

# THE NIGGER WORD

*by Miles Mathis*

*First published February 7, 2022*

Yes, I am going to say the word nigger a lot in this paper, though I am not once going to aim it at any real black person or black people in general. I grew up in the 1960s in Texas in a progressive family of Democrats and was taught as a child not to call black people niggers. Later that was extended to not calling them negros, either, since that was too close to nigger. I didn't really understand that one, since they had named their own organization that: the United Negro College Fund. That was a fund to *help* black people, so how could the word negro be bad? We were not supposed to call them colored, either, though that one mystified me in the same way. Again, they had named their own organization that: the NAACP. So how could it be bad?

I went along with it all, since I had no intention of offending them, but it seemed to me we were running out of adjectives and signifiers. At some point they changed it to Afro-Americans, then African Americans, then that went out, too, as did Black. Later it became People of Color, but that was so close to Colored I didn't see the difference. How can one be good and one be bad? At that point I considered the whole thing to be a mindstir and a waste of time and I quit paying attention. It seemed like just a lot of touchy people trying to find some silly reason to take offense. Besides, I could see that blacks still had a lot of reasons to complain: we all do. But I could see that focusing on adjectives, changing them every few years for no reason, was counterproductive. It kept eyes on piddly niggling matters and off larger matters. [And I remind you, they did exactly the same thing in the woman's movement, outlawing “lady”, then “girl”, then “female”, then “woman” itself, changing it to womyn. It got to be so that you when referring to a woman you could only point and go uh-uh-uh. The people formerly known as people.]

Eventually they even outlawed or censored the word niggard, which has no connection to nigger. What's next, censoring the country Nigeria for starting with the bad three letters? Censoring all people named Nigel?

Finally, I realized that was the point: this argument over words had been manufactured, but not by the blacks themselves. It had been manufactured by the man to insert confusion into race relations. I finally figured out that the government had infiltrated the black movements, all the way back to the Panthers and before, in order to undermine them and arrest real progress. As part of that confusion, they had manufactured these stupid squabbles over words.

They are still doing it, and today they are doing it via the Joe Rogan controversy, which has just devolved into this nigger thing again. I have never listened to Rogan, since I don't get my information from juiced drug pushers, but apparently Rogan said the word several times in the past as a word—*not aimed at any real people*. In discussing the n-word academically, he just said nigger instead, implying correctly that putting words off-limits as words was stupid. It *is* stupid. I refer you to the old “sticks and stone may break my bones, but words will never hurt me” thing, which we were also taught as children. Plus, you can't outlaw words, and if you do you only make them *more* powerful. By outlawing the word nigger, you just make it taboo, and therefore add immensely to its ability to anger. If we wanted to defuse the word, we would use it in every sentence, as I have said before. We should

call everything and everyone a nigger, while smiling and breathing deeply. Once everyone and everything is a nigger, no one is. Look at me, Mom, I'm a nigger and so is my cat and hamster and goldfish.

The reverse name-calling is also cleansing, as well as being very amusing. [See this black guy on youtube](#) saying that “dat nigger's insane” to Barry Gibb, while watching the video for *Too Much Heaven*. I love it. He's clearly using the term as a sign of respect.

Besides, we can tell that black people don't think of the word like we are told they do. If they hated the word so much, would they use it so much? Would the musicians and comedians use it so much, aimed at fellow black people and *themselves*? Of course not. Do you think dwarfs call each other “shrimp” or “shorty” or “tiny” when they are joking around together? No, blacks are proud, as they should be, and they embrace all terms for themselves, turning them to gold in their own environs. They are doing exactly what I said we should do: using the term to defuse it and turn it. They have already turned it to gold for themselves, so why should we let anyone fry Joe Rogan on it? It makes no sense.

And there is yet another reason I am using the word nigger here: *because I can*. I don't like being told what to do. Isn't that the main argument of blacks? They don't like to be told what to do by the man. They don't like being told who they are or who they should be or where they can work or go to school or who they should marry or how they should raise their children. Great, good for them. More power to them. But the same goes for me. I don't like anyone telling me what I can think or what I can say or what I can write about or what I should believe. I will study the world on my own and come to my own conclusions. I will then make an honest report of it. If you don't like it, you can go elsewhere. If I have taken on the Jews and all their rules about what I can say and think, I certainly won't stop with blacks. If I have taken on women and all their rules about what I can say and think, I certainly won't stop with blacks. I have already written about MLK and the Panthers and Malcolm X, so this paper is nothing next to that. I see all those papers as stridently *pro-black*, and I see this paper in the same way. I am on their side and the side of all the repressed and suppressed, which is why I attack the power structures so viciously. I think most people can see that.

I will tell you a funny story that just happened this week. I am in temporary lodgings, as many of you know, without recourse to most of my previous means of exercise, so I have fallen back on taking long walks. It is very hilly here, so it is good exercise, like a free stairmaster. Well, I live out in the country, and everyone has dogs. They all like to bark at me, though most of them are wagging their tails while barking, which means they mainly want to play. I talk to them sweetly to try to calm them down and stop them from barking. I also talk sweetly to the horses and goats and cows and deer and wild turkey, just to be friendly. I don't have anything else to do. But on this day one of the farmers was out with his cows, and I didn't see him at first. He got angry and told me to stop talking to his cows. He said I was going to get into trouble. I told him I would talk to anyone I wanted to talk to and not ask his permission. He was a fat old bastard, obviously pent up from Covid, and had decided to take it out on me. But I wasn't having any of it. I talk sweetly to innocent animals, but have less patience with scolding humans telling me who I can talk to. Of course he got even more bent out of shape, screaming obscenities, until his wife came out to calm him down. I half expected him to jump in the truck and come after me with a shotgun, for the crime of talking softly to his cattle. That is the world we now live in, as I don't have to tell you.

Anyway, my report to you on the Joe Rogan thing is that it is once again completely manufactured from both sides. Nothing is playing out naturally here. If Rogan were the tough guy he sells himself as, he would tell Spotify to bite him and take his show somewhere else. They aren't the only website in

the world with video posting capability. If he is really getting 11 million viewers per podcast, the show would be picked up overnight. Instead, we see Rogan bowing to the mob, apologizing to them for saying words and thinking thoughts and questioning the great god Pfizer. Which of course scares you into thinking you should do the same. You think that if the wealthy and successful Rogan can't stand firm against the mob, what chance have you.

We have seen this apologizing before, and it has gagged me from the get-go. [See my old paper called All Apologies](#). That was back in 2010 when Tiger Woods and John Mayer were apologizing for being born. It only got worse after that, when the #Metoo fake movement went into overdrive and half the prominent men in America were paid by the CIA to take a dive. That plays into this current event as well, since the manufactured sex wars are a sister to the race wars, both promoted to do maximum damage to the middle class while keeping eyes off the superwealthy behind both of them.

But I tell you it is all a bluff. The First Amendment is still in effect, which means you can say and think whatever you want. No new laws have been passed (at least not any that will stand in a real trial). And even without a Constitution, you have an innate right to say and think what you wish. That is what the Constitution says, remember? The Constitution itself isn't *giving* you that right, it is just *stating* a right that already exists. A right that is **God-given and self-evident**, which means that it predates all Constitutions and exists in the absence of any and all documents or claims. Beyond that, all the mandates of the past two years are meaningless, both as a matter of common sense and of law. Those that have submitted to any of it, whether the masks, the vaccines, or the censorship, have been bluffed into submission, since no laws can or do exist that can compel it. Rather, many longstanding laws pre-exist that *forbid* it.

Beyond that, no real people care what Bette Middler or Joni Mitchell or Neil Young think. You and I have been ignoring all the manufactured people of academia and Hollywood and the journals for decades, so what has changed? Nothing. The same clouds are floating over the Earth that were there in 1970. The same rivers are running. The same Earth is spinning beneath our feet. They have tried to bluff you into believing the world has changed since then, but it has only to the extent you believe it or accept it. The main thing that has changed is that the CIA and other Intel agencies have grown exponentially, and they are in your face 24/7 with some faked event, trying to convince you to join their corrupt and icky future. Rather than pass laws they can't get passed, they fall back on trying to trick you into compliance with fear, hypnosis, or groupthink. But that doesn't mean you have to fall for it. Just tell them to take a hike. If you want to live in 1970, just do it. I do. It is *your* choices that ultimately decide what the world is, not the choices of the CIA. When everyone decides to reverse course or go back, we will. All you have to do is refuse to be led.

Some will croak that my call for going back is a reactionary cry, a plea for the backward policies of the past. Proving I am not really the liberal I say I am, but a closet Reaganite of some sort. But it isn't. I am not living in 1970 to avoid civil rights, but to embrace them. I lived through that decade, so I remember that it was a time far more progressive than now. Even Obama admitted it, conceding that Nixon was a more progressive President than he was. Politics isn't progressive now, it is demented, on both sides of the aisle. It is a freakshow. It is a televised CIA clusterfuck, and it is insane on purpose. This didn't become a mad mad mad mad mad mad world by accident. The bottom line is that the CIA had less power and fewer boots on the ground and less reach than now, though it had a lot then as well. The more power the CIA has, the less you have. The bigger the CIA is, the less real the world is. The more reach the CIA has, the more confusion it will create. In that way, any reversal must be salutary. Any going back is a step in the right direction.

The Rogan event is just more proof of that, since the CIA has actors on both sides of the stage. It is pulling the strings of almost everyone involved here. How can I tell? Because Rogan isn't defusing any of the fake paradigms. He isn't defusing the sex war, the race war, or the red/blue war. By apologizing in this nigger controversy, he is pushing that narrative ahead, making it look real. Rogan could be doing what I am doing, but he isn't. He most conspicuously is NOT doing what I am doing, which is moving your eyes off the fake events they wish you to be obsessing over, and moving your eyes back to the real people behind the curtain. Rogan is actually a very weak brew, at his best simply regurgitating stories already mainstreamed by Infowars or Zerohedge. And compared to me, even Infowars or Zerohedge is a weak brew, since they wouldn't touch most of the topics I hit. We know because they *haven't* touched them, despite allegedly being aggregators of top alternative news. For example, these places are still pushing January 6 as real, when I have shown it was manufactured from the bottom up and the top down. Infowars hasn't even been as avant as Tucker Carlson on January 6, which is pretty sad. And neither place has gone anywhere near where [I went with it more than a year ago](#).