

# The Fake Artemis Mission



*by Miles Mathis*

*April 2, 2026*

And now for a close look at the Artemis mission to the Moon, which we are told launched on Wednesday. [I already had proof it was faked](#) going in, since if it were real it should have been delayed due to Solar storms hitting the Earth then. This is exactly why we monitor these things, since they can affect such launches and missions. Solar activity is very wild right now, so it is an awful time to schedule a launch to the Moon. There was an unexpected X-class flare three days ago, and the full-halo CME that came out of that was expected to reach the Earth Wednesday, on the day of launch. Even worse, we have had very strange meteor showers and fireballs over the US for the past week, including in Florida, so again, not a good time for a Moon launch. Possibly the worst timing ever. We have had earthquakes all over the world due to this Solar activity, and the strange heatwave in the West was also due to this activity, with Phoenix going to 105 two weeks ago when it was technically still winter.

But of course they had to have the launch on April 1, because you are an April fool.

And of course Matt Walsh was selling this launch as real yesterday, all the more reason to assume it is fake.

If that doesn't do it for you, [go watch video of the launch](#), which is even more pathetic than I thought it would be. Notice that the opening of the launch looks like CGI, then we see real video of a rocket (they do have rockets) but it looks far too small, like a 1/10 scale model or something. After just a few

seconds it peaks and soon looks like it is going *down*. Why would this rocket to the Moon be going down after just a few seconds?




The rocket isn't just going down, the announcer admits the rocket is *throttling down* after two minutes, and the boosters are jettisoned. Really, after two minutes? Man, sure was easy to get out of the Earth's atmosphere, right? Reminds us of the fake Musk [and Bezos launches](#), where we saw the same thing: the reaching of space way too fast.

Then we cut to more obvious CGI video from the rocket exterior, where the boosters are supposedly floating in the background of the shot. Except that the two sequences don't match, since in the shot from the ground the boosters are still flaming, and even after flaming out they have smoke and vapor tracks. But in the view from the rocket there are no flames or tracks behind the boosters. Whoops.

What else is wrong? Please look for yourself. I will give you a moment.

From the Earth, we see the rocket has a large tail flame, even after the boosters are gone. That is what the bright spot is. But from the rocket, we see no tail flame at all, just a little pathetic smoke tail (min. 3:20). You should already be done with this fake.



At min. 5:00 (T+8:00) the event goes even more off the rails, as we see the rocket from some flanking view, with no admission this is CGI. It would have to be, right, unless they launched two parallel

rockets, one to go to the Moon and one to film it. We then cut to interior, where we see the separation of the core stage, again obviously CGI. If they can place cameras in the engine hulls, it seems like they could place cameras with the astronauts, so we can see what they are up to during launch. Do they have helmets on, or they taking this fake ride with hair flying and tits flopping, like the girls of the Bezos' dildo?



We don't know. But that's it: the rest of the trip will be made on that little module, propelled with an invisible cryogenic thruster that doesn't even produce a blue cone. Will we be shown the trip through the Van Allen belts, and shown how the astronauts are blocked from all that radiation? **Since that has been a question for decades.** I guess not. That question and all others will be ignored as if they were never asked. NASA is giving us six minutes of CGI and nothing else.

You will say NASA, via CNN, [released a 65-minute video today](#). Yes, and it is about 60 minutes of fluffy propaganda and five minutes of bad CGI. It is proof of nothing, except that this is another conjob. Not one of these people comes off as the least bit trustworthy, and many of them are transparent hucksters, like this guy:



Jared “Jug-Ears” Isaacman, Jewish of course, who would have guessed it? Head of NASA, nominated by Trump in December 2025, so he just came in. Hold on to your seats for this next thing, since you really won't believe it. Before that, he was the billionaire CEO of . . . are you ready? . . . Draken International.

# DRAKEN

I guess you know what Draken means? Dragons. Draco is another name for Lucifer or Satan. Draken International is a Defense Dept. contractor, providing adversary in Air Force war games. We aren't told why a private contractor would be providing this service, instead of the Air Force itself.

This isn't the first fake launch Isaacman has been involved in, since he was the private contractor of the SpaceX fake launch in 2021, aboard the Crew Dragon Resilience which allegedly carried a private crew into low-Earth orbit, like the Katy Perry Blue Origin fake. Crew Dragon is what Musk calls his rocket tops or core stages, which use Draco thrusters and SuperDraco engines. Are you seeing a pattern here? All a sick joke on you, the taxpayer, since you are paying for all of this, whether it is labeled private or not. For the Artemis Program they have already billed you \$150 billion, and it is just getting started. This was just a “test flight”, and they have many more fakes planned, including fake Moon bases and other fine fiction right out of *2001: A Space Odyssey*. Prepare yourself for the finding of some humming black monoliths.

Just so you know, Isaacman is a highschool dropout who later bought, I mean got a college degree online at age 28. Seems silly to trust that claim given all this other fraud, doesn't it. Go [listen to this guy](#) (min. 14) speak for a couple of minutes: you wouldn't buy a used car from him. I wouldn't trust him to tell me the correct time.

Also notice how that 65-minute video starts out: the commander Wiseman tells us the Moon is the first step to Mars, indicating they plan to bill you billions or trillions faking that as well. Or I should say billions MORE, since you have already been taxed to the gills for decades of Mars lander frauds.

Which brings us to these people:



If you want to know what fraud looks like in 2026, now you know. Very diverse and photogenic, as you would expect. If you want to hear what fraud sounds like, go listen to them yap for a while. I don't expect them to melt down like Neil Armstrong did, since modern people no longer have consciences. They will do anything for money and never break a sweat. Zombies.

That lady's name is Christina Koch, which should get you started. You could place bets going in. As in the Koch brothers, Jewish. Her bio at NASA is about five sentences repeated over and over, not including an age or parents, but she must be at least 40, which should be too old to be chosen for such a mission. She has a masters in electrical engineering and studied abroad in Ghana, which is a strange bio for an astronaut. She was a firefighter at the South Pole (no, seriously), which looks like some sort of joke to me. I have shown those [South Pole Stations are also largely faked](#). She was also involved in the ISS fraud, which I have to admit was the perfect preparation for this one.

[A search on her finds](#) she is actually 47, a ridiculous age for a female astronaut on a current major mission to the Moon. Radaris lists her job as “service occupations”. So the big computer doesn't even know she is a famous astronaut? They tell us she is also known as Tina Hammock, Christina Boyd, and Chris Nelson. What? How many times has this woman been married? Through Bolden Boyd, she is related to Aldridges, which may link us to the Rockefellers.

But it gets better, because if we look her up at Instantcheckmate, we find even more AKAs, including Christina Ehrman and Christina Borges, confirming that Jewish thing again. Remember, Borges is the Portuguese form of the Italian Borgia. Even if we give one of those names to a maiden name, she either been married five times or she likes to have aliases. But why would an astronaut need five aliases?

If you thought that was bad, just wait. The first guy pictured above is the commander, Gregory Reid Wiseman, and this is the first sentence of his bio at NASA:

Reid Wiseman is a 27-year Navy veteran, a pilot, a father, an engineer, and a Baltimore native. He was selected as an astronaut by NASA in 2009 and served as Flight Engineer aboard the International Space Station for Expedition 41 from May

through November of 2014.

So he was selected as an astronaut 17 years ago, when he was how old? Again, no age for him (or parents) so we don't know from his NASA bio, but since he graduated highschool in 1993, we guess he was born in 1975, making him 50-51, WAY too old for the rigors of a trip to the Moon, if only due to his eyesight. And doing the math, that means he was chosen as an astronaut when he was 34, again way too old to begin that program. He was in computer science until 2006, age 31, getting his bachelors at age 22 (right on schedule) and his masters at age 31 (seven years late). So we are missing seven years there. Turns out he was a fighter pilot in the Middle East. He was chosen as an astronaut out of Naval Air Station, Oceana, Virginia.




He has dyed hair, wrinkles and liver spots. Looking him up at Radaris finds him 50 and related to Sweitzers/Loscombs, indicating he is also Jewish. NASA tells us his wife is deceased, but this Carroll Taylor Wiseman, 46, is not on his list there, where the only female names we find are Jacqueline Sweitzer, 46, and Ellie Wiseman. Ellie's first relative is listed as a Richard Kirk, 83, which you have to admit is interesting these days. Think Charlie and Erika Kirk. Not claiming there is any link, but no proof there isn't. Ellie is listed as 20 elsewhere, so probably his daughter. Later confirmed. Which would make Kirk a grandfather? I wasn't able to discover who Jacqui Sweitzer is, though she apparently has many other aliases as well, including Jacqueline Williams, Jacqueline Stull, Heather Sweitzer, and Heather Henry, all 46 and all living in Littlestown, PA.

Strangely, Gregory and Reid are listed separately at all people searches, as if they are two different people.

Yes, Instantcheckmate and Intelius tell us [his name is Gregory Wise](#), not Reid Wiseman. No middle name Reid and no middle initial R to clear this up. Why would he have an alias? I guess because he leads a double life. He has to do something when he is not faking trips to the Moon. It is the same guy, since he has the same locations and relatives list, and the same age, 50. At Intelius we find he is related to William Wiseman of Towson, who happens to be the [Zoning Commissioner for Baltimore](#), and is tied somehow to [Kramon and Graham](#), the big law firm there.

With more digging, I found Carroll Taylor Wiseman, 46, AKA Anne Taylor, was married to Gregory Wiseman but not to Reid. FastPeopleSearch lists her as the wife of Gregory Wiseman, 50, and Intelius has her related to Gregory but not Reid. She is also not listed as deceased there. Radaris lists her related to both, and as deceased. Radaris links Carroll Wiseman to Reid Wiseman (but not as her husband, who is Gregory), but does not link him to her. Instead it links him to Jacqueline Sweitzer, [also 46](#). So it's a big mess. I honestly don't know what is going on, but it shouldn't be this difficult.

And guess what, the page I found about [Carroll Wiseman at Bollywoodshaadis.com](#) just went up 14 hours ago,



[when I started working on this](#). As you see, there is no obituary online for Carroll Wiseman, and she has no Findagrave page. [A similar article](#) went up 10 hours ago at houseandwhips.com. That is what the search engine gives you as proof Carroll Wiseman died in 2020 of cancer. Why is it just going up on the internet now, *after* the launch? Seems like very strange timing, right?

We also find a Pauline Wiseman, 109, on Reid's pages, wife of William Wiseman, probably father of the living William Wiseman we saw above. Pauline of Baltimore has a page at Findagrave, where we find her maiden name was [Sommerman](#), again confirming my Jewish guess.


OK, let's move on to the alleged pilot of Artemis, Victor Jerome Glover, the black guy. Here is the start of his bio at NASA, which immediately dooms his whole story:

Victor J. Glover, Jr. was selected as an astronaut in 2013 while serving as a Legislative Fellow in the United States Senate. He most recently served as pilot of the Crew-1 dragon spacecraft, named Resilience, which flew to the International Space Station, where he also served as Flight Engineer for Expedition 64/65.

An astronaut chosen out of the US Senate? You have to be kidding me! And again, he comes out of that same SpaceX fake as Jug-Ears Isaacman, head of NASA. What are the odds? They are again hiding his age, and you see why if you do the math: he graduated highschool in 1994, which makes him 49-50 this year. He got his BA in 1999, and three masters in 2007, 2009, and 2010. He also served as a pilot in Iraq as part of the Blue Blasters, afterwards becoming a test pilot. He was selected as an astronaut in 2013, when he was 37. Ridiculous. Until NASA went virtual and became a hologram, an astronaut was expected to be a young man, since he needs perfect eyesight, reflexes, and health. You don't put these 50-year-olds in space, for obvious reasons. Even the best conditioned 50-year-old can't compete with a 30-year-old, which is why you don't see them competing in any sports, except maybe golf. Ask Roger Federer (44) if he thinks he could be an astronaut. He has been retired almost four years, since he was too old to compete at 40.

My first idea was that this Glover is related to actor Danny Glover, since I have previously linked him to prominent Jewish lines. That's how he got on in Hollywood. See his [Ethniccelebs page](#), where you see is also a [Gordon, Mack, and Sherman](#). Also think of John Glover Roberts, Chief Justice of the Supreme Court. I hit the Glover name hard in [my paper on the Supremes](#). According to FastPeopleSearch, this Victor Glover, 49, of League City, TX, is related to Victor Glover, 70, of League City, TX, same address. His wife Dionna also lives there, so I guess Victor's father lives with them? Seems unlikely. The mother would appear to be Jennette Glover, who does not live there with them. She lives in a 4,000sqft, million-dollar home in Prosper. Strange that she would be doing so much better than her famous son. But if we check Radaris, we find our Victor Glover has that listed as his current address as well. At both places, we find this Glover related to Barlows, and at Radaris this then links us to [Betancourts, Lossers and Francos](#), which is gold, since it tends to confirm my guesses already. I remind you Betancourt is not a common name among blacks, it being from French, Spanish, and Portuguese nobility. They were kings of the Canary Islands before coming to the US. Losser and Franco are also Jewish names.

And finally, we have the 4<sup>th</sup> astronaut, Jeremy Hansen, whose [bio page](#) looks completely different than the other three. That's because he is Canadian, and we go to the Canadian Space Agency site, which spends a bit more time trying to create a believable bio than NASA does. They actually post a date of birth, [where we find he is also 50](#). His wife Catherine is a famous gynecologist, [we are told elsewhere](#), which is strange.



There she is, looking just as expected. Her brother is also Air Force. Since these Hansens from Canada had to move to Friendswood outside of Houston (Johnson Space Center) like the others, we can look them up in US people searches, which helps. Catherine is actually 56, but other than that the info at Radaris seems to be garbled, since Jeremy is conflated with a second Jeremy Hansen of Friendswood, 85, a pipeline fitter. I couldn't find what I most wanted: her maiden name, which I suspect is Jewish. In fact, I wouldn't be surprised if these four astronauts were related.

His bio is like the others, being a pilot. He completed fighter training in 2003, at age 27, somewhat late, and then worked in Arctic Flying Operations, among other things, so we have that again. He was recruited in 2009 at age 33, again very late, graduating from astronaut training at 35. Rather than go into space, Canada sent him into some Italian caves in 2013 and to the ocean floor in Florida in 2014. He did nothing worth mentioning for almost nine years, when he was tapped for this Artemis fake in 2023. You would think this "return trip to the Moon" would be the biggest thing in 50 years, with four cream-of-the-crop astronauts, but instead we find four wrinkled and dyed old geezers with very puny bios. It makes absolutely no sense, as usual.

But they don't care anymore. They don't even bother to try to make this look real, and NASA seems content with the idea no one will buy this. After all, it doesn't matter if you buy it. You will be billed for it whether you buy it or not. You will be taxed for all these fakes regardless, so why waste money making the staging and propaganda seamless, or even passable? Why not just have AI mock it up overnight, since then all the money can go directly to these billionaire assholes like Isaacman and the Phoenician Navy bigwig trillionaires behind him.