

# NaturalNews continues the Fear Porn

*by Miles Mathis*

*First published October 6, 2023*

As if in answer to my paper of five days ago on Cycle 26, calling out Mike Adams by name as a fear monger, [he is now pushing this again](#), promoting Valentina Zharkova and her “theory” based on nothing that we are entering a Grand Solar Minimum and “the coldest period on Earth”. According to her, beginning in 2030 there will be a food shortage due to lack of vegetation and worldwide starvation. Funny, since she and others were saying the same thing in 2017, just six years ago, except then they were saying [it had already started](#). These jokers were predicting that we had already entered a Grand Solar Minimum in 2017 and that it would last decades. They claimed we were going to skip Cycle 25, which according to the mainstream started in December of 2019. They claimed there would only be a handful of sunspots during the entire 11-year cycle from 2019 to 2030.

Like Fauci, they claimed this was based on “science”, but the only real science it was based on is the real Solar Minimum of 2017-2020, which was indeed very deep. It was the worst minimum since 1812, and I was telling my readers that from the beginning. It was both long and deep, with sunspots bottoming out to absolute zero in some months, and hanging on for almost four years. But that is FOUR YEARS, not decades. Solar Minimum is always about three years long, so this one was only *one year* longer than normal. And Solar Minimum commonly bottoms out to 20, so 0 wasn't far below that. So this was bad, but not world-ending. Unless you were already sick or anxious or old, you may not have even noticed it.

So we now know they were very wrong. Not only did Cycle 25 arrive pretty much on schedule, it has turned out to be [much stronger](#) than Cycle 24. Starting in 2020 sunspots went on a very steep climb, going from near-zero to over a hundred *per day* in about two years. There have now been thousands this cycle already. The mainstream (NASA/NOAA etc) never predicted a Grand Solar Minimum, but they did predict a weak Cycle 25, being about the same as Cycle 24. But that has turned out to be wrong as well. I predicted Cycle 25 would be much stronger, and that prediction has been right on the money so far. I am the only one in the world that has been hitting monthly predictions right on the head. Cycle 25 has turned out to be more like Cycle 23, which was quite strong; [and I am predicting a very strong Cycle 26](#), which may even rival the huge Cycle of the late 1950s.

None of these university people, including Zharkova, have any mechanism for Solar Cycles. In other words, [they don't know what causes them](#). [Only I have explained that](#), which is why I can predict monthly numbers years in advance. Zharkova and the rest of these academics can only push computer models, and they do that by feeding in all past data and asking the computer to spot patterns. When that doesn't work, they make some raw guesses depending on what they want the computer to answer, then ask again. In this way they can come up with anything they like. And what they like now is to keep you hiding under the bed, so that you forget to join the revolution. They want you too busy stockpiling toilet paper and duct tape and ammunition to remember to live today to the fullest, by telling the tyrants to stuff their mandates. We have seen it from Infowars, which is leading most days with pictures of nuclear mushrooms clouds; and we also see it from NaturalNews, which has been pushing this Grand Solar Minimum hooey for years, against all real data. Adams never bothers to tell you Solar numbers are WAY UP, because he needs to keep scaring you with this new Maunder Minimum nonsense.

Since Zharkova, Adams, and the rest were disastrously wrong about Cycle 25, they have just moved forward a decade to Cycle 26, which starts around 2031. Cycle 25 will spin down by them, and we will be in another Solar Minimum, so numbers will naturally be down again. They go down every eleven years. So at that time they will jump in and claim they were right: numbers are way down, be very afraid! But as I have told you, Solar Minimum in 2030 won't be as bad as the last one. It won't go down to zero, it will only go down to about 30, which is a real improvement. And it won't last four years.

Some will complain that I am attacking Infowars and NaturalNews, since they are on the right side of many topics now. That is true. They often seem to be promoting the revolution. But stories like this indicate to me they are controlling the opposition. They promote the revolution right up to the time it starts, then sandbag it. I have shown you many instances of that before, and this is just one more in a long line. I saw Alex Jones doing it today, [telling his audience](#) not to “mouth off in a bar”. Keep quiet or you will be targeted by a car bomb or something. He actually said that. That is his real function. Gain your trust then give you terrible advice. I give you just the opposite advice: **talk to people everywhere**. It is your Constitutional right, and you should be exercising it now more than ever. Do not shut up for anyone.

Do not be confrontational. Do not get drunk and start calling people idiots and sheep. But quietly tell people the truth. Soberly and lovingly try to spread the truth. You will find far more allies than you imagine, even in a bar. Most people now know what is going on, and they are hungry for good information. If someone clearly doesn't want to hear it, move on to the next guy or gal.

Yes, you need to be careful what you say in a bar, but that has always been true if you are a man. It is no worse now than it ever was. Or not much worse. People are on edge, so proceed cautiously, testing the waters constantly. That bar may not be the best place to spread the word, but then again it may be. It depends entirely on who you are talking to. **Be very aware of who you are talking to**. Not because they are going to car-bomb you on the way out, but for all the old reasons: you don't want to get into a fight with some drunk or hothead over nothing. But those people are normally pretty easy to avoid. You can spot them from ten feet away. Target the ones that seem open to your initial comments, but not TOO open. It isn't only the drunks you have to avoid, it is the agents. As I have told you, they are everywhere. You needn't fear them, since they are a bunch of losers; but you should still avoid them since they can waste your time. That is their job. You can spot them this way: they will suddenly become very interested in you. They will go from way too blasé to way too bright-eyed in a moment, as they spot you as a target. You can see it in their eyes. Unless they are great actors (and almost none of them are), they will make obvious mistakes, asking you weird questions or telling you strange personal things about themselves. Admittedly, it is easier to spot male agents that way, since females tend to do that anyway. Sorry, but that is just my experience. With females, you can normally tell the difference between someone who is just interested in you and overly gabby, and someone who is spooky weird. If you can't tell the difference, you probably should move on regardless. You are in a lose/lose. I can't tell female readers how to spot female agents, since I have never been there. I haven't experienced that dynamic, but you can probably figure it out.

---

---

But back to the propaganda. [Another very weird Greg Reese video](#) came out today at Infowars. They seem to be getting more and more outre by the week. As you will remember, last week he came out with the one about the “non-military” remote viewing group, that turned out to be Special Forces bozos blowing smoke up your shorts. This week it is aliens.

**According to ancient history, religion, mythology, and occult tradition, they are not human.**

Yeah, and which tradition is that, Greg? Phoenician? Convenient this draws off attention from the Jewish question, isn't it? It isn't the Rothschilds or Rockefellers, he tells us, it is little grays pulling their strings. Ah, OK.

**According to occult records, a character named Sanat Kumara rules over the world from inside the Earth along with a group known as the Great White Brotherhood—seven in total. Helena Blavatsky says these seven Kumaras are the same as the seven Dhyanis, the seven Archangels, and the seven Elohim. The seven representing the Seven Sisters, also known as the Pleiades star cluster.**

Oh yes, Blavatsky said so, so it must be true. Except for a little problem: [Blavatsky was working with](#)

[Henry Steele Olcott](#), the head of one of the CIA departments of his time. Theosophy was just one more in a long line of military intelligence projects, and Blavatsky another agent. She was a close cousin of the Tsars of Russia, including the Romanovs. So if you want to get your information from them, good luck to you.

**NASA, which was started by NAZIs, Freemasons, and Satanists, has been obsessed with both Pleiades and Orion ever since the Apollo Moon missions.**

Hmmm. I don't like or trust NASA, but I can't remember them ever promoting Orion or the Pleiades.

**But either way, do not be surprised if the fake alien invasion features real aliens.**

Um, what? Can anyone say “mindstir”?