

# Chinese-style Internet?

**No, not even that good anymore**

*by Miles Mathis*

*First published May 6, 2023*

[I just became aware of another attack on me at Facebook](#) by jealous little boy Steve David Urich, where he claims that I lied when this came out in a press release in 2012:

“Internationally recognized artist Miles Mathis has a scientific paper being published this month by the journal of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists. AIG is the leading professional institute representing geoscientists in all professional sectors throughout Australia. The subject of the paper is plate tectonics or continental drift, [in which Mathis proposes charge as the hidden mechanism.](#)”


Urich claims to have called AIG for confirmation, being told

“However, when contacted for comment, Louis Hissink (editor of AIG News), stated that his organization has never published a Miles Mathis paper; nor do they ever expect to anytime in the future.”

What neither Hissink or Urich admit is that the article was accepted for publication and I was told it was scheduled for immediate publication that month. I never even submitted the article: rather, one of their editors contacted me and asked if they could republish it. I said yes. I therefore sent out press releases and a couple of places published that report. But at the last minute Hissink killed the story, for reasons that are still unknown, refusing to give me any explanation. But we can all guess what that was. Someone higher up in the Australian hierarchy got wind of the publication and put the immediate kibosh on it. I left the report up on my site as just one more proof of how anything not lockstep with mainstream propaganda is censored. I am the most censored person in science on the internet, and everyone now knows that. Though it was still somewhat in question in 2012, with Google now straight up censoring most of my papers across the board it no longer is.


So Urich needs to call Hissink back and ask for comment on that. I would be interested to see what he says.

The killing of that paper at AIG actually didn't matter at all, which is why people like Urich are so mad, to the point of spreading lies and slander. I published the paper on my own site and it soon went to the front page of Google on a general search, getting far more readers than anything AIG gets. My site outranks AIG by hundreds of times. That is also true of my other papers on the subject, including the one on [the Schiehallion experiment](#), which they also try unsuccessfully to slander on that page at Facebook. It went to #2 at Google with zero promotion, above Harvard, physicsforums, physorg, and the Royal Society. Same for my paper on [Canada's Gravity Deficit](#), which went to #1, ahead of Wikipedia and everyone else. In fact, that paper is still #1 at Google out of 10 million results, since it is one of the few they missed in their censorship:



Also amusing is that Urich and these others started that page to libel me, but as usual more people show up defending me than badmouthing me, and the whole thing blows up in their face.

But it's even worse than that, as I soon discovered. I just ran a Yahoo search on Plate Tectonics, to see where I now stand on that. This is what came up:



TWO RESULTS! That is two results, *total*. To prevent you from accessing my paper, they have now censored the entire topic. This is way beyond a Chinese-style internet, since China has more results on any given topic than that.

Bing lists 554,000 results, but [as we have seen before](#), they list the same ones over and over on every page. They then stop at p. 7, to be sure my paper is not listed.

How does Google deal with this search? Google claims 17 million results, but shows you only 155 of them. Google, like the others, is now severely limiting search results on all topics. It gives you a single page of promoted results, and then you can click at the bottom for more results, getting five more each time. This method is so inefficient you soon give up.

Is Brave any better? No, like Bing it only lists up to p. 6, and it repeats the same promoted results on every page. It lists several youtube videos twice, lists the same Wiki page three times, and lists the same pages at NationalGeographic four times.

This is also strange: if you search on “miles mathis” with quotes at Google, you get 38,000 results, but can only see a few of them. But at Yahoo we are told the same search *with quotes* yields 6.2 million results. But again, you are allowed to access only about 50 of them. So let's try that with someone else, say Jennifer Aniston. Jen has 9.4 million results, which means that according to the computer, she is only about 50% more popular than I am. Not what you would expect. But with Jen they continue listing results for as long as you want to keep clicking.

So all the search engines, including Brave, are now worthless. If you aren't searching on Jennifer Aniston, Brad Pitt, or Taylor Swift, they have got nothing for you. It would be like going physically to the Library of Congress and being told they had stored the entire history section to make room for celebrity trivia and gaming. Or, no, I take it back, it is actually far worse than that, since of course the number of people who go to the internet for information far exceeds the number of people who physically show up at libraries. And, in fact, your local libraries *have* also been gutted on purpose over the past thirty years, at the behest of Bill Gates and others. They had to make room for banks of computers, Oprah books, self-help books, and more CIA-front magazines and newspapers. Once they move in the coffeeshop, your library will just be a subsidiary of Barnes&Noble/Starbucks, and all the real books will be cleared out.