My Site is Now "Secure"

by Miles Mathis

First published July 13, 2024

My webhost has graciously bought SSL secure certificates for my two sites, so my default URLs are now https://mileswmathis.com and https://mileswmathis.com also work. This removes just one of the many ways CIA/Google/etc has been messing with me in the past five years.

Some of my tech expert friends who have been in the field for years wrote me and said they had never heard of anyone parking on an unused https and diverting traffic that way. They said they couldn't believe GoDaddy would allow it. But apparently it has happened before. It is all very suspicious of course, and you can come to your own conclusions.

Unfortunately, Google continues to divert 90% of my potential new traffic by failing to list my papers on general searches. After I went superviral several years ago, Google was instructed to delist me. We know this happened because other search engines like Bing, Yahoo, and Yandex either didn't get the message or got it later. Yandex is still listing me pretty much normally, "normally" meaning based on the true popularity of the pages. Through Yandex, one can see that my papers are still superviral, going to the top of general searches immediately and often staying there for many years, outranking big sites like Wikipedia, Britannica, and Dictionary.com. My science papers are still drubbing the university sites like Harvard, Columbia, MIT and Stanford, so you can see why they would be pissed. If they can't win by being better than me, they win by censoring me. But that shouldn't surprise you since it is happening worldwide, in all fields, and not just to me. And of course the Supreme Court just ran interference for them, ruling people like me don't have standing to sue [Murthy v. Missouri]. Pretty amazing ruling, seeing that this is not only suppression of trade, but a civil rights violation of the first order—killing the First Amendment. As in the lawsuits concerning Presidential elections, every citizen should have innate standing, since our interest in this—financial and otherwise—is clear. "Justices" pretending not to see that proves once again the entire government has been bought.

Even more amazing is that the Supreme Court ruled the States themselves don't have standing to sue. Here is the ruling, verbatim:

To establish standing, the plaintiffs must demonstrate a substantial risk that, in the near future, they will suffer an injury that is traceable to a government defendant and redressable by the injunction they seek. Because no plaintiff has carried that burden, none has standing to seek a preliminary injunction.

Really? No plaintiff was able to demonstrate the likelihood of future injury? Though they had proved injury in the past and most of the mechanisms of that injury were still in place (like Google rigging search engines), a majority of the justices couldn't add that up to equal likelihood it would keep happening?

Just to be clear, the standing of the States like Missouri and Louisiana was based on the fact that the Feds were censoring "conservative" or Red viewpoints, which of course was harming Red states both politically and financially. So the Supreme Court should have been swift to rush in to protect the out-

of-power party from obvious censorship by the ruling party. This they should have done even if they hadn't been (allegedly) of a conservative majority themselves. So, many people were shocked that conservative judges would let this slide on the mealy issue of standing. Judges only fall back on standing when they are at their most corrupt, so the Supremes should have made every effort to avoid that old dodge.

But, as with voting machines, this censorship is beneficial to both parties in the long term, so the Republicans are actually glad at the ruling. When they take over in November, they can flip the censoring in the other direction, keeping CHAOS at a maximum. This is why the Democrats didn't go after hacked voting machines when BushII used them to steal the 2000 and 2004 elections. The long-term effect was more important, that being that the CIA could now install or uninstall whoever they wanted with the least effort. It is the same with this issue of censorship. Best guess is *Murthy v. Missouri* was manufactured from the ground up by both parties to fail, with the CIA crouching behind them both, and the trillionaire families crouching behind the CIA. That would explain why the case never made any sense from the first day. As just the most droll example, ask yourself who Murthy is. He is the surgeon general, so why is he the primary defendant here? And, as the defendant, why is he in the first position in the title? The defendant to a lawsuit is normally listed second. So they are cluing you that the whole thing is the usual vaudeville.

This is also worth knowing. This surgeon general is Vivek Murthy, who you may recognize from his picture:



He is the first Indian surgeon general. How did he manage that at such a young age (he was 35 when first appointed by Obama)? Well, he just happens to be from a billionaire family, that's how. His grandfather was director of the Mysore Sugar Company and his uncle is one of the richest men in the world, N. R. Murthy, founder of Infosys. In addition, Vivek just happens to be the chairman of TrialNetworks, a pharmaceutical and biotech company specializing in drug trials. He started that before he was 30. He is also on Biden's coronavirus advisory board. How's that for a spectacular conflict of interest? But there's more. Through the Murthys, he is also a cousin of Rishi Sunak, until recently Prime Minister of the UK.



Sunak came out of GoldmanSachs and was also a hedgefund thief, hiding behind the misnamed Children's Investment Fund. Through his boss there, Patrick Degorce, he was linked to Moderna and the vaccine roll-out, so we see the usual huge conflicts of interest. Degorce was recently found guilty of major fraud and tax evasion, so that's who Sunak liked to hang out with.

Vivek is also a cousin of CalTech astronomer Shrinivas Kulkarni, so I guess this ties into the physics/astronomy fraud as well. Confirming that guess is another cousin of Vivek, Gururaj Deshpande, who is not only a very wealthy venture capitalist and head of many tech companies, but also the namesake of the MIT Center for Technological Innovation—which we may assume is yet another propaganda font meant to salt in the various conjobs of these rich families.

Have you figured out who these people are yet? The big clue is the Mysore Sugar Company, which spun out of the East India Company, of course. So I assume all these "Indian" guys are half Jewish/Phoenician. Just look at their pictures, where we see those horrible long faces and noses. That isn't a native Indian trait.

But back to the censorship question. Fortunately, I saw this coming and didn't waste any time suing the government or Google. Others have wasted thousands of hours and millions of dollars on these lawsuits, but I knew they wouldn't go anywhere. The idea that the government would find against itself was a non-starter in my opinion. Revolutions don't normally come out of the highest levels of the government itself, do they?

I have told you that voting won't save you, the Republicans won't save you, Trump won't save you, and the Supremes will not save you, either. Only you can save you.

You will ask me to be more specific. Well, on this question I already have been, many times. This was mainly about censorship by social media, and my recommendation has always been to avoid social media. Social media was invented to control speech, so avoid it. You don't need to congregate where they tell you to congregate. There are many other ways for you to talk to one another, so go back to the

ones that are hardest to censor. Private websites like mine, for a start. People think they need to go to some large promoted existing site to talk or publish, but they don't. You don't need Facebook or even Substack. Build your own website. It is easy to do. If I can do it anyone can. You don't want to be anywhere where you are controlled by terms of service and censorship. I learned that early on when Yahoo Geocities deleted my site overnight with no warning, refusing to even give me a reason. Some manufactured TOS violation, no doubt, and I had no way to respond even though I had paid for the site. I could have sued for theft of fees but they were minimal and I didn't. I had to rebuild elsewhere.

Plus, I remind you that people congregated and chatted before the computer was invented. Talk to your neighbors face to face. Go to the pub and talk. Go to church and talk. Go to the park. Go to the mall. Talk about important things instead of sports and movies. Start local political groups and get involved in your community rather than wasting your time in chatrooms and forums. By keeping everyone bottled up online, the governors have killed much of the real grassroots organization that is required for a healthy society. For it to be effective, that organization has to be face-to-face and boots-on-the-ground, and it has to be linked out to other towns and cities and states and countries. Without that, the resistance will always be airy and feeble.

The most successful resistance right now is people simply refusing to take part in the New World Order. The Revolution of Refusniks. Refusing to buy the crap, work for the evil companies, join the military, go to the schools, pay the respects, or believe the lies. Refusing the drugs, refusing the fluoride, refusing the poisoned food, refusing the fake news channels, refusing the newspapers, magazines, and other propaganda. You need to add to that refusal of social media. Refusal of all gaslighting. Refusal of wars. Refusal of any new fake pandemics. Refusal of vaccines. Refusal of a bloated government and all its new mandates, fees, advisories, and fear-mongering. Refusal of the fake history you have been sold, including the idea your governors are benevolent or useful in any way. They aren't. They are parasites. They are these corrupt billionaire thieves like Murthy and Sunak, hiding behind children's crusades while murdering millions of children worldwide for profit.