday? Reminds us of Ashli Babbitt being said to be born at North Pole, Alaska. Anyway, these Bacons link us to Vanhorn (his mother), who links us to Rayl, who links us to Morofsky, Grettenberger, Hovingh, Klaver, Denman, Fitch, Jarvis, Chamblee, and Brundage, again proving my Jewish assumption. Hovingh reminds us of [fake murderer Johnny Hoving](#) of New Mexico, whom I have previously outed. These Grettenbergers closely related to Bacon include [John Grettenberger](#) of East Lansing, retired general manager of General Motors and head of Cadillac from 1984-1997. So, again, this guy Kevin Bacon isn't just any old Bacon. He is of THE Bacons, and is probably an actual cousin of the actor. That name Brundage should also jump out at you, since the Brundages are more famous bluebloods. Think of Avery Brundage, famous President of the IOC for decades. He is the one who prevented a US boycott of the 1936 Olympics, and was called a Nazi for it. He is from Michigan and is of these Brundages, linking him to our Bacon. On his Wiki page they tell us Avery grew up poor and his father is hidden, but his uncle was Edward Jackson Brundage, who has his own page. He was Attorney General of Illinois. Avery's first cousin was Illinois representative

Stanley Armstrong. We find these Brundages in the British peerage, and Avery is one of them, since he married a princess. That would be the Princess Reuss zu Kostritz, daughter of Heinrich XXXVII. Her mother was a Hohenberg, linking us immediately to the wife of Archduke Franz Ferdinand and the house of Habsburg-Lorraine. Her grandmother was the princess von Mecklenburg-Schwerin, whose mother was the Princess of Prussia, granddaughter of King Friedrich Wilhelm III.

Another Brundage in the peerage is Carla Brundage, who married Andrew **Morrison**, 3rd Viscount Dunrossil in 1986. His mother was Mavis **Spencer-Payne**. His father was Speaker of the House of Commons in the 1950s. He later became Governor-General of Australia and a Knight of **St. John of Jerusalem**. Andrew Morrison and Carla Brundage lived in San Antonio, Texas. Their four children are in the US.

There is a lot more I could do here, but it gets tedious after a while. These fakes are all the same, and I have proved that by researching literally hundreds of them. Let me just exit this event by saying the new fake events are even more poorly constructed than the old ones, and I see some signs of hope in that. Whenever a company is about to go bankrupt, all discipline evaporates and the employees lose all respect for the hierarchy. The little work that gets done is very sloppy, because no one honors the code anymore. Well, the same thing happens in THE Company, the CIA, where it is clear the drones are just punching the clock and collecting a paycheck, but don't believe in the work. They not only see the work as a big joke, they see it as unnecessary and degrading. Some see it as immoral and a few see it as what it is: evil. These are top graduates asked to write copy that isn't even as rewarding as *The Onion* copy. It isn't as clever as old *Mad Magazine* copy. They would have more fun writing for *National Lampoon*, and could look at themselves in the mirror in the morning without barfing up their breakfast.

Half the country has already quit their jobs or are about to, see the [Great Resignation](#), which even TIME admitted was a real thing last summer (of 2021) and which has gotten bigger since then. And most of those still working are just spinning their wheels, quitting without quitting. Interesting, because I called for this several years ago: a general strike. Shut it down. Well, apparently the masses heard me, either from my site or through their dreams, since it is happening. Even Wiki admits government economists are calling it a general strike, and it was under way before Covid hit. Covid just accelerated it.

So how can they continue to claim unemployment is 3.7%? Does that make any sense? No, because they define unemployed as “looking for work”, so it doesn't include those who quit and who are striking, either consciously or unconsciously. Neither the Great Resignation nor the general strike show up in unemployment statistics, which is insane. Unemployment should be defined as “not employed”, and according to the dictionary it is. So what percentage of the employable (they would take a good job in a fair society) are unemployed right now? I would guess at least a third, but no one knows because no one is trying to calculate that. Economists don't want you to know the answer, the government doesn't want you to know the answer, and even the alternative press doesn't want you to know the answer, because the alternative press is also a CIA front. They tell us around 159 million people are currently employed, but that isn't believable since they tell us the number has gone up 3% since 2019. There is no chance that is true, since if it were true we wouldn't be in the middle of a Great Resignation. You can't have a Great Resignation and an increase in employment at the same time, by definition. Unless population is rising quickly and it isn't. Since we are getting nothing but fake numbers, it is hard to estimate anything, but given that about 63% of the US is between 18 and 65, we can get a ballpark number. That gives us about 208 million in the potential workforce, but we have to subtract out those who can't work or don't need to. That would be the disabled and rich, but most rich

people do want to work and do have jobs. About 40 million people in that group are disabled, but only a portion are prevented from doing all work. The government tells us only 10% of the disabled are unemployed, but that also makes no sense so we will ignore it. Let's say a quarter are, and subtract 10 million from our number, giving us 198 million. We will subtract another 4 million rich folks who don't want to work and don't need to, giving us 194 million. If 150 million of those are actually employed, that gives us not a 3.7% unemployment, it gives us 23% unemployment. And even that is probably too low, since we may assume there aren't 150 million people employed to start with. That number looks just as fudged as any of the others, and we may assume the government has kept it artificially high all along, but especially in recent decades. Just as it can't have increased since 2019, it couldn't have increased before 2019, either, since Wikipedia admits the Great Resignation has been a trend since 2010. And yet we are supposed to believe the number of employed in 2010 was about 140 million. So again, a Great Resignation at the same time as a rise in employed. Impossible. They tell us we have gained 19 million employed in the past 12 years, a 13.5% increase while population was increasing by 7.5%. Again, impossible, given a Great Resignation. So if real employment is 130 million, say, what is the rate of employment now? **33%**. Just about what I guessed.

Anyway, we have seen that this general strike has not skipped the military, so we may assume it has not skipped the Intel communities. The military has been decimated by quits, retirements, and failure to recruit, which means CIA probably has, too. No one with any self respect would be involved in the New World Order, on any level. No one wants to work for Sauron or Palpatine. Which explains why the new fake events are so shoddy. They wouldn't fool a ten-year-old, and the writers don't care if they are full of holes. They actually *want* you to see the holes, since it is their way of torpedoing their bosses without being too obvious about it. They can always claim they were just incompetent later. "It was the vaccines, boss—I wasn't fully functional!"

Which is all indication the CIA is in just as big a disarray as the rest of the country. It has long been coming apart at the seams, but now it is crumbling before our eyes, giving us the clownworld shitshow we have come to expect everytime we turn on the news. And we don't have to worry about a weak CIA being gobbled up by DHS, since DHS is even worse. As my Dad use to say, they couldn't pour piss out of a boot with the instructions written on the heel. You don't have to worry about WWII, not only because there are no nukes and Russia isn't our enemy, but because the governments of the world are all in the process of collapse. They can't even manage this fake Hollywood border skirmish in Ukraine, so a World War is out of the question. Russia has long been far worse off than we are, remember, and even Putin's fake threat of a call up has sent hundreds of thousands of young men into the hills and over the borders. It would be even worse here, and a mobilization would lead immediately to mass mutiny. No one has any stomach for war right now, so it would all have to be done CGI. No real soldiers anywhere would show up for it, in Europe, Russia, or the US. The theater directors know that, which is why they are falling back on the only thing they still have, or think they have: fear porn. They are trying to get you off dead center, like a donkey refusing to move, by showing you a constant barrage of mushroom clouds and videos of a bluffing Putin assuring you he is not bluffing. It is pathetic, and you know it all fake since it is coming equally from all sides, Tucker Carlson and Alex Jones as much as CNN or the *New York Times*. Mushroom clouds shoved down your throat 24/7. Incoming missile alerts borrowed from *WarGames*, with Ally Sheedy and Matthew Broderick edited out, but with the WOPR (whopper) computer left in to be sure you stop by Burger King.



Which reminds us why they made that movie back in 1983: not only to sell burgers, but to sell the whole idea of nuclear war, and to keep 1950s fear levels high into 1983 and beyond, up to the present time. Next it will be fake mushroom clouds on your milk cartons and city busses and public buildings. The iodine pills and duck-and-cover PSAs and school bomb drills are just around the corner. They can't soak you with a real war, so they will soak you with constant drills and warnings and alerts. They can't manage a real world anymore, so they will dunk you in a fake one and move you along with a long-term theater project—as they already have been doing for decades.

Speaking of which, while I was at Intelius and InstantCheckmate, I thought I would look up Leonard Pozner, still active in the Sandy Hook story via the Fetzer and Jones lawsuits. Guess what, they have never heard of him: according to these big computers, he doesn't exist at all.

Unfortunately, we were unable to find any results for "Leonard Pozner" in our background check database

Try one of these tips to help improve your results:

- Try expanding your search to include all states
- Double check your spelling
- Try using their maiden name
- Make sure you are using the person's legal name, not a nickname (e.g. Cathryn, not Cathy)
- Has this person recently moved from another state? Try searching their previous location as well

Other people to search for:

- Are your kids safe with your neighbors?
- Can you trust your friends?
- What are your relatives hiding?
- Are you about to go on a date with a criminal?
- Curious what trouble celebrities have been in?
- Can you really say you trust everyone in your life? Get the upper hand

First Name:	MI:	Last Name:	City:	State:	Age:
Leonard		Pozner		Connecticut	
					SEARCH

DISCLAIMER: You may not use our service to make decisions about consumer credit, employment, insurance, tenant screening, or any other purpose that would require FCRA compliance. Instant Checkmate does not provide consumer reports and is not a consumer reporting agency. (These terms have special meanings under the Fair Credit Reporting Act, ("FCRA"), which we incorporate here.) The information available may not be 100% accurate, complete, or up to date, so do not use it as a substitute for your own due diligence, especially if you have concerns about a person's criminal history. Instant Checkmate does not make any representation or warranty about the accuracy of our website or about the character or integrity of the person about whom you inquire. Please review Instant Checkmate [Terms of Use](#).

Copyright © 2022 INSTANT CHECKMATE LLC All Rights Reserved.

Your search for "Leonard Pozner" may be too broad. Add more details like city and state to help you find the correct Leonard Pozner in our background check database.

Try one of these tips to help improve your results:

- Try expanding your search to include all states
- Double check your spelling
- Try using their maiden name
- Make sure you are using the person's legal name, not a nickname (e.g. Cathryn, not Cathy)
- Has this person recently moved from another state? Try searching their previous location as well

Other people to search for:

- Are your kids safe with your neighbors?
- Can you trust your friends?
- What are your relatives hiding?
- Are you about to go on a date with a criminal?
- Curious what trouble celebrities have been in?
- Can you really say you trust everyone in your life? Get the upper hand

First Name:	MI:	Last Name:	City:	State:	Age:	
<input type="text" value="Leonard"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text" value="Pozner"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text" value="Connecticut"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="button" value="Search"/>

DISCLAIMER: You may not use our service or the information it provides to make decisions about consumer credit, employment, insurance, tenant screening, or any other purpose that would require FCRA compliance. Intelius does not provide consumer reports and is not a consumer reporting agency. (These terms have special meanings under the Fair Credit Reporting Act: 15 USC 1681 et seq. ("FCRA"), which are incorporated herein by reference.) The information available on our website may not be 100% accurate, complete, or up to date, so do not use it as a substitute for your own due diligence, especially if you have concerns about a person's criminal history. Intelius does not make any representation or warranty about the accuracy of the information available through our website or about the character or integrity of the person about whom you inquire. For more information, please review Intelius Terms of Use.

© 2022 Intelius LLC. All Rights Reserved.

What about widening the search to all states? Nope, still no Leonard Pozner. The closest we come is Leo J. Pozner, 62, no location or relatives. But the Pozner of Sandy Hook is supposed to be 54, and goes by Leonard or Lenny, not Leo. Probably because the name is Posner. And indeed we do find a Leonard Posner of Waterbury, CT, and the Bronx, though he is 72. He does have the dreaded *i* in his relatives list, as if he had been scrubbed.

This reminds us of [Gerald Posner](#), the investigative journalist who has blown huge amounts of smoke on the [fake JFK assassination](#), the [faked death of Diana](#), and the [fake MLK assassination](#). The *Chicago Tribune* called him “a merciless pitbull of an investigator”, another joke since compared to me he is a chihuahua. My papers have utterly destroyed his life's work. But I could say that about millions of people.

But I didn't have to destroy Posner, he did that himself when—while in 2010 working for CIA front *Daily Beast*—he got caught plagiarizing multiple sources. He also got caught scrubbing his own Wikipage of these claims of plagiarism. Also see the Harper Lee lawsuit, which destroyed any credibility Posner still retained in 2013. I am not making any of that up, it comes right off his Wiki page and common bio.

But back to the ghost Lenny Pozner. Wiki tells us his wife is Veronique de la Rosa. What do the big computers have to say about that?

Article [Talk](#)
[Read](#) [Edit](#) [View history](#)

Leonard Pozner

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

Leonard Pozner is the father of a [Sandy Hook Elementary School shooting](#) victim, Noah Pozner. He is the founder of the [HONR Network](#), which supports the victims of mass casualty violence as well as the targets of online hate speech and harassment.

Contents (hide)

- 1 [Sandy Hook Elementary School shooting](#)
- 2 [HONR Network](#)
- 3 [Lucy Richards](#)
- 4 [Campaigns](#)
- 5 [Alex Jones defamation case](#)

Leonard Pozner

Born 1967 (age 54–55)

Occupation [Founder of the HONR Net](#)

Known for [Advocacy to protect victims of online harassment, especially victims of conspiracy theor](#)

Spouse [Veronique de la Rosa](#) (m. 2003; div. 2015)

Children 3

Unfortunately, we were unable to find any results for "Veronique De La Rosa" in our background check database

Try one of these tips to help improve your results:

- Try expanding your search to include all states
- Double check your spelling
- Try using their maiden name
- Make sure you are using the person's legal name, not a nickname (e.g. Cathryn, not Cathy)
- Has this person recently moved from another state? Try searching their previous location as well

Other people to search for:

- Are your kids safe with your neighbors?
- Can you trust your friends?
- What are your relatives hiding?
- Are you about to go on a date with a criminal?
- Curious what trouble celebrities have been in?
- Can you really say you trust everyone in your life? Get the upper hand

First Name:	MI:	Last Name:	City:	State:	Age:	
<input type="text" value="Veronique"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text" value="De La Rosa"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text" value="Connecticut"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="button" value="SEARCH"/>

Your search for "Veronique De La Rosa" may be too broad. Add more details like city and state to help you find the correct Veronique De La Rosa in our background check database

Try one of these tips to help improve your results:

- Try expanding your search to include all states
- Double check your spelling
- Try using their maiden name
- Make sure you are using the person's legal name, not a nickname (e.g. Cathryn, not Cathy)
- Has this person recently moved from another state? Try searching their previous location as well

Other people to search for:

- Are your kids safe with your neighbors?
- Can you trust your friends?
- What are your relatives hiding?
- Are you about to go on a date with a criminal?
- Curious what trouble celebrities have been in?
- Can you really say you trust everyone in your life? Get the upper hand

First Name:	MI:	Last Name:	City:	State:	Age:	
<input type="text" value="Veronique"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text" value="De La Rosa"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text" value="Connecticut"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="button" value="Search"/>

DISCLAIMER: You may not use our service or the information it provides to make decisions about consumer credit, employment, insurance, tenant screening, or any other purpose that would require FCRA compliance. Intelius does not provide consumer reports and is not a consumer reporting agency. (These terms have special meanings under the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 USC 1681 et seq., ("FCRA"), which are incorporated herein by reference.) The information available on our website may not be 100% accurate, complete, or up to date, so do not use it as a substitute for your own due diligence, especially if you have concerns about a person's criminal history. Intelius does not make any representation or warranty about the accuracy of the information available through our website or about the character or integrity of the person about whom you inquire. For more information, please review Intelius Terms of Use.

© 2022 Intelius LLC. All Rights Reserved.

The computer could not find her in any other state either. Make of it what you will.

Since Robbie Parker has raised his ugly head again in the Jones trials, I looked him up as well. He is at least listed at these people search sites, though I have previously shown problems with that. The Robbie Parker of Ogden, UT, was previously listed as being 59, but they have cleaned that up in the past decade. He is now listed as being related to Angela Parker, probably his sister since she is about the same age. She married an Ammata Vongsouvanh, a pharmacist in Oregon. A search on him pulls



up more hijinx, since [we are told](#) he was one of the first students at the Hawaii College of Pharmacy in 2004. The problem is the story makes no sense. He and two others were allegedly expelled for rioting, due to the fact that the college had no accreditation that year. To gain accreditation, they had asked almost half the graduating class to retake their freshman year, even those who had passed all classes. The other half were asked to take classes somewhere else for a year and then return as freshman. What? At the same time they refused to refund the \$28,000 tuition for that year. That makes no sense and would have generated 240 lawsuits for fraud. It also makes no sense that these 240 students would enroll and pay huge tuition to an unaccredited school, since lack of accreditation would prevent graduates from taking exams to get a pharmacy license. In other words, the degree would be worthless. How could they have possibly convinced 240 rich kids and their parents to shell out \$14 million for nothing, and then avoided bankrupting lawsuits? Wiki tells us they were indeed shut down by the State of Hawaii after a consumer protection investigation, but that still doesn't answer my question. There should have been hundreds of lawsuits for damages, and I couldn't find a word on that. Wiki admits only about \$1.2 million has been recovered by the State. Also making no sense is that this Hawaii College was owned by Pacific Education Services of California (or Nevada?), which is still around. It should have been liable for this, so why wasn't it bankrupted by lawsuits? Wiki gives us a footnote on that, but the footnote goes to the [wrong page](#) at the *Las Vegas Review Journal*. So without further information—which doesn't seem to be forthcoming—this looks like yet another money laundering scheme to me, with the students in Hawaii probably being in on it. I can find no other way to make sense of it. There is no way these people thought they were going to get away with stealing millions of dollars in tuition, with no plan of escape. Also notice Wikipedia conspicuously fails to tell you what happened to these criminals. Were they convicted of fraud and jailed? Apparently not. The state attempted to collect damages, but we get nothing about criminal charges. According to [this document](#), Hawaii couldn't even find David Monroe or Denise Criswell. But that is what the FBI and other Federal agencies are for. I guess they were too busy running their own fake events to look for Monroe and Criswell.

Finally, I found [this court document](#) which tells us students were refunded by the court about \$6000. But the list is also a mystery, since most of the students appear to be Vietnamese. Why were all these Vietnamese people taking fake pharmacy graduate classes in Hawaii? 61 on the list are Nguyen, 20 are Pham/Phan, 20 are Tran, 17 are Le/Lee, 14 are Vo/Vu. Hawaii was in the USA last time I checked, but only about five of the names on the list of 315 were non-Asian.

That just proves to me that the Sandy Hook fraud continues to be an endless well of mischief, which we could unwind the rest of our lives. But frankly I have better things to do. I have never recommended you harass anyone in these cons personally, and honestly I don't believe any one ever did. It is just another part of the story I don't believe. Why would anyone try to harass Pozner or anyone else? You might as well try to harass Porky Pig or Spongbob. How could you even find these people, either physically or by mail, since they don't even live where we are told they do and never did? It isn't about harassing them, it is **about refusing to be harassed by them**. It is about refusing to be harassed by the CIA and other agencies, and their continuous lies concerning every last thing.

And besides, I am the target of far more harassment than Pozner ever was. Almost daily I receive attacks via email, and occasionally even death threats. Many people claim not to believe I am real. Google is illegally suppressing my web traffic and therefore my livelihood, and the Air Force is illegally libeling me via RatWiki and other sites. The Air Force is also illegally suppressing sunspot numbers, to try to damage my Solar Cycles predictions. But am I crying about it or losing any sleep? No. There is no way for me to sue the government, either Air Force or CIA, and if I won a big settlement from Google the IRS would just steal it back from me or I would lose it in rigged lawsuits. So I ignore it all and get back to work. I wasn't promised a carefree existence at birth, I only came here with the hope of doing something interesting, important, and virtuous, which I am. Which makes me far more satisfied than any of my enemies. Everyday I thank the gods for making me who I am, and for not making me one of these lying Phoenicians. Can you say the same? If not, you may wish to reassess. You *could* be doing that, but it will require turning the sled around.